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|  | Change \% |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| India | 19-Jul | 1-day1-mo | 3-mo |  |
| Sensex | 20,150 | 0.1 | 7.3 |  |
| Nifty | 6,029 | $(0.1)$ | 6.4 |  |

Global/Regional indices

| Dow Jones | 15,544 | $(0.0)$ | 5.0 | 6.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nasdaq Composite | 3,588 | $(0.7)$ | 6.9 | 10.9 |
| FTSE | 6,631 | $(0.1)$ | 8.4 | 5.6 |
| Nikkei | 14,693 | 0.7 | 11.1 | 8.3 |
| Hang Seng | 21,387 | 0.1 | 5.5 | $(3.0)$ |
| KOSPI | 1,888 | 0.9 | 3.6 | $(2.0)$ |
| Value traded - India |  |  |  |  |
| Cash (NSE+BSE) | 142 |  | 124 | 125 |
| Derivatives (NSE) | 1,594 | 1,336 | 2,060 |  |
| Deri. open interest | 1,554 | 1,525 | 1,562 |  |

## Forex/money market

|  | Change, basis points |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 19-Jul | 1-day | 1-mo | 3-mo |
| Rs/US\$ | 59.4 | (8) | (42) | 515 |
| $10 y r$ govt bond, \% | 8.3 | - | 62 | 41 |

Net investment (US\$mn)

|  | 18-Jul | MTD CYTD |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FIls | $(19)$ | $(1,001) 12,341$ |
| MFs | $(51)$ | $(174)(2,411)$ |

## Top movers

|  | Change, \% |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Best performers | 19-Jul | 1-day | 1-mo | 3-mo |
| RCOM IN Equity | 145.4 | $(0.9)$ | 21.6 | 48.7 |
| HUVR IN Equity | 686.1 | $(0.3)$ | 16.2 | 42.1 |
| IDEA IN Equity | 150.5 | $(0.3)$ | 7.6 | 34.0 |
| LPC IN Equity | 899.2 | 1.0 | 14.2 | 31.6 |
| UNSP IN Equity | 2740.3 | $(2.1)$ | 13.7 | 30.6 |
| Worst performers |  |  |  |  |
| MMTC IN Equity | 52.5 | 5.0 | $(60.2)$ | $(76.1)$ |
| EDSL IN Equity | 33.1 | $(1.8)$ | $(12.2)$ | $(49.5)$ |
| SUEL IN Equity | 8.1 | $(2.4)$ | $(13.4)$ | $(44.7)$ |
| JSP IN Equity | 210.5 | $(2.7)$ | 2.9 | $(38.4)$ |
| BOI IN Equity | 211.5 | $(3.1)$ | $(13.2)$ | $(38.2)$ |

[^0]Naughty thoughts. We raise two uncomfortable issues. First, the strong economic growth of the mid-2000s was perhaps an aberration, driven largely by a wonderful confluence of global liquidity, good and bad policy actions leading to large private investment, and the Government's ability to provide fiscal stimulus. This questions the theory that the current economic woes are cyclical in nature. Second, investors continue to act differently versus their views, perhaps driven by lack of alternatives (among EMs and stocks in India).

Can India fulfill its potential under the current system and way of doing things?
We are not sure. The current conditions do not suggest India can implement fundamental changes that can take it to a higher growth trajectory. Parochial interests across society, a paralyzed political system and mandatory obeisance to socialism appear to hobble entrepreneurship and true capitalism. The Government has implemented some reforms but they may not be enough to address India's deep-seated challenges, especially with looming general elections.

The strong growth of the mid-2000s was an aberration if basic issues remain unaddressed
India's economic growth in the mid-2000s was well above its historical trend growth (see Exhibit 1). As discussed in detail in our June 12, 2013 report, Blasphemy, the strong growth in the mid-2000s was driven by a confluence of (1) good and bad policy framework for investment; deregulation of several sectors led to greater private-sector participation (see Exhibit 2) and drove overall economic growth as did robber-baron capitalism in the area of natural resources, (2) large global liquidity and liking for emerging markets; the EMs did not use the capital flows to fix their basic problems but blew up CAD significantly and India was no exception (see Exhibit 3) and (3) large Government-related stimulus in the form of increase in agricultural product prices, subsidies and social welfare spending (see Exhibits 4-6).

Foreigners' preference for India among EMs and expensive stocks among Indian stocks
The oft-repeated argument about a positive inclination to India, and within Indian equities, to expensive defensives is (1) India's better positioning among EMs and (2) lack of alternatives among Indian stocks. Investors may have their own compulsions for staying invested (as they had in December 2007, perhaps) but the thought of India depending on continued foreign interest for portfolio capital flows (see Exhibit 7) in light of (1) a weakening macro-economic environment and (2) faltering interest in a narrow set of frightfully expensive stocks is quite worrisome. India's macro-economic weaknesses (like Mumbai's potholed roads during the monsoons) will be exposed if and when the 'tide' of global liquidity goes out (see our report dated July 16, 2013 titled, The coming low tide). As things stand, India has very little defense against foreign portfolio outflows given its weak external position (see Exhibit 8).

It doesn't have to be this way but...
India would require real reforms to get back to the path of high and sustainable GDP growth seen in the mid-2000s. This would entail (1) stronger democratic institutions, (2) rule-based systems for investment, (3) reforms in all input and output markets, (4) reduction of the Government's role in the economy and privatization of public assets and (5) a greater appreciation of the basic virtues of hard work, frugality, integrity and rule of law. More important, the type of reforms (so-called next generation) required hereon would likely face strong opposition from vested interests; the political system is ill-prepared to meet those challenges.
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## QUICK NUMBERS

- FY2014E CAD/GDP 4.1\% or ~US\$80 bn
- FYTD net FII equity inflow US\$2.2 bn and debt outflow US\$5.3 bn
- Private sector GFCF trended down at 9.7\% in FY2012 versus a peak of 14.3\% in FY2008
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Exhibit 1: India's economic growth in the mid-2000s was well above its historical trend growth India's GDP growth and long-term average growth, March fiscal year-ends, 1981-2013 (\%)


[^1]Exhibit 2: Private-sector investment as a proportion of GDP peaked in FY2008
Sector-wise gross fixed capital formation as a percentage of GDP, March fiscal year-ends, 1995-2012


Source: RBI, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 3: India's CAD/GDP ratio is overshadowed only by South Africa and Turkey
CAD/GDP ratio of key countries, calendar year-ends, 2005-12 (\%)

| Country | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.1 | $(1.7)$ | $(1.5)$ | $(2.2)$ | $(2.4)$ |  |
| China | 5.9 | 8.5 | 10.1 | 9.3 | 4.9 | 4.0 | $(9.9$ | $(3.9)$ |
| Greece | $(7.6)$ | $(11.3)$ | $(14.6)$ | $(15.0)$ | $(11.2)$ | $(10.4)$ | $(3.9)$ |  |
| India | $\mathbf{( 1 . 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 . 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 . 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 . 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 . 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 . 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 . 1 )}$ |
| Indonesia | 0.6 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.7 | $(2.8)$ |  |
| Italy | $(1.7)$ | $(2.6)$ | $(2.4)$ | $(2.8)$ | $(1.9)$ | $(3.6)$ | $(3.1)$ | $(0.8)$ |
| Korea, Rep. | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 3.8 |
| Malaysia | 13.9 | 16.1 | 15.4 | 16.8 | 15.7 | 11.1 | 11.0 | 6.4 |
| Mexico | $(0.7)$ | $(0.6)$ | $(1.3)$ | $(1.7)$ | $(0.7)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.8)$ | $(0.8)$ |
| Philippines | 1.9 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| Portugal | $(10.3)$ | $(10.7)$ | $(10.1)$ | $(12.7)$ | $(11.0)$ | $(10.6)$ | $(7.1)$ | $(1.6)$ |
| South Africa | $\mathbf{( 3 . 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 . 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 . 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 . 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 . 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 . 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 . 2 )}$ |
| Spain | $(7.4)$ | $(9.0)$ | $(10.0)$ | $(9.7)$ | $(4.8)$ | $(4.5)$ | $(3.7)$ | $(1.1)$ |
| Thailand | $(4.3)$ | 1.1 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 8.3 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 0.7 |
| Turkey | $\mathbf{( 4 . 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 . 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 . 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 . 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 . 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 . 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 9 . 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 . 0 )}$ |

Source: IMF, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 4: There has been a significant boost to rural incomes over the past few years from higher agricultural product prices
Computation showing the changing profile of rural incomes

|  | Prices |  |  | Production (mn tons) |  |  | Value (Rs bn) |  | Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2012 | Chg (\%) | 2004 | 2012 | Chg (\%) | 2004 | 2012 | (Rs bn) | (\%) |
| Agriculture - important commodities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat (Rs/qtl) | 630 | 1,285 | 104 | 72 | 94 | 19 | 455 | 1,207 | 752 | 165 |
| Paddy-Grade A (Rs/qtl) | 590 | 1,110 | 88 | 89 | 104 | 8 | 522 | 1,158 | 636 | 122 |
| Dairy (Rs/lit) | 12 | 25 | 108 | 88 | 122 | 38 | 1,057 | 3,038 | 1,980 | 187 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,034 | 5,402 | 3,368 | 166 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 5: Expenditure on social welfare schemes has increased sharply
Budget estimates of flagship programs, March fiscal year-ends, 2006-14 (Rs bn)

|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | Purpose/Focus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Backward Region Grant Fund | 50 | 50 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 87 | 111 | 105 | 115 | Bridges infrastructure gap in backward districts |
| Indira Awaas Yojana (IAY) | 26 | 29 | 40 | 54 | 88 | 100 | 100 | 90 | 152 | Offers assistance for construction and upgradation of dwelling units for rural families living below the poverty line |
| Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) | NA | 46 | 55 | 69 | 129 | 53 | 73 | 68 | 140 | Urban development |
| Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MGNREGS) | NA | 113 | 120 | 160 | 391 | 401 | 400 | 330 | 330 | Guarantees a minimum of 100 days of wages for unskilled manual employment to every rural household's adults |
| Mid-Day Meal Scheme | 30 | 53 | 73 | 80 | 80 | 94 | 104 | 115 | 132 | Provides nutrition and primary education through programmes for children at the primary and upper primary stages |
| National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) | 19 | 82 | 99 | 121 | 141 | 157 | 178 | 171 | 212 | Develops the health delivery system to provide accessible, affordable and quality health care to the rural population |
| Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak <br> Yojana (PMGSY) | NA | NA | 61 | 75 | 120 | 195 | 136 | 36 | 112 | Provides connectivity to unconnected habitations in rural areas with a population of more than 500 persons |
| Rajiv Gandhi Grameen Vidyutikaran Yojana (RGGVY) | NA | 30 | 40 | 55 | 70 | 55 | 60 | 49 | 45 | Develops rural electricity |
| Rashtriya Krishi Vikas Yojana (RKVY) | NA | NA | NA | 32 | 41 | 67 | 78 | 84 | 100 | Offers assistance for crop husbandry, water harvesting, watershed management to enhance productivity in farming areas |
| Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) | NA | 110 | 107 | 131 | 131 | 150 | 210 | 256 | 273 | Provides access, equity, retention and quality in elementary education |
| Swarnajayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana (SGSY)/NRLM/Ajeevika | 9 | 12 | 18 | 22 | 24 | 30 | 29 | 39 | 40 | Promotes self employment of rural poor through capacity building, training and financial assistance through banks |
| Total planned expenditure | 1,406 | 1,699 | 2,051 | 2,752 | 3,034 | 3,790 | 4,266 | 5,210 | 5,553 |  |

Source: Budget estimates, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 6: Subsidies have mounted to more than Rs3 tn
Breakdown of major subsidies by type, March fiscal year-ends, 2007-14E (Rs bn)


[^2]Exhibit 7: Stress on the BOP is expected to continue with wide CAD and volatile capital flows India's balance of payments, March fiscal year-ends, 2011-14E (US\$ bn)

|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014E |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Oil@100 | Oil@105 | Oil@110 |
| Current account | (45.9) | (78.2) | (88.2) | (72.8) | (78.5) | (84.2) |
| GDP | 1,708 | 1,871 | 1,842 | 1,927 | 1,927 | 1,927 |
| CAD/GDP (\%) | (2.7) | (4.2) | (4.8) | (3.8) | (4.1) | (4.4) |
| Trade balance | (130.6) | (189.8) | (195.7) | (184.4) | (190.1) | (195.8) |
| Trade balance/GDP (\%) | (7.7) | (10.3) | (10.6) | (9.6) | (9.9) | (10.2) |
| - Exports | 250 | 310 | 307 | 308 | 310 | 312 |
| - Imports | 381 | 500 | 502 | 492 | 500 | 507 |
| - oil imports | 105 | 155 | 170 | 154 | 162 | 169 |
| - non-oil imports | 276 | 345 | 332 | 338 | 338 | 338 |
| Invisibles (net) | 85 | 112 | 107 | 112 | 112 | 112 |
| - Services | 49 | 64 | 65 | 71 | 71 | 71 |
| - software | 53 | 61 | 64 | 69 | 69 | 69 |
| - non-software | (4.4) | 3.1 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| - Transfers | 53 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| - Income (net) | (17.3) | (16.0) | (21.5) | (24.0) | (24.0) | (24.0) |
| Capital account | 62.1 | 67.8 | 89.4 | 77.0 | 77.0 | 77.0 |
| Percentage of GDP | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Foreign investment | 39.7 | 39.2 | 46.7 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| - FDI | 9.4 | 22.1 | 19.8 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| - FII | 30.3 | 17.2 | 26.9 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| - Equities | 18.9 | 7.1 | 23.3 | - | - | - |
| - Debt | 10.5 | 9.8 | 4.3 | - | - | - |
| Banking capital | 5.0 | 16.2 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| - NRI deposits | 3.2 | 11.9 | 14.8 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Short-term credit | 11.0 | 6.7 | 21.7 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| ECBs | 12.5 | 10.3 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| External assistance | 4.9 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Other capital account items | (11.0) | (6.9) | (5.0) | (5.0) | (5.0) | (5.0) |
| E\&O | (3.0) | (2.4) | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Overall balance | 13.1 | (12.8) | 3.9 | 4.2 | (1.5) | (7.2) |
| Memo items |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average USD/INR | 45.63 | 47.96 | 54.41 | 57.56 | 57.56 | 57.56 |
| Average crude (US\$/bbl) | 85.1 | 111.7 | 108.2 | 100.0 | 105.0 | 110.0 |

Source: RBI, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 8: India's external debt position is superior to other EM countries
External debt/GDP, short-term debt/GDP, short-term debt/forex reserves and forex reserves/imports of various courtiers, calendar year-end, 2012 (\%)


Notes:
(a) Short-term debt is on the basis of original maturity. In most cases, actual short-term debt repayment (within one year) based on residual maturity of debt will be higher. (b) We have used CY2011 data for China and FY2013 for India.

Source: RBI, World Bank, Kotak Institutional Equities

## Energy

High other income covers up for middling operating performance. RIL reported 1QFY14 net income at ₹53.5 bn (+19.7\% yoy, $-4.2 \%$ qoq), higher versus our estimate of ₹51.3 bn led by higher other income at ₹ 25.4 bn. However, EBITDA was $4.5 \%$ lower versus our estimate. We retain our ADD rating on RIL stock with a revised 12-month forward TP of ₹980 (₹920 previously). We expect RIL to hold up relatively better in case of any correction in the Indian market on macroeconomic concerns.

Company data and valuation summary
Reliance Industries

| Stock data |  |  |  | Forecasts/Valuations | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  |  | 955-682 | EPS (Rs) | 65.0 | 68.1 | 71.8 |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  |  | 2,983.1 | EPS growth (\%) | 6.2 | 4.7 | 5.4 |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  | P/E (X) | 14.2 | 13.6 | 12.9 |
| Promoters |  |  | 41.6 | Sales (Rs bn) | 3,603.0 | 4,211.9 | 4,135.8 |
| Flls |  |  | 21.3 | Net profits (Rs bn) | 210.0 | 220.0 | 231.7 |
| MFs |  |  | 2.0 | EBITDA (Rs bn) | 307.9 | 308.2 | 344.4 |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M | 12M | EV/EBITDA (X) | 10.1 | 10.0 | 8.8 |
| Absolute | 11.1 | 18.2 | 26.9 | ROE (\%) | 11.3 | 10.9 | 10.5 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | 6.1 | 11.5 | 8.8 | Div. Yield (\%) | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 |

9.6\% qoq decline in EBITDA led by lower refining margins and decline in oil \& gas production

RIL reported net income at ₹53.5 bn ( $-4.2 \%$ qoq and $+20 \%$ yoy), $4 \%$ higher than our estimated ₹ 51.3 bn led by sharp increase in other income to ₹ 25.4 bn (+13\% qoq and $+33 \%$ yoy). We note that RIL's other income has contributed $38 \%$ of reported PBT in 1QFY14. Reported EBITDA was lower at ₹ 70.8 bn ( $-9.6 \%$ qoq and $+4.9 \%$ yoy) versus our estimate of $₹ 74.1 \mathrm{bn}$. The qoq decline in EBITDA reflects (1) lower refining margins (-US\$1.7/bbl) and (2) lower oil and gas production.

Stable qoq EBIT of petchem segment; lower qoq EBIT from refining and E\&P segments
RIL's petchem segment EBIT remained steady qoq at ₹18.9 bn (+7.5\% yoy) despite sequential improvement in petchem margins reflecting presumably lower sales volumes. RIL's refining segment EBIT declined 16.2\% qoq to ₹29.5 bn led by lower refining margins (-US\$1.7/bbl qoq), which was partially offset by higher crude throughput (+6.2\%). E\&P segment EBIT declined sharply by $24 \%$ qoq and $64 \%$ yoy to ₹ 3.5 bn led by lower oil and gas production volumes.

Retain ADD rating on RIL; RIL is relatively better-positioned to withstand an economic downturn
We retain our ADD rating on RIL stock with a revised fair value of ₹980 (₹920 previously). We compute FY2017E EPS (standalone adjusted for treasury shares) at ₹110 assuming (1) full utilization of new projects, (2) reasonable margins for core businesses, (3) revival in gas production and (4) US $\$ 9.5 / \mathrm{mn}$ BTU gas price. We compute FY2016E fair value of ₹ 1,100 assuming a mid-cycle ex-growth P/E of 10X without ascribing any value to non-core businesses. (1) Better visibility on new E\&P development, (2) timely completion of new projects and (3) value creation in non-core businesses will drive stock performance in the medium term.

Fine-tune earnings
We revise our FY2014-16E EPS to ₹68.1 (-0.1\%), ₹71.8 (-0.5\%) and ₹71.6 (-0.7\%) to reflect (1) 1QFY14 results, (2) lower refining margins and (3) other minor changes. Steady refining margins and moderation of decline in KG D-6 gas production are critical for the company to meet our estimates. However, our exchange rate assumptions provide some buffer.

## QUICK NUMBERS

- $9.6 \%$ qoq decline in EBITDA; 4.2\% qoq decline in net income
- Fair value of ₹ 1,050 based on FY2015E estimates
- 3\% increase in EPS from ₹1/US\$ depreciation in exchange rate
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## Key highlights of 1QFY14 results

Exhibit 1 compares RIL's 1QFY14 results with 4QFY13 and 1QFY13 results. We discuss key highlights of RIL's 1QFY14 results below; all figures pertain to standalone RIL.

Exhibit 1: Interim results of Reliance Industries, March fiscal year-ends (₹ mn)

|  |  | 1QFY14E | 1QFY13 | 4QFY13 | (\% chg.) |  |  | FY2013 | FY2012 | (\% chg.) | FY2014E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1QFY14 |  |  |  | 1QFY14E | QFY13 | 4QFY13 |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 876,450 | 992,898 | 918,750 | 841,980 | (11.7) | (4.6) | 4.1 | 3,602,970 | 3,299,040 | 9.2 | 4,211,946 |
| Total expenditure | $(805,700)$ | $(918,832)$ | $(851,280)$ | $(763,730)$ | (12.3) | (5.4) | 5.5 | $(3,295,100)$ | $(2,962,850)$ | 11.2 | $(3,903,741)$ |
| Inc/(Dec) in stock | 7,460 | - | 9,870 | 12,890 |  |  |  | 33,170 | 8,720 |  | - |
| Raw materials | $(741,210)$ | $(858,018)$ | $(794,980)$ | $(712,040)$ | (13.6) | (6.8) | 4.1 | $(3,066,290)$ | $(2,762,550)$ | 11.0 | $(3,715,947)$ |
| Staff cost | $(8,990)$ | $(8,516)$ | $(8,470)$ | $(7,920)$ | 5.6 | 6.1 | 13.5 | $(33,540)$ | $(28,620)$ | 17.2 | $(36,894)$ |
| Other expenditure | $(62,960)$ | $(52,298)$ | $(57,700)$ | $(56,660)$ | 20.4 | 9.1 | 11.1 | $(228,440)$ | $(180,400)$ | 26.6 | $(150,900)$ |
| EBITDA | 70,750 | 74,065 | 67,470 | 78,250 | (4.5) | 4.9 | (9.6) | 307,870 | 336,190 | (8.4) | 308,205 |
| Other income | 25,350 | 19,695 | 19,040 | 22,430 | 28.7 | 33.1 | 13.0 | 79,980 | 61,920 | 29.2 | 87,598 |
| Interest | $(8,100)$ | $(7,958)$ | $(7,840)$ | $(7,090)$ | 1.8 | 3.3 | 14.2 | $(30,360)$ | $(26,670)$ | 13.8 | $(29,053)$ |
| Depreciation | $(21,380)$ | $(21,678)$ | $(24,340)$ | $(22,390)$ | (1.4) | (12.2) | (4.5) | $(94,650)$ | $(113,940)$ | (16.9) | $(84,954)$ |
| Pretax profits | 66,620 | 64,125 | 54,330 | 71,200 | 3.9 | 22.6 | (6.4) | 262,840 | 257,500 | 2.1 | 281,796 |
| Extraordinaries/sales tax benefit | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Tax | $(13,910)$ | $(12,825)$ | $(10,820)$ | $(14,150)$ | 8.5 | 28.6 | (1.7) | $(52,440)$ | $(51,500)$ | 1.8 | $(60,841)$ |
| Deferred taxation | 810 | - | 1,220 | $(1,160)$ |  | (33.6) | (169.8) | (370) | $(5,600)$ | (93.4) | (995) |
| Net income | 53,520 | 51,300 | 44,730 | 55,890 | 4.3 | 19.7 | (4.2) | 210,030 | 200,400 | 4.8 | 219,960 |
| Adjusted profits | 53,520 | 51,300 | 44,730 | 55,890 | 4.3 | 19.7 | (4.2) | 210,030 | 200,400 | 4.8 | 219,960 |
| EPS (Rs) | 16.6 | 15.9 | 13.9 | 17.3 |  |  |  | 65.0 | 61.3 |  | 68.1 |
| Income tax rate (\%) | 19.7 | 20.0 | 17.7 | 21.5 |  |  |  | 20.1 | 22.2 |  | 21.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemicals production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polymer volumes ('000 tons) | 1,122 |  | 1,101 | 1,084 |  | 1.9 | 3.5 | 4,400 | 4,462 | (1.4) |  |
| Polyester volumes ('000 tons) | 411 |  | 415 | 390 |  | (1.0) | 5.4 | 1,628 | 1,662 | (2.0) |  |
| Fiber intermediates ('000 tons) | 1,086 |  | 1,203 | 1,151 |  | (9.7) | (5.6) | 4,764 | 4,756 | 0.2 |  |
| Refining |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude throughput (mn tons) | 17.1 | 17.2 | 17.3 | 16.1 |  | (1.2) | 6.2 | 68.5 | 67.6 | 1.3 | 68.5 |
| Refining margin (US\$/bbl) | 8.4 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 10.1 |  | 10.5 | (16.8) | 9.2 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 8.7 |
| Average exchange rate | 56.0 | 56.0 | 54.2 | 54.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 54.4 | 47.9 | 13.5 | 57.6 |
| Upstream production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude oil production (000 tons) | 123 | 135 | 181 | 138 |  | (32.0) | (11.0) | 631 | 1,022 | (38.2) | 488 |
| Gas production (bcf) | 37 | 37 | 72 | 44 |  | (48.8) | (15.9) | 236 | 450 | (47.5) | 135 |

Segment results

| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Petrochemicals | 219,500 | 218,390 | 221,580 | 0.5 | (0.9) | 881,080 | 806,250 | 9.3 |
| Refining \& marketing | 814,580 | 853,830 | 778,720 | (4.6) | 4.6 | 3,337,740 | 2,947,340 | 13.2 |
| Oil \& gas | 14,540 | 25,080 | 15,970 | (42.0) | (9.0) | 82,800 | 128,980 | (35.8) |
| Others (retail, SEZ, textiles) | 6,160 | 2,480 | 3,590 | 148.4 | 71.6 | 9,530 | 12,130 | (21.4) |
| Gross turnover | 1,054,780 | 1,099,780 | 1,019,860 | (4.1) | 3.4 | 4,311,150 | 3,894,700 | 10.7 |
| Inter segment | 148,890 | 150,520 | 153,680 | (1.1) | (3.1) | 599,960 | 496,780 | 20.8 |
| Excise duty | 29,440 | 30,510 | 24,200 | (3.5) | 21.7 | 108,220 | 98,880 | 9.4 |
| Net sales | 876,450 | 918,750 | 841,980 | (4.6) | 4.1 | 3,602,970 | 3,299,040 | 9.2 |
| Operating costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petrochemicals | 200,620 | 200,830 | 202,630 | (0.1) | (1.0) | 807,800 | 716,580 | 12.7 |
| Refining \& marketing | 785,070 | 832,320 | 743,520 | (5.7) | 5.6 | 3,209,860 | 2,850,800 | 12.6 |
| Oil \& gas | 11,020 | 15,360 | 11,370 | (28.3) | (3.1) | 53,930 | 76,480 | (29.5) |
| Others (retail, SEZ, textiles) | 5,320 | 2,470 | 3,110 | 115.4 | 71.1 | 6,980 | 11,780 | (40.7) |
| Total | 1,002,030 | 1,050,980 | 960,630 | (4.7) | 4.3 | 4,078,570 | 3,655,640 | 11.6 |
| EBIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petrochemicals | 18,880 | 17,560 | 18,950 | 7.5 | (0.4) | 73,280 | 89,670 | (18.3) |
| Refining \& marketing | 29,510 | 21,510 | 35,200 | 37.2 | (16.2) | 127,880 | 96,540 | 32.5 |
| Oil \& gas | 3,520 | 9,720 | 4,600 | (63.8) | (23.5) | 28,870 | 52,500 | (45.0) |
| Others (retail, SEZ, textiles) | 840 | 10 | 480 | 8,300 | 75.0 | 2,550 | 350 | 628.6 |
| Total | 52,750 | 48,800 | 59,230 | 8.1 | (10.9) | 232,580 | 239,060 | (2.7) |
| Interest expense | $(8,100)$ | $(7,840)$ | $(7,090)$ | 3.3 | 14.2 | $(30,360)$ | $(26,670)$ | 13.8 |
| Interest income | 16,280 | 12,910 | 19,790 | 26.1 | (17.7) | 62,450 | 44,140 | 41.5 |
| Other unallocable (net) | 5,690 | 460 | (730) |  |  | $(1,830)$ | 970 |  |
| PBT | 66,620 | 54,330 | 71,200 | 22.6 | (6.4) | 262,840 | 257,500 | 2.1 |
| Current tax | $(13,910)$ | $(10,820)$ | $(14,150)$ | 28.6 | (1.7) | $(52,440)$ | $(51,500)$ | 1.8 |
| Deferred tax | 810 | 1,220 | $(1,160)$ | (33.6) | (169.8) | (370) | $(5,600)$ | (93.4) |
| PAT | 53,520 | 44,730 | 55,890 | 19.7 | (4.2) | 210,030 | 200,400 | 4.8 |

[^3]Exhibit 2: RIL has historically included a portion of other income in segment EBIT numbers
Difference between RIL's segment EBIT and reported EBIT, March fiscal year-ends, 2012-14YTD (₹ mn)

|  | 1QFY12 | 2QFY12 | 3QFY12 | 4QFY12 | 1QFY13 | 2QFY13 | 3QFY13 | 4QFY13 | 1QFY14 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Segment EBIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petrochemicals | 22,150 | 24,220 | 21,570 | 21,740 | 17,560 | 17,400 | 19,370 | 18,950 | 18,880 |
| Refining | 31,990 | 30,750 | 16,850 | 16,960 | 21,510 | 35,230 | 36,150 | 35,200 | 29,510 |
| Oil \& gas | 14,730 | 15,310 | 12,940 | 9,510 | 9,720 | 8,660 | 5,900 | 4,600 | 3,520 |
| Others | 80 | 100 | 90 | 70 | 10 | 710 | 770 | 480 | 840 |
| Total EBIT (A) | 68,950 | 70,380 | 51,450 | 48,280 | 48,800 | 62,000 | 62,190 | 59,230 | 52,750 |
| Reported EBIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA (excluding other income) | 99,260 | 98,440 | 72,850 | 65,630 | 67,470 | 77,770 | 83,730 | 78,250 | 70,750 |
| DD\&A | $(31,950)$ | $(29,690)$ | $(25,700)$ | $(26,590)$ | $(24,340)$ | $(23,060)$ | $(24,570)$ | $(22,390)$ | $(21,380)$ |
| Reported EBIT (B) | 67,310 | 68,750 | 47,150 | 39,040 | 43,130 | 54,710 | 59,160 | 55,860 | 49,370 |
| Difference (A)-(B) | $\mathbf{1 , 6 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 6 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 2 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 8 0}$ |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

- Financial highlights
- EBITDA and net income. RIL's 1QFY14 EBITDA declined 9.6\% qoq and increased $4.9 \%$ yoy to ₹70.8 bn. 1QFY14 net income declined $4.2 \%$ qoq and increased $19.7 \%$ yoy to ₹53.5 bn. The yoy improvement in EBITDA and net income reflects higher refining margins (+US\$0.8/bbl) and weaker Rupee-Dollar exchange rate.
- Higher other income. Other income increased sharply by $13 \%$ qoq and $33 \%$ yoy to ₹25.4 bn led by (1) profits on sale of investments in fixed income instruments and (2) higher average liquid investments. We note that RIL's cash and cash equivalents increased sharply to ₹931 bn at end-June 2013 versus ₹830 bn at end-March 2013.
- Increase in interest expense. 1QFY14 interest expense increased to ₹8.1 bn compared to ₹7.1 bn in 4QFY13 and ₹7.8 bn in 1QFY13 led by depreciation of the Indian Rupee; gross interest expense including interest capitalized of ₹ 1.5 bn was ₹9.6 bn. RIL's implied interest rate was 5\% in 1QFY14 versus $4.9 \%$ in FY2013 and $4.6 \%$ in FY2012. Exhibit 3 shows our computation of RIL's implied borrowing cost over the past few quarters.

Exhibit 3: Average borrowing cost of RIL has remained low in the recent years
Implied average borrowing cost, March fiscal year-ends, 2009-14YTD (₹ bn)

|  | 1QFY14 | FY2013 | 4QFY13 | 3QFY13 | 2QFY13 | 1QFY13 | FY2012 | FY2011 | FY2010 | FY2009 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest expense charged to P\&L | 8.1 | 30.4 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 26.7 | 23.3 | 20.0 | 17.5 |
| Interest capitalized | 1.5 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 9.8 | 34.0 |
| Total interest incurred | 9.6 | 34.2 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 31.0 | 28.0 | 29.8 | 51.4 |
| Beginning debt | 724 | 683 | 723 | 701 | 732 | 683 | 674 | 625 | 739 | 493 |
| Closing debt | 803 | 724 | 724 | 723 | 701 | 732 | 683 | 674 | 625 | 739 |
| Average debt | 764 | 703 | 723 | 712 | 716 | 707 | 678 | 649 | 682 | 616 |
| Implied average borrowing cost (\%) | $\mathbf{5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3}$ |

[^4]- Lower DD\&A charges. RIL's reported DD\&A expense declined to ₹21.4 bn (-4.5\% qoq and $-12.2 \%$ yoy) reflecting lower oil and gas production. RIL has not provided breakdown of depreciation and depletion separately.
- Lower effective tax rate. RIL's 1QFY14 effective tax rate was 19.7\% compared to $21.5 \%$ in 4QFY13 and $17.7 \%$ in 1QFY13. We continue to be surprised by lower cash tax rate of $20.9 \%$ in 1QFY14 given (1) full taxation of income from petchem segment and the first Jamnagar refinery; other income will also be largely taxed at the corporate tax rate of $33.99 \%$ and (2) applicability of MAT rate of $20 \%$ on the income from SEZ refinery and E\&P segment.
- Increase in net cash balance. RIL has reported net cash balance of ₹ 128 bn at endJune 2013 against net cash balance of ₹ 105 bn at end-March 2013. The modest increase in net cash balance despite ₹ 74 bn of gross cash flow generation (net profit + DDA + deferred taxation) presumably reflects capex in core business projects. We note that net addition to fixed assets was higher at ₹105 bn in 1QFY14 led by forexrelated capitalization of ₹53 bn.
- Chemical segment highlights. 1QFY14 chemical segment EBIT remained steady qoq at ₹ 18.9 bn (+7.5\% yoy) despite sequential improvement in petchem margins reflecting lower sales volumes presumably. RIL's 1QFY14 polymer production volumes increased $1.9 \%$ yoy and $3.5 \%$ qoq to 1.1 mn tons. Polyester production volumes declined $1 \%$ yoy and increased $5.4 \%$ qoq to 411,000 tons. As per the company, domestic polymer demand increased 15\% yoy and polyester demand increased 10\% yoy in 1QFY14.

