

λ -Calculus: Exercises 1

1 Exercise 1

All of these functions are computed iteratively.

1.1 Exercise 1(a)

My first effort:

$$\text{predStep} = \lambda p. \langle \text{if } (\text{snd } p) \text{ then } \bar{0} \text{ else } (\text{succ } (\text{fst } p)), \text{false} \rangle \quad (1)$$

$$\text{pred} = \lambda n. \text{fst } (n \text{ predStep } \langle \bar{0}, \text{true} \rangle) \quad (2)$$

A better definition, due to Kleene:

$$\text{predStep} = \lambda p. \langle \text{snd } p, \text{succ } (\text{snd } p) \rangle \quad (3)$$

$$\text{pred} = \lambda n. \text{fst } (n \text{ predStep } \langle \bar{0}, \bar{0} \rangle) \quad (4)$$

1.2 Exercise 1(b)

$$\text{minus} = \lambda n. \lambda m. m \text{ pred } n \quad (5)$$

1.3 Exercise 1(c)

$$\text{factStep} = \lambda p. \langle \text{succ } (\text{fst } p), \text{mult } (\text{succ } (\text{fst } p)) (\text{snd } p) \rangle \quad (6)$$

$$\text{fact} = \lambda n. \text{snd } (n \text{ factStep } \langle \bar{0}, \bar{1} \rangle) \quad (7)$$

2 Exercise 2

The functions in exercises 2(a) and 2(b) are computed recursively. This poses a problem in that it requires a function to invoke itself, and there is no way to directly embed a function definition within itself if the definition is to be of finite length. Instead, a function f can be rewritten in terms of a function f' such that $(f' f')$ evaluates to the desired f .

2.1 Exercise 2(a)

$$\text{length}' = \lambda f. \lambda l. \text{if } (\text{isNil } l) \text{ then } \bar{0} \text{ else } (\text{succ } (f f (\text{tail } l))) \quad (8)$$

$$\text{length} = (\lambda f. f f) \text{length}' \quad (9)$$

2.2 Exercise 2(b)

$$\text{sumList}' = \lambda f.\lambda l.\text{if } (\text{isNil } l) \text{ then } \bar{0} \text{ else } (\text{plus } (\text{head } l) (f f (\text{tail } l))) \quad (10)$$

$$\text{sumList} = (\lambda f.f f) \text{sumList}' \quad (11)$$

2.3 Exercise 2(c)

As an example, start by unpacking a particular Church numeral:

$$\bar{4} = \lambda f.\lambda x.f (f (f (f x))) \quad (12)$$

(12) serves as a model in creating an iterated list of length 4. Replace each bound f in (12) with a free f_n such that the order of their application is $f_0, f_1, f_2 \dots$

$$\lambda f.\lambda x.f_3 (f_2 (f_1 (f_0 x))) \quad (13)$$

Each f_n takes a single Church numeral as an argument and returns a Church numeral as a result. If the bound f in (13) is a two-place function that takes some a as its first argument, a Church numeral as a second argument, and returns a Church numeral as its result; then each f_n may be rewritten as $(f a_n)$ so that (13) becomes

$$\lambda f.\lambda x.(f a_3) ((f a_2) ((f a_1) ((f a_0) x))) \quad (14)$$

The left associativity of application allows the removal of the parentheses enclosing the application of f to each a_n :

$$\lambda f.\lambda x.f a_3 (f a_2 (f a_1 (f a_0 x))) \quad (15)$$

As with Church numerals, this pattern generalizes to lists of any length.