



# FINANCE & ECONOMICS

Monday March 14th 2005 OPINION WORLD BUSINESS **FINANCE & ECONOMICS** SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY PEOPLE **BOOKS & ARTS MARKETS & DATA** DIVERSIONS



#### GLOBAL EXECUTIVE

Management Reading Business Education Executive Dialogue

#### RESEARCH TOOLS

Articles by subject Backgrounders Surveys Economics A-Z Style guide **Business** encyclopedia

#### DATA BANK

Currencies Big Mac index Weekly Indicators



Full contents Past issues

SERVICES

Free registration Web subscriptions

#### **Economics focus**

# The evolution of everyday life

Aug 12th 2004 From The Economist print edition

#### Co-operation has brought the human race a long way in a stagger time

"OUR everyday life is much stranger than we imagine, and rests on fragile foundations." This is the intriguing first sentence of a very unusual new book about economics, and much else besides: "The Company of Strangers", by Paul Seabright, a professor of economics at the University of Toulouse. (The book is published by Princeton University Press.) Why is everyday life so strange? Because, explains Mr Seabright, it is so much at odds with what would have seemed, as recently as 10,000 years ago, our evolutionary destiny. It was only then that "one of the most aggressive and elusive bandit species in the er kingdom" decided to settle down. In no more than the blink of an eye, in time, these suspicious and untrusting creatures, these "shy, murderous a developed co-operative networks of staggering scope and complexity-ne rely on trust among strangers. When you come to think about it, it was a extraordinarily improbable outcome.

The genetic inheritance of Homo sapiens sapiens, which evolved during the 7m years or so that separate us from our last common ancestor with chimpanzees and bonobos, equipped man to succeed as a hunter-gatherer. Humans co-operated with each other in hunting and fighting, but this cooperation occurred within groups of close relatives. Human evolution favoured caution and mistrust, so far as strangers were concerned. Yet modern man engages in the sharing of tasks and in an extremely elaborate division of labour with strangers-that is, with genetically unrelated members of his species. Other animals (such as bees) divide tasks in a complex way among members of the group, but the work is kept within the family. Co-operation of a sort among different animal species is also quite common, though not very surprising, since members of different

#### RELATED ITEMS

More articles about...

**Economics** 

#### Websites

Click to buy from The Economist Shop: "T Company of Strangers", by Paul Seabright

SSRN has papers by Paul Seabright. Princ University Press has information about "TI Company of Strangers", including a O&A v Paul Seabright.

#### Research tools

Economics A-Z

ADVERTISEMENT



SEAR

About 👪 | My acc

#### Economist Intelligence Unit onlinestore

CLASSIFIEDS Business education, recruitment, business and personal: <u>click</u> here

ABOUT US Economist.com The Economist Global Agenda Contact us Media Directory Advertising info Job opportunities

STAFF PAGES

Media Directory Staff Books

ADVERTISEMENT

species are not generally competing with each other for food, still less for sexual partners. Elaborate co-operation outside the family, but within the same species, is confined to humans.

The requirements for such cooperation, and hence for modern economic life, which is founded on specialisation and an infinitely elaborated division of labour, are more demanding than you might suppose. It is not enough to say that specialisation and the division of labour yield enormous economic benefits. Cooperation would nonetheless quickly break down if individuals could enjoy the advantages of division of labour



without making a contribution of their own. Two traits were needed, says to bring the fruits of co-operation within reach, and evolution had equippe with both—accidentally, as it were. The first was an intellectual capacity f calculation. The second, somewhat at odds with the first, was an instinct reciprocity—a tendency to repay kindness with kindness and betrayal with even when rational calculation might seem to advise against it.

Neither of these tendencies could support co-operation without the other, balance between the two is delicate. Calculation without reciprocity often cheating: this undermines trust, so co-operation either cannot get startec breaks down. On the other hand, reciprocity without calculation exposes pexploitation by others. Again, fear of exploitation inhibits co-operation. Fc specialisation and division of labour to get going, one needs both instincts pushing against the other, so that cheating and free-riding are both kept balance was probably needed for the development of social life, Mr Seabr even before our ancestors embarked on complex co-operation with strang those dispositions, however, co-operation with strangers—and modern ec became possible.

The human capacity for calculation allowed this potential to be fully explo humans were able to design rules and institutions that, as Mr Seabright p reciprocity go a long way". Much of the book is concerned with the trustcharacter of economic institutions such as money. Building on humans' in instincts, these rules and institutions allow people to treat strangers as "h friends".

# Adam Smith, meet Charles Darwin

The fact that things could have turned out so differently makes the mode economy, with all its awesome productivity, seem even more miraculous. convinced readers on that point, "The Company of Strangers" dispels any by drawing attention to less appealing aspects of the human enterprise. C pollution. Markets can be harnessed to provide information about how be pollution and other externalities—the phenomenal information-processing price mechanism is another unintended (and marvellous) consequence of economic co-operation. But sometimes markets cannot co-ordinate activit effectively. That, after all, is why firms exist: in some cases (and the bool the conditions under which this is true), information can be more usefully house, in a non-market setting. This is a different kind of co-operation.



And co-operation itself is two-edged—because it also makes possible the successful acts of aggression between one group and another. "Like chim though with more deadly refinement, human beings are distinguished by harness the virtues of altruism and solidarity, and the skills of rational ref end of making brutal and efficient warfare against rival groups." This is w everyday life fragile, as well as surprising. Curbing this tendency for confl Seabright argues, requires, among other things, better-designed internat institutions, so that nations, no less than individuals, can regard each oth honorary friends. "Trust between groups needs as much human ingenuity between individuals."

# Article by Subject Alerts are now premium content

<u>OPINION | WORLD | BUSINESS | FINANCE & ECONOMICS | SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY</u> <u>PEOPLE | BOOKS & ARTS | MARKETS & DATA | DIVERSIONS | PRINT EDITION</u>

### An Economist Group business

<u>Copyright</u> © The Economist Newspaper Limited 2005. All rights reserved. <u>Advertising info</u> | <u>Legal disclaimer</u> | <u>Privacy Policy</u> | <u>Terms & Conditions</u> | <u>Help</u>