Exhibit 4: Domestic petchem margins were higher qoq
Asia and domestic petchem margins and prices, March fiscal year-ends, 2012-14YTD


Source: Platt's, Bloomberg, Kotak Institutional Equities

- Refining segment highlights. 1QFY14 refining segment EBIT declined $16.2 \%$ qoq to ₹29.5 bn reflecting lower refining margins, which was partially offset by sequentially higher crude throughput. The company reported lower refining margins qoq at US\$8.4/bbl (+US\$0.8/bbl yoy and -US\$1.7/bbl qoq), marginally lower than our estimate of US\$8.5/bbl. Crude throughput increased sequentially to 17.1 mn tons in 1QFY14 versus 16.1 mn tons in 4QFY13 and 17.3 mn tons in 1QFY13.
- E\&P segment highlights. 1QFY14 E\&P segment EBIT declined 24\% qoq and 64\% yoy to ₹3.5 bn led by lower oil and gas production. KG D-6 gas volume (gross basis) was 15.3 $\mathrm{mcm} / \mathrm{d}$ in 1QFY14 versus $19.2 \mathrm{mcm} / \mathrm{d}$ in 4QFY13 and $32.2 \mathrm{mcm} / \mathrm{d}$ in 1QFY13. Oil and condensate production from MA-1 field declined to $6.5 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{d}$ in 1QFY14 versus $7.3 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{d}$ in 4QFY13 and $11.4 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{d}$ in 1QFY13. Oil production (gross basis) from Panna-Mukta fields declined 19\% yoy to 1.8 mn bbls in 1QFY14; gas production declined $5 \%$ yoy to 16.9 bcf . 1QFY14 condensate production (gross basis) from Tapti field declined by $53 \%$ yoy to 0.07 mn bbls and gas production declined $43 \%$ yoy to 7.8 bcf . The sharp decline in production from PMT fields reflects (1) natural decline in reserves and (2) shutdown of Panna-Mukta field for three days.


## Other updates

- Domestic E\&P business. RIL is planning to augment production from the existing D1-D3 fields by installing a booster compressor, which is expected to complete by 4QFY15. RIL is also planning to initiate appraisal program in the recently discovered $\mathrm{MJ}-1$ field by 2HFY14, subject to necessary approvals.

We note that RIL has relinquished three exploration blocks, which were awarded during NELP-VI regime. The company's domestic portfolio consists of KG D-6 block, PMT fields and seven exploration blocks (see Exhibit 5).

Exhibit 5: Details of RIL's blocks in India

| Block | Consortium | RIL stake (\%) Comments |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Producing blocks |  |  |  |
| KG-DWN-98/3 | Reliance, Niko, BP | 60 |  |
| PMT fields | Reliance, BG, ONGC | 30 |  |
| Exploratory blocks |  |  |  |
| NEC-OSN-97/2 | Reliance, Niko, BP | 60 | Recoverable gas reserves of 1.185 tcf |
| GS-OSN-2000/1 | Reliance, Hardy | 90 | Gas discovery (D-33) |
| CY-DWN-2001/2 | Reliance, BP | 70 | Gross reserves of 719 bcf; recoverable reserves of 447 bcf |
| CY-PR-DWN-2001/3 | Reliance, BP | 70 | Gas discovery (D-53) |
| KG-DWN-2003/1 | Reliance, Hardy, BP | 60 | Gas initial in place of 195 bcf; estimated ultimate recovery of 94 bcf |
| CB-ONN-2003/1 | Reliance, BP | 70 | Oil initial in place of 15.04 mn bbls; recoverable reserves of 2.05 mn bbls |
| KG-DWN-2005/2 | Reliance, BP | 50 |  |

Source: Indian Petro, Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

- Retail business. RIL's retail business has reported revenues of ₹34.7 bn and EBITDA of ₹ 700 mn in 1QFY14. The company has added 45 stores in 1QFY14, increasing the overall store count to 1,511 as of June 30, 2013. Exhibit 6 shows key operating and financial metrics of retail business as disclosed by RIL over the past few years.

Exhibit 6: RIL has reported robust growth in revenues from retail operations
Key operating metrics and financials for RIL's retail operations, March fiscal year-ends, 2011-14YTD (₹ bn)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 1QFY14 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operating metrics |  |  |  |  |
| Store category |  |  |  |  |
| Brands |  | 39 | 68 | 82 |
| Jewellery | 353 | 448 | 455 |  |
| Fashion \& lifestyle |  | 93 | 139 | 151 |
| Digital | 750 | 760 | 769 |  |
| Value and others | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 1 1}$ |
| Total stores | $\mathbf{N A}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 4}$ |
| Area (mn sq. ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue break-up | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Brands | 3 | 5 | 8 | 4 |
| Jewellery | 8 | 11 | 16 | 4 |
| Fashion \& lifestyle | 7 | 12 | 21 | 7 |
| Digital | 42 | 46 | 61 | 19 |
| Value and others |  |  |  |  |
| Financials | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| Revenue | NA | $(3.4)$ | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| EBITDA | $\mathbf{5 . 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 . 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 . 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{N A}$ |
| Net profit |  |  |  |  |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

- Shale gas. RIL reported higher revenues at US\$214 mn (+84\% yoy and $11 \%$ qoq) and EBITDA at US\$165 mn (+74\% yoy and 6\% qoq) from the shale gas business in 1QFY14. RIL's share of gross production volumes increased modestly by $4 \%$ qoq to 37.7 bcf (+71\% yoy). The slower qoq production growth reflects (1) higher maintenance-related shutdowns and (2) flooding-related delays in Pioneer JV. The company invested US\$331 mn in 1QFY14; cumulative investments across shale gas JVs stand at US\$6 bn as of end1QFY14. Exhibit 7 shows RIL's net sales volumes of shale gas and related hydrocarbon since 1QFY12.

Exhibit 7: RIL has reported a rather robust growth in sales volumes from its shale gas operations Quarterly net sales volumes, March fiscal year-ends, 2012-14YTD (bcfe)


[^5]Key assumptions behind earnings model
We discuss the key assumptions behind our earnings model below.

- Refining margins. We model FY2014E, FY2015E and FY2016E refining margins at US\$8.7/bbl, US\$8.6/bbl and US\$8.7/bbl versus US\$9.2/bbl in FY2013 (see Exhibit 8). We assume flat margins in the medium term given a significant increase in refining capacity, which will offset the incremental oil demand in CY2013-15E. Current and FYTD14 benchmark margins are lower than FY2013 margins.

Exhibit 8: Major assumptions for RIL's refining segment, March fiscal year-ends, 2008-16E (US\$/bbl)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 E}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RIL refinery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rupee-dollar exchange rate | 40.3 | 45.8 | 47.4 | 45.6 | 47.9 | 54.4 | 57.6 | 57.8 | 56.5 |
| Import tariff on crude (\%) | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Refinery yield (per bbl of crude throughput) | 98.1 | 104.8 | 82.1 | 96.0 | 128.3 | 128.4 | 122.1 | 116.3 | 110.7 |
| Cost of inputs (per bbl of crude throughput) | 83.1 | 92.6 | 75.7 | 88.4 | 121.5 | 120.4 | 114.4 | 108.5 | 102.7 |
| Net refining margin | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ |
| Crude throughput (mn tons) | 31.8 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 35.2 | 35.3 | 35.3 | 35.3 | 35.3 |
| Fuel and loss-own fuel used (\%) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Fuel \& loss equivalent-gas used (\%) |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| SEZ refinery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Import tariff on crude (\%) | - | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |  |  |
| Refinery yield (per bbl of crude throughput) |  | 71.1 | 91.9 | 129.8 | 129.5 | 122.8 | 116.6 | 110.7 |  |
| Cost of inputs (per bbl of crude throughput) |  | 64.2 | 82.7 | 119.3 | 119.1 | 113.1 | 107.2 | 101.3 |  |
| Net refining margin |  | $\mathbf{7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 4}$ |  |
| Crude throughput (mn tons) | 26.4 | 32.1 | 32.4 | 33.2 | 33.2 | 33.2 | 33.2 |  |  |
| Fuel and loss-own fuel used (\%) | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |  |  |
| Fuel \& loss equivalent-gas used (\%) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |
| Blended refining margin (US\$/bbl) | $\mathbf{6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 7}$ |  |  |
| Total crude throughput (mn tons) |  | $\mathbf{6 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 5}$ |  |

Notes:
(a) Refining margins do not include sales tax incentives.

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 9: We expect $4.1 \mathrm{mn} \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{d}$ of net addition to global refining capacity in CY2013-15E World refinery capacity additions (net), calendar year-ends, 2007-15E ('000 b/d)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 E}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| OECD North America | 240 | - | $(124)$ | $(211)$ | 164 | 63 | $\mathbf{2 8 0}$ | - | 50 |
| OECD Europe | - | 30 | $(270)$ | $(121)$ | $(89)$ | $(418)$ | $(234)$ | - | - |
| OECD Pacific | - | - | $(57)$ | $(17)$ | - | $(85)$ | $(110)$ | $(448)$ | - |
| FSU | - | 84 | - | 140 | - | 43 | 39 | 44 | 48 |
| Non-OECD Europe | - | - | - | $(70)$ | - | - | - | 30 | - |
| China | - | 206 | 446 | 560 | 266 | 380 | 680 | 170 | 1,100 |
| Other Asia | 315 | 65 | 790 | 229 | 140 | 480 | 0 | 420 | - |
| Latin America | - | - | $(235)$ | 50 | 85 | $(585)$ | 135 | 158 | 280 |
| Middle East | 77 | 226 | 179 | 70 | 95 | 70 | 120 | 1,217 | - |
| Africa | - | 6 | 6 | - | 60 | - | 60 | 28 | - |
| Total World | $\mathbf{6 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 7 8}$ |

[^6]Exhibit 10: We see risks to IEA's estimated growth of 3.4 mn b/d in oil demand for CY2013-15E Estimated global crude demand, supply and prices, calendar year-ends, 2008-15E

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 E}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Demand (mn b/d) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total demand | $\mathbf{8 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 3}$ |
| $\quad$ Yoy growth | $(0.5)$ | $(1.0)$ | 2.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | 1.3 |
| Supply (mn b/d) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-OPEC | 50.6 | 51.5 | 52.7 | 52.8 | 53.4 | $\mathbf{5 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 9}$ | 57.0 |
| Yoy growth | $(0.1)$ | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| OPEC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Crude | 31.6 | 29.2 | 29.3 | 29.9 | 31.3 | 29.6 | 29.3 | 29.4 |
| NGLs | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.9 |
| Total OPEC | 36.2 | 34.1 | 34.9 | 35.8 | 37.7 | 36.2 | 36.1 | 36.3 |
| Total supply | $\mathbf{8 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 3}$ |
| Total stock change | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(0.8)$ | $(0.5)$ | 1.2 |  |  |  |
| OPEC crude capacity | 34.2 | 34.9 | 35.7 | 34.2 | 35.0 | 35.4 | 36.3 | 36.4 |
| Implied OPEC spare capacity | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand growth (yoy, \%) | $(0.6)$ | $(1.1)$ | 3.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Supply growth (yoy, \%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-OPEC | $(0.3)$ | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| OPEC | 3.3 | $(5.8)$ | 2.4 | 2.6 | 5.2 | $(4.0)$ | $(0.2)$ | 0.6 |
| Total | 1.2 | $(1.3)$ | 2.4 | 1.1 | 2.8 | $(0.3)$ | 1.3 | 1.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dated Brent (US\$/bbl) | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ |
| World GDP growth (\%) | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ |

Source: IEA, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

- Chemical margins. Exhibit 11 shows our major assumptions for RIL's chemical prices and margins. We model higher chemical margins, to increase moderately in FY2014-16E reflecting gradual improvement in global operating rates and likely recovery in downstream demand.

Exhibit 11: Key chemical prices and margins assumptions, March fiscal year-ends, 2008-16E (US\$/ton)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LDPE | 1,600 | 1,400 | 1,500 | 1,555 | 1,650 | 1,485 | 1,460 | 1,445 | 1,430 |
| LLDPE | 1,575 | 1,330 | 1,400 | 1,455 | 1,525 | 1,570 | 1,545 | 1,530 | 1,515 |
| HDPE | 1,500 | 1,275 | 1,375 | 1,415 | 1,550 | 1,560 | 1,540 | 1,525 | 1,510 |
| Polypropylene | 1,470 | 1,300 | 1,360 | 1,525 | 1,635 | 1,585 | 1,575 | 1,560 | 1,545 |
| PVC | 1,100 | 925 | 1,000 | 1,075 | 1,100 | 1,050 | 1,025 | 1,010 | 995 |
| PFY | 1,550 | 1,485 | 1,380 | 1,640 | 1,790 | 1,615 | 1,605 | 1,620 | 1,605 |
| PSF | 1,475 | 1,320 | 1,310 | 1,660 | 1,910 | 1,675 | 1,655 | 1,670 | 1,655 |
| Paraxylene | 1,200 | 1,085 | 1,050 | 1,125 | 1,550 | 1,520 | 1,510 | 1,460 | 1,410 |
| Chemical margins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LLDPE-naphtha | 850 | 655 | 770 | 725 | 590 | 645 | 655 | 675 | 695 |
| HDPE-naphtha | 775 | 600 | 745 | 685 | 615 | 635 | 650 | 670 | 690 |
| PP-naphtha | 745 | 625 | 730 | 795 | 700 | 660 | 685 | 705 | 725 |
| PVC-1.025 x (0.235 x ethylene $+0.864 \times$ EDC $)$ | 396 | 401 | 389 | 367 | 383 | 414 | 395 | 402 | 405 |
| POY-naphtha | 825 | 810 | 750 | 910 | 855 | 690 | 715 | 765 | 785 |
| PSF-naphtha | 750 | 645 | 680 | 930 | 975 | 750 | 765 | 815 | 835 |
| PX—naphtha | 475 | 410 | 420 | 395 | 615 | 595 | 620 | 605 | 590 |
| POY-0.85 x PTA-0.34 $\times$ MEG | 364 | 496 | 341 | 437 | 349 | 333 | 349 | 382 | 384 |
| PSF-0.85 x PTA $-\mathbf{0 . 3 4 \times \text { MEG }}$ | 289 | 331 | 271 | 457 | 469 | 393 | 399 | 432 | 434 |
| PTA-0.67 x PX | 121 | 133 | 217 | 281 | 187 | 72 | 58 | 77 | 95 |

[^7]Exhibit 12: Global operating rates for key chemical products are expected to improve in CY2013-14E
World demand and capacity (mn tons), operating rate (\%), 1996-2014E


Source: CMAI, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

- E\&P segment. We model FY2014-16E KG D-6 gas production at $13.5 \mathrm{mcm} / \mathrm{d}, 12 \mathrm{mcm} / \mathrm{d}$ and $12 \mathrm{mcm} / \mathrm{d}$. We have assumed gas price of US $\$ 8.5 / \mathrm{mn}$ BTU for FY2015, US\$9/mn BTU for FY2016, US\$9.5/mn BTU for FY2017 and US\$10/mn BTU from FY2018 onwards.
- Other income. We expect RIL's other income to remain strong over the next few years driven by significant cash pile. We expect RIL to generate ₹259 bn of free cash flow in FY2014-16E. The quantum of other income will depend on (1) RIL's dividend policy; RIL has followed a conservative dividend pay-out policy historically, (2) acquisitions and (3) capex, which would depend on schedule of ongoing petrochemical projects and new E\&P discoveries.
- Taxation. We model effective tax rate at $21.9 \%, 26.7 \%$ and $26.7 \%$ for FY 2014 E , FY2015E and FY2016E versus $20.1 \%$ in FY2013 and 22.2\% in FY2012. We assume that RIL will continue to avail of income tax exemption on gas production from KG D-6 block and prepare our forecasts accordingly. However, in case the income tax exemption is not available, we compute RIL's FY2015E EPS to drop by $2.3 \%$ to ₹70.
- Exchange rate. We assume Rupee-Dollar exchange rate for FY2014E, FY2015E and FY2016E at ₹57.56/US\$, ₹57.75/US\$ and ₹56.5/US\$.

Exhibit 13 gives sensitivity of RIL's earnings to various key variables. Exhibits 14 \& 15 give our SOTP-based valuation of RIL for FY2014E and FY2015E while Exhibit 16 is our summary financial statement for RIL. We have increased our target EV/EBITDA multiple for the chemical and refining segments to 6.5X from 6X previously to factor in potentially higher earnings from a weaker-than-expected exchange rate. The Rupee is currently trading at significantly lower levels versus our assumptions for FY2014-16E. More important, (1) India's weak CAD/BOP position and (2) elevated concerns about tighter global liquidity may result in the INR being significantly weaker versus our current estimates.

Exhibit 13: Reliance's earnings have high leverage to refining margins
Sensitivity of RIL's earnings to key variables

|  | Fiscal 2014E |  |  | Fiscal 2015E |  |  | Fiscal 2016E |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Downside | Base case | Upside | Downside | Base case | Upside | Downside | Base case | Upside |
| Rupee-dollar exchange rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rupee-dollar exchange rate | 56.6 | 57.6 | 58.6 | 56.8 | 57.8 | 58.8 | 55.5 | 56.5 | 57.5 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) | 213,659 | 219,960 | 226,260 | 225,368 | 231,730 | 238,092 | 224,513 | 231,133 | 237,754 |
| EPS (Rs) | 66.2 | 68.1 | 70.1 | 69.8 | 71.8 | 73.7 | 69.5 | 71.6 | 73.6 |
| \% upside/(downside) | (2.9) |  | 2.9 | (2.7) |  | 2.7 | (2.9) |  | 2.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change in prices (\%) | (5.0) |  | 5.0 | (5.0) |  | 5.0 | (5.0) |  | 5.0 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) | 212,519 | 219,960 | 227,400 | 222,929 | 231,730 | 240,531 | 221,943 | 231,133 | 240,324 |
| EPS (Rs) | 65.8 | 68.1 | 70.4 | 69.0 | 71.8 | 74.5 | 68.7 | 71.6 | 74.4 |
| \% upside/(downside) | (3.4) |  | 3.4 | (3.8) |  | 3.8 | (4.0) |  | 4.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blended refining margins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Margins (US\$/bbl) | 7.7 | 8.7 | 9.7 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 9.7 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) | 196,146 | 219,960 | 243,786 | 210,196 | 231,730 | 253,252 | 210,076 | 231,133 | 252,177 |
| EPS (Rs) | 60.7 | 68.1 | 75.5 | 65.1 | 71.8 | 78.4 | 65.1 | 71.6 | 78.1 |
| \% upside/(downside) | (10.8) |  | 10.8 | (9.3) |  | 9.3 | (9.1) |  | 9.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural gas price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural gas price (US\$/bbl) |  | 4.2 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 10.0 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) |  | 219,960 | 224,434 | 227,584 | 231,730 | 235,912 | 227,032 | 231,133 | 235,269 |
| EPS (Rs) |  | 68.1 | 69.5 | 70.5 | 71.8 | 73.1 | 70.3 | 71.6 | 72.9 |
| \% upside/(downside) |  |  | 2.0 | (1.8) |  | 1.8 | (1.8) |  | 1.8 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 14: SOTP valuation of Reliance is ₹910 per share on FY2014E estimates
Sum-of-the-parts valuation of Reliance Industries, FY2014E basis (₹)

|  | Valuation base (Rs bn) |  | Multiple (X) |  | EV | Valuation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Other | EBITDA | Multiple | EV/EBITDA | (Rs bn) | (Rs/share) |
| Chemicals |  | 107 |  | 6.5 | 698 | 238 |
| Refining \& Marketing |  | 171 |  | 6.5 | 1,109 | 378 |
| Oil and gas-PMT |  | 23 |  | 2.5 | 57 | 19 |
| Gas-producing and developing (DCF-based) (a) | 327 |  |  |  | 327 | 111 |
| KG D-6 | 279 |  |  |  | 279 | 95 |
| NEC-25 | 48 |  |  |  | 48 | 16 |
| Oil—KG-DWN-98/3 (b) | 10 |  |  |  | 10 | 4 |
| Investments excluding subsidiaries | 355 |  |  |  | 355 | 121 |
| Retailing | 63 |  | 0.8 |  | 50 | 17 |
| Shale gas | 54 |  | 0.8 |  | 41 | 14 |
| Telecom | 74 |  | 0.8 |  | 56 | 19 |
| Capital WIP (book value) | 176 |  | 1.0 |  | 176 | 60 |
| Total enterprise value |  |  |  |  | 2,878 | 980 |
| Net debt |  |  |  |  | 206 | 70 |
| Implied equity value |  |  |  |  | 2,672 | 910 |

Notes:
(a) We value KG D-6 and NEC-25 blocks on DCF
(b) 20 mn bbls of recoverable reserves.
(c) Capital WIP includes capex on petrochemical expansion and petcoke gasification projects.
(d) We use 2.936 bn shares (excluding treasury shares) for per share computations.

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 15: SOTP valuation of Reliance is ₹ 1,050 per share on FY2015E estimates
Sum-of-the-parts valuation of Reliance Industries, FY2015E basis (₹)

|  | Valuation base (Rs bn) |  | Multiple (X) |  | EV | Valuation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Other | EBITDA | Multiple | EV/EBITDA | (Rs bn) | (Rs/share) |
| Chemicals |  | 132 |  | 6.5 | 857 | 292 |
| Refining \& Marketing |  | 168 |  | 6.5 | 1,093 | 372 |
| Oil and gas-PMT |  | 21 |  | 2.5 | 53 | 18 |
| Gas—producing and developing (DCF-based) (a) | 366 |  |  |  | 366 | 125 |
| KG D-6 | 309 |  |  |  | 309 | 105 |
| NEC-25 | 56 |  |  |  | 56 | 19 |
| Oil-KG-DWN-98/3 (b) | 10 |  |  |  | 10 | 4 |
| Investments excluding subsidiaries | 355 |  |  |  | 355 | 121 |
| Retailing | 63 |  | 0.8 |  | 50 | 17 |
| Shale gas | 54 |  | 0.8 |  | 41 | 14 |
| Telecom | 74 |  | 0.8 |  | 56 | 19 |
| Capital WIP (book value) | 339 |  | 1.0 |  | 339 | 116 |
| Total enterprise value |  |  |  |  | 3,220 | 1,097 |
| Net debt |  |  |  |  | 129 | 44 |
| Implied equity value |  |  |  |  | 3,091 | 1,053 |

Notes:
(a) We value KG D-6 and NEC-25 blocks on DCF.
(b) 20 mn bbls of recoverable reserves.
(c) Capital WIP includes capex on petrochemical expansion and petcoke gasification projects.
(d) We use 2.936 bn shares (excluding treasury shares) for per share computations.

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 16: RIL: Profit model, balance sheet, cash model, March fiscal year-ends, 2010-17E (₹ mn)

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 1,924,610 | 2,481,700 | 3,299,040 | 3,602,970 | 4,211,946 | 4,135,829 | 3,934,907 | 3,852,288 |
| EBITDA | 305,807 | 381,257 | 336,190 | 307,870 | 308,205 | 344,359 | 337,672 | 519,676 |
| Other income | 24,605 | 30,517 | 61,920 | 79,980 | 87,598 | 81,555 | 79,441 | 87,058 |
| Interest | $(19,972)$ | $(23,276)$ | $(26,670)$ | $(30,360)$ | $(29,053)$ | $(20,753)$ | $(11,465)$ | $(17,855)$ |
| Depreciation \& depletion | $(104,965)$ | $(136,076)$ | $(113,940)$ | $(94,650)$ | $(84,954)$ | $(89,162)$ | $(90,241)$ | $(128,313)$ |
| Pretax profits | 205,474 | 252,422 | 257,500 | 262,840 | 281,796 | 315,999 | 315,408 | 460,566 |
| Extraordinary items | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tax | $(31,118)$ | $(43,204)$ | $(51,500)$ | $(52,440)$ | $(60,841)$ | $(80,005)$ | $(82,600)$ | $(115,679)$ |
| Deferred taxation | $(12,000)$ | $(6,355)$ | $(5,600)$ | (370) | (995) | $(4,264)$ | $(1,675)$ | $(27,751)$ |
| Net profits | 162,357 | 202,863 | 200,400 | 210,030 | 219,960 | 231,730 | 231,133 | 317,136 |
| Adjusted net profits | 162,357 | 202,863 | 200,400 | 210,030 | 219,960 | 231,730 | 231,133 | 317,136 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 49.6 | 62.0 | 61.3 | 65.0 | 68.1 | 71.8 | 71.6 | 98.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance sheet (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total equity | 1,371,706 | 1,515,403 | 1,660,960 | 1,800,200 | 1,988,985 | 2,186,353 | 2,381,407 | 2,647,001 |
| Deferred taxation liability | 109,263 | 115,618 | 121,220 | 121,930 | 122,925 | 127,189 | 128,863 | 156,614 |
| Total borrowings | 624,947 | 673,967 | 684,470 | 724,270 | 561,322 | 500,861 | 400,035 | 268,055 |
| Currrent liabilities | 404,148 | 542,206 | 484,750 | 538,710 | 620,673 | 604,715 | 575,439 | 546,916 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 2,510,064 | 2,847,194 | 2,951,400 | 3,185,110 | 3,293,904 | 3,419,119 | 3,485,745 | 3,618,586 |
| Cash | 134,627 | 271,349 | 395,980 | 495,470 | 355,334 | 371,519 | 311,975 | 527,767 |
| Current assets | 489,165 | 644,070 | 800,570 | 875,910 | 984,386 | 979,693 | 948,499 | 918,383 |
| Total fixed assets | 1,653,987 | 1,555,260 | 1,214,770 | 1,288,640 | 1,429,095 | 1,542,817 | 1,700,182 | 1,647,346 |
| Investments | 232,286 | 376,515 | 540,080 | 525,090 | 525,090 | 525,090 | 525,090 | 525,090 |
| Total assets | 2,510,064 | 2,847,194 | 2,951,400 | 3,185,110 | 3,293,904 | 3,419,119 | 3,485,745 | 3,618,586 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free cash flow (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating cash flow, excl. working capital | 222,605 | 304,310 | 265,810 | 237,080 | 212,532 | 234,987 | 229,693 | 384,427 |
| Working capital | $(53,015)$ | 695 | $(27,700)$ | 57,820 | $(26,513)$ | $(11,265)$ | 1,918 | 1,592 |
| Capital expenditure | $(219,427)$ | $(123,661)$ | $(80,080)$ | $(159,440)$ | $(198,298)$ | $(194,270)$ | $(238,308)$ | $(73,763)$ |
| Investments | 14,206 | $(195,439)$ | $(201,760)$ | $(54,140)$ | - | - | - | - |
| Other income | 22,043 | 23,316 | 18,930 | 65,280 | 87,598 | 81,555 | 79,441 | 87,058 |
| Free cash flow | $(13,587)$ | 9,220 | $(24,800)$ | 146,600 | 75,319 | 111,007 | 72,745 | 399,315 |


| Ratios (\%) | 42.2 | 41.3 | 38.4 | 37.7 | 26.6 | 21.6 | 15.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Debt/equity | 33.1 | 24.7 | 16.2 | 11.9 | 9.8 | 5.6 | 3.5 |
| Net debt/equity | 11.8 | 13.1 | 11.6 | 11.1 | 10.6 | 10.2 | 9.4 |
| RoAE | $\mathbf{8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3}$ |
| RoACE | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 2}$ |
| Adjusted ROACE |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ |  |  |

[^8]
## $\mathrm{HDFC}_{\text {(HdFc) }}$

## Banks/Financial Institutions

1QFY14 performance on track. HDFC's strong core performance during 1QFY14 was characterized by healthy retail loan growth and lower bond yields (during the quarter). We continue to expect HDFC to deliver $20 \%$ loan growth over the medium term. However, current challenges in the bulk borrowing markets pose risks on the liabilityside of NBFCs. Hence, despite the recent correction in stock price, we retain REDUCE rating on HDFC with price target of Rs790. We await better entry points and more clarity on liquidity in the system.

| Company data and valuation summary HDFC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock data |  |  |  | Forecasts/Valuations | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  |  | 931-631 | EPS (Rs) | 31.4 | 37.4 | 43.9 |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  |  | 1,248.3 | EPS growth (\%) | 12.3 | 19.3 | 17.4 |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  | P/E (X) | 25.6 | 21.5 | 18.3 |
| Promoters |  |  | 0.0 | NII (Rs bn) | 61.8 | 75.3 | 88.5 |
| Flls |  |  | 73.7 | Net profits (Rs bn) | 48.5 | 57.8 | 67.9 |
| MFs |  |  | 2.6 | BVPS | 161.7 | 181.6 | 205.1 |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M | 12M | P/B (X) | 5.0 | 4.4 | 3.9 |
| Absolute | (4.7) | (1.8) | 15.8 | ROE (\%) | 22.0 | 21.8 | 22.7 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | (9.0) | (7.4) | (0.7) | Div. Yield (\%) | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.2 |

## Earnings up 17\%

HDFC reported PAT of Rs11.7 bn, up 17\% yoy and 3\% below estimates. Core PBT (PBT before capital gains and adjustment of interest of zero coupon bonds) was up $23 \%$ yoy and $2 \%$ below estimates. Net operational income was up $16 \%$ at Rs $17.9 \mathrm{bn}, 2 \%$ below estimates. Marginally lower NIM and loan growth were the key reasons for lower-than-expected earnings.

We expect HDFC to deliver 19-20\% growth over the next three years (no change in estimates). We value the core mortgage business at 3.6X PBR for $27-30 \%$ ROE in the medium term. The core business drives $60 \%$ value in our SOTP, the balance ( $30 \%$ ) being from HDFC Bank (valued at our target price, i.e. $3.5 \times \mathrm{PBR}$ ) and $10 \%$ from insurance and asset management businesses.

Retail business holds up, non-retail loans flat qoq
HDFC's loan growth (including loans sold to banks) was stable at 20\% yoy. The retail business was in a sweet spot with $24 \%$ yoy ( $6 \%$ qoq) loan growth; its non-retail loan book was flat qoq. Overall disbursements and approvals were up 16\% yoy. Decline in marginal borrowing cost by about 1\% qoq (in the bond markets) made the retail lending segment more profitable for HDFC. Higher competitive intensity in lending to developers likely kept HDFC away from the non-retail segment during the quarter. HDFC will likely resume focus on this segment as constraints on liquidity in the system will result in higher interest rates for developers. We continue to model 19-21\% loan growth for HDFC over the next three years.

Reported spreads stable, liability-side management crucial in the current environment
HDFC's NIM (calculated) declined to 3.4\% in 1QFY14 from 4.3\% in 4QFY13-this is in line with its seasonal trends. We note that HDFC's bond borrowings have increased by $9 \%$ qoq during 1QFY14; marginal bond borrowings during the quarter were about 7\% of its total outstanding borrowings. Notably, the marginal borrowing cost on bonds raised during the quarter was down by about 100 bps as compared to FY2013 levels (though bond yields have moved back again). Reported overall spreads are stable at 2.3\%. Spread in the retail business increased marginally to 2\% from 1.95\%.
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We believe that effective liability-side management is crucial in the current environment of deficit liquidity, especially in light of large balance sheet of HDFC. Improvement in liquidity conditions will clearly provide more comfort to investors of NBFCs.

In the past, HDFC has effectively managed such periods (when liquidity is a challenge). We believe that HDFC can focus on retail deposits or bank loans if bond yields are elevated. The share of bank loans has declined to 8\% of HDFC's total borrowings in 1QFY14 from 26\% in 1QFY13, providing some headroom for the company. Incremental bank loans will, however, be constrained by base rate (about 10\%, i.e. 50 bps over current 5-year AAA bond yields).

Higher dividend income, no capital gains
HDFC reported dividend income of Rs2.2 bn (largely from HDFC Bank). The company did not book any capital gains during the quarter. We expect HDFC to recognize capital gains of Rs6 bn in the next three quarters-stable qoq.

Exhibit 1: Yields for AAA-rated and AA-rated corporate bonds have increased in past few days Yields on 5-year corporate bonds and 10-year G-Secs in India, July 2012- July 2013 (\%)


Source: Kotak Institutional Equities, Company

Exhibit 2: Spreads between G-Sec and bonds have increased
Spread between 5-year corporate bonds and 10-year G-Secs in India, July 2011- July 2013 (\%)


[^9]Exhibit 3: HDFC Ltd - P\&L
March fiscal year-ends, 1QFY13-1QFY14

|  | 1Q13 | 2Q13 | 3Q13 | 4Q13 | 1Q14 | YoY (\%) | 1Q14E | Actual vs KS (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating income | 49,346 | 52,697 | 52,421 | 56,661 | 55,558 | 13 | 58,101 | (4) |
| Interest on loans | 46,462 | 48,357 | 49,972 | 53,392 | 52,197 | 12 | 54,825 | (5) |
| Fees and other charges | 617 | 525 | 392 | 879 | 544 | (12) | 600 | (9) |
| Dividend | 1,595 | 1,946 | 452 | 814 | 2,171 | 36 | 2,026 | 7 |
| Sale of investment | 202 | 941 | 963 | 1,049 | - | (100) | 200 | (100) |
| Other op income | 470 | 928 | 642 | 526 | 646 | 38 | 450 | 44 |
| Interest expense | 33,882 | 35,414 | 35,214 | 34,398 | 37,633 | 11 | 39,811 | (5) |
| Net operating income | 15,464 | 17,283 | 17,207 | 22,263 | 17,925 | 16 | 18,289 | (2) |
| Op. inc. excl. gains, capital gains and lease income | 13,667 | 14,396 | 15,792 | 20,399 | 15,754 | 15 | 16,064 | (2) |
| Net Fund based income | 14,847 | 16,758 | 16,815 | 21,383 | 17,381 | 17 | 17,689 | (2) |
| Net Fund based income (int income less int exp) | 12,580 | 12,943 | 14,758 | 18,994 | 14,564 | 16 | 15,014 | (3) |
| Other exp. | 1,691 | 1,821 | 1,780 | 1,309 | 1,879 | 11 | 1,945 | (3) |
| Other exp. | 1,083 | 1,200 | 1,138 | 718 | 1,135 | 5 | 1,245 | (9) |
| Staff expenses | 608 | 621 | 642 | 590 | 744 | 22 | 699 | 6 |
| PBDT | 13,773 | 15,462 | 15,427 | 20,954 | 16,046 | 17 | 16,345 | (2) |
| Depreciation | 47 | 56 | 59 | 73 | 55 | 17 | 60 | (8) |
| Other income | 74 | 78 | 84 | 116 | 80 | 9 | 80 | 0 |
| PBT | 13,799 | 15,484 | 15,452 | 20,997 | 16,071 | 16 | 16,365 | (2) |
| Tax | 3,780 | 3,970 | 4,050 | 5,445 | 4,350 | 15 | 4,255 | 2 |
| PAT | 10,019 | 11,514 | 11,402 | 15,552 | 11,721 | 17 | 12,110 | (3) |
| Tax rate | 27 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 27 |  | 26 |  |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 4: HDFC Ltd - quarterly data
March fiscal year-ends, 1QFY13-1QFY14

| Other details - Rs bn | 1Q13 | 2Q13 | 3Q13 | 4Q13 | 1Q14 | YoY (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disbursement for the quarter | 156 | 250 | 141 | 277 | 183 | 17 |
| Outstanding (Rs bn) | 1,483 | 1,551 | 1,605 | 1,700 | 1,769 | 19 |
| Annualised NIII (Rs mn) | 50,320 | 51,772 | 59,032 | 75,976 | 58,256 | 16 |
| NIMs (\%) | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 3.4 |  |
| Gross NPL ratio (\%) | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 |  |
| CAR (\%) | 14.6 | 16.7 | 17.5 | 16.2 | 16.3 |  |
| Tier I (\%) | 11.8 | 14.1 | 14.9 | 13.8 | 14.0 |  |
| Avg spread - reported (\%) | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| ROA | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 2.5 |  |
| ROE | 20.3 | 20.5 | 18.3 | 24.6 | 18.2 |  |
| Balance sheet (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sharecapital | 2,977 | 3,078 | 3,082 | 3,093 | 3,108 | 4 |
| Reserves | 201,678 | 241,191 | 252,398 | 246,907 | 260,987 | 29 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders funds | 1,642,760 | 1,718,635 | 1,740,846 | 1,838,280 | 1,896,785 | 15 |
| Loans | 1,482,622 | 1,551,270 | 1,604,340 | 1,700,460 | 1,769,930 | 19 |
| Individuals | 954,129 | 994,010 | 1,047,300 | 1,113,210 | 1,184,290 | 24 |
| Corporate bodies | 509,977 | 537,630 | 539,350 | 569,550 | 566,200 | 11 |
| Others | 18,516 | 19,630 | 17,690 | 17,700 | 19,440 | 5 |
| Investments | 151,825 | 150,241 | 145,780 | 136,841 | 171,985 | 13 |
| Deferred tax | 6,282 | 6,552 | 6,692 | 6,314 | 6,353 | 1 |
| Current liabilities | 61,115 | 87,736 | 77,016 | 114,688 | 125,892 | 106 |
| Fixed assets | 2,350 | 2,367 | 2,380 | 2,379 | 2,405 | 2 |
| Total assets | 1,642,760 | 1,718,635 | 1,740,846 | 1,838,280 | 1,896,785 | 15 |
| Loan book calculations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan on balance sheet | 1,482,622 | 1,551,270 | 1,604,340 | 1,700,460 | 1,769,930 | 19 |
| Loans outside balance sheet | 138,720 | 157,480 | 160,490 | 169,640 | 172,920 | 25 |
| Individual loans pre sell down | 1,092,849 | 1,151,490 | 1,207,790 | 1,282,850 | 1,357,210 | 24 |
| Loans under management | 1,621,342 | 1,708,750 | 1,764,830 | 1,870,100 | 1,942,850 | 20 |
| Compostition of loan book under management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total loan under management | 1,621,342 | 1,708,750 | 1,764,830 | 1,870,100 | 1,942,850 | 20 |
| Individual loans under management | 1,092,849 | 1,151,490 | 1,207,790 | 1,282,850 | 1,357,210 | 24 |
| Other loans | 528,493 | 557,260 | 557,040 | 587,250 | 585,640 | 11 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 5: HDFC Ltd consolidated - quarterly data
March fiscal year-ends, 1QFY13-1QFY14 (Rs mn)

|  | 1Q13 | 2Q13 | 3Q13 | 4Q13 | 1Q14 | YoY (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total operating income | 73,808 | 103,376 | 101,286 | 81,013 | 84,748 | 15 |
| Operating income | 51,230 | 54,235 | 55,384 | 59,476 | 58,399 | 14 |
| Premium Income from Insurance business | 20,073 | 29,713 | 30,065 | 46,652 | 22,905 | 14 |
| Other Income from Insurance Business | 2,282 | 18,413 | 14,819 | $(26,643)$ | 3,378 | 48 |
| Profit on sale of Investments | 222 | 1,015 | 1,019 | 1,528 | 65 | (71) |
| Interest expense | 34,486 | 36,709 | 36,272 | 35,489 | 38,837 | 13 |
| Net operating income | 39,322 | 66,667 | 65,014 | 45,523 | 45,911 | 17 |
| Other exp. | 25,114 | 48,885 | 46,753 | 20,948 | 26,622 | 6 |
| Other exp. | 23,362 | 47,146 | 44,935 | 19,489 | 24,705 | 6 |
| Staff expenses | 1,284 | 1,309 | 1,356 | 1,332 | 1,518 | 18 |
| Provisioning expenses | 468 | 429 | 461 | 127 | 399 | (15) |
| PBDT | 14,208 | 17,782 | 18,262 | 24,575 | 19,289 | 36 |
| Depreciation | 128 | 132 | 135 | 147 | 90 | (30) |
| Other income | 77 | 125 | 108 | 77 | 80 | 4 |
| PBT | 14,157 | 17,776 | 18,235 | 24,506 | 19,279 | 36 |
| Tax | 4,283 | 4,613 | 4,693 | 6,432 | 5,144 | 20 |
| PAT | 9,874 | 13,163 | 13,542 | 18,074 | 14,136 | 43 |
| Minority interest | (436) | $(1,059)$ | (803) | $(1,121)$ | $(1,333)$ | 206 |
| Profit from associates | 3,320 | 3,635 | 4,329 | 3,878 | 4,268 | 29 |
| Consolidated PAT | 12,759 | 15,749 | 17,058 | 20,831 | 17,071 | 34 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 6: HDFC, sum-of-the-parts-based valuation based on March fiscal year-end, 2014

| Business/ subsidiaries | HDFC's holding (\%) | Value of companies (Rs mn) | Value per <br> share <br> (Rs) | Valuation methodology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HDFC |  |  | 442 | Based on residual growth model; multiple of 3.6X PBR FY2014E |
| Value of subsidiaries and associates |  |  | 307 |  |
| HDFC Bank | 23 | 1,546,350 | 220 | Based on target price |
| HDFC Standard Life MF | 60 | 48,480 | 19 | 4\% of March 2014E AUMs |
| Life Insurance | 72 | 111,520 | 47 | 11\% margins and 16X NBV multiple |
| General insurance | 74 | 9,890 | 4 | Based on deal with ERGO |
| Gruh Finance | 62.0 | 33,960 | 12 | Based on market price |
| IDFC | 1.9 | 190,856 | 2 | Based on market price |
| Equity investments |  |  | 14 |  |
| BVPS of non-strategic investments |  |  | 5 |  |
| Unrealised gains on above |  |  | 9 |  |
| Total value per share |  |  | 763 |  |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 7: HDFC, sum-of-the-parts-based valuation based on March fiscal year-end, 2015

|  | HDF's <br> holding <br> (\%) | Value of <br> companies <br> (Rsmn) | Value per <br> share (Rs) | Valuation methodology |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |

[^10]Exhibit 8: HDFC - one-year forward rolling PER and PBR
Monthly data, July 2006 - July 2013 (X)


Source: Company, Bloomberg, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 9: HDFC valuation
March fiscal year-ends, 2011-16E

|  | Profit after tax | EPS | EPS core | P/E | PE on core operations | BVPS | BVPS <br> Core | P/B | P/B on core operations |  | RoE | Core <br> RoE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March y/e | (Rs mn) | (Rs) | (Rs) | (X) | (X) | (Rs) | (Rs) | (X) | (X) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| 2011 | 35,351 | 24 | 20 | 33 | 25.3 | 118 | 58 | 7 | 8.8 | 2.8 | 21.7 | 38.9 |
| 2012 | 41,226 | 28 | 24 | 29 | 21.2 | 129 | 67 | 6 | 7.5 | 2.7 | 22.7 | 38.4 |
| 2013 | 48,481 | 31 | 26 | 26 | 19.4 | 162 | 104 | 5 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 22.0 | 31.2 |
| 2014E | 57,849 | 37 | 32 | 21 | 15.9 | 182 | 122 | 4 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 21.8 | 28.4 |
| 2015E | 67,918 | 44 | 38 | 18 | 13.4 | 205 | 145 | 4 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 22.7 | 28.3 |
| 2016E | 80,007 | 52 | 45 | 16 | 11.4 | 233 | 173 | 3 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 23.6 | 28.0 |


| Share price (Rs) | $\mathbf{8 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Market Capitalisation (Rs mn) | 1242028 |
| Less :value of subsidiaries and investments (Rs mn) | 496596 |
| Price adjusted for subsidiaries and investments (Rs) | 745,432 |
| Price per share adjt for subsidiaries and investments (Rs) | $\mathbf{5 0 8}$ |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 10: HDFC: Key ratios
March fiscal year-ends, 2011-16E (\%)

|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spread calc |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average yield on assets (incl fees) | 10.1 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 11.8 |
| Average cost of funds | 7.3 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.2 |
| Overall spread | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| Spread on housing loans | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| NIM (pre provisions) | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| DU PONT Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net total income | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Net interest income | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Capital gains | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Dividend income | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Net other income | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Operating expenses | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| ROA | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Average assets/average equity | 7.9 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 8.7 |
| ROE | 21.7 | 22.7 | 22.0 | 21.8 | 22.7 | 23.6 |
| Growth (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net loans | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 19 |
| Total assets | 20 | 20 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 17 |
| PBT | 24 | 16 | 16 | 19 | 17 | 18 |
| PAT | 25 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 18 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 11: HDFC standalone P\&L and balance sheet
March fiscal year-ends, 2011-16E (Rs mn)

|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total income excluding fee income | 126,325 | 170,646 | 208,710 | 244,053 | 289,867 | 342,308 |
| Interest on Housing Loans | 110,683 | 150,214 | 187,345 | 222,500 | 266,234 | 316,182 |
| Dividends | 2,252 | 3,096 | 4,806 | 4,279 | 4,961 | 5,661 |
| Lease rentals | 231 | 175 | 43 | 38 | 35 | 31 |
| Other operating income | 13,159 | 17,161 | 16,516 | 17,235 | 18,638 | 20,434 |
| Income from investments | 7,799 | 12,189 | 11,265 | 10,719 | 11,073 | 11,258 |
| Capital gains | 3,597 | 2,702 | 3,155 | 4,000 | 4,500 | 5,500 |
| Interest payable | 75,597 | 111,568 | 138,909 | 160,423 | 191,910 | 227,203 |
| Net Income before provision | 50,728 | 59,078 | 69,801 | 83,630 | 97,957 | 115,105 |
| Provision | 700 | 800 | 1,450 | 2,175 | 2,436 | 2,728 |
| Fee income | 2,204 | 2,683 | 2,413 | 2,969 | 3,468 | 4,054 |
| Total income | 128,528 | 173,329 | 211,123 | 247,021 | 293,335 | 346,362 |
| Total expenses | 3,619 | 4,313 | 5,153 | 6,101 | 7,003 | 8,043 |
| Depreciation | 192 | 205 | 236 | 248 | 260 | 273 |
| Other income | 251 | 213 | 351 | 351 | 351 | 351 |
| Profit before tax | 48,671 | 56,656 | 65,726 | 78,426 | 92,077 | 108,466 |
| Tax | 13,320 | 15,430 | 17,245 | 20,577 | 24,159 | 28,459 |
| Profit after tax | 35,351 | 41,226 | 48,481 | 57,849 | 67,918 | 80,007 |
| - .EPS | 24 | 28 | 31 | 37 | 44 | 52 |
| EPS (core) | 20 | 24 | 26 | 32 | 38 | 45 |
| Book value | 118 | 129 | 162 | 182 | 205 | 233 |
| Book value (core) | 58 | 67 | 104 | 122 | 145 | 173 |


| Balance sheet | $1,171,268$ | $1,408,750$ | $1,700,460$ | $2,054,507$ | $2,457,561$ | $2,923,810$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net loans | 118,324 | 122,070 | 136,841 | 143,551 | 148,181 | 148,181 |
| Total Investments | 88,446 | 90,588 | 89,898 | 92,398 | 92,398 | 92,398 |
| In equity | 2,340 | 2,340 | 2,379 | 2,570 | 2,775 | 2,997 |
| Fixed assets owned | $\mathbf{1 , 3 9 5 , 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 7 5 , 2 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 5 2 , 9 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 1 3 , 9 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 2 1 , 8 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 8 8 , 2 7 7}$ |
| Total assets | $1,154,105$ | $1,391,275$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 8 8 , 2 8 0}$ | $1,912,605$ | $2,278,211$ | $2,670,353$ |
| Total Borrowings | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 1 , 8 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 8 5 , 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 0 2 , 9 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 3 3 , 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 0 4 , 6 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 2 8 , 4 0 7}$ |
| Total borowings and CL | 2,934 | 2,954 | 3,093 | 3,093 | $\mathbf{3 , 0 9 3}$ |  |
| Share capital | 170,231 | 187,222 | 246,907 | $\mathbf{2 7 7 , 7 9 5}$ | 314,058 | 356,777 |
| Reserves | $\mathbf{1 7 3 , 1 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 0 , 1 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 0 , 8 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 7 , 1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 9 , 8 7 0}$ |
| Shareholders fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

## Automobiles

Pricing power in export markets boosts margin. Bajaj Auto 1QFY14 results were better than estimates due to higher-than-expected average selling prices (ASPs). Reported EBITDA was impacted by MTM loss of Rs960 mn; adjusted EBITDA margin was $20.4 \%$ versus $17.9 \%$ in 1QFY13. Launch of new Discover models and normalization of Pulsar production will likely help Bajaj Auto to improve domestic sales, in our view. We expect three-wheeler volumes to pick up on the issue of new permits by state governments. We will review our estimates after the result concall on Monday.

| Company data and valuation summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bajaj Auto |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock data |  |  |  | Forecasts/Valuations | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  |  | -1,505 | EPS (Rs) | 105.2 | 119.1 | 139.2 |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  |  | 568.9 | EPS growth (\%) | 1.3 | 13.2 | 17.0 |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  | P/E (X) | 18.7 | 16.5 | 14.1 |
| Promoters |  |  | 50.0 | Sales (Rs bn) | 194.9 | 212.9 | 240.3 |
| Flls |  |  | 18.2 | Net profits (Rs bn) | 30.4 | 34.5 | 40.3 |
| MFs |  |  | 1.4 | EBITDA (Rs bn) | 41.1 | 45.1 | 51.7 |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M | 12M | EV/EBITDA (X) | 13.8 | 12.7 | 11.0 |
| Absolute | 7.1 | 9.7 | 27.0 | ROE (\%) | 43.2 | 38.6 | 36.9 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 8.9 | Div. Yield (\%) | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.8 |

Bajaj Auto reported net profit of Rs7,377 mn (+3\% yoy), which was 2\% below estimates; ex forex loss, profit would have been 7\% higher than our estimate. Net sales of Rs49.1 bn (+1\% yoy) were $3 \%$ above our estimates, driven by $12 \%$ yoy increase in average selling prices (ASPs) due to a better product mix (higher three-wheeler sales) and $26 \%$ yoy increase in export ASPs.

We note that the company reported a notional MTM loss on range forward contracts of Rs960 mn, which would reverse during the tenure of the contracts. Reported EBITDA margin was 18.5\%, and ex forex loss, EBITDA margin would have been $20.4 \%$, higher than our estimate of $19.3 \%$.

Domestic sales volumes were subdued due to a weak market; three-wheeler exports up 44\% yoy
The company's domestic motorcycle sales declined by $13 \%$ yoy driven by subdued market conditions and loss in market share. We highlight that a strike at the Chakan plant caused Bajaj Auto to lose 20,000 units of Pulsar in 1QFY14. Motorcycle export volumes declined sharply ( $-21 \%$ yoy) driven by a ban on motorcycle sales in Nigeria and weak Latin American markets.

Domestic three-wheeler volumes were flattish yoy but are expected to improve, driven by new permits being issued in Hyderabad (20,000 units) and Maharashtra (30,000 units). Three-wheeler exports increased by 44\% yoy due to normalization of sales in Sri Lanka and strong growth in Bangladesh.

Revival in domestic and export motorcycle volumes, weaker Rupee to boost EBITDA margin
We expect a revival in domestic motorcycle volumes, driven by good monsoons and normalization of Pulsar volumes. Domestic three-wheeler volumes should also inch upwards due to the issue of new permits by state governments. We expect EBITDA margin to improve due to the depreciation of the Rupee (current quarter average USD/INR rate was 55.96) and the company will realize 58 in the coming quarters. Export volumes to Africa are expected to increase with penetration in other African markets, besides Nigeria. We maintain our ADD rating on the stock (target price: Rs2,000) and will review our earnings estimates after the conference call on Monday.
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Results better than estimates on higher-than-expected net realizations
Exhibit 1 highlights Bajaj Auto's 1QFY14 results and compares them with the performance in 4QFY13 and 1QFY13. We discuss highlights below.

Exhibit 1: 1QFY14 results were better than our estimates driven by higher-than-expected export net realizations Bajaj Auto interim results, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 1QFY14 | 1QFY14E | 1QFY13 | 4QFY13 | \% change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | KIE est | yoy | qoq |
| Volumes (units) | 979,275 | 979,275 | 1,078,971 | 981,242 | - | (9.2) | (0.2) |
| Net realisations | 49,105 | 47,408 | 43,686 | 47,400 | 3.6 | 12.4 | 3.6 |
| Net sales | 48,087 | 46,426 | 47,136 | 46,511 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 3.4 |
| Operating income | 1,024 | 1,500 | 1,520 | 954 | (31.8) | (32.7) | 7.3 |
| Net sales (including op income) | 49,111 | 47,926 | 48,657 | 47,465 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 3.5 |
| Inc/dec in stock | 622 | - | 1,156 | $(1,342)$ |  |  |  |
| Raw materials | 33,445 | 33,500 | 33,925 | 35,430 | 1.7 | (2.9) | (0.1) |
| Staff costs | 1,837 | 1,800 | 1,604 | 1,666 | 2.1 | 14.5 | 10.2 |
| Other expenses | 4,141 | 3,388 | 3,255 | 3,344 | 22.2 | 27.2 | 23.8 |
| Total expenses | 40,044 | 38,687 | 39,940 | 39,099 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 2.4 |
| EBITDA | 9,067 | 9,238 | 8,717 | 8,366 | (1.9) | 4.0 | 8.4 |
| Other income | 1,756 | 1,840 | 1,820 | 2,436 | (4.6) | (3.5) | (27.9) |
| Interest expense | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Depreciation expense | 444 | 470 | 352 | 466 | (5.6) | 26.0 | (4.8) |
| Profit before tax before exceptional | 10,378 | 10,608 | 10,184 | 10,334 | (2.2) | 1.9 | 0.4 |
| Extraordinary income | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Profit before tax | 10,378 | 10,608 | 10,184 | 10,334 | (2.2) | 1.9 | 0.4 |
| Tax expense | 3,002 | 3,076 | 3,000 | 2,676 | (2.4) | 0.0 | 12.1 |
| Profit after tax | 7,377 | 7,532 | 7,184 | 7,658 | (2.1) | 2.7 | (3.7) |
| Adj PAT | 7,377 | 7,532 | 7,184 | 7,658 | (2.1) | 2.7 | (3.7) |
| Raw material cost as \% of net sales | 69.4 | 69.9 | 72.1 | 71.8 |  |  |  |
| Staff cost as \% of net sales | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.5 |  |  |  |
| Other expenses as \% of net sales | 8.4 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 18.5 | 19.3 | 17.9 | 17.6 |  |  |  |
| No of shares | 289 | 289 | 289 | 289 |  |  |  |
| EPS | 25.5 | 26.0 | 24.8 | 26.5 |  |  |  |
| Tax rate (\%) | 28.9 | 29.0 | 29.5 | 25.9 |  |  |  |
| Volume breakdown (units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economy | 133,019 | 133,019 | 149,492 | 93,454 |  | (11.0) | 42.3 |
| Executive | 289,954 | 289,954 | 299,318 | 299,891 |  | (3.1) | (3.3) |
| Premium | 148,682 | 148,682 | 169,679 | 162,814 |  | (12.4) | (8.7) |
| Domestic motorcycle | 571,655 | 571,655 | 618,489 | 556,158 |  | (7.6) | 2.8 |
| Export motorcycle | 288,496 | 288,496 | 364,134 | 303,537 |  | (20.8) | (5.0) |
| Total motorcycle | 860,151 | 860,151 | 982,623 | 859,695 |  | (12.5) | 0.1 |
| Domestic 3 wheelers | 45,057 | 45,057 | 44,837 | 60,079 |  | 0.5 | (25.0) |
| Export 3 wh | 74,067 | 74,067 | 51,511 | 61,468 |  | 43.8 | 20.5 |
| 3 wheelers | 119,124 | 119,124 | 96,348 | 121,547 |  | 23.6 | (2.0) |
| Total volumes | 979,275 | 979,275 | 1,078,971 | 981,242 |  | (9.2) | (0.2) |
| Volume mix (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economy | 13.6 | 13.6 | 13.9 | 9.5 |  |  |  |
| Executive | 29.6 | 29.6 | 27.7 | 30.6 |  |  |  |
| Premium | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.7 | 16.6 |  |  |  |
| Domestic motorcycle | 58.4 | 58.4 | 57.3 | 56.7 |  |  |  |
| Export motorcycle | 29.5 | 29.5 | 33.7 | 30.9 |  |  |  |
| Total motorcycle | 87.8 | 87.8 | 91.1 | 87.6 |  |  |  |
| Domestic 3 wheelers | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 6.1 |  |  |  |
| Export 3 wh | 7.6 | 7.6 | 4.8 | 6.3 |  |  |  |
| 3 wheelers | 12.2 | 12.2 | 8.9 | 12.4 |  |  |  |
| Total volumes | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

[^11]- Increase in net realizations. Bajaj Auto's net realizations increased 3.6\% qoq and $12.4 \%$ yoy, reflecting (1) a weaker Rupee, which boosted export realizations, (2) a superior export product mix and (3) a price hike taken in the domestic market in April 2013 ( $\sim 1.5 \%)$. We believe export realizations increased by $\sim 26 \%$ yoy due to a weaker Rupee ( $10 \%$ depreciation of the Rupee versus the US Dollar) and a superior product mix (higher share of three-wheelers in the mix). Domestic net realizations improved by $5 \%$ yoy, driven by a higher share of Discover bikes in the mix and a slightly higher share of three-wheeler volumes.
- Higher gross margin. Gross margin improved by 270 bps yoy and 250 bps qoq, driven by improvement in the domestic and export businesses.
- Increase in EBITDA margin. Reported EBITDA margin increased by ~80 bps qoq, reflecting sharp improvement in gross margin by $\sim 250$ bps, which was partially offset by ~140 bps increase other expenses due to Rs960 mn MTM loss on range forward option contracts. Adjusting for the MTM loss, which is notional and would be reversed over the tenure of the contract, EBITDA margin was 20.4\% (versus 17.6\% in 4QFY13 and 17.9\% in 1QFY13).
- Higher staff costs. Staff costs increased by $10.2 \%$ qoq and $14.5 \%$ yoy to Rs1,837 $m n$ in 4QFY13, in line with our estimates.
- Higher other expenses due to notional MTM loss. Bajaj Auto reported higher other expenses of Rs4,141 mn in 1QFY14 versus Rs3,344 mn in 4QFY13 and Rs3,255 mn in 1QFY13. We note that the company booked an MTM loss of Rs960 mn on range forward option contracts during the quarter versus an MTM gain of Rs330 mn in 1QFY13. The loss is notional in nature and would be reversed over the tenure of the contract. The company reported lower other income of Rs1,756 mn versus Rs $2,436 \mathrm{mn}$ in 4QFY13 and 1,820 mn in 1QFY13. Company received dividend income of Rs270 mn from KTM. Bajaj holds $48 \%$ stake in KTM.
- Lower depreciation expense, higher tax rate qoq. The company reported sequentially lower depreciation expenses of Rs444 mn versus Rs466 mn in 4QFY13 (-4.8\%). Tax rate was higher at $28.9 \%$ versus $25.9 \%$ in 4QFY13, in line with our estimates.

Domestic motorcycle segment affected by weak market and strike at Chakan
The company's domestic motorcycle sales increased marginally by $2.8 \%$ qoq and declined by $7.6 \%$ yoy, driven by weak market conditions and loss of market share (see Exhibit 2). We highlight that Bajaj Auto lost 20,000 units of Pulsar due to a strike at its Chakan plant in 1QFY14. Production has normalized to the extent of $90 \%$ of current demand for Pulsar. We expect the launch of the new Discover models and normalization of Pulsar production will help Bajaj Auto to regain market share.

Exhibit 2: Competition is eating into Bajaj Auto's market share
Quarterly market share trend in the domestic motorcycle segment, 2QFY11-1QFY14 (\%)

|  | Sep-10 | Dec-10 | Mar-11 | Jun-11 | Sep-11 | Dec-11 | Mar-12 | Jun-12 | Sep-12 | Dec-12 | Mar-13 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jconomy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajaj Auto | $\mathbf{2 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 2}$ |
| Hero motocorp | 47.3 | 47.2 | 46.6 | 44.7 | 43.0 | 45.6 | 45.4 | 48.7 | 53.1 | 53.0 | 59.0 |
| Executive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajaj Auto | $\mathbf{2 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 7}$ |
| Hero motocorp | 64.2 | 68.1 | 67.5 | 67.7 | 68.0 | 69.6 | 69.8 | 68.8 | 59.3 | 60.5 | 61.7 |
| HMSI | 9.0 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 9.7 | 11.2 | 12.1 | 16.4 | 14.7 | 15.9 |
| Premium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.7 |  |  |
| Bajaj Auto | $\mathbf{5 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 3}$ |
| Hero motocorp | 18.6 | 19.9 | 23.9 | 21.5 | 20.6 | 16.8 | 19.0 | 15.9 | 8.9 | 18.8 | 19.5 |
| HMSI | 7.6 | 7.7 | 6.5 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 9.6 | 13.9 | 16.6 | 13.0 | 14.1 |
| Yamaha | 10.1 | 12.7 | 12.2 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 16.6 | 14.7 | 13.2 | 16.9 | 12.8 | 13.1 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.1 |  |  |
| Bajaj Auto | $\mathbf{2 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 0}$ |  |
| Hero motocorp | 52.9 | 55.5 | 55.9 | 56.5 | 55.0 | 56.2 | 56.2 | 56.5 | 50.0 | 51.9 | 54.9 |
| HMSI | 7.3 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 10.0 | 13.1 | 11.5 | 12.7 |

Source: CRISIL, Kotak Institutional Equities

Motorcycle export volumes declined by a sharp 21\% yoy and 5\% qoq, driven by a ban on motorcycle taxis in big Nigerian cities and weak Latin American markets. The company said it grew sales volumes in Kenya and Uganda and sales in Sri Lanka were stable. The company expects to improve market share in Africa as it penetrates North Nigeria and other countries.

Domestic three-wheeler volumes flat, export volumes rise sharply
Domestic three-wheeler volumes were flat yoy at $\sim 45,000$ units. The company improved market share in the diesel passenger-carrier segment to $32 \%$ (from $31 \%$ in 4QFY13) in 1QFY14. In the LPG, CNG and gasoline three-wheeler segment, Bajaj Auto maintained its market share at $87 \%$ in 1QFY14 versus the preceding quarter. We expect domestic threewheeler volumes to benefit from the issue of new permits by state governments.

Exports volumes in 1QFY14 increased sharply by $\sim 44 \%$ yoy, driven by normalization of sales in Sri Lanka and growth in Bangladesh. The company's guidance indicates 12-15\% yoy growth in export and domestic three-wheeler volumes in FY2014.

Exhibit 3: We expect Bajaj Auto's volumes to rise by ~6\% yoy in FY2014
Volume assumptions, March fiscal year-ends, 2010-16E (mn units)

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales volume (units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motorcycles | 2,506,845 | 3,387,043 | 3,834,405 | 3,757,105 | 3,982,234 | 4,390,215 | 4,891,384 |
| Domestic | 1,781,748 | 2,414,606 | 2,566,757 | 2,463,874 | 2,559,680 | 2,754,278 | 2,928,260 |
| Platina | 426,726 | 383,735 | 535,648 | 484,600 | 508,830 | 539,360 | 539,360 |
| Discover | 795,337 | 1,234,788 | 1,315,400 | 1,311,843 | 1,416,790 | 1,530,134 | 1,621,942 |
| Pulsar | 559,685 | 796,083 | 715,709 | 667,431 | 634,059 | 684,784 | 766,958 |
| Exports | 725,097 | 972,437 | 1,267,648 | 1,293,231 | 1,422,554 | 1,635,937 | 1,963,125 |
| Total two-wheelers | 2,511,696 | 3,387,043 | 3,834,405 | 3,757,105 | 3,982,234 | 4,390,215 | 4,891,384 |
| Domestic three-wheelers | 176,027 | 205,627 | 202,979 | 226,131 | 253,153 | 273,405 | 295,211 |
| Passenger three-wheelers | 164,493 | 201,270 | 195,141 | 223,287 | 250,081 | 270,088 | 291,695 |
| Goods three-wheelers | 11,534 | 4,357 | 7,838 | 2,844 | 3,072 | 3,317 | 3,516 |
| Exports | 164,909 | 231,281 | 312,176 | 253,926 | 266,622 | 298,617 | 343,410 |
| Total three-wheelers | 340,936 | 436,908 | 515,155 | 480,057 | 519,775 | 572,022 | 638,621 |
| Total vehicles | 2,852,632 | 3,823,951 | 4,349,560 | 4,237,162 | 4,502,009 | 4,962,237 | 5,530,005 |
| Growth (yoy \%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motorcycles | 31.4 | 35.1 | 13.2 | (2.0) | 6.0 | 10.2 | 11.4 |
| Domestic | 39.6 | 35.5 | 6.3 | (4.0) | 3.9 | 7.6 | 6.3 |
| Platina |  | (10.1) | 39.6 | (9.5) | 5.0 | 6.0 | - |
| Discover |  | 55.3 | 6.5 | (0.3) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 |
| Pulsar |  | 42.2 | (10.1) | (6.7) | (5.0) | 8.0 | 12.0 |
| Exports | 14.8 | 34.1 | 30.4 | 2.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 |
| Total two-wheelers | 30.8 | 34.9 | 13.2 | (2.0) | 6.0 | 10.2 | 11.4 |
| Domestic three-wheelers | 29.9 | 16.8 | (1.3) | 11.4 | 11.9 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Passenger three-wheelers | 31.3 | 22.4 | (3.0) | 14.4 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Goods three-wheelers | 13.1 | (62.2) | 79.9 | (63.7) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 |
| Exports | 18.6 | 40.2 | 35.0 | (18.7) | 5.0 | 12.0 | 15.0 |
| Total three-wheelers | 24.2 | 28.1 | 17.9 | (6.8) | 8.3 | 10.1 | 11.6 |
| Total vehicles | 30.0 | 34.0 | 13.7 | (2.6) | 6.3 | 10.2 | 11.4 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 4: We expect earnings to post 16\% CAGR over FY2014-16
Bajaj Auto profit and loss, balance sheet and cash-flow statement, March fiscal year-ends, 2010-16E (Rs mn)

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 115,085 | 158,968 | 188,803 | 194,890 | 212,927 | 240,338 | 274,744 |
| EBITDA | 26,175 | 34,138 | 40,284 | 41,064 | 45,059 | 51,661 | 60,171 |
| Other income | 976 | 3,339 | 2,997 | 3,244 | 5,218 | 6,944 | 9,039 |
| Interest | (60) | (17) | (222) | (5) | - | - | - |
| Depreciation | $(1,365)$ | $(1,228)$ | $(1,456)$ | $(1,640)$ | $(1,750)$ | $(1,851)$ | $(1,899)$ |
| Profit before tax | 25,726 | 36,232 | 41,602 | 42,662 | 48,527 | 56,755 | 67,311 |
| Extra-ordinary items | $(1,624)$ | 7,246 | $(1,340)$ | - | - | - | - |
| Taxes | $(7,075)$ | $(10,080)$ | $(10,221)$ | $(12,227)$ | $(14,073)$ | $(16,459)$ | $(19,520)$ |
| Net profit | 17,027 | 33,397 | 30,041 | 30,436 | 34,454 | 40,296 | 47,791 |
| Adjusted net profit | 18,171 | 26,152 | 30,041 | 30,436 | 34,454 | 40,296 | 47,791 |
| Adjusted earnings per share (Rs) | 62.8 | 90.4 | 103.8 | 105.2 | 119.1 | 139.2 | 165.1 |
| Balance sheet (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity | 29,283 | 49,102 | 60,411 | 79,020 | 97,350 | 118,788 | 144,214 |
| Deferred tax liability | 17 | 297 | 484 | 1,151 | 1,151 | 1,151 | 1,151 |
| Total borrowings | 13,386 | 4,855 | 2,546 | 1,934 | 1,934 | 1,933 | 1,933 |
| Current liabilities | 28,579 | 38,222 | 47,370 | 42,682 | 42,377 | 47,308 | 53,487 |
| Total liabilities | 71,265 | 92,476 | 110,811 | 124,786 | 142,811 | 169,180 | 200,785 |
| Net fixed assets | 15,211 | 15,483 | 14,914 | 20,277 | 21,027 | 21,426 | 21,777 |
| Investments | 40,215 | 47,219 | 48,828 | 64,305 | 81,425 | 104,425 | 132,925 |
| Cash | 1,014 | 2,288 | 16,538 | 5,589 | 704 | 1,013 | 452 |
| Other current assets | 14,824 | 27,443 | 30,211 | 33,913 | 38,951 | 41,612 | 44,928 |
| Miscellaneous expenditure | - | 43 | 320 | 703 | 703 | 703 | 703 |
| Total assets | 71,265 | $\mathbf{9 2 , 4 7 6}$ | 110,811 | 124,786 | 142,811 | 169,180 | 200,785 |
| Free cash flow (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating cash flow excl. working capital | 18,874 | 24,352 | 28,802 | 26,557 | 27,592 | 32,399 | 38,543 |
| Working capital changes | 8,498 | $(8,215)$ | 797 | $(5,213)$ | $(5,344)$ | 2,270 | 2,863 |
| Capital expenditure | $(1,078)$ | $(2,006)$ | $(1,090)$ | $(5,082)$ | $(2,500)$ | $(2,250)$ | $(2,250)$ |
| Free cash flow | 26,293 | 14,131 | 28,509 | 16,262 | 19,748 | 32,419 | 39,156 |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 21.9 | 20.5 | 20.3 | 20.1 | 20.3 | 20.7 | 21.0 |
| PAT margin (\%) | 15.8 | 16.5 | 15.9 | 15.6 | 16.2 | 16.8 | 17.4 |
| Book Value (Rs/share) | 101.2 | 169.7 | 208.7 | 273.0 | 336.4 | 410.5 | 498.3 |
| RoAE (\%) | 70.9 | 84.9 | 54.5 | 43.2 | 38.6 | 36.9 | 36.0 |
| RoACE (\%) | 42.5 | 63.6 | 47.5 | 38.6 | 33.7 | 31.8 | 30.7 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

## Metals \& Mining

In line, shutdowns cap benefit of higher mined metal. Hindustan Zinc reported EBITDA of Rs 15.03 bn ( $+5 \%$ yoy, $-29 \%$ qoq), in line with our estimate. Upstream mined metal increased $27 \%$ yoy to 238 kt though full benefit of higher volumes was not realized due to inventory build-up-plant shutdowns impacted downstream metal volumes. Decline in prices hurt silver profitability the most, though higher premiums on zinc and lead cushioned the impact. The company maintained guidance on mined metal production with $15 \%$ growth to 1 mn tons in FY2014. Maintain ADD.

## Company data and valuation summary

Hindustan Zinc

| Stock data |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) | $147-96$ |  |  |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) | 440.9 |  |  |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  |
| Promoters |  | 64.9 |  |
| Flls |  | 1.5 |  |
| MFs |  | 1.2 |  |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M | 12 M |
| Absolute | (6.6) | (5.1) | $(12.3)$ |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | (10.8) | (10.4) | $(24.8)$ |


| Forecasts/Valuations | 2013 | 2014E | 2015 E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EPS (Rs) | 16.4 | 14.7 | 15.3 |
| EPS growth (\%) | 24.2 | $(10.4)$ | 4.1 |
| P/E (X) | 6.4 | 7.1 | 6.8 |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 127.0 | 127.0 | 135.4 |
| Net profits (Rs bn) | 69.2 | 62.0 | 64.5 |
| EBITDA (Rs bn) | 64.8 | 63.3 | 64.8 |
| EV/EBITDA (X) | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.2 |
| ROE (\%) | 23.5 | 17.9 | 16.4 |
| Div. Yield (\%) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |

In-line operational performance, shutdowns put a production cap despite higher mined metal
Hindustan Zinc reported in-line operational performance for 1QFY14 with EBITDA of Rs15.03 bn (+5\% yoy, -29\% qoq). Highlight of the quarter was higher mined metal of 238 kt (+27\% yoy, $9 \%$ qoq)—increase mainly led by Rampura Agucha mine (expected) after mining stabilized at lower stripping ratios. However, full benefit of higher mined metal was not realized as concentrate ended up in inventory due to plant shutdowns at downstream units, i.e. zinc roaster and lead smelter. HZ's concentrate inventory increased by 22 kt during the quarter to 54 kt . Due to smelter shutdowns, refined metal production declined by (1) $4 \%$ qoq to 174 kt (+11\% yoy) of zinc, (2) $6 \%$ qoq to 33 kt (+6\% yoy) of lead and (3) $11 \%$ qoq to 96 tons (net of captive consumption) of silver. Metal sales during 1QFY14 were 171 kt of zinc, 30 kt of lead and 92 tons of silver.

HZ reported net income of Rs16.6 bn (+5\% yoy, -23\% qoq), 16\% ahead of our estimate. Net income beat was due to MTM gains of Rs800 mn (Rs740 mn loss in 4QFY13) on bond portfolio due to decline in interest rates.

Lower prices hurt silver profitability most, premiums strengthen—likely on increased domestic sales
Decline in commodity prices hurt profitability of silver the most with $40 \%$ qoq decline in EBIT to Rs 2.7 bn (- $23 \%$ yoy) on the back of $22 \%$ sequential decline in realizations. However, effective sequential decline in zinc-lead prices by $6-8 \%$ (in Rupee terms) was cushioned by higher premiums. We estimate blended zinc premiums (exports and domestic) at US\$233/ton and blended lead premiums at US\$344/ton—higher than FY2013 premiums of US\$194/ton for zinc and US\$294/ton for lead. Increase in domestic volumes will increase effective premiums due to $5 \%$ duty protection on zinc-lead imports. In FY2013, HZ's export sales of zinc declined to 34\% from 42\% in FY2012.

Delivers strong mined metal production and maintains 15\% guidance for FY2014. Maintain ADD
HZ maintained full-year mined metal guidance of 1 mn tons after strong 1QFY14 performance. Our estimates are based on conservative LME of US\$ $1,875 /$ ton for zinc, US\$23/troy ounce for silver and 927 kt of mined metal. The stock is inexpensive at 3X FY2014E EBITDA. Maintain ADD.
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## Cash reserve increases by Rs9 bn, cash profit at Rs18 bn

HZ's cash reserve increased by Rs9 bn to Rs224 bn at end-1QFY14. The company earned cash profit of Rs 18.4 bn during the quarter. The lower accretion was on account of capex spend of Rs3 bn and dividend payout of Rs7 bn.

## Other points

- Company did not sell any zinc concentrate against 61 kt sold in 4QFY13.
- Zinc cost of production (COP) was reported at Rs46,800/ton (US\$836/ton) on ex-royalty basis. COP increased by $2 \%$ yoy due to lower acid realizations and higher mining costs. Coal costs were lower during the quarter.
- Other than MTM gains, company earned $9.5 \%$ yield on its investments.
- Effective tax rate for 1QFY14 was 13.9\% compared to $11.8 \%$ for FY2013.

Our estimates are based on conservative volume and price assumptions
Our estimates are based on conservative volume assumptions. We model mined metal at 927 kt for FY2014 and 947 kt for FY2015—lower than management guidance of 1 mn tons (FY2014). Note that our estimate for FY2014 is based on total ore production of 9.6 mn tons (+12\% yoy) and mined metal recovery rates of $89 \%$ for zinc ( $88.9 \%$ in FY2013) and $68.5 \%$ for lead ( $66.2 \%$ for FY2013). We have assumed marginal improvement in mine grades of zinc/lead over FY2013. Our ore production estimate includes (1) 6 mn tons ( $-3 \%$ yoy) from Rampura Agucha, (2) 810 kt (+44\% yoy) at Rajpura Dariba, (3) 1.8 mn tons (+14\% yoy) from SK mine, (4) ~1 mn ton (against 277 kt in FY2013) from Zawar mines after mining resumes at three non-operational mines and (5) small quantity of 40 kt from Kayar mines. We believe improvement in mined ore grades or recovery rates (above historical performance) can drive the volume beat above our estimates.

Our estimates are based on conservative base metal price assumptions of US $\$ 1,875 /$ ton for zinc, US $\$ 2,050 /$ ton for lead and US $\$ 23 /$ troy ounce for silver.

Exhibit 1: Interim results of Hindustan Zinc, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 10FY14 | 1QFY14E | 1QFY13 | 4QFY13 | (\% chg.) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1QFY14E | 1QFY13 | 4QFY13 |
| Net sales | 29,842 | 29,519 | 27,477 | 39,087 | 1.1 | 8.6 | (23.7) |
| Total expenditure | $(14,811)$ | $(14,796)$ | $(13,192)$ | $(17,927)$ | 0.1 | 12.3 | (17.4) |
| Inc/(Dec) in stock | 2,085 | 1,023 | (81) | $(1,220)$ |  |  |  |
| Raw materials | $(11,068)$ | $(10,666)$ | $(8,546)$ | $(10,457)$ | 3.8 | 29.5 | 5.8 |
| Staff cost | $(1,781)$ | $(1,674)$ | $(1,492)$ | $(1,768)$ | 6.4 | 19.4 | 0.7 |
| Other expenditure | $(4,047)$ | $(3,480)$ | $(3,073)$ | $(4,481)$ | 16.3 | 31.7 | (9.7) |
| EBITDA | 15,031 | 14,723 | 14,286 | 21,160 | 2.1 | 5.2 | (29.0) |
| OPM (\%) | 50.4 | 49.9 | 52.0 | 54.1 |  |  |  |
| Other income | 6,203 | 4,511 | 5,743 | 4,118 | 37.5 | 8.0 | 50.6 |
| Interest | (109) | (109) | (129) | (108) |  | (15.1) | 1.0 |
| Depreciation | $(1,843)$ | $(1,666)$ | $(1,734)$ | $(1,219)$ | 10.6 | 6.3 | 51.2 |
| Pretax profits | 19,281 | 17,458 | 18,166 | 23,951 | 10.4 | 6.1 | (19.5) |
| Extraordinaries | (5) | - | - | (175) |  |  |  |
| Tax | $(2,671)$ | $(3,142)$ | $(2,353)$ | $(2,117)$ | (15.0) | 13.5 | 26.1 |
| Deferred taxation | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Net income | 16,605 | 14,315 | 15,813 | 21,658 | 16.0 | 5.0 | (23.3) |
| Adjusted profits | 16,605 | 14,315 | 15,813 | 21,658 | 16.0 | 5.0 | (23.3) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 50.4 | 49.9 | 52.0 | 54.1 |  |  |  |
| ETR (\%) | 13.9 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 8.9 |  |  |  |
| EPS (Rs) | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 5.1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zinc (tons) | 171,000 | 177,912 | 160,000 | 182,000 | (3.9) | 6.9 | (6.0) |
| Lead (tons) | 30,000 | 30,653 | 29,000 | 33,000 | (2.1) | 3.4 | (9.1) |
| Silver -saleable (kgs) | 92,000 | 85,500 | 73,357 | 107,774 | 7.6 | 25.4 | (14.6) |
| Zinc concentrate (tons) | - | - | - | 61,000 |  |  |  |
| Average realizations (Rs/ton) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zinc | 116,140 | 114,270 | 113,500 | 109,259 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 6.3 |
| Lead | 134,000 | 127,085 | 117,931 | 134,242 | 5.4 | 13.6 | (0.2) |
| Silver | 44,348 | 45,249 | 52,892 | 56,693 | (2.0) | (16.2) | (21.8) |
| Segment revenues (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zinc and lead | 24,660 |  | 22,532 | 32,088 |  | 9.4 | (23.1) |
| Silver | 4,080 |  | 3,882 | 6,110 |  | 5.1 | (33.2) |
| Others | 654 |  | 712 | 305 |  | (8.3) | 114.6 |
| Total | 29,394 |  | 27,127 | 38,503 |  | 8.4 | (23.7) |
| Segmental EBIT (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zinc and lead | 10,213 |  | 8,627 | 15,592 |  | 18.4 | (34.5) |
| Silver | 2,718 |  | 3,510 | 4,549 |  | (22.6) | (40.2) |
| Others | 285 |  | 390 | (84) |  | (26.8) | (440.0) |
| Total | 13,217 |  | 12,527 | 20,057 |  | 5.5 | (34.1) |

Notes:
(1) Other income was higher due to MTM gains of Rs800 mn on bond portfolio due to decline in interest rates.

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 2: Hindustan Zinc, key assumptions, March fiscal-year ends, 2011-16E (Rs mn)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 E}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volumes (tons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zinc | 512,603 | 758,499 | 674,958 | 757,160 | 769,505 | 781,850 |
| Lead | 147 | 207 | 374 | 338 | 366 | 414 |
| Silver |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average realizations (Rs/ton) | 106,469 | 108,481 | 114,664 | 116,629 | 121,504 | 125,867 |
| Zinc | 114,877 | 120,722 | 130,976 | 129,280 | 134,173 | 134,610 |
| Lead | 37 | 55 | 56 | 42 | 45 | 47 |
| Silver (Rs mn/ton) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LME-assumptions (US\$/ton) | 2,186 | 2,098 | 1,948 | 1,875 | 1,925 | 2,050 |
| Zinc | 2,244 | 2,269 | 2,113 | 2,050 | 2,100 | 2,150 |
| Lead | 23.9 | 35.4 | 30.5 | 23.5 | 25.1 | 26.5 |
| Silver (US\$/ozt) | 1,250 | 1,308 | 1,425 | 1,255 | 1,366 | 1,482 |
| Cost per ton (US\$/ton) |  |  |  |  | 123,950 | 125,800 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 3: Hindustan Zinc, valuation details, FY2014E basis

|  | $\frac{\text { EBITDA }}{(\text { Rs } b n)}$ | Multiple$(X)$ | Enterprise Value |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (Rs bn) | (US\$ mn) | (Rs/ share) |
| FY2014E EBITDA | 63 | 6 | 380 | 6,601 | 90 |
| Less: Net debt |  |  | (253) | $(4,398)$ | (60) |
| Arrived market capitalization |  |  | 633 | 10,999 | 150 |
| Target price (Rs/share) |  |  |  |  | 150 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 4: Hindustan Zinc, profit model, balance sheet and cash flow model, March fiscal year-ends, 2010-16E (Rs mn)

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 80,170 | 99,121 | 114,053 | 126,998 | 126,992 | 135,376 | 144,864 |
| EBITDA | 46,701 | 54,956 | 60,695 | 64,816 | 63,324 | 64,749 | 65,523 |
| Other income | 7,222 | 9,792 | 15,428 | 20,321 | 19,598 | 22,258 | 23,957 |
| Interest | (439) | (194) | (140) | (291) | (100) | (50) | (25) |
| Depreciaiton | $(3,343)$ | $(4,747)$ | $(6,107)$ | $(6,470)$ | $(7,214)$ | $(8,247)$ | $(9,140)$ |
| Profit before tax | 50,141 | 59,596 | 69,445 | 78,200 | 75,608 | 78,711 | 80,316 |
| Current tax | $(8,309)$ | $(8,255)$ | $(12,547)$ | $(7,551)$ | $(11,623)$ | $(11,784)$ | $(11,596)$ |
| Deferred tax | $(1,418)$ | $(2,336)$ | $(1,638)$ | $(1,656)$ | $(1,987)$ | $(2,384)$ | $(2,861)$ |
| Net profit | 40,414 | 49,005 | 55,260 | 68,994 | 61,998 | 64,543 | 65,859 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 9.6 | 11.6 | 13.1 | 16.3 | 14.7 | 15.3 | 15.6 |
| Balance sheet (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity | 181,240 | 225,332 | 268,813 | 322,757 | 369,431 | 418,648 | 469,182 |
| Deferred tax liability | 7,112 | 9,447 | 11,088 | 12,799 | 14,785 | 17,169 | 20,030 |
| Total Borrowings | 605 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Current liabilities | 13,258 | 15,747 | 14,326 | 19,094 | 19,123 | 19,074 | 19,412 |
| Total liabilities | 202,215 | 250,530 | 294,230 | 354,654 | 403,343 | 454,895 | 508,628 |
| Net fixed assets | 72,771 | 81,294 | 89,578 | 95,656 | 105,832 | 115,022 | 123,133 |
| Investments | 109,492 | 93,346 | 126,949 | 145,399 | 175,399 | 205,399 | 235,399 |
| Cash | 9,275 | 56,329 | 52,553 | 69,421 | 77,765 | 90,229 | 104,786 |
| Other current assets | 10,677 | 19,560 | 25,151 | 44,178 | 44,347 | 44,245 | 45,311 |
| Miscellaneous expenditure | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total assets | 202,215 | 250,530 | 294,230 | 354,654 | 403,343 | 454,895 | 508,628 |
| Free cash flow (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating cash flow (excl. work cap.) | 45,555 | 44,835 | 45,529 | 49,345 | 71,199 | 75,174 | 77,860 |
| Working capital changes | 767 | $(2,116)$ | (609) | $(1,829)$ | (140) | 53 | (728) |
| Capital expenditure | $(22,492)$ | $(14,457)$ | $(16,677)$ | $(17,526)$ | $(17,390)$ | $(17,438)$ | $(17,250)$ |
| Free cash flow | 23,831 | 28,262 | 28,243 | 29,990 | 53,669 | 57,789 | 59,882 |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt/equity (X) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Net debt/equity (X) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.6) | (0.7) | (0.7) | (0.7) |
| RoAE (\%) | 24.9 | 24.1 | 22.4 | 23.3 | 17.9 | 16.4 | 14.8 |
| RoACE (\%) | 25.1 | 24.3 | 22.6 | 23.5 | 17.9 | 16.4 | 14.8 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

## Banks/Financial Institutions

Keep the faith; business stabilizing. Despite disappointing earnings, led by one large specific asset provision, Federal Bank is witnessing a broad stability in performance. NIM appears to have bottomed while growth and NPLs are steadily improving across noncorporate segments. Key concerns like high cost structure and slow liability share improvement will persist. Post the recent correction, the stock is trading at inexpensive multiples at $\sim 1 \mathrm{X}$ book. Maintain BUY.

Company data and valuation summary
Federal Bank

| Stock data |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) | $571-362$ |  |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) | 65.3 |  |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |
| Promoters |  | 0.0 |
| FIls |  | 47.9 |
| MFs |  | 16.0 |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M |
| Absolute | (8.1) | $(17.6)$ |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | $(14.4)$ | $(21.6)$ |


| Forecasts/Valuations | 2013 | 2014 E | 2015 E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EPS (Rs) | 49.0 | 44.0 | 56.6 |
| EPS growth (\%) | 7.9 | $(10.3)$ | 28.7 |
| P/E (X) | 7.8 | 8.7 | 6.8 |
| NII (Rs bn) | 19.7 | 22.0 | 26.1 |
| Net profits (Rs bn) | 8.4 | 7.5 | 9.7 |
| BVPS | 355.7 | 395.5 | 437.3 |
| P/B (X) | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| ROE (\%) | 13.9 | 11.3 | 13.2 |
| Div. Yield (\%) | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.7 |

Performance on key metrics stable; share of low-cost deposits driven by non-resident deposits
Earnings declined 46\% yoy led by weak NII growth and high loan-loss provisions. NII increased 4\% yoy on the back of subdued loan growth ( $9 \%$ yoy, $6 \%$ qoq decline) but NIM has improved marginally ( 6 bps qoq) driven by high share of low-cost deposits. CASA ratio improved 200 bps qoq to $29 \%$ on the back of impressive growth in NRE deposits ( $51 \%$ yoy). High treasury gains (2.4X yoy) cushioned the weak revenue growth to some extent. Asset quality performance was patchy (fresh slippages were at $2.8 \%$ ) as a large corporate account slipped into NPL, even as SME and retail loans held well. Credit costs were high at $2.2 \%$ on the back of high write-offs and full provisioning made on the Government account that had slipped earlier. Cost-income ratio remained high at $45 \%$ as revenue drivers reported a weak performance.

High costs (operating and credit) while revenue drivers slow down is our key concern
We note that the bank has a few key challenges ahead: (1) Cost ratios (45\%) have expanded in recent years ( $\sim 20 \%$ CAGR in operating expenses since FY 2011 ) led by investments in branch network ( $20 \%$ CAGR). The pay-offs appear to be slower than expected: customer acquisition details appear strong but growth in balances appears to be a lot lower, (2) pushing the slow revenue growth through better NIM and fee income. Loan growth can be a challenge as all banks are revisiting their gold-loan portfolio post the recent decline and (3) credit costs continue to be high led by volatile performance of slippages from the corporate portfolio.

Retain BUY on inexpensive valuations, though challenges persist
We cut earnings by $11 \%$ for FY2014E to factor high credit costs and subdued net interest income growth. However, we maintain our BUY rating and target price of ₹530 (unchanged) on inexpensive valuations post the recent correction, healthy tier- 1 ratio at $14 \%$ and likely improvement of key business metrics from here. Among all regional banks, we like Federal Bank for its strong regional franchise, which enables the bank to directly benefit from the strong NRI deposits flowing into the country. We are valuing the bank at 1.3X book and 11X EPS.
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## QUICK NUMBERS

- Earnings declined $46 \%$ yoy due to a specific provision
- Gross NPLs at 3.4\%; loan-loss provisions at $2.2 \%$
- Maintain BUY, TP of ₹530 (unchanged)
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Slippages and credit costs remain at elevated levels
Slippages remained high at 2.8\% led by higher slippages from the corporate loan portfolio ( $1.1 \%$ ) while retail and SME continue to hold up well. One large corporate account in the pharmaceutical sector, $>0.9 \%$ of total loans, slipped in the current quarter. Slippages in SME portfolio declined to $0.5 \%$ of loans, which is impressive. However, gross NPLs declined 5\% qoq on an absolute basis as another large corporate account was written off in the current quarter ( $2.7 \%$ annualized write-offs). Gross NPLs increased to $3.5 \%$ of loans from $3.4 \%$ in March 2013 on the back of a sequential decline in advances.

Credit costs were higher at $2.2 \%$ of loans, primarily driven by $100 \%$ provisioning made for the Government account that had slipped in an earlier quarter and high write-offs. Provision coverage ratio, including technical write-offs, increased to $83 \%$ from $81 \%$ in the previous quarter. Fresh restructuring of loans was low at $0.7 \%$ of loans (annualized).

We maintain our cautious outlook on slippages and credit costs as the corporate loan portfolio has yet to stabilize despite the current management focusing on this portfolio for $>2$ years. We are factoring overall slippages at 2.7-2.9\% for FY2014-15E and credit costs higher at 1.1\%.

Exhibit 1: Slippages remain high in the corporate portfolio
Sectoral break-up of slippages, 1QFY12-1QFY14 (₹ mn)

|  | 1QFY12 | 2QFY12 | 3QFY12 | 4QFY12 | 1QFY13 | 2QFY13 | 3QFY13 | 4QFY13 | 1QFY14 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retail | 1,420 | 640 | 460 | 430 | 568 | 420 | 640 | 360 | 520 |
| SME | 1,420 | 1,220 | 900 | 950 | 972 | 1,050 | 720 | 1,190 | 710 |
| Corporate | 410 | 1,110 | 190 | 1,320 | 1,351 | - | 2,860 | 2,020 | 1,810 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 4 0}$ |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 2: Coverage ratio improved in the current quarter March fiscal year-ends, 1QFY11-1QFY14 (\%)


[^12]Exhibit 3: Loan-loss provisioning at peak levels
March fiscal year-ends, 1QFY11-1QFY14 (\%)


Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

## SME and retail-led loan growth

Loans grew 9\% yoy (6\% qoq) led by strong growth in SME (20\% yoy) and retail portfolios ( $21 \%$ yoy) while corporate advances declined $13 \%$ yoy. All segments of loans reported a sequential decline barring SME loans, which grew a tad $2 \%$ qoq. Retail loans remained almost flat qoq, as even the gold-loan segment reported a $6 \%$ sequential decline, which we believe is due to cautious stance of the management post the sharp decline in gold prices (reduction in LTVs).

Share of corporate loans declined to $36 \%$ from $41 \%$ as of March 2013, as there was a sharp rise in the balance sheet in the end of FY2013 resulting from disbursal of short-term loans. We are building overall loan growth at 15-16\% CAGR for FY2014-15E with expectation that the bank should see higher focus in retail and SME portfolios.

Growth in the gold-loan portfolio was the slowest in recent quarters as the bank appears to have focused on the NPL/pricing volatility issues. Discussions with the management indicate that there are no serious impact that is likely to emerge in the short term, but the bank is actively working with its borrowers where the value of collateral has fallen sharply as compared to the loan.

Exhibit 4: Contribution of retail and SME loans has increased in the recent quarters Break-up of loans, March fiscal year-ends, 2008-1QFY14 (\%)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 1QFY14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retail | 29.7 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 29.5 | 28.1 | 30.2 | 32.0 |
| Housing | 16.0 | 18.9 | 17.9 | 17.4 | 14.9 | 11.6 | 12.6 |
| Gold loans |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 6.9 | 9.7 | 9.7 |
| Others | 13.6 | 13.1 | 10.9 | 8.9 | 6.3 | 9.0 | 9.7 |
| SME | 36.8 | 32.9 | 31.5 | 29.9 | 27.0 | 29.1 | 31.7 |
| Others | 33.6 | 35.2 | 36.5 | 40.5 | 44.9 | 40.7 | 36.3 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

## NIM improves marginally; high share of low-cost deposits

NIM improved marginally by 6 bps qoq on the back of run-down of the low-yielding corporate loans and lower costs of funds, resulting from higher share of low-cost deposits. We note that the yields had declined significantly, almost $\sim 100$ bps in 4QFY13 as the bank expanded the balance sheet on low-yielding, short-term corporate loans.

Deposits grew $13 \%$ yoy led by $14 \%$ yoy growth in CASA deposits. CASA ratio improved 200 bps qoq to $29 \%$ on the back of increase in NRE saving deposits in the quarter. Overall saving deposits grew $8 \%$ qoq, $\sim 95 \%$ of which was led by growth in NRE saving deposits in the quarter. We expect a further improvement in share of low-cost deposits as the strong expansion in branches has significantly increased new account mobilization, but is yet to translate into faster-than-balance-sheet growth in deposits.

We believe that NIM has scope for improvement as the improvement in funding costs should start reflecting from the current quarter while the full impact of the NRI deposit re-pricing appears to have been completed.

Exhibit 5: NRI deposits led growth in total deposits
March fiscal year-ends, 2012-1 QFY14

| Total deposits | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 1QFY14 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NRI deposits | 489 | 576 | 570 |
| (\% of total deposits) | 112 | 153 | 170 |
| NRE deposits | 22.9 | 26.5 | 29.9 |
| (\% of total deposits) | 80 | 132 | 151 |
|  | 16.3 | 22.8 | 26.5 |
| Savings deposits |  | 138 |  |
| NRI | 127 | 58 |  |
| (\% of saving deposits) | 55 | 42.3 |  |
| NRE savings | 43.3 | 58 |  |
| (\% of saving deposits) | 48 | 42.3 |  |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Other operational highlights for the quarter

- Non-interest income, 74\% yoy, was led by high treasury gains in the current quarter ( $240 \%$ yoy). Core fee income reported a weak performance, increased only $1 \%$ yoy. Income from forex increased $11 \%$ yoy and $41 \%$ from recovery of written-off accounts.
- Cost-income ratio remained high at $45 \%$ though cost growth has slowed, led by weak revenue profile. The low cost-income ratio ( $\sim 40 \%$ ) that Federal Bank had witnessed between FY2007 and FY2012 has been replaced by an expensive model, but with weak returns. We are a bit unsure on the expected time-frame on these investments and would be wary on further investments from here.
- Tier-1 stands comfortable at $13.6 \%$ as per Basel-3 norms, with overall capital adequacy at 14.3\%.

Exhibit 6: Cost-to-income ratio has increased in recent quarters
Cost-to-income ratio, March fiscal year-ends, 1QFY08-1QFY4 (\%)


Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 7: We marginally change our near-term earnings estimates
Old and new estimates, March fiscal year-ends, 2014-16E (₹ mn)

|  | New estimates |  |  | Old estimates |  |  | \% change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| Net interest income | 22,017 | 26,122 | 31,412 | 23,111 | 27,041 | 32,057 | (4.7) | (3.4) | (2.0) |
| NIM (\%) | 2.98 | 3.02 | 3.08 | 3.13 | 3.13 | 3.16 |  |  |  |
| Loan growth (\%) | 15.9 | 15.5 | 15.1 | 15.9 | 15.5 | 15.1 |  |  |  |
| Loan loss provisions | 5,237 | 5,508 | 5,716 | 4,999 | 6,059 | 6,986 | 4.8 | (9.1) | (18.2) |
| Other income | 8,007 | 9,612 | 10,523 | 8,008 | 9,616 | 10,530 | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.1) |
| Treasury income | 2,400 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,400 | 2,700 | 2,700 | - | - | - |
| Operating expenses | 13,650 | 15,993 | 18,667 | 13,655 | 16,007 | 18,704 | (0.0) | (0.1) | (0.2) |
| Employee expenses | 7,284 | 8,502 | 9,878 | 7,300 | 8,503 | 9,900 | (0.2) | (0.0) | (0.2) |
| Net profit | 7,520 | 9,679 | 11,992 | 8,444 | 9,925 | 11,533 | (10.9) | (2.5) | 4.0 |
| PBT-treasury+provisions | 13,974 | 17,042 | 20,568 | 15,065 | 17,949 | 21,183 | (7.2) | (5.1) | (2.9) |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 8: Federal Bank: Rolling PER and PBR (X) July 2006-July 2013 (X)


[^13]Exhibit 9: Federal Bank trading premium to peers July 2006-July 2013 (X)


[^14]Exhibit 10: Federal Bank quarterly results
March fiscal year-ends, 1QFY13-1QFY14 (' mn)


Source: Kotak Institutional Equities, Company

Exhibit 11: Federal Bank growth rates and key ratios
March fiscal year-ends, 2011-16E (\%)

|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth rates (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net loan | 18.6 | 18.2 | 16.8 | 15.9 | 15.5 | 15.1 |
| Customer assets | 18.0 | 18.9 | 16.0 | 15.5 | 15.1 | 14.9 |
| Investments excluding CPs and debentures | 8.0 | 17.1 | 25.7 | 23.4 | 30.8 | 28.9 |
| Net fixed and leased assets | 0.0 | 12.5 | 21.9 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 7.8 |
| Cash and bank balance | 37.6 | (5.8) | 5.3 | 14.9 | 12.7 | 13.0 |
| Total assets | 17.8 | 17.8 | 17.2 | 15.6 | 17.3 | 17.3 |
| Deposits | 19.3 | 13.8 | 17.7 | 17.0 | 18.7 | 18.3 |
| Current | 31.4 | 5.8 | 14.3 | 17.0 | 18.7 | 18.3 |
| Savings | 20.2 | 19.5 | 16.6 | 18.6 | 19.7 | 19.4 |
| Fixed | 18.2 | 12.7 | 18.3 | 16.5 | 18.4 | 18.0 |
| Net interest income | 23.8 | 11.8 | 1.1 | 11.5 | 18.6 | 20.2 |
| Loan loss provisions | 24.5 | (41.3) | 0.2 | 73.0 | 5.2 | 3.8 |
| Total other income | (2.7) | 3.0 | 24.8 | 20.5 | 20.0 | 9.5 |
| Net fee income | 137.7 | 0.5 | 21.1 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 |
| Net capital gains | (57.5) | 79.4 | 150.7 | 16.6 | 12.5 | - |
| Net exchange gains | 28.3 | 47.1 | (5.7) | 30.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Operating expenses | 23.5 | 17.1 | 20.5 | 15.7 | 17.2 | 16.7 |
| Employee expenses | 31.2 | 13.2 | 15.2 | 16.3 | 16.7 | 16.2 |
| Key ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yield on average earning assets | 8.8 | 10.3 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 8.8 |
| Yield on average loans | 10.8 | 12.0 | 11.3 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 10.1 |
| Yield on average investments | 6.5 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.1 |
| Average cost of funds | 5.6 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Interest on deposits | 5.5 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.3 |
| Difference | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| Net interest income/earning assets | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| New provisions/average net loans | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Interest income/total income | 77.2 | 78.6 | 74.8 | 73.3 | 73.1 | 74.9 |
| Fee income to total income | 11.1 | 10.1 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 13.8 |
| Fees income to PBT | 27.7 | 21.5 | 25.5 | 33.3 | 32.1 | 33.7 |
| Net trading income to PBT | 3.8 | 4.0 | 20.7 | 21.8 | 19.1 | 15.4 |
| Exchange income to PBT | 6.3 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 9.4 |
| Operating expenses/total income | 36.9 | 39.4 | 44.7 | 45.5 | 44.8 | 44.5 |
| Operating expenses/assets | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Operating profit /AWF | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Tax rate | 34.9 | 33.6 | 29.8 | 30.3 | 30.3 | 30.3 |
| Dividend payout ratio | 24.8 | 19.8 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 |
| Share of deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current | 5.6 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Fixed | 73.1 | 72.5 | 72.8 | 72.5 | 72.3 | 72.1 |
| Savings | 21.3 | 22.3 | 22.1 | 22.4 | 22.6 | 22.8 |
| Loans-to-deposit ratio | 74.3 | 77.2 | 76.5 | 75.8 | 73.8 | 71.8 |
| Equity/assets (EOY) | 9.9 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.7 |
| Asset quality trends (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross NPL | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
| Net NPL | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Slippages | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Provision coverage | 83.4 | 84.7 | 72.2 | 82.4 | 79.0 | 72.8 |
| Dupont analysis (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| Loan loss provisions | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Net other income | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Operating expenses | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Invt. depreciation | 0.0 | 0.1 | (0.1) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| (1- tax rate) | 65.1 | 66.4 | 70.2 | 69.7 | 69.7 | 69.7 |
| RoA | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Average assets/average equity | 9.7 | 10.4 | 10.9 | 11.5 | 12.2 | 12.8 |
| RoE | 12.0 | 14.4 | 13.9 | 11.3 | 13.2 | 14.6 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities, Company

Exhibit 12: Federal Bank income statement and balance sheet
March fiscal year-ends, 2011-16E (' mn)

|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest income | 40,520 | 55,584 | 61,676 | 67,947 | 77,630 | 89,685 |
| Loans | 31,688 | 41,898 | 46,357 | 50,318 | 56,596 | 64,238 |
| Investments | 8,680 | 13,157 | 14,646 | 16,945 | 19,801 | 23,542 |
| Cash and deposits | 152 | 529 | 673 | 684 | 1,233 | 1,905 |
| Total interest expense | 23,054 | 36,050 | 41,929 | 45,930 | 51,508 | 58,273 |
| Deposits from customers | 21,620 | 33,313 | 38,375 | 42,864 | 48,325 | 54,981 |
| Net interest income | 17,466 | 19,534 | 19,747 | 22,017 | 26,122 | 31,412 |
| Loan loss provisions | 5,143 | 3,021 | 3,026 | 5,237 | 5,508 | 5,716 |
| Net interest income (after prov.) | 12,323 | 16,513 | 16,720 | 16,781 | 20,614 | 25,696 |
| Other income | 5,168 | 5,323 | 6,644 | 8,007 | 9,612 | 10,523 |
| Net fee income | 2,502 | 2,516 | 3,047 | 3,595 | 4,458 | 5,796 |
| Net capital gains | 458 | 821 | 2,058 | 2,400 | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| Net exchange gains | 572 | 842 | 794 | 1,032 | 1,290 | 1,613 |
| Operating expenses | 8,361 | 9,793 | 11,795 | 13,650 | 15,993 | 18,667 |
| Employee expenses | 4,804 | 5,439 | 6,265 | 7,284 | 8,502 | 9,878 |
| Depreciation on investments | 111 | 349 | (415) | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Other Provisions | - | - | 47 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Pretax income | 9,018 | 11,695 | 11,938 | 10,788 | 13,884 | 17,202 |
| Tax provisions | 3,147 | 3,927 | 3,556 | 3,267 | 4,205 | 5,210 |
| Net Profit | 5,871 | 7,768 | 8,382 | 7,520 | 9,679 | 11,992 |
| \% growth | 26.3 | 32.3 | 7.9 | (10.3) | 28.7 | 23.9 |
| PBT - Treasury + Provisions | 13,815 | 14,244 | 12,538 | 13,974 | 17,042 | 20,568 |
| \% growth | 19.4 | 3.1 | (12.0) | 11.5 | 21.9 | 20.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance sheet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and bank balance | 37,483 | 35,326 | 37,200 | 42,750 | 48,180 | 54,464 |
| Cash | 3,327 | 3,958 | 5,289 | 5,341 | 5,608 | 5,889 |
| Balance with RBI | 26,024 | 20,283 | 22,136 | 27,633 | 32,796 | 38,800 |
| Balance with banks | 3,138 | 4,633 | 6,890 | 6,890 | 6,890 | 6,890 |
| Net value of investments | 145,377 | 174,025 | 211,546 | 246,431 | 303,590 | 373,875 |
| Govt. and other securities | 99,645 | 115,312 | 145,751 | 181,438 | 239,318 | 310,252 |
| Shares | 1,681 | 1,907 | 2,564 | 2,564 | 2,564 | 2,564 |
| Debentures and bonds | 6,053 | 9,604 | 8,017 | 7,216 | 6,494 | 5,845 |
| Net loans and advances | 319,532 | 377,560 | 440,967 | 511,158 | 590,411 | 679,790 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed assets | 2,898 | 3,261 | 3,975 | 4,347 | 4,745 | 5,116 |
| Other assets | 9,273 | 16,096 | 16,808 | 16,808 | 16,808 | 16,808 |
| Total assets | 514,564 | 606,268 | 710,496 | 821,494 | 963,734 | 1,130,053 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 430,148 | 489,371 | 576,149 | 673,984 | 799,910 | 946,352 |
| Borrowings and bills payable | 19,127 | 42,616 | 52,172 | 59,369 | 68,005 | 78,368 |
| Other liabilities | 14,203 | 17,218 | 18,528 | 18,528 | 18,528 | 18,528 |
| Total liabilities | 463,477 | 549,204 | 646,849 | 751,881 | 886,443 | 1,043,248 |
| Paid-up capital | 1,710 | 1,710 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 |
| Reserves \& surplus | 49,376 | 55,353 | 61,936 | 67,902 | 75,581 | 85,095 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 51,087 | 57,063 | 63,647 | 69,613 | 77,292 | 86,806 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities, Company

Revenues in line, one-offs aid margins. Hexaware reported qoq revenue growth of $0.9 \%$, in line with expectations. Strong margin beat was aided by one-off provision reversals. Revenue growth guidance for 3QCY13 of 3.5-5.5\% indicates improving growth momentum, but requires sustainability. Currency may aid margin in the near term but it is unlikely to prevail in the long term at current levels, as Hexaware will need to accelerate investments to drive sustainable revenue growth. We maintain our REDUCE rating with an increased target price of Rs110 (from Rs90).

| Company data and valuation summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock data |  |  |  | Forecasts/Valuations | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  |  | 142-72 | EPS (Rs) | 11.2 | 12.7 | 12.7 |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  |  | 31.9 | EPS growth (\%) | 22.8 | 14.0 | (0.6) |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  | P/E (X) | 9.6 | 8.4 | 8.4 |
| Promoters |  |  | 28.0 | Sales (Rs bn) | 19.5 | 22.2 | 25.0 |
| Flls |  |  | 46.2 | Net profits (Rs bn) | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| MFs |  |  | 6.0 | EBITDA (Rs bn) | 4.1 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M | 12M | EV/EBITDA (X) | 7.3 | 5.6 | 5.5 |
| Absolute | 28.9 | 22.1 | (7.0) | ROE (\%) | 29.5 | 29.1 | 25.9 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | 23.1 | 15.2 | (20.3) | Div. Yield (\%) | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.9 |

2QCY13 results - revenue growth as expected, one-offs help strong margin performance
Hexaware's reported revenues of US\$94.8 mn (+0.9\% qoq, $+3.9 \%$ yoy) were in line with our estimates and the company's guidance of 0-2\% growth. Revenue growth guidance of 3.5-5.5\% for 3QCY13 indicates improving momentum as recently signed deals ramp up. Strong EBITDA margin expansion of 440 bps was aided by several non-operational factors - (1) about 150 bps from bonus provision reversal, a one-off, (2) 130 bps from currency depreciation and (3) about 150 bps from non-recurrence of certain one-time costs incurred in 1QCY13. Utilization was up a marginal 30 bps while onsite pricing declined $0.8 \%$. Net income of Rs979 mn (+23.5\% qoq, $+10 \%$ yoy) was $14 \%$ ahead of estimates due to flow-through of beat at the EBITDA level.

Revenue growth still a struggle; further margin pressure ahead
Hexaware's yoy revenue growth has dipped to just 3.9\% from almost $22 \%$ just four quarters ago. This has partly been due to project restructuring issues at the top client. However, dependence on large deal wins for growth and poor account mining of clients below the top-10 clients have also been responsible for declining revenue growth momentum. Strong 3QCY13 guidance indicates improvement on this front, but Hexaware still has a long way to go to catch up with peers on revenue growth. We estimate revenue growth of $7 \%$ in CY2013E and $10.9 \%$ in CY2014E. Despite near-term support from a weak Rupee, margins could come under pressure as the company invests in its sales force and domain capabilities to boost its revenue growth.

Balance between growth, profitability and investments critical. Retain REDUCE
Underinvestment during a phase of high growth and margin expansion have hurt Hexaware's recent performance. Building up pipeline will require fresh investments in service offerings, account management and sales capabilities. In our view, Hexaware will struggle to retain currency windfall benefits due to these investments. We have revised our OPM estimates upwards but forecast just 90 bps OPM expansion in CY2013E despite 6.5\% Rupee depreciation and 250 bps decline in CY2014E. Revised currency and margin assumptions drive a $21 \%$ increase in EPS estimates. Revised target price stands at Rs110 (Rs90 earlier), valuing the stock at 9X 12-month forward earnings. Retain REDUCE.
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## Key 2QCY13 result and earnings call highlights

- Volume growth for the quarter was $1.5 \%$ while impact of decline in onsite realization was 35 bps. Cross-currency movements impacted revenue growth by 35 bps.
- Banking and capital markets vertical had a strong quarter with $4.1 \%$ revenue growth while other key segments of healthcare \& insurance and travel \& transportation had a weak quarter with flat revenues.
- Among geographies, Americas grew 1.3\% while Europe grew 0.9\%.
- Hexaware indicated that it is currently chasing 4 deals over US\$25 mn, with two of those in advanced stages of negotiation. Management expects these deals to close in 2HCY13.
- Regarding its investment plan, Hexaware outlined that it intends to add 8-10 heads to its front-end sales team and 7-8 BFS domain experts in consulting and BI. These will be funded by gains from currency. It will also look to improve its account management structure with greater focus on mining top 21-50 accounts.
- End-period headcount increased to 8,700, a net addition of 30 from 1QCY13. Attrition increased marginally to $11 \%$ from $9.9 \%$ in the previous quarter.
- Utilization was up 30 bps to $70.9 \%$ from $70.6 \%$ in the previous quarter. Onsite billing rates were down $0.8 \%$ due to ramp-up of recently signed deals. Offshore billing rate was flat qoq.
- The DSO days including unbilled revenues were 63 days, down from 71 days in the previous quarter. DSO excluding unbilled revenues also declined to 47 days from 53 days in 1QCY13.
- The total outstanding hedges stood at US\$226 mn at an average USD-INR rate of 56.26 and EUR13 mn at an average EUR-INR rate of 74.14. The hedges mature over the next eight quarters.

Exhibit 1: Key changes in CY2013-14E estimates

|  | New |  | Old |  | Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 |
| Revenues (US\$ mn) | 390 | 432 | 389 | 426 | 0.2 | 1.5 |
| Revenue growth (\%) | 7.0 | 10.9 | 6.8 | 9.3 |  |  |
| EBITDA Margin (\%) | 21.8 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 18.0 |  |  |
| Recurring EPS (Rs/ share) | 12.7 | 12.7 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 21.5 | 21.4 |
| Re/ US\$ rate | 57.0 | 57.8 | 55.3 | 55.9 | 3.0 | 3.4 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 2: Hexaware - consolidated quarterly results, December year-ends, 2QCY13 (Rs mn)

|  | 2QCY12 | 1QCY13 | 2QCY13 | \% chg. |  | Kotak estimates | \% deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | q0q | yoy |  |  |
| Revenue (US\$ mn) | 91.2 | 94.0 | 94.8 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 94.5 | 0.3 |
| Revenues | 5,001 | 5,077 | 5,366 | 5.7 | 7.3 | 5,386 | (0.4) |
| Software Development Costs | $(2,995)$ | $(3,162)$ | $(3,252)$ | 2.8 | 8.6 | $(3,292)$ | (1.2) |
| Gross profit | 2,006 | 1,915 | 2,114 | 10.4 | 5.4 | 2,094 | 0.9 |
| Total SG\&A Expenses | (859) | (936) | (841) | (10.1) | (2.1) | (957) | (12.1) |
| EBITDA | 1,147 | 979 | 1,273 | 30.0 | 11.0 | 1,138 | 11.9 |
| Depreciation | (76) | (93) | (94) | 1.1 | 23.7 | (108) | (13.1) |
| EBIT | 1,071 | 886 | 1,179 | 33.1 | 10 | 1,030 | 14.5 |
| Other Income | 49 | 118 | 62 | (47) | 27 | 83 | (25) |
| Profit Before Tax | 1,120 | 1,004 | 1,241 | 23.6 | 10.8 | 1,112 | 11.6 |
| Provision for Tax | (230) | (211) | (262) | 24.2 | 13.9 | (255) | 2.9 |
| Net Profit | 890 | 793 | 979 | 23.5 | 10.0 | 858 | 14.1 |
| Extraordinary items | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |
| Net Profit- Reported | 890 | 793 | 979 | 23.5 | 10.0 | 858 | 14.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recurring EPS (Rs/share) | 3.0 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 23.5 | 10.0 | 2.9 | 14.1 |
| No of shares outstanding (mn) | 293.4 | 293.4 | 293.4 |  |  | 293.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| As \% of revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Margin (\%) | 40.1 | 37.7 | 39.4 |  |  | 38.9 |  |
| EBITDA Margin | 22.9 | 19.3 | 23.7 |  |  | 21.1 |  |
| SG\&A Expenses (\%) | 17.2 | 18.4 | 15.7 |  |  | 17.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billing Rates (US\$/manhour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onsite | 73.5 | 73.6 | 73.1 | (0.8) | (0.6) |  |  |
| Offshore | 22.9 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 0.1 | 2.4 |  |  |
| Revenue Mix (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onsite | 53.4 | 52.4 | 52.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Offshore | 46.6 | 47.7 | 47.5 |  |  |  |  |

Hexaware has guided revenues for 3QCY13 to be US\$98.1-100 mn, an increase of 3.5-5.5 \% qoq

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 3: Hexaware: Key operational metrics

|  | Jun-11 | Sep-11 | Dec-11 | Mar-12 | Jun-12 | Sep-12 | Dec-12 | Mar-13 | Jun-13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues (US\$ mn) | 74.8 | 78.8 | 84.1 | 88.0 | 91.2 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 94.0 | 94.8 |
| qoq growth (\%) | 6.2 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 1.8 | (0.4) | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| Revenues (Rs mn) | 3,341 | 3,660 | 4,319 | 4,383 | 5,001 | 5,075 | 5,023 | 5,077 | 5,366 |
| Exchange rate | 44.67 | 46.45 | 51.36 | 49.81 | 54.84 | 54.69 | 54.36 | 54.01 | 56.60 |
| Revenue by verticals (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banking \& capital markets | 27.4 | 28.6 | 28.5 | 27.3 | 28.7 | 32.3 | 33.7 | 34.4 | 35.5 |
| Healthcare \& Insurance | 13.0 | 13.6 | 16.4 | 17.3 | 16.3 | 15.2 | 16.3 | 16.0 | 15.9 |
| Travel \& transportation | 24.6 | 23.2 | 20.7 | 22.0 | 19.8 | 20.1 | 20.2 | 19.6 | 19.5 |
| Emerging segments | 35.0 | 34.6 | 34.4 | 33.4 | 35.2 | 32.4 | 29.8 | 30.0 | 29.1 |
| Revenue by service lines (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADM | 39.2 | 40.5 | 39.7 | 38.9 | 38.3 | 38.4 | 35.8 | 37.9 | 38.1 |
| EAS | 31.3 | 30.5 | 29.8 | 28.9 | 32.3 | 30.6 | 30.1 | 29.1 | 29.0 |
| Testing / BTO | 9.4 | 9.2 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 8.9 | 9.8 | 11.6 | 12.0 | 13.0 |
| Business intelligence \& analytics | 9.9 | 9.6 | 10.5 | 12.5 | 10.6 | 11.6 | 12.8 | 11.0 | 10.2 |
| BPO | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Others | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.4 |
| Revenue by geographies (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Americas | 66.4 | 64.7 | 64.4 | 63.8 | 63.3 | 65.1 | 69.2 | 66.8 | 67.1 |
| Europe | 27.2 | 28.4 | 28.7 | 29.6 | 29.2 | 27.4 | 23.2 | 26.3 | 26.3 |
| Rest of the world | 6.4 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 6.6 |
| Onsite-Offshore mix (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onsite | 56.7 | 53.7 | 55.1 | 53.4 | 53.4 | 53.4 | 54.6 | 52.4 | 52.5 |
| Offshore | 43.3 | 46.3 | 44.9 | 46.6 | 46.6 | 46.6 | 45.4 | 47.7 | 47.5 |
| Client metrics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repeat business (\%) | 92.5 | 93.5 | 94.1 | 94.3 | 93.2 | 93.2 | 93.4 | 94.6 | 95.6 |
| Clients billed | 190 | 194 | 192 | 201 | 210 | 217 | 218 | 216 | 219 |
| Clients added | 14 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 14 |
| Revenue concentration (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Top 1 client | 12.5 | 13.6 | 13.9 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 11.7 | 13.0 | 13.8 |
| Top 5 clients | 38.3 | 38.3 | 38.9 | 38.9 | 37.8 | 36.3 | 36.0 | 36.8 | 37.9 |
| Top 10 clients | 51.8 | 52.5 | 52.6 | 52.7 | 51.7 | 50.9 | 51.1 | 51.2 | 53.1 |
| Client size (ttm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| > US\$1 mn | 50 | 51 | 52 | 55 | 57 | 57 | 55 | 55 | 53 |
| Between US\$1 mn - US\$5 mn | 40 | 39 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 43 | 40 | 40 | 37 |
| Between US\$5 mn - US\$10 mn | 6 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| > US\$10 mn | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Billing rates (US\$/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onsite | 72.0 | 72.5 | 73.0 | 73.9 | 73.5 | 73.5 | 74.3 | 73.6 | 73.1 |
| Offshore | 22.5 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 23.2 | 23.4 | 23.4 |
| Employee metrics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total employees (consolidated) | 7,419 | 8,164 | 8,317 | 8,624 | 8,733 | 9,143 | 9,069 | 8,670 | 8,700 |
| Billable personnel (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onsite (\%) | 19.6 | 18.7 | 18.8 | 18.5 | 18.6 | 18.8 | 19.3 | 18.1 | 18.8 |
| Offshore (\%) | 71.9 | 73.0 | 72.9 | 73.4 | 73.5 | 73.4 | 73.0 | 73.6 | 72.9 |
| Marketing (incl sales support - \%) | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Others (incl tech support - \%) | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Utilization (\%) | 71.4 | 70.6 | 69.7 | 68.6 | 70.0 | 67.6 | 63.9 | 70.6 | 70.9 |
| Attrition rate (\%) LTM | 18.0 | 14.7 | 13.9 | 11.0 | 9.6 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 9.9 | 11.0 |
| DSO - Billed | 55 | 57 | 62 | 52 | 45 | 56 | 66 | 53 | 47 |
| DSO - including unbilled accruals | 71 | 74 | 72 | 72 | 66 | 77 | 77 | 71 | 63 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 4: Consolidated financials for Hexaware, December year-ends, 2010-14E (Rs mn)

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013E | 2014E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total income | 10,546 | 14,505 | 19,482 | 22,210 | 24,967 |
| EBITDA | 938 | 2,646 | 4,074 | 4,834 | 4,828 |
| Depreciation and ammortisation | (242) | (248) | (324) | (316) | (387) |
| Other income | 249 | 677 | 290 | 283 | 342 |
| Pretax profits | 945 | 3,076 | 4,041 | 4,801 | 4,784 |
| Tax | (92) | (407) | (764) | $(1,066)$ | $(1,071)$ |
| Profit after tax | 853 | 2,669 | 3,277 | 3,735 | 3,713 |
| Diluted recurring EPS (Rs/share) | 3.0 | 9.1 | 11.2 | 12.7 | 12.7 |
| Balance sheet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total equity | 9,655 | 10,162 | 12,039 | 13,588 | 15,129 |
| Deferred taxation liability | (169) | (162) | (73) | (73) | (73) |
| Current liabilities | 2,785 | 4,331 | 3,616 | 3,901 | 4,179 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 12,382 | 14,331 | 15,581 | 17,416 | 19,235 |
| Cash | 4,356 | 4,377 | 1,969 | 4,625 | 5,225 |
| Other current assets | 3,551 | 4,939 | 6,058 | 7,090 | 7,779 |
| Tangible fixed assets | 4,078 | 4,785 | 5,199 | 5,701 | 6,231 |
| Total assets | 12,382 | 14,331 | 15,581 | 17,416 | 19,235 |
| Free cash flow |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating cash flow, excl. WC | 1,089 | 2,558 | 3,409 | 3,542 | 3,873 |
| Working capital changes | (836) | $(1,187)$ | $(1,186)$ | (746) | (411) |
| Capital expenditure | (340) | (633) | (744) | (818) | (917) |
| Free cash flow | (88) | 738 | 1,480 | 1,977 | 2,545 |
| Ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA margin | 8.9 | 18.2 | 20.9 | 21.8 | 19.3 |
| ROE | 9.4 | 26.9 | 29.5 | 29.1 | 25.9 |
| ROCE | 6.8 | 20.9 | 27.4 | 27.4 | 24.0 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Metahelix offsets a weak quarter for agri-chem. Rallis reported an in-line 1QFY14. While standalone agri-chem business disappointed on sales front (flat yoy), Metahelix threw a big positive surprise with sales up 2 X yoy. As per the management, product placements (agri-chem) could have been higher at the cost of sacrificing working capital discipline, which it did not do and instead chose to place closer to the actual usage (JulAug). In our view, Metahelix will reach a critical size in FY2014E after which scale-up in profits could be substantial. We have increased estimates for Metahelix and revised them downwards for SA business. Retain BUY (target price Rs165; Rs155 earlier).

Company data and valuation summary

| Rallis India |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stock data |  |  |  |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  | $170-110$ |  |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  | 29.6 |  |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  |
| Promoters |  |  | 50.1 |
| FIls |  |  | 10.6 |
| MFs | $\mathbf{1 M}$ | 3M | $\mathbf{1 2 M}$ |
| Price performance (\%) | 8.6 | 20.2 | 17.5 |
| Absolute | 3.8 | 13.4 | 0.8 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 |  |  |  |


| Forecasts/Valuations | 2013 | 2014 E | 2015 E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EPS (Rs) | 6.1 | 8.5 | 10.2 |
| EPS growth (\%) | 20.0 | 38.2 | 20.6 |
| P/E (X) | 24.8 | 18.0 | 14.9 |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 14.6 | 17.2 | 19.4 |
| Net profits (Rs bn) | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| EBITDA (Rs bn) | 2.1 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| EV/EBITDA (X) | 14.4 | 10.6 | 9.1 |
| ROE (\%) | 18.9 | 24.0 | 24.6 |
| Div. Yield (\%) | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 |

Metahelix compensates for a weak standalone quarter
Rallis reported a weak quarter for the SA agri-chem business as sales were flat yoy. Performance is particularly disappointing as (1) trends in monsoons have been good so far and (2) 1Q is anyway a placement quarter for the company as insecticides comprise the dominant part of the portfolio (usage of insecticides/fungicides starts post June). Given lackluster sales, 1 QFY14 EBITDA (SA) margins at $9.4 \%$ were also under pressure (down $\sim 90$ bps yoy). As per the management, it could have placed more stock at the cost of working capital discipline, which it did not do and instead chose to sell products near their usage period (Jul-Aug). Hence, over the kharif season (Apr-Sep) growth in sales should be good. Consolidated results were in line as Metahelix reported a superlative 1QFY14. The company reported consolidated sales, EBITDA and PAT at Rs4.1 bn (+19\% yoy; +45\% qoq), Rs553 mn (+33\% yoy; +96\% qoq) and Rs275 mn (+14\% yoy; +144\% qoq) respectively.

Metahelix delivers a blockbuster quarter-profits could scale up significantly from here
Metahelix delivered a superlative quarter. Sales (represented by consol-standalone) at Rs 1.4 bn almost doubled yoy. 1QFY14 EBITDA at Rs297 mn grew more than 2X from Rs134 mn in 1QFY13. In our view, Metahelix will achieve a critical level of sales (Rs2-2.5 bn) in FY2014E versus our earlier expectation of FY 2016 E . As per our understanding, profits could scale up significantly once the critical level of sales is achieved; the current quarter marks a watershed in this regard.

Adjusting our estimates; retain BUY with a revised target price of Rs165 (Rs155 earlier)
We have lowered our estimates for the standalone agri-chemical business. We are now estimating SA margins at $16.8 \%$ for FY2014/15/16E versus $18 \%$ earlier. We have increased our earning estimates for Metahelix. We are now estimating Metahelix sales at Rs 2.2 bn, Rs 2.8 bn and Rs 3.4 bn versus Rs1.7 bn, Rs1.85 bn and Rs2 bn earlier in FY2014/15/16E respectively. Also, we have scaled up our estimates of EBITDA to Rs 255 mn , Rs 390 mn and Rs 470 mn from Rs 140 mn , Rs 266 mn and Rs294 mn earlier in FY2014/15/16E respectively. We retain our BUY rating with a revised target price of Rs165 (at 16X FY2015E EPS).
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Exhibit 1: In-line quarter-Metahelix offset a weak quarter for the standalone business Interim results of Rallis, consolidated, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 1QFY14 | 1QFY14E | 4QFY13 | 1QFY13 | \% change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Est | qoq | yoy |
| Sales | 4,119 | 4,032 | 2,849 | 3,453 | 2 | 45 | 19 |
| Raw material cost | $(2,117)$ | - | $(1,760)$ | $(1,894)$ |  | 20 | 12 |
| Employee cost | (295) | - | (219) | (268) |  | 35 | 10 |
| Other expenses | $(1,155)$ | - | (588) | (876) |  | 96 | 32 |
| EBITDA | 553 | 553 | 282 | 415 | (0) | 96 | 33 |
| Other income/forex gain/(loss) | (69) | 10 | 18 | 44 |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization | (90) | (85) | (84) | (74) |  |  |  |
| Interest cost | (34) | (33) | (33) | (52) |  |  |  |
| Extraordinaries | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Profit before tax | 360 | 445 | 183 | 333 | (19) | 97 | 8 |
| Tax (net) | (27) | (101) | (79) | (62) |  |  |  |
| Net income | 333 | 344 | 104 | 271 | (3) | 220 | 23 |
| Minority interest | 58 | (8) | (8) | 29 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted net income | 275 | 352 | 113 | 242 | (22) | 144 | 14 |
| Margins (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross margins | 48.6 |  | 38.2 | 45.1 |  |  |  |
| EBITDA | 13.4 | 13.7 | 9.9 | 12.0 |  |  |  |
| Other exp/sales | 28.0 |  | 20.6 | 25.4 |  |  |  |
| Emp. Cost/sales | 7.2 |  | 7.7 | 7.8 |  |  |  |

Note:
Other income includes forex loss of Rs 79.8 mn on trade payables.

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 2: Metahelix delivered a blockbuster quarter
Consol-standalone numbers for Rallis, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 1QFY13 | 2QFY13 | 3QFY13 | 4QFY13 | 1QFY14 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Income | 755 | 198 | 195 | 196 | 1,418 |
| EBITDA | 134 | $(32)$ | $(16)$ | $(13)$ | 297 |
| PBT | 117 | $(52)$ | $(40)$ | $(36)$ | 273 |
| PAT (before minority int.) | 117 | $(52)$ | $(40)$ | $(31)$ | 273 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Metahelix delivers a blockbuster quarter—profits could scale up significantly from here

Metahelix delivered a superlative quarter. Sales (represented by consol-standalone) at Rs1.4 bn almost doubled yoy. 1QFY14 EBITDA at Rs297 mn grew more than 2X from Rs134 mn in 1QFY13. In our view, Metahelix will achieve a critical level of sales (Rs2-2.5 bn) in FY2014E versus our earlier expectation of FY2016E. As per our understanding, profits could scale up significantly once the critical level of sales is achieved; the current quarter marks a watershed in this regard.

As per our understanding, Metahelix is placed well versus competition in hybrid paddy, pearl millets and corn. In hybrid paddy, the company is among the top 3 in India. Hybrid paddy represents a large opportunity for the company as currently hybridization is only $4-5 \%$ in India, and is expected to increase to $25 \%$ in the next five years. The company is also focusing on hybrid seeds for vegetables. As of now, it has seeds for okra, chillies and melons in its portfolio.

Exhibit 3: Standalone business disappoints-sales growth much lower versus estimates
Quarterly results for Rallis, standalone, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 3QFY12 | 4QFY12 | 1QFY13 | 2QFY13 | 3QFY13 | 4QFY13 | 1QFY14 | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | qoq | yoy |
| Net sales from operations | 3,028 | 1,895 | 2,628 | 4,612 | 3,204 | 2,593 | 2,676 | 3 | 2 |
| Other operating income | 70 | 100 | 70 | 46 | 26 | 60 | 26 | (57) | (63) |
| Total income | 3,098 | 1,994 | 2,698 | 4,658 | 3,230 | 2,653 | 2,702 | 2 | 0 |
| Raw material cost | $(1,835)$ | $(1,214)$ | $(1,627)$ | $(2,988)$ | $(2,032)$ | $(1,715)$ | $(1,660)$ | (3) | 2 |
| Employee cost | (208) | (177) | (213) | (175) | (201) | (180) | (226) | 26 | 6 |
| Other expenditure | (484) | (472) | (578) | (496) | (514) | (462) | (560) | 21 | (3) |
| EBITDA | 570 | 132 | 281 | 999 | 482 | 295 | 256 | (13) | (9) |
| Depreciation | (69) | (82) | (68) | (71) | (73) | (76) | (79) |  |  |
| Other income/forex gain/(loss) | (62) | 71 | 43 | 41 | (12) | 18 | (70) |  |  |
| Interest (net) | (29) | (16) | (39) | (39) | (28) | (18) | (20) |  |  |
| Exceptional items | (242) | 71 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| PBT | 168 | 175 | 216 | 930 | 369 | 219 | 87 | (60) | (60) |
| Tax | (57) | (57) | (62) | (273) | (121) | (83) | (27) |  | (57) |
| PAT | 111 | 118 | 154 | 657 | 248 | 135 | 60 | (56) | (61) |
| Ratio analysis (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross margin | 40.8 | 39.1 | 39.7 | 35.8 | 37.1 | 35.3 | 38.6 |  |  |
| EBITDA margin | 18.4 | 6.6 | 10.4 | 21.4 | 14.9 | 11.1 | 9.5 |  |  |
| Employee cost/sales | 6.7 | 8.9 | 7.9 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 8.4 |  |  |
| Other expenditure/sales | 16.0 | 24.9 | 22.0 | 10.7 | 16.1 | 17.8 | 20.9 |  |  |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Standalone business—gross margins improve (qoq) while EBITDA margins lower than estimates

Gross margins for the standalone business improved from $35.3 \%$ in 4QFY13 to $38.6 \%$ in 1QFY14, reflecting the price increase that the company has taken in the quarter. EBITDA margins were below estimates at $9.5 \%$, down $\sim 90$ bps yoy. As per the management, it will take further price increase in 2QFY14, which should partially offset the impact of Rupee depreciation on margins.

## Other highlights

- One of the export orders of the company has been deferred to 2QFY14, which has resulted in export revenues declining yoy. Adjusting for that, domestic agri-chem revenues are up yoy.
- The company has taken a price increase in 1QFY14. It will take further price increase in 2QFY14 as well.
- Metahelix could have sold more of some hybrids, especially corn. The company underestimated demand and hence production was lower versus demand.
- The company has already provided for possible sales returns in the case of seeds in 1QFY14 as per historical trends. Only in case sales returns are higher versus historical trends, the company would have to take a hit in the coming quarters.

Adjusting our estimates; retain BUY with a revised target price of Rs165 (Rs155 earlier)

We have lowered our estimates for the standalone agri-chemical business. We are now estimating SA margins at 16.8\% for FY2014/15/16E versus 18\% earlier. We have increased our earning estimates for Metahelix. We are now estimating Metahelix sales at Rs2.2 bn, Rs2.8 bn and Rs3.4 bn versus Rs1.7 bn, Rs 1.85 bn and Rs2 bn earlier in FY2014/15/16E respectively. Also, we have scaled up our estimates of EBITDA to Rs255 mn, Rs390 mn and Rs470 mn from Rs140 mn, Rs266 mn and Rs294 mn earlier in FY2014/15/16E respectively. We retain our BUY rating with a revised target price of Rs165 (at 16X FY2015E EPS).

Exhibit 4: Assumptions for Rallis, consolidated, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 E}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sales | $\mathbf{1 2 , 7 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 5 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 1 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 3 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 6 7 8}$ |
| Standalone | 11,813 | 13,238 | 15,010 | 16,575 | 18,317 |
| Metahelix (a) | 936 | 1,344 | 2,155 | 2,801 | 3,362 |
| EBITDA | $\mathbf{2 , 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 8 1}$ |
| Standalone | 1,973 | 2,032 | 2,522 | 2,785 | 3,077 |
| Metahelix | 57 | 73 | 280 | 420 | 504 |
| EBITDA (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standalone | 16.7 | 15.4 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 16.8 |
| Metahelix | 6.09 | 5.47 | 13.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 |

Note:
(a) Metahelix numbers have been computed by substracting standalone numbers from consol.

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 5: Summary financials: Rallis
Profit model, cash flow statement and balance sheet for Rallis, consolidated, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales | 8,562 | 9,005 | 10,934 | 12,749 | 14,582 | 17,165 | 19,376 | 21,678 |
| EBITDA | 1,303 | 1,667 | 1,991 | 2,030 | 2,106 | 2,802 | 3,205 | 3,581 |
| Other income | 33 | 70 | 69 | 69 | 117 | 90 | 103 | 161 |
| Interest | (34) | (27) | (40) | (146) | (185) | (102) | (28) | (28) |
| Depreciation | (229) | (183) | (175) | (287) | (315) | (366) | (390) | (426) |
| Extraordinardy items | - | - | - | (172) | - | - | - | - |
| Profit before tax | 1,072 | 1,527 | 1,845 | 1,494 | 1,723 | 2,424 | 2,891 | 3,289 |
| Tax expense | (352) | (512) | (580) | (487) | (535) | (731) | (824) | (913) |
| Minority interest | - | - | - | 15 | (2) | 48 | 83 | 102 |
| Extraordinardy items | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| PAT | 720 | 1,015 | 1,264 | 992 | 1,190 | 1,644 | 1,983 | 2,275 |
| EPS | 3.7 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 8.5 | 10.2 | 11.7 |
| Balance sheet (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity | 2,617 | 4,245 | 5,049 | 5,530 | 6,207 | 7,353 | 8,837 | 10,613 |
| Preference capital | 880 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total borrowings | 825 | 81 | 1,172 | 1,523 | 1,314 | 220 | 220 | 220 |
| Deferred tax liability/minority interest | (102) | (53) | 54 | 145 | 328 | 377 | 460 | 562 |
| Current liabilities and provisions | 2,608 | 3,041 | 3,891 | 4,028 | 4,047 | 4,204 | 4,732 | 5,286 |
| Total liabilites | 6,828 | 7,314 | 10,167 | 11,226 | 11,897 | 12,153 | 14,249 | 16,681 |
| Net fixed assets | 1,877 | 2,648 | 4,009 | 4,236 | 4,223 | 4,323 | 4,334 | 4,708 |
| Goodwill | - | - | 1,236 | 1,533 | 1,676 | 1,676 | 1,676 | 1,676 |
| Investments | 1,362 | 1,402 | 256 | 227 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 |
| Cash | 82 | 119 | 146 | 112 | 258 | (110) | 319 | 1,369 |
| Other current assets and miscellaneous | 3,509 | 3,145 | 4,520 | 5,118 | 5,542 | 6,066 | 7,722 | 8,731 |
| Total assets | 6,828 | 7,314 | 10,167 | 11,226 | 11,897 | 12,152 | 14,249 | 16,681 |
| Free cash flow (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating cash flow | 987 | 1,073 | 1,271 | 1,453 | 1,764 | 2,204 | 2,486 | 2,778 |
| Working capital changes | 403 | 1,079 | (284) | (507) | (321) | (502) | $(1,232)$ | (563) |
| Capital expenditure | (644) | (949) | $(1,378)$ | (570) | (349) | (466) | (400) | (800) |
| Free cash flow | 747 | 1,203 | (391) | 376 | 1,094 | 1,236 | 854 | 1,415 |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 15.2 | 18.5 | 18.2 | 15.9 | 14.4 | 16.3 | 16.5 | 16.5 |
| Net debt/equity (X) | 0.28 | (0.01) | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.04 | (0.01) | (0.11) |
| Book value (Rs/share) | 13.5 | 21.8 | 26.0 | 28.4 | 31.9 | 37.8 | 45.4 | 54.6 |
| ROAE (\%) | 29.0 | 28.2 | 26.2 | 20.4 | 18.9 | 24.0 | 24.6 | 23.2 |
| ROACE (\%) | 18.9 | 23.2 | 23.6 | 17.4 | 16.4 | 21.5 | 23.0 | 21.8 |

[^15]
## Banks/Financial Institutions

Slowing down. Slowdown in autos (primarily CVs/CEs) affected Magma's businessloan book was flat qoq in 1QFY14 and collection efficiency dropped to 95\% from 98\% last quarter even as reported earnings were in line with estimates. Higher NIM (due to re-pricing of loan assets), increase in share of income-earning loans and better operating efficiency will likely drive earnings even as loan growth moderates and credit cost moves up. We revise down our earning estimates and price target to Rs125 (from Rs135 earlier). Retain BUY on the back of inexpensive valuations.

Company data and valuation summary
Magma Fincorp

| Stock data |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  | $111-59$ |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  | 16.7 |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |
| Promoters |  | 33.7 |
| Flls |  | 43.6 |
| MFs | 1M | 3M |
| Price performance (\%) | 12 M |  |
| Absolute | 3.2 | 2.3 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | (1.5) | (3.4) |


| Forecasts/Valuations | 2013 | 2014 E | 2015 E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EPS (Rs) | 6.5 | 10.2 | 12.3 |
| EPS growth (\%) | 100.6 | 55.6 | 21.0 |
| P/E (X) | 13.5 | 8.7 | 7.2 |
| NII (Rs bn) | 7.8 | 9.8 | 11.8 |
| Net profits (Rs bn) | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.3 |
| BVPS | 73.5 | 81.8 | 91.9 |
| P/B (X) | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| ROE (\%) | 10.1 | 12.7 | 14.0 |
| Div. Yield (\%) | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.2 |

Earnings in line, growth and asset quality performance weak
Magma reported PAT of Rs454 mn, up 37\% yoy, 5\% ahead of estimates. NIM (calculated) increased 20 bps yoy to $5 \%$ though declined qoq. With its focus on maintaining expenses, cost-toincome ratio was flat at $56 \%$ qoq, lower than $62 \%$ in 1QFY13. Other operating trends were, however, weak:

- The ongoing slowdown in autos, specifically CVs and CEs (construction equipments), has affected Magma's business. Loan book was flat qoq at Rs162 bn, up 28\% yoy. 8\% qoq decline in CVs, flat CE and used CV loan book offset loan growth in cars and tractors (cars were up 4\% qoq and tractors were up 9\% qoq).
- Magma's gross NPLs increased to 2.2\% from $1.6 \%$ in 4QFY13 largely due to lower (90\%) collections in CV portfolio. The overall collections declined to 95\% from 98\% in FY2013.

Revise estimates, retain BUY rating
Post 1 QFY14 results, we are revising down our earnings estimates by 8-10\% to factor lower loan growth and higher credit cost, somewhat offset by lower operating expenses. We revise our price target to Rs125 (from Rs135). At our price target, Magma will trade at 1.5X and 1.4X PBR FY2014E and FY2015E respectively. We model medium-term RoE of 14-16\% even as near-term ratio will be lower at about $13 \%$. At the current market price, the stock trades at $1 \times$ PBR FY2015E. In light of the inexpensive valuations, we retain BUY rating on the stock.
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## Loan book flat, guidance cautious

Magma's loan book remained flat qoq as most segments, barring tractors and cars, declined in the current quarter. Overall disbursements were weak at Rs19 bn, compared to an average of over Rs20 bn in the past few quarters. Commercial vehicle loans declined 8\% qoq, due to decline in underlying CV sales in the quarter. Tractor loans grew 9\% qoq ( $51 \%$ yoy), following surprisingly strong traction in tractor sales in the industry, primarily from Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra. In light of the slowdown in vehicle sales, we expect mortgages (housing and LAP) and SME loans to drive business. On the back of weak operating environment, we are reducing our loan growth estimate to $17 \%$ for FY2014E from 24\% earlier.

## Asset quality deteriorates in CVs and CEs; we model higher credit costs

Magma's gross NPLs increased to $2.17 \%$ of gross loans compared to $1.63 \%$ in March 2013, resulting from decline in collection efficiency to $95.5 \%$ from $98.2 \%$ in FY2013, particularly in the CV and CE segments. Collection in the CVs declined to $91 \%$ from $95.7 \%$ in the previous quarter. The asset quality has been on track for most other segments.

In light of the stress in the underlying business environment, we will monitor the trends in collections cautiously-we expect credit costs to remain high at $0.6-0.75 \%$ of loans over FY2014-16E compared to $0.6 \%$ in FY2012 and 0.2-0.3\% over FY2011-12.

## Margins improve qoq

Magma Finance's NIM increased 14 bps qoq to 5.54 \% from 5.4\% in 4QFY13 (4.91\% for FY2013). Reported yield on advances increased 26 bps due to rundown in low-yielding book and higher yield on recent disbursements. Reported cost of funds declined by 37 bps due to lower interest rates in the system and a change in the borrowing mix-higher share of borrowings funded through the debt capital markets (21\%) as compared to 13\% in FY2012. High yield on recent disbursements and rising share of income-earnings loan assets (97\% from $90 \%$ last year) will likely buoy spreads in FY2014E as well-we assume 40 bps rise in NIM in FY2014E versus 100 bps rise in FY2013.

## Operating costs decline

Costs to average AUMs declined to 3.1\% from 3.6\% in 4QFY13 (3.3\% for FY2013). On an absolute basis, operating expenses were down 6\% qoq, resulting from strong management focus on reducing costs to increase productivity. We note that the integration of the housing finance business is fully complete and may not result in incremental costs though the general insurance business poses a risk. We model cost-to-average AUMs of 3.0-3.1\% over FY2014-15E.

Exhibit 1: Auto finance business is slowing down across banks and NBFCs Qoq loan growth in auto finance, March fiscal year-ends, 2QFY12-1QFY14 (\%)

|  | 2QFY12 | 3QFY12 | 4QFY12 | 1QFY13 | 2QFY13 | 3QFY13 | 4QFY13 | 1QFY14 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Axis Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto finance | 9 | 13 | 13 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 31 | $(10)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HDFC Bank | 6 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 |  |
| Car loans | 5 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 0 |  |
| 2W | 25 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 14 | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | 5 |  |
| CVs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| IndusInd Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CVs | 10 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Uvs | 11 | 11 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Cars | 14 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 8 |
| 2W | 8 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 5 |
| $3 W$ | 13 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 | $(3)$ | 0 |
| Equipments | 7 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 5 |
| Magma Fincorp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Car | $(0)$ | $(6)$ | 4 | $(2)$ | 4 | $(2)$ | $(12)$ | 3 |
| CV | $(6)$ | $(12)$ | $(6)$ | $(11)$ | $(6)$ | $(12)$ | $(24)$ | $(8)$ |
| CE | $(5)$ | $(18)$ | 0 | $(10)$ | 0 | $(11)$ | $(22)$ | 0 |
| Used CV | 3 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 10 | $(24)$ | 0 |
| Tractor | 14 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 2 | $(19)$ | 8 |

Source: Company

Exhibit 2: Magma Finance-consolidated quarterly results March fiscal year-ends, 1QFY13-1QFY14 (Rs mn)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 Q 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 Q 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 Q 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 Q 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 Q 1 4}$ | YoY (\%) |
| Income statement |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 Q 1 4 E}$ |  |  |
| (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^16]Exhibit 3: Changes in estimates
March fiscal year-ends, 2014-16E (Rs mn)

|  | New estimates |  |  | Old estimates |  |  | Change in estimates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| NIM (\%) | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.3 |  |  |  |
| Loan book (Rs bn) | 191 | 233 | 278 | 201 | 245 | 295 | (5) | (5) | (6) |
| Loan growth (\%) | 17.2 | 21.8 | 19.6 | 23.6 | 21.4 | 20.6 |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | 9,774 | 11,782 | 13,579 | 10,102 | 12,304 | 14,227 | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Other income | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Provisions | 1,387 | 1,591 | 1,651 | 1,180 | 1,450 | 1,615 | 18 | 10 | 2 |
| Operating expenses | 5,388 | 6,508 | 7,301 | 5,586 | 6,748 | 7,581 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Employee expenses | 2,311 | 2,805 | 3,471 | 2,311 | 2,805 | 3,471 | - | - | - |
| PBT | 2,999 | 3,683 | 4,628 | 3,335 | 4,106 | 5,032 | (10) | (10) | (8) |
| Tax | 900 | 1,178 | 1,481 | 1,067 | 1,314 | 1,610 | (16) | (10) | (8) |
| PAT | 2,099 | 2,504 | 3,147 | 2,268 | 2,792 | 3,422 | (7) | (10) | (8) |
| EPS (Rs) | 10 | 12 | 16 | 11 | 14 | 17 | (8) | (11) | (8) |
| BVPS (Rs) | 82 | 92 | 105 | 83 | 94 | 108 | (1) | (2) | (3) |

[^17]Exhibit 4: Magma Finance-one-year forward PER and PBR July 2008 - July 2013


[^18]Exhibit 5: Magma Finance-key ratios and growth rates
March fiscal year-ends, 2011-16E (\%)

|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth in key parameters (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit and loss statement - yoy (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | 20 | (17) | 96 | 26 | 21 | 15 |
| Provisioning expenses | (13) | (13) | 213 | 44 | 15 | 4 |
| Net income (post provisions) | 36 | (16) | 67 | 24 | 22 | 17 |
| Operating expneses | 23 | (0) | 54 | 16 | 21 | 12 |
| Staff expenses | 28 | 11 | 37 | 13 | 21 | 24 |
| Other operating expenses | 30 | (12) | 80 | 19 | 22 | 3 |
| Depreciation expenses | (15) | 6 | 27 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| PBT post extraordinaries | 65 | (43) | 105 | 41 | 23 | 26 |
| Tax | 53 | (57) | 160 | 33 | 31 | 26 |
| PAT post pref div and minority interest | 70 | (43) | 101 | 56 | 21 | 27 |
| Balance sheet - yoy (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and advances | 38 | 52 | 58 | 14 | 22 | 20 |
| Total loans (incl sell down) | 17 | 26 | 35 | 17 | 22 | 20 |
| Fixed assets | (10) | (4) | 6 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Other current assets | 1 | (6) | 63 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Total assets | 28 | 39 | 60 | 16 | 23 | 21 |
| Borrowings | 26 | 33 | 73 | 18 | 25 | 23 |
| Current liabilities | 15 | 45 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Total liabilities | 24 | 33 | 65 | 16 | 24 | 22 |
| Share capital | 19 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reserves and surplus | 72 | 87 | 28 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Shareholders funds | 69 | 85 | 27 | 11 | 12 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest yield | 15.5 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 17.2 | 17.5 | 17.5 |
| Interest cost | 8.5 | 11.7 | 11.1 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Spreads | 7.0 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| NII/ loans under management | 5.4 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.3 |
| Operating costs/ net income (post provisions) | 62.5 | 74.5 | 68.7 | 64.2 | 63.9 | 61.2 |
| Cash/ total assets + loan sold down | 9.2 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 5.1 |
| Tax rate | 33.0 | 25.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Debt/ equity (X) | 7.7 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 9.7 |
| Du Pont analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (\% of average assets including loans sold down) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | 5.4 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.3 |
| Credit costs | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Operating expenses | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| RoAUM | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Average AUM / average equity (X) | 14.5 | 10.7 | 9.8 | 10.7 | 11.8 | 13.1 |
| RoE (incl pref shares) | 19.9 | 7.7 | 10.1 | 12.7 | 14.0 | 16.1 |
| ROE (excluding preference shares and minority ints | 23.0 | 7.3 | 9.9 | 13.1 | 14.1 | 15.9 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 6: Magma Finance-consolidated financial statements
March fiscal year-ends, 2011-16E (Rs mn)

|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income statement (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | 6,096 | 9,514 | 15,191 | 20,076 | 24,032 | 28,990 |
| Interest costs | $(3,523)$ | $(6,254)$ | $(9,262)$ | $(12,655)$ | $(15,430)$ | $(19,229)$ |
| Net interest income | 4,790 | 3,953 | 7,753 | 9,774 | 11,782 | 13,579 |
| Net total income | 5,217 | 4,377 | 7,753 | 9,774 | 11,782 | 13,579 |
| Provisioning expenses | (356) | (308) | (966) | $(1,387)$ | $(1,591)$ | $(1,651)$ |
| Net income (post provisions) | 4,861 | 4,069 | 6,787 | 8,386 | 10,191 | 11,929 |
| Operating expneses | $(3,039)$ | $(3,031)$ | $(4,661)$ | $(5,388)$ | $(6,508)$ | $(7,301)$ |
| Staff expenses | $(1,347)$ | $(1,490)$ | $(2,040)$ | $(2,311)$ | $(2,805)$ | $(3,471)$ |
| Other operating expenses | $(1,414)$ | $(1,245)$ | $(2,245)$ | $(2,664)$ | $(3,248)$ | $(3,329)$ |
| Depreciation expenses | (279) | (296) | (376) | (414) | (455) | (500) |
| PBT post extraordinaries | 1,822 | 1,038 | 2,126 | 2,999 | 3,683 | 4,628 |
| Tax | (601) | (259) | (675) | (900) | $(1,178)$ | $(1,481)$ |
| PAT | 1,221 | 779 | 1,451 | 2,099 | 2,504 | 3,147 |
| PAT post pref div and minority interest | 1,090 | 618 | 1,240 | 1,929 | 2,334 | 2,962 |
| No of shares (mn) | 130 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 |
| EPS (Rs) | 8 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 16 |
| DPS (Rs) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| BVPS (Rs) | 46 | 58 | 74 | 82 | 92 | 105 |



Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

## Telecom

Of tailwinds, headwinds, and possibilities; BUY. We believe the tailwinds (market share gain potential, improving sector dynamics) and possibilities (upside inflection extent) overweigh the headwinds (Reliance-Jio entry, data capex spike) for Idea, and upgrade the stock a notch to BUY (from ADD). We also raise our target price to Rs180/share (Rs142 earlier). We continue to rate Idea as our top pick in the sector even as we expect Bharti to benefit from improving sector fundamentals as well.

| Company data and valuation summary IDEA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock data |  |  |  | Forecasts/Valuations | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  |  | 164-72 | EPS (Rs) | 3.1 | 6.1 | 9.5 |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  |  | 499.0 | EPS growth (\%) | 39.8 | 100.6 | 55.5 |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  | P/E (X) | 49.2 | 24.5 | 15.8 |
| Promoters |  |  | 25.1 | Sales (Rs bn) | 224.6 | 264.3 | 305.8 |
| Flls |  |  | 45.2 | Net profits (Rs bn) | 10.1 | 20.3 | 31.5 |
| MFs |  |  | 9.6 | EBITDA (Rs bn) | 60.0 | 77.7 | 95.0 |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M | 12M | EV/EBITDA (X) | 10.5 | 7.9 | 6.1 |
| Absolute | 7.6 | 34.0 | 84.2 | ROE (\%) | 7.4 | 13.3 | 17.9 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | 0.3 | 27.4 | 56.9 | Div. Yield (\%) | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

Tailwinds galore - scope for further market share gains, improving sector fundamentals
We expect sustained improvement in the Indian wireless industry's fundamentals as basic scale economics of the business catch up and both the scope and intensity of competitive pressure reduce. We expect quasi consolidation (challengers curbing their footprint) theme to continue, aiding sustained market share gains for the GSM incumbents. We see Idea very well-positioned to benefit from this theme and expect sustained market share gains for the company over the next few years. We also believe that incremental regulatory developments should be in favor of the industry as spectrum pricing and allocation start falling in sync with the economic realities of the business - increasing (even if forced) industry discipline should drive this change.

Possibilities immense - Street (including us) could be underestimating inflection strength
Alignment of interest (profitability improvement) across players in the industry after years of competitive-intensity-led pressure on industry EBITDA bodes well, in our view. More importantly, Street's (including ours) linear ways of thinking and forecasting make underestimation of positive inflection strength a real possibility (similar to the underestimation of negative inflection impact in FY2010 and FY2011). Idea's massive 4QFY13 earnings beat (without any RPM increase) and Vodafone's 1QFY14 4\% + RPM uptick give a glimpse of the possibilities ahead should industry discipline sustain - we expect it to.

Two key headwinds - potential disruption by RIL-Jio and spike in capex led by data
We see two key headwinds/risks - (1) RIL-Jio launch turns out to be more disruptive than the Street is factoring in, at this point; this would of course need RIL-Jio to overcome the current techno-commercial challenges around TD-LTE on 2.3 GHz spectrum band, find a way to be costcompetitive versus scale operators, and be ready for years of cash burn, and (2) negative surprise on the data capex front; data capex is not as easily understood as voice capex given lack of separate disclosures on this front; even as we are positive on strong volume-led growth in data revenues over the coming years, we are not sure if we fully comprehend the associated capex intensity at this point. This is not to say that we are not building in any backhaul capex surge in our model.
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Upgrade to BUY; remains our preferred pick in the Indian telecom space
We upgrade Idea a notch to BUY from ADD earlier, recent solid absolute performance and relative outperformance notwithstanding. We also raise our price target on the stock to Rs180/share (from Rs142 earlier).

Bulk (Rs25/share out of the increase of Rs38/share) of our price target increase comes from us now building in a regulatory impact of Rs55/share versus Rs80/share earlier. Reduction in regulatory drag comes from our increased confidence on the industry remaining disciplined in forthcoming spectrum auctions and driving base 1800 pricing as well as 900 multiplier to more reasonable (reflective of industry economics) levels.

The balance Rs13/share of target price increase derives from (1) moderate increase in estimates, and (2) rollover to Sep-2014-based valuation from Mar 2014 earlier.

Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 below give the key changes to our estimates, operational DCF and target price derivation, respectively.

Exhibit 1: Key changes to Idea earnings model, March fiscal year-ends, 2014-15E

|  | Revised |  | Earlier |  | Change (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY2014E | FY2015E | FY2014E | FY2015E | FY2014E | FY2015E |
| Consolidated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues (Rs mn) | 264,317 | 305,808 | 262,214 | 302,501 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| EBITDA (Rs mn) | 77,714 | 94,990 | 75,674 | 91,856 | 2.7 | 3.4 |
| EBIT (Rs mn) | 40,280 | 54,748 | 37,161 | 51,510 | 8.4 | 6.3 |
| EPS (Rs/share) | 6.12 | 9.51 | 5.54 | 8.89 | 10.4 | 7.0 |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 29.4 | 31.1 | 28.9 | 30.4 | 54 bps | 69 bps |
| Capex (Rs bn) | 39 | 46 | 37 | 38 | 4.8 | 20.8 |
| Wireless metrics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subs (mn) | 131 | 143 | 131 | 143 | - | - |
| Volumes (bn min) | 607 | 679 | 604 | 663 | 0.4 | 2.4 |
| RPM (paise/min) | 0.430 | 0.446 | 0.424 | 0.447 | 1.4 | (0.2) |
| ARPU (Rs/sub/month) | 172 | 184 | 174 | 185 | (1.2) | (0.5) |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 2: Our 12-month forward DCF-based fair value for Idea's core business is Rs234/share

|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E | 2018E | 2019E | 2020E | 2021E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EBITDA | 50,923 | 60,044 | 77,714 | 94,990 | 112,699 | 129,498 | 144,250 | 156,019 | 168,492 | 181,660 |
| Tax | $(3,947)$ | $(7,991)$ | $(13,494)$ | $(18,341)$ | $(23,272)$ | $(27,908)$ | $(31,891)$ | $(34,927)$ | $(38,240)$ | $(41,819)$ |
| Change in working capital | $(24,642)$ | 7,897 | 3,769 | 5,136 | 5,362 | 5,345 | 4,775 | 4,243 | 3,495 | 3,606 |
| Post-tax operating cash flow | 22,334 | 59,950 | 67,990 | 81,785 | 94,790 | 106,935 | 117,133 | 125,334 | 133,747 | 143,447 |
| Capex | $(42,020)$ | $(49,131)$ | $(39,104)$ | $(45,514)$ | $(46,233)$ | $(47,160)$ | $(46,127)$ | $(45,417)$ | $(45,282)$ | $(45,135)$ |
| Free cash flow | $(19,686)$ | 10,819 | 28,885 | 36,272 | 48,557 | 59,775 | 71,007 | 79,917 | 88,464 | 98,312 |
|  |  |  |  |  | WACC and terminal growth assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |
| PV of cash flows | 336,055 |  |  |  | Terminal growth - g (\%) |  |  |  |  | 4.0 |
| PV of terminal value | 559,136 |  |  |  | WACC (\%) |  |  |  |  | 12.5 |
| EV | 895,191 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net debt | 120,923 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity value (Rs mn) | 774,268 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity value (US\$ mn) | 16,651 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shares outstanding (mn) | 3,314 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity value (Rs/ldea share) | 234 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exit FCF multiple (X) | 12.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exit EBITDA multiple (X) | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key assumptions (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue growth | 26.0 | 14.9 | 17.7 | 15.7 | 13.5 | 10.6 | 8.5 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.1 |
| EBITDA growth | 34.3 | 17.9 | 29.4 | 22.2 | 18.6 | 14.9 | 11.4 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 7.8 |
| EBITDA margin | 26.1 | 26.7 | 29.4 | 31.1 | 32.5 | 33.7 | 34.6 | 35.1 | 35.7 | 36.3 |
| Capex/sales | 21.5 | 21.9 | 14.8 | 14.9 | 13.3 | 12.3 | 11.1 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 9.0 |
| Effective tax rate | 31.5 | 35.9 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 |

[^19]| Exhibit 3: Target price derivation for Idea |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Operational DCF (Rs/share) | 234 |
| Gross spectrum liability NPV (Rs/share) | $(79)$ |
| One-time excess | $(6)$ |
| Spectrum renewal | $(56)$ |
| Spectrum refarming | $(16)$ |
| \% of regulatory impact taken | 70 |
| Spectrum related liability | $(55)$ |
| Target price (Rs/share) | $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Tailwind \# 1 - improving sector dynamics
Unsustainable disconnect between weak P\&Ls and bloated debt-heavy balance sheets has forced the challengers in the industry to start seeking price-improvement and cost-reduction (through footprint rationalization) led P\&L improvement. Both of these (challengers seeking pricing improvement and rationalizing their footprint) play to the advantage of the incumbents who gain on both the volume as well as pricing side of the revenue equation. In essence, there is (forced or otherwise) alignment of interest in the industry to expand overall profit pool of the industry - a reversal from the past 4+ years when market-share and 3G/BWA spectrum dogfights led to a shrinkage in the industry profit pool.

Exhibit 4 depicts the industry scenario over the past five years using Bharti as an example robust subscriber and volume growth failed to translate into EBITDA/PAT growth as competitive dynamics took a toll on pricing as well as costs. Exhibit 5 depicts the recent phase of quasi consolidation in the industry while Exhibit 6 depicts the financial challenge facing the challengers.

Exhibit 4: Strong subscriber growth has not translated into revenue growth for the industry


Bharti - quarterly EBITDA levels still the same as nearly four years back


[^20]Source: TRAI, Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 5: Challengers have started rationalizing their networks

|  | \# of circles |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Player/network | Mar-08 | Mar-11 | Mar-13 |
| Bharti - GSM | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| Vodafone - GSM | 15 | 22 | 22 |
| Idea - GSM | 13 | 22 | 22 |
| RCOM - GSM | 8 | 22 | 22 |
| RCOM - CDMA | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| TTSL - GSM | - | 21 | 21 |
| TTSL - CDMA | 19 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |
| Aircel - GSM | 9 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |
| BSNLMTNL - GSM | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| Telenor - GSM | - | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| Loop - GSM | 1 | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| MTS - CDMA | 1 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| S Tel | - | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ |
| Etisalat | - | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | - |
| Videocon | - | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 1}$ |

Exhibit 6: Challengers under severe balance sheet stress

| Player | Net debt <br> (Rs bn) | EBITDA <br> (Rs bn) | Net debt/ <br> EBITDA (X) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bharti | 638 | 249 | 2.6 |
| Vodafone | 335 | 90 | 3.7 |
| Idea | 129 | 60 | 2.1 |
| RCOM | 388 | 50 | 7.8 |
| TTSL | 280 | 2 | 140.0 |
| BSNL | 35 | 11 | 3.2 |
| Uninor | - | $(15)$ | $(-)$ ve EBITDA |
| MTS | 46 | $(13)$ | $(-)$ ve EBITDA |
| MTNL | 115 | $(1)$ | $(-)$ ve EBITDA |
| Aircel | 250 | $(12)$ | $(-)$ ve EBITDA |
| Loop | - | 2 |  |
| HFCL | - | $(1)$ | $(-)$ ve EBITDA |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 1}$ |  |

Source: Companies, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Source: TRAI

As an aside, we must mention here that it would take a lot of RPM improvement for the industry to reach a stage where even 5/6 players have a viable business model. This may not happen, in our view, and hence we expect more quasi-consolidation in the industry - this would happen in the form of each of the challengers (barring BSNL/MTNL) reducing their footprint (and focus) to fewer circles - circles where they have a real shot at being among the top 4 or 5 players. Exhibit 7 gives the breakeven RPM levels along various cuts for the industry - note that RPM needs to be above 50 paise/min if the $5^{\text {th }}$ largest player in the industry (with a $10 \%$ market share) has to achieve FCF breakeven. Industry RPM today is around the 41-42 paise/min mark, leaving ample headroom for expansion, should the industry discipline sustain - we think it would.

A good example of industry discipline can be seen in the recent announcements of 'free incoming roaming' plans across operators. Challengers followed the incumbents on pricing of these plans and we note that none of them has attempted to undercut the incumbents to gain some 'quality' market share; heavy-roaming customers typically tend to be higherquality ones, as well. See Exhibit 8 for 'free incoming roaming' plans announced by various operators.

Exhibit 7: Challengers barely break even at EBITDA level at current RPM levels

| EBITDA break-even RPM | ROCE break-even RPM |  | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ ROCE RPM |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Top-3 | 0.310 | Top-3 | 0.342 | Top-3 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 3 7}$ |
| Top-5 | 0.408 | Top-5 | 0.503 | Top-5 | 0.639 |
| Top-6 | 0.415 | Top-6 | 0.525 | Top-6 | 0.680 |
| All | 0.524 | All | 0.772 | All | 0.996 |
| Cash break-even RPM | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ ROCE RPM |  | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ ROCE RPM |  |  |
| Top-3 | 0.393 | Top-3 | 0.413 | Top-3 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 8 5}$ |
| Top-5 | 0.515 | Top-5 | 0.605 | Top-5 | 0.707 |
| Top-6 | 0.533 | Top-6 | 0.642 | Top-6 | 0.758 |
| All | 0.672 | All | 0.940 | All | $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 8}$ |

[^21]Exhibit 8: Recently launched 'free' roaming plans across operators

| Operator | MRP | Local Calls <br> (Home \& Roam) | STD Calls <br> (Home \& Roam) | Incoming Calls (while in Roaming) | SMS (Home \& Roam) | Validity | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tata Docomo | Rs. 152 | 78p/min | 90p/min | 75p/min | Local:Re1, STD:Rs.1.5 | 60 days |  |
| Tata Docomo | Rs. 252 | 78p/min | 90p/min | Free | Local:Re1, STD:Rs.1.5 | 60 days |  |
| Uninor | Rs. 61 | $1.6 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 2.2p/sec | $1.25 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{sec}$ | Local:Re1, STD:Rs.1.5 | 180 days |  |
| Uninor | Rs. 1,199 | Re.1/min | Rs. $1.5 / \mathrm{min}$ | Free | Local:Re1, STD:Rs.1.5 | 180 days |  |
| Loop | Rs. 47 | Re.1/min | Rs. 1.5/min | 75p/min |  | 180 days |  |
| Loop | Rs. 64 + Rs.2/day after 30 days | 60p/min | 80p/min | Free |  | 180 days |  |
| Reliance Com | Rs. 5 | 1.5p/sec | 1.5p/sec | Free |  | 1 day |  |
| Reliance Com | Rs. 77 | 1.5p/sec | 1.5p/sec | Free |  | 28 days |  |
| Reliance Com | Rs. 194 | $1.5 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 1.5p/sec | Free |  | 180 days |  |
| BSNL | Rs. 5 | $1.5 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 1.5p/sec | Free |  | 1 day |  |
| BSNL | Rs. 69 | 1.5p/sec | 1.5p/sec | Free |  | 30 days |  |
| BSNL | Rs. 199 | Local \& STD <br> (Home) : $1.2 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{sec}$ <br> to BSNL N/W, <br> $1.5 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{sec}$ to others | Local \& STD <br> (Roaming) : <br> Re. $1 / \mathrm{min}$, <br> Rs.1.5/min | Free in BSNL N/W (75p/min in MTNL Mumbai \& Delhi N/W) |  | 180 days |  |
| MTNL | Rs. 9 | $3 \mathrm{p} / 2 \mathrm{sec}$ | 3p/2sec | Free | Local:Re1, STD:Rs.1.5 | 2 days |  |
| MTNL | Rs. 75 | 3p/2sec | 3p/2sec | Free | Local:Re1, STD:Rs.1.5 | 30 days |  |
| MTNL | Rs. 89 | 90p/min | Rs. $1.40 / \mathrm{min}$ | 75p/min | Local:Re1, STD:Rs.1.5 | 180 days |  |
| MTNL | Rs. 310 | 90p/min | Rs.1.40/min | Free | Local:Re1, STD:Rs.1.5 | 180 days | Post paid plans also available |
| Vodafone | Rs. 5 | $1.5 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 1.5p/sec | Free |  | 1 day |  |
| Vodafone | Rs. 71 | 1.5p/sec | 1.5p/sec | Free |  | 30 days |  |
| Vodafone | Rs. 149 | Re. $1 / \mathrm{min}$ | Rs. 1.5/min | Free |  | 30 days | Free 100 minutes voice (Local+STD+Roam), Free 100 SMS (Local, STD, Roam) |
| Vodafone | Rs. 149 | 1.2p/sec | 1.2p/sec | Free |  | 30 days | Free 100 minutes voice (Local+STD+Roam), Free 100 SMS (Local, STD, Roam) |
| Airtel | Rs. 5 | 1.5p/sec | 1.5p/sec | Free |  | 1 day |  |
| Airtel | Rs. 79 | 1.5p/sec | 1.5p/sec | Free |  | 30 days |  |
| Airtel | Rs. 75 (postpaid Add-on) | As per selected postpaid plan | As per selected postpaid plan | Free |  | Postpaid | Add-on pack with auto renewal |
| Airtel | Rs. 99 (Postpaid) | 75p/min | 75p/min | 75p/min | Local:Re1, STD:Rs.1.5 | Postpaid |  |
| Airtel | Rs. 99 (Postpaid) | $1.5 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 1.5p/sec | Free | Local:Re1, STD:Rs.1.5 | Postpaid |  |
| Airtel | Rs. 499 (Postpaid) | 1.2p/sec | 1.2p/sec | Free | Local:Re1, STD:Rs.1.5 | Postpaid |  |

Source: Companies, media reports

Risks to tailwind \# 1 (devil's advocate view) - negative elasticity and/or regulatory curbs on price hikes

Logical skepticism on both counts; however, we see the former (negative elasticity) as a low-probability/low-impact and the latter as a low-probability event. On the former, our comfort derives from -

- Low wallet share of telecom spending in India as compared to most global markets (see Exhibit 9) - this has been a direct result of hyper-competition-led sustained high price deflation in the Indian market.

Exhibit 9: India's per capita spend on telecom low relative to other markets


Source: Telegeography, Kotak Institutional Equities

- The way we have seen the Indian consumer absorb inflation in several other consumption categories, especially staples - telecom is largely a staple, non-discretionary consumption item, in our view, and
- Still-untapped voice volume potential - against a headline SIM penetration of $71 \%$, active SIM penetration in India is $57 \%$ and unique user penetration just $\sim 50 \%$. Rural wireless tele-density at $39 \%$ still offers a good few years of penetration-led voice volume growth. In addition, vintage effect (usage for a new sub increasing for the first few years before plateauing) for new subs added in the past three years should aid volume growth too. From the perspective of incumbents, some market share gains on voice volumes should aid, as well.

The latter (the risk of Government intervention in pricing) is a trickier one. However, we believe the interest of the Government should be aligned with the industry on this aspect, given - (1) the huge potential off balance sheet liabilities that BSNL and MTNL are at risk of becoming, (2) the industry fundamentals need to improve for the Government to be able to sell spectrum, especially 700 MHz , and (3) being pro-consumer is not just about driving price deflation - it is about ensuring sufficient investments in network quality while curbing monopolistic/oligopolistic behavior on pricing. As discussed earlier, telecom spend wallet share in India is at reasonably depressed levels and the consumer can absorb some inflation, as long as it is a calibrated increase and not a sharp spike.

Tailwind \# 2 - further market share gain potential for Idea
We had addressed part of this aspect (why we believe Idea and Vodafone should continue to gain relative market share over Bharti) in a couple of notes we published in March 2012. We continue to believe the same. In addition, footprint rationalization by challengers opens up fresh market share gain opportunities for the GSM incumbents (Bharti, Vodafone, and Idea) - Idea should be able to garner its fair share of this incremental opportunity, in our view.
Despite material market share gains and ground covered versus Bharti over the past 3-4 years (see Exhibit 10 for circle-wise market share movement for Bharti, Vodafone and Idea since June 2009), Idea's asset leverage (we define the same as revenue market share divided by network market share) as well as asset base remains substantially below Bharti. The company, in essence, has both the market share gain legs available to it - close in further on network market share versus Bharti (Idea has 90,000 2G cell sites versus nearly 134,000 for Bharti) and improve on asset leverage as well. Exhibit 11 substantiates our argument.

Exhibit 10: Circle-wise change in revenue market share of incumbents from Jun-09 to Mar-13

| Circle | Bharti |  |  |  | Vodafone |  |  |  | Idea |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of Rev <br> Mar 13 | Market share (\%) |  | Change (bps) | \% of Rev <br> Mar 13 | Market share (\%) |  | Change (bps) | \% of Rev <br> Mar 13 | Market share (\%) |  | Change (bps) |
|  |  | Jun-09 | Mar-13 |  |  | Jun-09 | Mar-13 |  |  | Jun-09 | Mar-13 |  |
| Metro + Circle A | 54.0 | 33.6 | 29.6 | (402) | 59.2 | 23.2 | 24.9 | 171 | 48.4 | 11.0 | 13.9 | 288 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 9.6 | 40.1 | 37.5 | (266) | 3.6 | 13.7 | 10.8 | (287) | 9.7 | 17.7 | 19.8 | 209 |
| Delhi | 9.4 | 38.6 | 34.4 | (418) | 10.4 | 22.9 | 29.3 | 636 | 6.1 | 9.7 | 11.6 | 189 |
| Gujarat | 3.4 | 21.1 | 18.0 | (311) | 9.7 | 40.7 | 39.1 | (157) | 7.0 | 17.6 | 19.4 | 178 |
| Karnataka | 10.7 | 54.0 | 45.3 | (872) | 4.8 | 16.0 | 15.6 | (41) | 4.6 | 6.2 | 10.2 | 406 |
| Kolkata | 2.2 | 32.2 | 26.6 | (562) | 3.4 | 32.1 | 31.3 | (75) | 0.9 | - | 5.6 | 555 |
| Maharashtra | 5.5 | 23.4 | 19.7 | (365) | 9.2 | 18.8 | 25.4 | 661 | 14.8 | 28.7 | 27.8 | (89) |
| Mumbai | 4.4 | 20.7 | 20.2 | (48) | 9.4 | 31.5 | 33.4 | 182 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 9.0 | 511 |
| TN (incl Chennai) | 8.7 | 34.3 | 30.4 | (397) | 8.5 | 21.3 | 22.7 | 146 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 295 |
| Circle B | 30.1 | 30.0 | 26.8 | (317) | 34.2 | 22.1 | 23.3 | 128 | 46.4 | 17.1 | 21.6 | 450 |
| Haryana | 1.2 | 19.9 | 17.7 | (225) | 2.7 | 25.4 | 30.0 | 468 | 3.3 | 20.1 | 24.6 | 449 |
| Kerala | 2.4 | 21.2 | 16.8 | (442) | 4.5 | 19.6 | 24.1 | 449 | 9.6 | 28.0 | 34.9 | 691 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 3.8 | 32.1 | 23.9 | (818) | 1.8 | 2.6 | 9.0 | 639 | 10.5 | 28.6 | 34.8 | 625 |
| Punjab | 4.4 | 38.8 | 34.2 | (456) | 3.1 | 16.1 | 18.5 | 241 | 5.2 | 18.0 | 21.3 | 333 |
| Rajasthan | 6.5 | 45.7 | 39.5 | (613) | 5.0 | 23.1 | 23.6 | 52 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 11.8 | 617 |
| U.P. (E) | 6.0 | 29.1 | 28.5 | (63) | 7.7 | 32.1 | 28.4 | (375) | 5.1 | 8.5 | 12.8 | 430 |
| U.P. (W) | 2.8 | 17.8 | 19.7 | 190 | 4.5 | 25.0 | 23.8 | (120) | 7.8 | 27.1 | 28.3 | 125 |
| West Bengal | 3.1 | 29.0 | 28.4 | (56) | 4.8 | 36.3 | 33.9 | (231) | 1.3 | - | 6.1 | 614 |
| Circle C | 15.9 | 47.5 | 40.4 | (715) | 6.6 | 3.5 | 12.9 | 943 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 6.8 | 432 |
| Assam | 1.9 | 35.7 | 32.1 | (357) | 1.3 | 2.7 | 16.8 | 1,412 | 0.3 | - | 2.5 | 247 |
| Bihar | 7.4 | 49.8 | 44.3 | (551) | 2.7 | 4.0 | 12.6 | 859 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 10.6 | 591 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 0.9 | 46.9 | 39.3 | (764) | 0.3 | 2.6 | 9.0 | 640 | 0.4 | 6.1 | 9.8 | 364 |
| J\&K | 1.4 | 68.2 | 37.0 | $(3,117)$ | 0.4 | 1.5 | 8.8 | 722 | 0.2 | - | 3.3 | 333 |
| North East | 1.6 | 39.0 | 42.0 | 300 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 12.3 | 823 | 0.2 | - | 3.4 | 337 |
| Orissa | 2.7 | 45.6 | 39.5 | (609) | 1.3 | 4.1 | 14.2 | 1,012 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 349 |
| Pan India | 100.0 | 33.9 | 29.9 | (392) | 100.0 | 20.8 | 23.0 | 217 | 100.0 | 12.2 | 15.7 | 351 |

Note:
(a) Circles shaded in pink represent the top- 5 circles for each operator.

Source: TRAI, Kotak Institutional Equities

Exhibit 11: Idea still has potential to catch up with Bharti


We also note that Idea's current low market share in several circles presents good market share gain potential should the company keep executing well. Vodafone's performance in its seven new circles (Madhya Pradesh and the six C category circles) shows that execution, investments and brand strength can drive profitable market share gains even at this stage of market evolution (see Exhibit 12 for Vodafone's performance in its new circles).

Exhibit 12: Vodafone has built meaningful market share in its seven new circles without price disruption

| Circle | Commercial launch | Mar-2013 quarter GR RMS (\%) | RMS rank <br> (\#) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.P. | Dec-08 | 9.0 | 4 |
| Bihar | Dec-08 | 12.6 | 2 |
| Orissa | Sep-08 | 14.2 | 2 |
| North East | Sep-08 | 12.3 | 3 |
| Assam | Sep-08 | 16.8 | 3 |
| Himachal Pradesh | Mar-09 | 9.0 | 5 |
| J\&K | Jun-09 | 8.8 | 4 |
| Total - seven new circles |  | 11.8 |  |
| Mar 2013 quarter RPM analysis versus Bharti |  |  |  |
| Bharti blended RPM (paise/min) | 42.4 |  |  |
| Vodafone blended RPM (paise/min) | 43.1 |  |  |
| Bharti total traffic (mn min) | 253,144 |  |  |
| Vodafone total traffic (mn min) | 201,170 |  |  |
| Assuming Vodafone's RPM in seven new circles is 10\% lower than Bharti |  |  |  |
| Vodafone revenues - 7 new circles (Rs mn) | 7,546 |  |  |
| Vodafone revenues - 15 legacy circles (Rs mn) | 81,411 |  |  |
| Vodafone RPM (paise/min) - 7 new circles | 38.2 |  |  |
| Vodafone minutes in 7 new circles (mn) | 19,776 |  |  |
| Vodafone minutes in 15 legacy circles (mn) | 181,394 |  |  |
| Vodafone implied RPM - 15 legacy circles | 44.9 |  |  |
| Implied RPM premium to Bharti in legacy circles (\%) | 5.9 |  |  |
| Assuming Vodafone's RPM in seven new circles is 20\% lower than Bharti |  |  |  |
| Vodafone revenues - 7 new circles (Rs mn) | 7,546 |  |  |
| Vodafone revenues - 15 legacy circles (Rs mn) | 81,411 | Too high a premium to be true |  |
| Vodafone RPM (paise/min) - 7 new circles | 33.9 |  |  |
| Vodafone minutes in 7 new circles (mn) | 22,248 |  |  |
| Vodafone minutes in 15 legacy circles (mn) | 178,922 |  |  |
| Vodafone implied RPM - 15 legacy circles | 45.5 |  |  |
| Implied RPM premium to Bharti in legacy circles (\%) | 7.3 |  |  |

Source: TRAI, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Risks to tailwind \# 2 (devil's advocate view) - (a) Bharti hits back hard, (b) Idea slips on execution, and (c) one of the challengers becomes competitive

Valid points, all, again. Bharti indeed lost substantial market share from Jun 2009 through Jun 2012 and has had to make meaningful margin sacrifice to stem the fall since. We do have a lot of respect for Bharti's execution engine; however, (1) given the industry tailwinds, Bharti does not need to hit back at Idea (or Vodafone); in fact, getting into a fierce market share battle with the other two incumbents would be a counter-productive move by Bharti, in our view, and (2) Bharti can do little about the natural network coverage upside that Idea and Vodafone enjoy over itself.

As far as Idea slipping on execution is concerned, it is indeed a risk; in fact, execution slippage is a risk with any company one invests in and one builds the same into the WACC applied while valuing the company. We do not see a reason to start building in any higher or lower risk on this front for Idea than we historically have.

The last one, i.e. one of the challengers becoming more competitive, would need massive equity infusion into one of them. We see the same as a low-probability event and hence would not be unduly worried. We also do not see a merger-led creation of a more competitive challenger.
'All goes well' scenario analysis - 20\% market share for Idea in FY2018E can mean the stock goes up 2X from current levels

Even as we believe Idea can achieve 20\% market share of the Indian wireless market in the next few years, we do not build the same into our model, to be on the conservative side and build some margin of safety. Nonetheless, in a hypothetical 'all goes well' scenario, where Idea does reach 20\% market share in FY2018E and industry remains rational, we believe Idea stock can double in the next four years. See Exhibit 13 below: we also note that this is not a 'blue sky' scenario, by any means - most assumptions on industry revenue growth only assume sustained rationality; our voice RPM and regulatory impact assumptions can be termed conservative, in fact.

Exhibit 13: Idea 'blue sky' scenario

|  | FY2013 | FY2018E | CAGR (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry size - India wireless |  |  |  |
| Voice volumes (bn min) | 3,709 | 4,734 | 5.0 |
| Voice RPM (Rs/min) | 0.319 | 0.370 | 3.0 |
| Voice revenues (Rs bn) | 1,184 | 1,752 |  |
| SMS revenues (Rs bn) | 103 | 94 | (2.0) |
| VAS revenues (Rs bn) | 43 | 50 | 3.0 |
| Data revenues (Rs bn) | 115 | 740 | 45.0 |
| Total industry revenues (Rs bn) | 1,446 | 2,636 | 12.8 |
| Idea 'all goes well' financials |  |  |  |
| Wireless business |  |  |  |
| Revenues (Rs bn) | 219 | 527 | 19.2 |
| Revenue market share (\%) | 15.2 | 20.0 |  |
| EBITDA (Rs bn) | 51.8 | 184.5 | 28.9 |
| EBITDA margins (\%) | 23.6 | 35.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| EV/EBITDA (X) - on wireless |  | 5.5 |  |
| EV (Rs bn) - wireless only |  | 1,015 |  |
| Tower business EV computation |  |  |  |
| Owned towers (\#) |  | 10,000 |  |
| Proportionate share of Indus towers (\#) |  | 16,000 |  |
| Total towers (\#) |  | 26,000 |  |
| EV/tower (Rs mn) |  | 4.0 |  |
| Tower EV (Rs bn) |  | 104 |  |
| Total EV (Rs bn) |  | 1,119 |  |
| Net debt/ (cash) without regulatory payments (Rs bn) |  | (157) |  |
| Regulatory payments FY2014-18E (Rs bn) |  | 177 |  |
| PV of regulatory payments beyond FY2018E (Rs bn) |  | 100 |  |
| Equity value (Rs bn) |  | 999 |  |
| Per share (Rs) |  | 303 |  |
| Upside (\%) |  | 100 |  |

Source: FactSet

Risk of underestimating the strength of positive inflection - on voice pricing, data volumes, and resultant absolute EBITDA and EBITDA growth

We present a single chart to drive home the point here - Exhibit 14 shows the consistent downgrades in Street's EPS estimates for Bharti over the past four years. Even as a part of the downgrade cycle for Bharti was driven by weaker-than-expected performance in Africa and market share losses in India, underestimation of competition's impact on RPM and cost structure in the India wireless business had a role to play as well. Similar underestimation, this time on the positive side, is very much a possibility. Idea's 4QFY13 earnings surprise and Vodafone's 1QFY14 RPM beat are two very relevant pointers as well. We also reproduce a quote Idea's CEO made in the 4QFY13 earnings call - "...the future can no longer be extrapolated from the past. Change is a discontinuous function rather than a linear projection, to the discomfort of many analyst colleagues. "

Exhibit 14: Consensus EPS estimates for Bharti have seen consistent downgrades


Source: FactSet

## Valuation - are we stretching it a bit?

At current market price, Idea is trading at 6X FY2015E EV/EBITDA and 2.6X P/B (for an expected FY2015E ROE of 17.9\%) and our target price implies 7X FY2015E EV/EBITDA and 3.1X P/B. Even as the same may appear high in light of the multiples in recent past and in general versus the trading multiples of global comps, we note that (1) we base our fair value target on DCF, and (2) the same bakes in several years of strong EBITDA and FCF growth; strong FCF generation should drive some EV to equity shift as well over the coming years, regulatory payouts notwithstanding.

Part of our aggressive stance on valuations also stems from (1) potential upside versus our already high estimates versus the Street, and (2) our view that solid 'defensives with earnings visibility/comfort' should continue to command a premium relative to the market; Indian telcos with reasonable leverage, especially Idea, fit the bill.

Exhibits 15 and 16 give various valuation parameters for Idea at the CMP and our target price, respectively.

Exhibit 15: Idea - valuation summary at current market price, March fiscal year-ends, 2011-17E

| Rs bn | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 E}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| @ Rs150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market cap | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 |
| Net debt | 106 | 131 | 121 | 100 | 72 | 30 | $(25)$ |
| Enterprise value | 603 | 628 | 618 | 598 | 569 | 527 | 472 |
| P/E (X) | 55.3 | 68.8 | 49.2 | 24.5 | 15.8 | 11.6 | 9.2 |
| EV/EBITDA (X) | 15.9 | 12.3 | 10.3 | 7.7 | 6.0 | 4.7 | 3.6 |
| EV/FCF (X) | NM | NM | 57.1 | 20.7 | 15.7 | 10.9 | 7.9 |
| FCF yield (\%) | NM | NM | 1.8 | $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7}$ |
| P/B (X) | $\mathbf{4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ |
| ROE (\%) | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 3}$ |
| EV/GCI (X) | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ |
| ROCE (\%) | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPS (Rs/share) | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 3}$ |
| EBITDA (Rs bn) | $\mathbf{3 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9 . 5}$ |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 16: Idea - valuation summary at target price, March fiscal year-ends, 2011-17E

| Rs bn | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 E}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $@$ Rs180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market cap | 597 | 597 | 597 | 597 | 597 | 597 | 597 |
| Net debt | 106 | 131 | 121 | 100 | 72 | 30 | $(25)$ |
| Enterprise value | 703 | 728 | 718 | 697 | 669 | 627 | 572 |
| P/E (X) | 66.4 | 82.6 | 59.0 | 29.4 | 18.9 | 13.9 | 11.0 |
| EV/EBITDA (X) | 18.5 | 14.3 | 12.0 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 4.4 |
| EV/FCF (X) | NM | NM | 66.3 | 24.1 | 18.4 | 12.9 | 9.6 |
| FCF yield (\%) | NM | NM | 1.5 | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ |
| P/B (X) | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ |
| ROE (\%) | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 3}$ |
| EV/GCI (X) | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ |
| ROCE (\%) | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPS (Rs/share) | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 3}$ |
| EBITDA (Rs bn) | $\mathbf{3 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9 . 5}$ |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

## Quick thoughts on the oft-discussed 'Idea versus Bharti' question

As noted earlier, we continue to prefer Idea over Bharti. Even as we believe Bharti's India business should benefit as well from the improving sector fundamentals, our relative preference for Idea is driven by (1) Idea's higher leverage to India wireless fundamentals, given pure-India-wireless-play nature, and (2) our discomfort with Bharti Africa - we believe there could be more negative surprises on the EBITDA/capex (simple FCF, in essence) equation for Bharti Africa in the coming years. Bharti faces license/spectrum renewals in several of its African operations starting FY2016 - the Street (including us) is not in a position to appreciate the potential payouts in the absence of any guidance from Bharti.

As far as relative valuations are concerned, we prefer to look at like-on-line India wireless versus India wireless valuation comparison instead of headline comparison. We present the same in Exhibit 17 below; on a like-on-like comparison, Idea is in fact trading at a 3\% discount to Bharti on an FY2015E EV/EBITDA basis - cheap, in light of relative growth differential. Exhibit 18 gives the same comparison on our target prices - Rs350/share for Bharti and Rs180/share for Idea - our price targets value Idea's India wireless business at about $10 \%$ premium to Bharti, fair in our view.

Exhibit 17: Idea versus Bharti - what is the Indian wireless business being valued at?

| Bharti (proportionate consolidation of Africa) | Idea |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| @ Rs330 |  | @ Rs150 |  |
| Market cap (Rs bn) | 1,320 | Market cap (Rs bn) | 497 |
| Net debt - FY15E (Rs bn) | 489 | Net debt - FY15E (Rs bn) | 77 |
| Consol EV (Rs bn) | 1,809 | Consol EV (Rs bn) | 575 |
| Consol EBITDA (Rs bn) | 313 | Consol EBITDA (Rs bn) | 95.0 |
| Consol EV/EBITDA (X) | 5.8 | Consol EV/EBITDA (X) | 6.0 |
| Less |  | Less |  |
| Africa EV (Rs bn) | 320 | Tower EV - Indus (Rs bn) | 54 |
| Africa EBITDA (Rs bn) - proportionate | 64 | \# of towers (13.4\%) | 14,950 |
| EV/EBITDA (X) | 5.0 | EV/tower (Rs mn) | 3.6 |
| Tower EV | 235 | Tower EV - non-Indus (Rs bn) | 34 |
| \# of towers (proportionate, minority-adjusted) | 65,156 | \# of towers | 10,000 |
| EV/tower (Rs mn) | 3.6 | EV/tower (Rs mn) | 3.4 |
| DTH EV | 66.0 |  |  |
| FY2014E Sales (Rs bn) | 22.0 |  |  |
| EV/sales (X) | 3.0 |  |  |
| India non-wireless, non-DTH EV (Rs bn) | 64 |  |  |
| EBITDA (Rs bn) | 13 |  |  |
| EV/EBITDA (X) | 5.0 |  |  |
| Residual EV - India wireless (Rs bn) | 1,124 | Residual EV - India wireless (Rs bn) | 487 |
| India wireless EBITDA (Rs bn) | 188 | India wireless EBITDA (Rs bn) (a) | 84.0 |
| India wireless EV/EBITDA (X) | 6.0 | India wireless EV/EBITDA (X) | 5.8 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Idea premium/ (discount) | (2.9) |  |  |
| Note: |  |  |  |
| Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates |  |  |  |

Exhibit 18: Idea versus Bharti - residual India wireless valuations at our target prices


[^22]Exhibit 19: Key assumptions driving Idea model, March fiscal year-ends, 2012-17E

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 E}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Subscriber base ('000s) | $\mathbf{1 1 2 , 7 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 , 6 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 , 2 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3 , 2 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1 , 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9 , 2 2 9}$ |
| Net adds per month ('OOOs) | 1,935 | 740 | 807 | 998 | 665 | 665 |
| Voice traffic (bn mins) | $\mathbf{4 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ |
| Change(\%) | 25.0 | 17.4 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 |
| Voice RPM (Rs/min) | $\mathbf{0 . 3 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 9}$ |
| Change(\%) | $(0.5)$ | $(4.2)$ | 3.0 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Overall RPM (Rs/min) | $\mathbf{0 . 4 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 7 8}$ |
| Change(\%) |  | $(2.4)$ | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| Data revenues (Rs mn) | $\mathbf{6 , 6 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 1 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 , 4 7 6}$ |
| Change(\%) |  | 81.4 | 75.7 | 46.4 | 40.6 | 34.1 |
| SMS \& VAS revenues (Rs mn) | $\mathbf{1 8 , 9 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 5 4}$ |
| Change(\%) |  | 9.6 | - | - | - | $\mathbf{-}$ |
| ARPU (Rs/sub/month) | $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5}$ |
| Change(\%) |  | $(1.1)$ | 10.2 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 5.2 |
| MOU (min/sub/month) | $\mathbf{3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 9}$ |
| Change(\%) |  | 1.3 | 5.6 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 1.5 |
| EBITDA per minute (Rs/min) | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 1}$ |
| Change(\%) |  | 1.3 | 15.7 | 10.2 | 8.6 | $\mathbf{7 . 9}$ |
| Capex (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standalone | $\mathbf{3 9 , 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 3 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 5 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 1 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 , 0 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 2 3 6}$ |
| As \% of revenues | 19.8 | 20.0 | 13.0 | 13.4 | 12.0 | 11.1 |
| Consolidated | $\mathbf{4 2 , 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 , 1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 , 1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 5 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 , 2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 , 1 6 0}$ |
| As \% of revenues | 21.5 | 21.9 | 14.8 | 14.9 | 13.3 | 12.3 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 20: Idea Cellular's condensed financial statements, March fiscal year-ends, 2011-15E

|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 155,034 | 195,412 | 224,575 | 264,317 | 305,808 |
| EBITDA | 37,907 | 50,923 | 60,044 | 77,714 | 94,990 |
| EBIT | 13,934 | 21,110 | 25,266 | 40,280 | 54,748 |
| Net interest income / (expense) | $(3,965)$ | $(10,559)$ | $(9,495)$ | $(9,791)$ | $(7,336)$ |
| Tax | (982) | $(3,323)$ | $(5,664)$ | $(10,214)$ | $(15,883)$ |
| Recurring Net profit | 8,987 | 7,228 | 10,107 | 20,275 | 31,529 |
| Fully diluted EPS | 2.7 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 6.1 | 9.5 |
| Balance sheet (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | 14,777 | 2,497 | 11,709 | 12,145 | 20,824 |
| Other current assets | 25,980 | 47,119 | 51,660 | 59,370 | 69,877 |
| Fixed assets | 215,396 | 214,409 | 224,617 | 232,286 | 243,558 |
| Other long term assets | 45,981 | 62,327 | 75,794 | 69,794 | 63,794 |
| Short tem debt | 28,944 | 38,150 | 14,585 | - | - |
| Other current liabilities | 55,331 | 56,205 | 76,915 | 88,394 | 104,037 |
| Long term debt | 91,761 | 95,222 | 118,047 | 112,633 | 92,633 |
| Other long term liabilities | 3,099 | 6,273 | 11,180 | 11,180 | 11,180 |
| Shareholders funds (incl. minorities) | 122,999 | 130,502 | 143,053 | 161,389 | 190,204 |
| Free cash flow (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA | 37,907 | 50,923 | 60,044 | 77,714 | 94,990 |
| Change in working capital | $(24,642)$ | 7,897 | 3,769 | 5,136 | 5,362 |
| Cash tax (paid) | (416) | $(1,973)$ | $(3,864)$ | $(10,214)$ | $(15,883)$ |
| Capex on PP\&E and intangibles | $(92,080)$ | $(42,020)$ | $(49,131)$ | $(39,104)$ | $(45,514)$ |
| Miscallenous | 41,658 | $(29,216)$ | 9,792 | $(1,367)$ | (226) |
| Free cash flow | $(37,573)$ | $(14,389)$ | 20,610 | 32,165 | 38,729 |
| Ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales growth | 24.6 | 26.0 | 14.9 | 17.7 | 15.7 |
| EBITDA growth | 11.3 | 34.3 | 17.9 | 29.4 | 22.2 |
| EPS growth | (0.5) | (19.6) | 39.8 | 100.6 | 55.5 |
| FCF growth | NM | NM | NM | 56.1 | 20.4 |
| EBITDA margin | 24.5 | 26.1 | 26.7 | 29.4 | 31.1 |
| Net margin | 5.8 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 7.7 | 10.3 |
| FCF margin | (24.2) | (7.4) | 9.2 | 12.2 | 12.7 |
| RoAE | 7.4 | 5.7 | 7.4 | 13.3 | 17.9 |
| ROAE (excl. cash and int. income) | 7.5 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 13.1 | 18.2 |
| RoACE | 6.4 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 14.3 | 19.2 |
| ROACE (excl. cash and int. income) | 6.4 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 14.8 | 20.2 |
| Net debt/EBITDA (X) | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Net debt/equity (X) | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Total debt/capital (X) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

| Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15－Jul | 16－Jul | 17－Jul | 18－Jul | 19－Jul | 20－Jul |
|  |  |  |  |  | HT Media |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22－Jul | 23－Jul | 24－Jul | 25－Jul | 26－JuI | 27－JuI |
| Asian Paints | Coromandel International | Ambuja Cements | ACC | Bharat 日ect | Corporation Bank |
| Blue Star | Dew an Housing | Cairn India | Bata India | CESC |  |
| IL\＆FS Investment Managers | L\＆T Finance Holdings | Central Bank | Biocon | Dish TV |  |
| ING Vysya Bank | SBBJ | Dabur India | Container Corp | JSW ENERGY |  |
| L\＆T | Shriram Trans | Hero MotoCorp | Gail India | Mcleod Russel |  |
| Mangalore Ref |  | Indiabulls Real Estate | Indian Overseas Bank | Nestle India |  |
|  |  | Mahindra Lifespace Developers |  | Punjab National Bank |  |
|  |  | United Phos | Kalpataru Pow er | State Bank Trav |  |
|  |  | Yes Bank | Maruti Suzuki | Tata Communications |  |
|  |  |  | MMFSL | Torrent Pharma |  |
|  |  |  | Muthoot Finance | Wipro |  |
|  |  |  | Shriram City Union Finan |  |  |
|  |  |  | SKS Microfinance |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sterlite Industries |  |  |
|  |  |  | Thermax |  |  |
|  |  |  | Torrent Pow er |  |  |
|  |  |  | Zee Entertainment |  |  |
| 29－Jul | 30－Jul | 31－Jul | 1－Aug | 2－Aug | 3－Aug |
| Bharti Infratel | Dr Reddys Lab | Bharti Airtel | Adani Ports | Berger Paints | Coal India |
| Colgate Palmolive | Financial Technologies | Chambal Fertilisers | Adani Pow er | ICRA | Godrej Consumers |
| IDFC | GMDC | Dishman Pharma | Castrol |  |  |
| Madras Cements | Gujarat Pipavav | ICICI Bank | Idea Cellular |  |  |
| Monsanto India | Havells India | JSW Steel |  |  |  |
| Ultratech Cement | IPCA Lab | Karnataka Bank |  |  |  |
|  | NTPC | NHPC |  |  |  |
|  | Petronet LNG | Religare Enter |  |  |  |
|  | Shree Cement |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shree Cement |  |  |  |  |
|  | Syndicate Bank |  |  |  |  |
| 5－Aug | 6－Aug | 7－Aug | 8－Aug | 9－Aug | 10－Aug |
| Tata Chemicals | Tata Pow er | Apollo tyres | Adani Enter | ABB | Godrej Industries |
|  |  | Ranbaxy Laboratories | Jet Airw ays |  |  |
| 12－Aug | 13－Aug | 14－Aug | 15－Aug | 16－Aug | 17－Aug |
| Brittania Industries | BPCL | Amara Raja Batteries |  |  |  |
|  | Hindalco Industries |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mahindra \＆Mahindra |  |  |  |  |

Source：BSE，NSE，Kotak Institutional Equities

| Kotak Institutional Equities: Valuation summary of KIE Universe stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19.Jul-13 |  | mkt cap. |  | $0 / 5$ shares | EPS (Rs) |  |  | EPS growth (\%) |  |  | PER (X) |  |  | EV/EBTITA ( X ) |  |  | Price/sv (x) |  |  | Dividend yield (\%) |  |  | RoE (\%) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & \text { Perice } \end{aligned}$ | Upside | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADVT- } \\ 3 \mathrm{mo} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amara Raja Batteries | 248 | Reduce | 42,336 | 713 | 171 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 17.6 | 33.3 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 14.8 | 14.7 | 14.1 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 30.4 | 24.6 | 21.5 | 240 | (3.2) | 1.5 |
| Apollo Tyres | 70 | reduce | 35,463 | 598 | 504 | 12.3 | 14.2 | 14.6 | 51.6 | 15.4 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 19.9 | 19.1 | 16.6 | 64 | (9.0) | 8.7 |
| Ashok Leyland | 15 | ADD | 40,442 | 681 | 2,661 | 0.5 | (0.9) | 1.1 | (77.5) | (265.0) | 224.5 | 28.1 | (17.0) | 13.7 | 9.5 | 15.6 | 7.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3.9 | (2.9) | 3.3 | 12.6 | (6.7) | 8.2 | 18 | 18.4 | 1.8 |
| Bajij Auto | 1.966 | ADD | 568,960 | 9,587 | 289 | 105.2 | 119.1 | 139.2 | 1.3 | 13.2 | 17.0 | 18.7 | 16.5 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 12.7 | 11.0 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 43.2 | 38.6 | 36.9 | 2,000 | 1.7 | 13.9 |
| Bharat forge | 222 | SELL | 52,716 | 888 | 237 | 9.6 | 11.7 | 18.1 | (44.7) | 21.6 | 54.7 | 23.1 | 19.0 | 12.3 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 7.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 12.7 | 9.6 | 12.3 | 200 | (10.0) | 1.1 |
| Exide industries | 134 | ADD | 114,240 | 1,925 | 850 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 13.4 | 23.0 | 14.1 | 21.9 | 17.8 | 15.6 | 14.5 | 12.1 | 10.9 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 16.1 | 17.6 | 17.8 | 135 | 0.4 | 3.0 |
| Hero Motocorp | 1.773 | ADD | 354,048 | 5,965 | 200 | 106.1 | 117.1 | 146.9 | (10.9) | 10.4 | 25.5 | 16.7 | 15.1 | 12.1 | 12.7 | 10.3 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 44.0 | 39.6 | 39.0 | 1,900 | 7.2 | 8.7 |
| Mahindra \& Matindra | 879 | ADD | 494,226 | 8,327 | 562 | 58.0 | 57.6 | 67.1 | 17.7 | (0.7) | 16.4 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 13.1 | 10.9 | 11.0 | 9.4 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 24.4 | 20.6 | 20.9 | 1,050 | 19.4 | 20.6 |
| Maruti Suzuki | 1.452 | sell | 438,469 | 7,388 | 302 | 79.2 | 92.0 | 102.3 | 39.9 | 16.2 | 11.1 | 18.3 | 15.8 | 14.2 | 11.8 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 13.3 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 1.360 | (6.3) | 17.5 |
| Motherson Sumi Systems | 222 | BuY | 130,536 | 2,199 | 588 | 7.6 | 13.6 | 18.3 | 71.2 | 80.4 | 33.9 | 29.4 | 16.3 | 12.2 | 9.7 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 26.8 | 29.3 | 30.7 | 235 | 5.9 | 1.5 |
| Tata Motors | 295 | BuY | 948,139 | 15,975 | 3,218 | 30.7 | 35.0 | 41.9 | (31.1) | 14.0 | 19.4 | 9.6 | 8.4 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 |  | . | 28.8 | 27.3 | 25.1 | 330 | 12.0 | 42.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andhra Bank | 78 | ADD | 43,451 | 732 | 560 | 23.0 | 22.0 | 23.6 | (4.1) | (4.3) | 7.0 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 16.2 | 13.9 | 13.4 | 110 | 41.7 | 2.0 |
| Axis Bank | 1.192 | ADD | 557,755 | 9,398 | 468 | 110.7 | 117.4 | 130.4 | 7.8 | 6.1 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 10.1 | 9.1 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 18.5 | 15.6 | 15.2 | 1,380 | 15.8 | 47.0 |
| Baije Finserv | 630 | bur | 100,301 | 1.690 | 159 | 103.4 | 76.4 | 90.6 | 9.1 | (26.1) | 18.5 | 6.1 | 8.2 | 7.0 | - | - | - | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 25.7 | 14.6 | 15.2 | 825 | 30.9 | 1.1 |
| Bank of Baroda | 571 | Reduce | 235,593 | 3,970 | 412 | 109.3 | 115.5 | 119.2 | (10.0) | 5.7 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 4.8 | - | - | - | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 15.7 | 14.5 | 13.5 | 740 | 29.5 | 13.1 |
| Bank of India | 212 | ADD | 126,189 | 2,126 | 597 | 46.1 | 55.4 | 58.3 | (1.1) | 20.2 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 3.6 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 12.9 | 13.7 | 13.1 | 365 | 72.6 | 5.2 |
| Canara Bank | 318 | REDUCE | 141,073 | 2,377 | 443 | 64.8 | 69.8 | 79.4 | (12.5) | 7.7 | 13.8 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.0 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 12.1 | 11.9 | 12.2 | 415 | 30.3 | 7.5 |
| City Union Bank | 53 | BuY | 28,591 | 482 | 539 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 7.8 | (13.0) | 11.6 | 17.1 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 6.8 | - | - | - | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 22.3 | 19.4 | 18.8 | 70 | 32.0 | 0.2 |
| Corporation Bank | 326 | BuY | 49,826 | 840 | 153 | 93.8 | 102.3 | 108.7 | (7.7) | 9.0 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.0 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 16.1 | 15.4 | 14.6 | 480 | 47.3 | 1.0 |
| Development Credit Bank | 50 | BuY | 12,406 | 209 | 250 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 78.3 | 33.7 | 13.6 | 12.2 | 9.1 | 8.0 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | - | - | - | 11.6 | 13.4 | 13.3 | 60 | 21.0 | 1.2 |
| Federal Bank | 382 | BuY | 65,379 | 1,102 | 171 | 49.0 | 44.0 | 56.6 | 7.9 | (10.3) | 28.7 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 6.8 | - | - | - | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 13.9 | 11.3 | 13.2 | 530 | 38.7 | 3.9 |
| HDFC | 803 | reduce | 1,242,028 | 20,927 | 1.546 | 31.4 | 37.4 | 43.9 | 12.3 | 19.3 | 17.4 | 25.6 | 21.5 | 18.3 | - | - | - | 5.0 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 22.0 | 21.8 | 22.7 | 790 | (1.6) | 45.5 |
| HdFC Bank | 680 | reduce | 1,618,005 | 27,262 | 2,379 | 28.3 | 36.0 | 44.8 | 28.4 | 27.5 | 24.2 | 24.1 | 18.9 | 15.2 | - | - | - | 4.5 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 20.3 | 21.7 | 22.7 | 655 | (3.7) | 34.2 |
| ${ }_{\text {ICICII Bank }}$ | 959 | Bur | 1,110,946 | 18,719 | 1,158 | 71.9 | 74.0 | 78.4 | 28.2 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 13.3 | 13.0 | 12.2 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 13.1 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 1,290 | 34.5 | 67.2 |
| IDFC | 126 | BuY | 190,482 | 3,209 | 1.512 | 12.1 | 14.0 | 16.3 | 18.1 | 15.1 | 16.4 | 10.4 | 9.0 | 7.7 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 14.2 | 14.6 | 15.2 | 185 | 46.9 | 17.6 |
| India Infoline | 55 | ADD | 16,594 | 280 | 304 | 9.2 | 10.9 | 13.1 | 102.9 | 17.7 | 20.3 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 4.2 | - | - | - | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 15.1 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 77 | 41.2 |  |
| Indian Bank | 107 | Bur | 46,050 | 776 | 430 | 35.8 | 35.4 | 37.3 | (9.5) | (1.1) | 5.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 15.4 | 13.6 | 13.0 | 230 | 114.7 | 0.5 |
| Indian Overseas Bank | 48 | reduce | 43,895 | 740 | 924 | 6.1 | 16.5 | 19.4 | (53.3) | 169.0 | 17.2 | 7.7 | 2.9 | 2.4 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 8.1 | 9.6 | 4.5 | 10.9 | 11.7 | 65 | 36.8 | 1.2 |
| Indusind Bank | 445 | ADD | 232,677 | 3,920 | 523 | 20.3 | 24.9 | 28.1 | 18.3 | 22.8 | 12.5 | 21.9 | 17.8 | 15.9 | - | - | - | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 18.3 | 16.6 | 16.1 | 530 | 19.1 | 14.7 |
| ing Vysya Bank | 576 | ADD | 107,842 | 1,817 | 187 | 39.6 | 37.0 | 44.8 | 30.2 | (6.4) | 21.1 | 14.5 | 15.5 | 12.8 | - | - | - | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 14.6 | 11.9 | 11.2 | 650 | 12.9 | 2.4 |
| J\&k Bank | 1,247 | Reduce | 60,479 | 1,019 | 48 | 217.6 | 199.6 | 188.1 | 31.4 | (8.3) | (5.8) | 5.7 | 6.2 | 6.6 | - | - | - | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 23.6 | 18.5 | 15.4 | 1.320 | 5.8 |  |
| Karur Vysya Bank | 411 | ADD | 44,019 | 742 | 107 | 51.3 | 52.9 | 62.2 | 9.7 | 3.1 | 17.5 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 6.6 | - | - | - | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 19.0 | 17.3 | 17.9 | 510 | 24.2 | 0.7 |
| LIC Housing Finance | 208 | ADD | 105,206 | 1,773 | 505 | 20.3 | 25.4 | 30.8 | 11.9 | 25.3 | 21.3 | 10.3 | 8.2 | 6.8 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 16.8 | 18.4 | 19.3 | 300 | 44.0 | 12.9 |
| LsT Finance Holdings | 74 | SELI | 126,892 | 2,138 | 1,715 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 60.3 | 10.1 | 12.8 | 17.4 | 15.8 | 14.0 | - | - | - | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.7 | - | - | - | 14.1 | 13.4 | 13.2 | 60 | (18.9) | 3.1 |
| Magma Fincorp | 88 | Bur | 16,720 | 282 | 190 | 6.5 | 10.2 | 12.3 | 100.6 | 55.6 | 21.0 | 13.5 | 8.7 | 7.2 | - | - | - | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 10.1 | 12.7 | 14.0 | 125 | 42.0 | 0.3 |
| Mahindra \& Mahindra Financial | 250 | reduce | 142,088 | 2,394 | 568 | 15.5 | 18.9 | 21.8 | 28.6 | 21.6 | 15.2 | 16.1 | 13.2 | 11.5 | - | - | - | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 23.8 | 22.2 | 21.8 | 230 | (8.0) | 7.1 |
| Muthoot Einance | 97 | NR | 38,555 | 650 | 397 | 28.2 | 29.9 | 34.9 | 17.3 | 6.1 | 16.7 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 2.8 | - | - | - | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 31.2 | 26.2 | 23.7 | - | - | - |
| Oriental Bank of Commerce | 173 | reduce | 50,606 | 853 | 292 | 45.5 | 53.7 | 56.7 | 16.3 | 17.9 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.1 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 11.4 | 280 | 61.4 |  |
| PFC | 133 | ADD | 175,669 | 2.960 | 1.319 | 33.5 | 36.7 | 43.6 | 45.6 | 9.6 | 18.7 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.1 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 19.8 | 18.7 | 19.2 | 245 | 84.0 | 9.3 |
| Punjab National Bank | 631 | reduce | 223,042 | 3,758 | 353 | 134.3 | 130.2 | 144.3 | (6.7) | (3.0) | 10.8 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.4 | - | - | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 16.5 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 810 | 28.4 | 11.9 |
| Reliance Capital | 370 | ADD | 91,055 | 1,534 | 246 | 26.9 | 23.4 | 28.5 | 27.5 | (13.0) | 21.7 | 13.7 | 15.8 | 13.0 | - | - | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 505 | 36.5 | 34.5 |
| Rural Electrification Corp. | 192 | ADD | 189,727 | 3,197 | 987 | 38.7 | 44.3 | 47.6 | 35.3 | 14.6 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 | - | - | - | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 23.7 | 22.8 | 20.7 | 270 | 40.5 | 5.3 |
| Shriram City Union Finance | 937 | NR | 54,625 | 920 | 58 | 80.2 | 98.3 | 118.7 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 20.7 | 11.7 | 9.5 | 7.9 | - | - | - | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 22.3 | 22.5 | 22.0 | - | - | 0.6 |
| Shriram Transport | 660 | ADD | 147,239 | 2.481 | 223 | 61.0 | 71.8 | 84.8 | 8.2 | 17.7 | 18.2 | 10.8 | 9.2 | 7.8 | - | - | - | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 20.6 | 20.4 | 20.3 | 800 | 21.2 | 11.8 |
| State Bank of India | 1.811 | ADD | 1,238,984 | 20,876 | 684 | 206.2 | 210.4 | 227.0 | 18.2 | 2.0 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 8.0 | - | - | - | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 15.4 | 13.8 | 13.4 | 2,430 | 34.2 | 88.0 |
| Union Bank | 157 | ADD | 93,427 | 1.574 | 597 | 36.0 | 36.4 | 37.4 | 11.5 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.2 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 15.0 | 13.1 | 12.3 | 285 | 82.1 | 6.4 |
| Yes Bank | 425 | reduce | 152,271 | 2.566 | 359 | 36.3 | 36.9 | 39.3 | 31.0 | 1.8 | 6.4 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 10.8 | - | - | - | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 24.8 | 20.9 | 18.9 | 450 | 6.0 | 19. |
| Banks/Financial Institutions |  | Cautious | 8,999,686 | 150,290 |  |  |  |  | 15.7 | 8.9 | 11.8 | 10.2 | 9.4 | 8.4 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 16.6 | 16.1 | 16.0 |  |  |  |
| Cement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACC | 1.276 | Reduce | 239,641 | 4,038 | 188 | 73.7 | 68.1 | 79.6 | 29.1 | (7.7) | 16.9 | 17.3 | 18.7 | 16.0 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 8.6 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 19.5 | 16.4 | 17.1 | 1.170 | (8.3) | 5.5 |
| Ambuia Cements | 207 | reduce | 315,588 | 5,317 | 1.522 | 10.3 | 10.1 | 12.3 | 32.8 | (2.3) | 21.3 | 20.0 | 20.5 | 16.9 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 10.0 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 17.8 | 16.1 | 17.7 | 175 | (15.6) | 7.7 |
| Grasim Industries | 2.817 | ADD | 258,343 | 4,353 | 92 | 272.6 | 312.6 | 354.8 | (5.6) | 14.7 | 13.5 | 10.3 | 9.0 | 7.9 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 13.7 | 13.9 | 14.0 | 3,500 | 24.3 | 3.0 |
| India Cements | 58 | ADD | 17,678 | 298 | 307 | 6.8 | 8.9 | 9.5 | (24.4) | 31.2 | 7.2 | 8.5 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 95 | 65.1 | 1.3 |
| Shree Cement | 4,451 | SELL | 155,059 | 2,613 |  | 281.2 | 303.0 | 336.2 | 76.1 | 7.7 | 11.0 | 15.8 | 14.7 | 13.2 | 9.4 |  | 6.4 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 32.2 | 27.3 | 24.4 | 3,700 | (16.9) | 0.9 |
| UltraTech Cement | 1,930 | SELL | 529,236 | 8,917 | 274 | 101.3 | 108.7 | 131.5 | 13.4 | 7.3 | 21.0 | 19.1 | 17.8 | 14.7 | 11.7 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 18.9 | 18.0 | 18.4 | 1.700 | (11.9) | 6.8 |
| Cement |  | Cautious | 1,515,545 | 25,536 |  |  |  |  | 15.1 | 6.0 | 16.9 | 16.1 | 15.2 | 13.0 | 9.0 | 7.9 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 15.3 | 14.4 | 14.7 |  |  |  |

Source: Company, Bloomberg, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Kotak Institutional Equities: Valuation summary of KIE Universe stocks

| Company | $\frac{19-\text {-ul-13 }}{\text { Price (Rs) }}$ | Rating | Mkt cap. |  | $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text { o/s } \\ \text { shares } \end{array}}{(m n)}$ | EPS (Rs) |  |  | EPS growth (\%) |  |  | $\operatorname{PER}(X)$ |  |  | EV/EBITDA (X) |  |  | Price/Bv ( X ) |  |  | Dividend yield (\%)$\qquad$ |  |  | RoE (\%) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & \text { - price } \end{aligned}$ | Upside | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADVT- } \\ 3 \mathrm{moo} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (Rs mn) | (USS mn) |  |  |  | 2015E | 2013 | 2014 E | 2015 E | 2013 |  | 2015E |  |  |  | 2013 | 2014E | 2015 E |  |  |  | 201 | 2014 E | 2015 F | (R5) | (\%) |  |
| Consumer produc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian Paints | 5,165 | sell | 495,465 | 8,348 | 96 | 116.1 | 135.3 | 159.2 | 12.7 | 16.5 | 17.7 | 44.5 | 38.2 | 32.5 | 28.2 | 23.5 | 19.9 | 14.0 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 36. | 34. | 3.6 | 0 | (25.5) | 7.5 |
| Baja Corp. | 245 | BuY | 36,101 | 608 | 148 | 11.3 | 13.8 | 16.4 | 39.4 | 21.7 | 18.9 | 21.6 | 17.7 | 14.9 | 19.9 | 15.7 | 12.7 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 5.9 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 26.4 | 32.6 | 35.2 | 320 | 30.7 | 0.5 |
| Colgate-Palmolive (India) | 1.497 | sell | 203,588 | 3.430 | 136 | 36.5 | 41.3 | 46.6 | 11.3 | 12.9 | 13.1 | 41.0 | 36.3 | 32.1 | 30.3 | 26.5 | 23.0 | 43.6 | 35.1 | 31.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 107.4 | 102.7 | 100.4 | 1,300 | (13.2) |  |
| Dabur India | 168 | ADD | 292,197 | 4.923 | 1.743 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 19.1 | 23.3 | 17.8 | 38.3 | 31.0 | 26.3 | 29.9 | 24.0 | 20.1 | 13.8 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 40.0 | 39.8 | 37.9 | 160 | (4.6) | 3.8 |
| Glaxosmithkine Consumer | 5,300 | SEL | 222,902 | 3,756 | 42 | 103.8 | 124.9 | 149.0 | 20.7 | 20.2 | 19.3 | 51.0 | 42.4 | 35.6 | 44.8 | 37.8 | 31.6 | 16.4 | 13.9 | 11.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 34.9 | 35.4 | 36.1 | 3,500 | (34.0) | 4.5 |
| Godre Consumer Products | 951 | Reduce | 323,557 | 5,452 | 340 | 20.3 | 26.2 | ${ }^{32} 8$ | 20.4 | 29.4 | 24.9 | 46.9 | 36.3 | 29.0 | 35.1 | 25.9 | 20.2 | 9.2 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 22.6 | 24.5 | 25.4 | 825 | (13.2) | 4.2 |
| Hindustan Unilever | 686 | Reduce | 1,483,691 | 24,999 | 2,163 | 15.4 | 15.8 | 17.3 | 28.1 | 2.6 | 9.2 | 44.5 | 43.4 | 39.7 | 36.6 | 33.1 | 28.5 | 60.1 | 45.8 | 37.1 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 103.1 | 111.7 | 97.6 | 530 | (22.8) | 39.0 |
| $\pi<$ | 369 | ADD | 2,938,302 | 49,508 | 7,962 | 9.3 | 11.1 | 13.0 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 17.1 | 39.6 | 33.2 | 28.3 | 28.1 | 23.4 | 19.8 | 12.5 | 11.2 | 10.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 36.1 | 37.4 | 38.9 | 350 | (5.2) | 39.2 |
| Jubiant foodworks | 1,175 | SEL | 77.861 | 1,312 | 66 | 19.9 | 25.0 | 34.0 | 21.7 | 25.4 | 35.8 | 58.9 | 47.0 | 34.6 | 32.1 | 24.9 | 18.1 | 18.1 | 13.7 | 10.5 | - | - | 0.6 | 30.5 | 29.2 | 30.6 | 900 | (23.4) | 7.6 |
| Jyothy Laboratories | 178 | ADD | 29,317 | 494 | 165 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 8.3 | (55.3) | 290.2 | 91.2 | 160.2 | 41.1 | 21.5 | 26.9 | 17.5 | 13.1 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.3 | - | - | - | 200 | 12.2 |  |
| Marico | 219 | reduce | 141.247 | 2,380 | 645 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 19.5 | 17.3 | 39.0 | 32.6 | 27.8 | 23.4 | 20.3 | 17.5 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 23.2 | 20.2 | 20.4 | 210 | (4.2) | 1.2 |
| Nestle india | 5,703 | sell | 549,850 | 9,265 | 96 | 110.8 | 126.6 | 142.7 | 5.9 | 14.3 | 12.8 | 51.5 | 45.1 | 39.9 | 30.4 | 25.5 | 22.6 | 30.6 | 23.2 | 18.5 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 71.6 | 60.3 | 52.9 | 4,050 | (29.0) | 2.7 |
| Speciality Restaurants | 150 | ADD | 7.028 | 118 | 47 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 1.8 | 30.2 | 17.6 | 30.0 | 23.1 | 19.6 | 18.9 | 14.4 | 11.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | - | - | - | 11.5 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 195 | 30.3 | 0.1 |
| Tata Global Beverages | 156 | buy | 96,285 | 1,622 | 618 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 14.8 | 17.3 | 13.8 | 24.6 | 21.0 | 18.4 | 14.5 | 12.0 | 10.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 8.4 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 165 | 6.0 | 6.7 |
| Titan Industries | 261 | ADD | 232,112 | 3,911 | 888 | 8.2 | 9.3 | 11.1 | 20.9 | 13.4 | 20.1 | 32.0 | 28.2 | 23.5 | 21.8 | 18.7 | 15.2 | 11.8 | 9.3 | 7.4 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 42.3 | 36.8 | 34.9 | 295 | 12.8 | 15.0 |
| United Breweries | 830 | SELL | 219,324 | 3,695 | 264 | 6.5 | 10.2 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 56.7 | 47.5 | 127.0 | 81.0 | 54.9 | 48.3 | 32.9 | 25.0 | 15.4 | 13.4 | 11.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 12.0 | 17.1 | 21.6 | 700 | (15.6) | 5.6 |
| United Spirits | 2.740 | ADD | 398,242 | 6,710 | 145 | 9.4 | 64.1 | 79.2 | (30.8) | 579.9 | 23.5 | 290.6 | 42.7 | 34.6 | 34.9 | 23.6 | 20.0 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 8.3 | 12.4 | 12.1 | 2,900 | 5.8 | 67.6 |
| Constructions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NCC | 26 | ADD | 6.735 | 113 | 257 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 74.2 | (5.9) | 15.0 | 10.7 | 11.4 | 9.9 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 55 | 109.5 | 0.7 |
| Punj Lloyd | 32 | Reduce | 10.714 | 181 | 340 | (0.9) | 1.2 | 4.2 | (125.9) | 236.3 | 257.6 | (36.8) | 27.0 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | (0.1) | 0.3 | 1.2 | (1.0) | 1.4 | 4.9 | 45 | 42.6 | 3.4 |
| Sadbhav Engineering | 89 | bur | 13,359 | 225 | 151 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 9.7 | (47.3) | 13.8 | 74.6 | 18.0 | 15.8 | 9.1 | 12.8 | 7.9 | 5.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 13.7 | 180 | 103.4 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aban offshore | 243 | RS | 10,560 | 178 | 44 | 38.6 | 80.1 | 81.1 | (43.5) | 107.5 | 1.2 | 6.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 10.4 | 9.4 | - | - | 1.8 |
| Bharat Petroleum | 347 | bur | 250,656 | 4,223 | 723 | 36.6 | 35.8 | 41.0 | 99.2 | (2.1) | 14.7 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 8.4 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 14.6 | 12.7 | 13.3 | 485 | 39.9 | 6.3 |
| Cairn india | 308 | BuY | 588,449 | 9,915 | 1,910 | 63.1 | 61.9 | 55.0 | 51.7 | (2.0) | (11.2) | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 24.8 | 22.4 | 17.1 | 350 | 13.6 | 10.1 |
| Castrol India | 332 | SEL | 164,021 | 2,764 | 495 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 10.8 | (4.4) | 10.8 | 7.8 | 36.7 | 33.1 | 30.7 | 25.4 | 22.2 | 20.4 | 28.1 | 26.6 | 24.9 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 79.0 | 82.6 | 83.8 | 220 | (33.7) |  |
| GALL (ndia) | 333 | ADD | 422,023 | 7.111 | 1,268 | 35.5 | 31.6 | 33.4 | 16.5 | (10.9) | 5.6 | 9.4 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 17.2 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 380 | 14.2 | 6.5 |
| GSPL | 56 | ADD | 31,652 | 533 | 563 | 9.6 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 2.6 | (12.6) | 9.8 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 17.6 | 13.2 | 12.9 | 78 | 38.7 | 0.6 |
| Hindustan Petroleum | 227 | ADD | 76,786 | 1,294 | 339 | 26.7 | 24.6 | 30.2 | (0.5) | (8.0) | 23.1 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 6.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 290 | 28.0 | 3.4 |
| Indian Oil Corporation | 225 | ADD | 547,139 | 9,219 | 2,428 | 16.8 | 26.3 | 31.8 | (48.7) | 56.4 | 20.9 | 13.4 | 8.6 | 7.1 | 10.1 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 6.2 | 9.4 | 10.6 | 300 | 33.1 | 2.4 |
| Oil lndia | 552 | BuY | 331,550 | 5,586 | 601 | 59.7 | 61.9 | 67.4 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 16.0 | 15.3 | 15.5 | 700 | 26.9 | 6.2 |
| Oil \& Natural Gas Corporation | 317 | BuY | 2,711,672 | 45,690 | 8.556 | 29.9 | 33.6 | 39.3 | (8.9) | 12.5 | 16.8 | 10.6 | 9.4 | 8.1 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 13.5 | 13.7 | 14.4 | 400 | 26.2 | 21.6 |
| Petronet LNG | 125 | bur | 93,675 | 1.578 | 750 | 15.3 | 12.8 | 14.6 | 8.7 | (16.5) | 14.0 | 8.2 | 9.8 | 8.6 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 25.6 | 17.5 | 16.8 | 175 | 40.1 | 2.3 |
| Reliance Industries | 924 | ADD | 2,711,983 | 45,695 | 2,936 | 65.0 | 68.1 | 71.8 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 14.2 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 11.3 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 980 | 6.1 | 55. |
| Energy |  | Atractive | 7,940,167 | 133,785 |  |  |  |  | 0.7 | 7.7 | 8.9 | 10.4 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 13.1 | 12.7 | 12.6 |  |  |  |
| Industrials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABB | 581 | sell | 123,193 | 2,076 | 212 | 6.7 | 17.0 | 24.5 | (23.6) | 155.6 | 44.3 | 87.4 | 34.2 | 23.7 | 51.2 | 21.1 | 15.6 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 13.1 | 16.8 | 425 | (26.9) | 1.9 |
| BGR Energy Systems | 119 | reduce | 8,580 | 145 | 72 | 22.3 | 16.9 | 18.2 | (28.1) | (24.6) | 8.1 | 5.3 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 13.8 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 150 | 26.2 | 0.7 |
| Bharat Electronics | 1.201 | reduce | 96,104 | 1.619 | 80 | 113.6 | 122.9 | 135.3 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 14.5 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 1,370 | 14.0 | 0.5 |
| Bharat Heavy Electricals | 174 | SELL | 425,148 | 7.163 | 2,448 | 27.1 | 21.1 | 16.2 | (6.0) | (22.0) | (23.2) | 6.4 | 8.2 | 10.7 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 23.7 | 16.0 | 11.1 | 175 | 0.7 | 10.5 |
| Crompton Greaves | 88 | ADD | 56,292 | 948 | 642 | 1.3 | 5.4 | 8.1 | (77.2) | 314.1 | 50.0 | 67.1 | 16.2 | 10.8 | 15.9 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 9.4 | 12.9 | 105 | 19.7 | 4.7 |
| Cummins India | 451 | REDUCE | 125,045 | 2,107 | 277 | 28.3 | 26.1 | 28.8 | 28.3 | (7.7) | 10.4 | 15.9 | 17.3 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 14.8 | 13.3 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 34.5 | 27.5 | 26.3 | 450 | (0.2) | 1.7 |
| Kalpataru Power Transmision | 65 | BuY | 10,013 | 169 | 153 | 8.8 | 13.1 | 15.3 | (34.1) | 49.0 | 17.6 | 7.4 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 6.6 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 120 | 83.9 | 0.1 |
| KEC International | 34 | buy | 8,767 | 148 | 257 | 2.5 | 6.5 | 9.3 | (63.1) | 154.7 | 44.4 | 13.5 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 13.0 | 16.6 | 70 | 105.3 | 0.2 |
| Larsen \& Toubro | 974 | ADD | 899,099 | 15,149 | 923 | 52.4 | 51.9 | 64.0 | 4.4 | (1.0) | 23.3 | 18.6 | 18.8 | 15.2 | 15.1 | 13.9 | 12.6 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 15.2 | 12.6 | 13.2 | 1,075 | 10.4 | 41.2 |
| Siemens | 559 | selu | 196,856 | 3,317 | 352 | 10.0 | 18.6 | 23.2 | (27.4) | 86.6 | 24.8 | 56.2 | 30.1 | 24.1 | 23.5 | 14.1 | 11.6 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 8.8 | 15.9 | 18.3 | 425 | (24.0) | \% |
| Thermax | 605 | reduce | 72,102 | 1,215 | 119 | 26.4 | 31.6 | 37.0 | (19.7) | 19.4 | 17.3 | 22.9 | 19.2 | 16.3 | 14.7 | 12.3 | 10.2 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 18.0 | 18.9 | 19.5 | 575 | (5.0) | 0.7 |
| Votas | 81 | BuY | 26,704 | 450 | 331 | 5.9 | 7.8 | 8.8 | (37.6) | 31.7 | 12.9 | 13.7 | 10.4 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 12.5 | 14.9 | 15.3 | 105 | 30.0 | 2.0 |
| Industrials |  | Cautious | 2,047,902 | 34,506 |  |  |  |  | (5.6) | (3.2) | 5.5 | 14.1 | 14.5 | 13.8 | 10.9 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 15.9 | 13.3 | 12.6 |  |  |  |

Source: Company, Bloomberg, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

| Company | $\frac{\text { 19-Jul-13 }}{\text { Price (Rs) }}$ | Rating | mkt cap. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { o/s } \\ \frac{\text { shares }}{\text { shn) }} \end{gathered}$ | EPS (Rs) |  |  | EPS growth (\%) |  |  | PER (X) |  |  | EV/ERITDA ( X ) |  |  | Price/BV ( X ) |  |  | Dividend yield (\%) |  |  | RoE (\%) |  |  | Target |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADVT- } \\ \text { 3mo } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (Rs mn) | (USS mn) |  | 2013 |  | 2015 E | 2013 | 2014E | 2015 F | 2013 | 2014E | 2015E | 2013 |  | 2015 F | 2013 | 2014 E | 2015 E |  |  | 2015 E | 2013 | 2014 E | 2015 E | (Rs) | (\%) |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adani Port and SEz | 147 | BUY | 295,960 | 4,987 | 2.017 | 8.1 | 9.8 | 12.6 | 48.7 | 20.4 | 28.6 | 18.1 | 15.0 | 11.7 | 17.0 | 11.8 | 9.4 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 28.5 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 180 | 22.7 | 7.2 |
| Container Corporation | 1.117 | ADD | 145,129 | 2.445 | 130 | 72.5 | 80.9 | 93.0 | 7.4 | 11.6 | 14.9 | 15.4 | 13.8 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 10.0 | 8.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 15.9 | 15.8 | 16.1 | 1,150 | 3.0 | 1.1 |
| GMR Infrastructure | 16 | RS | 62,278 | 1.049 | 3.892 | (1.8) | (0.6) | (0.7) | (56.2) | 67.2 | (19.6) | (9.0) | (27.6) | (23.0) | 17.3 | 9.0 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | - | - | - | (9.3) | (3.2) | (3.9) | - | - |  |
| Gujarat Pipavav Port | 48 | BuY | 23,205 | 391 | 483 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 29.7 | 104.0 | 7.6 | 31.4 | 15.4 | 14.3 | 13.1 | 10.7 | 9.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | - | - | - | 7.6 | 14.0 | 11.9 | 60 | 25.0 | 0.3 |
| IRB Infrastructure | 94 | bur | 31,126 | 524 | 332 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 13.7 | 11.1 | ${ }^{(0.8)}$ | (17.5) | 5.6 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | - | - | 15.1 | 11.8 | 8.8 | 170 | 81.5 | 4.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DB Corp | 267 | Bur | 48,960 | 825 | 183 | 11.9 | 14.8 | 17.7 | 7.9 | 24.6 | 19.3 | 22.4 | 18.0 | 15.1 | 12.7 | 10.3 | 8.5 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 22.3 | 24.9 | 26.6 | 300 | 12.4 | 0.3 |
| Dishtv | 57 | ADD | 60.678 | 1.022 | 1,064 | (1.4) | 0.2 | 1.3 | (33.9) | 115.4 | 543.2 | (42.0) | 272.9 | 42.4 | 12.2 | 10.0 | 8.1 | (37.5) | (43.5) | (50) | - | - | 1.8 | 113.0 | (14.8) | (110) | 75 | 31.5 | 2.7 |
| Eros international | 135 | ADD | 12,367 | 208 | 92 | 16.7 | 20.4 | 23.8 | 1.5 | 22.0 | 16.5 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | - | - | - | 16.7 | 17.2 | 16.7 | 200 | 48.4 | 0.5 |
| Jagran Prakashan | 90 | BuY | 28,431 | 479 | 316 | 5.4 | 6.5 | 8.4 | (4.5) | 20.4 | 29.0 | 16.7 | 13.9 | 10.7 | 9.2 | 7.9 | 6.3 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 21.0 | 22.9 | 27.1 | 130 | 44.6 | 0.2 |
| Sun TV Network | 401 | ADD | 157.829 | 2,659 | 394 | 18.0 | 18.9 | 22.5 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 19.3 | 22.2 | 21.2 | 17.8 | 13.9 | 13.0 | 10.9 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 26.5 | 25.6 | 28.8 | 400 | (0.1) | 7.9 |
| Zee Entertainment Enterprises | 240 | Reduce | 227,905 | 3.840 | 950 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 25.6 | 16.1 | 21.8 | 31.7 | 27.3 | 22.4 | 22.2 | 18.4 | 14.9 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 15.3 | 16.6 | 19.2 | 220 | (8.3) | 10.0 |
| Media |  | Neutral | 567,914 | 9,569 |  |  |  |  | 8.1 | 23.4 | 25.9 | 27.4 | 22.2 | 17.6 | 13.9 | 11.8 | 9.6 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 16.3 | 18.5 | 21.7 |  |  |  |
| Metals \& Mining |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coal India | 297 | Bur | 1,875,960 | 31,608 | 6.316 | 27.5 | 29.3 | 33.3 | 18.1 | 6.8 | 13.5 | 10.8 | 10.1 | 8.9 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 38.2 | 35.6 | 35.1 | 410 | 38.0 | 14.8 |
| Hindako Industries | 104 | REDUCE | 198,500 | 3,345 | 1.915 | 15.8 | 10.8 | 9.8 | (10.9) | (31.9) | (8.7) | 6.6 | 9.6 | 10.6 | 8.5 | 8.9 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 9.0 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 100 | (3.5) | 13.2 |
| Hindustan Zinc | 104 | ADD | 440,879 | 7.428 | 4.225 | 16.4 | 14.7 | 15.3 | 24.2 | (10.4) | 4.1 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 23.5 | 17.9 | 16.4 | 150 | 43.7 | 1.2 |
| Jindal Steel and Power | 210 | ADD | 196,729 | 3,315 | 935 | 31.1 | 34.4 | 38.3 | (26.6) | 10.4 | 11.5 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 14.9 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 385 | 82.9 | 19.0 |
| Jsw Steel | 571 | sell | 127,491 | 2,148 | 223 | 43.2 | 55.7 | 85.7 | 79.1 | 28.9 | 54.0 | 13.2 | 10.3 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 585 | 2.4 | 13.1 |
| National Aluminium Co. | 30 | REDUCE | 76,544 | 1.290 | 2.577 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 3.1 | (31.2) | 15.4 | 15.7 | 12.9 | 11.2 | 9.7 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 38 | 27.9 | 0.2 |
| NMDC | 108 | BuY | 426,800 | 7,191 | 3,965 | 16.0 | 14.2 | 14.9 | (13.3) | (10.9) | 4.9 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 24.4 | 19.7 | 18.9 | 150 | 39.3 | 4.5 |
| Sesa Goa | 146 | ADD | 126,932 | 2,139 | 869 | 26.2 | 25.8 | 24.9 | (15.4) | (1.8) | (3.5) | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 36.9 | 25.4 | 17.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.3 | (0.3) | 1.5 | 180 | 23.2 | 4.2 |
| Sterite Industries | 83 | ADD | 278,139 | 4,686 | 3,361 | 18.4 | 14.9 | 15.5 | 16.6 | (19.1) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 12.7 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 110 | 32.9 | 6.7 |
| Tata Stel | 241 | ADD | 234,110 | 3,945 | 971 | 3.4 | 40.0 | 49.7 | (86.9) | 1,070.7 | 24.2 | 70.5 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 11.0 | 12.6 | 370 | 53.5 | 26.3 |
| Metals \& Mining |  | Neutral | 3,982,084 | 67,095 |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 1.8 | 10.4 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 7.4 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 16.1 | 14.9 | 15.0 |  |  |  |
| Pharmaceutical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apollo Hospitals | 988 | sell | 137,464 | 2,316 | 139 | 21.4 | 27.6 | 33.4 | 35.7 | 28.7 | 21.2 | 46.1 | 35.9 | 29.6 | 23.6 | 19.1 | 15.6 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 11.3 | 13.3 | 14.6 | 850 | (14.0) | 8. |
| Biocon | 306 | ADD | 61,130 | 1,030 | 200 | 25.4 | 19.3 | 22.6 | 48.7 | (24.2) | 17.3 | 12.0 | 15.8 | 13.5 | 10.7 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 20.5 | 13.7 | 14.7 | 295 | (3.5) | 1.6 |
| Cipla | 416 | ADD | 333,64 | 5.622 | 803 | 18.7 | 18.3 | 21.5 | 34.0 | (2.4) | 17.7 | 22.2 | 22.7 | 19.3 | 16.0 | 14.6 | 12.1 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 16.0 | 15.2 | 15.6 | 420 | 1.1 | 10.0 |
| Cadia Healthcare | 775 | ADD | 158,702 | 2,674 | 205 | 32.0 | 39.7 | 53.2 | 0.4 | 24.1 | 34.0 | 24.2 | 19.5 | 14.6 | 19.2 | 15.3 | 11.8 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 23.3 | 24.4 | 27.1 | 840 | 8.4 | 2.0 |
| Divis Laboratories | 976 | Reduce | 129,489 | 2,182 | 133 | 45.4 | 51.4 | 61.0 | 12.9 | 13.3 | 18.7 | 21.5 | 19.0 | 16.0 | 15.6 | 13.3 | 10.9 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 26.0 | 25.1 | 25.4 | 1,060 | 8.6 | 3.2 |
| Dr Reddy's Laboratories | 2,346 | BuY | 398,368 | 6,712 | 170 | 96.3 | 112.2 | 132.0 | 14.6 | 16.5 | 17.7 | 24.4 | 20.9 | 17.8 | 16.4 | 13.9 | 11.8 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 24.1 | 23.4 | 23.2 | 2,400 | 2.3 | 14.2 |
| Glaxosmithkilie Pharmaceuticals | 2.527 | SELL | 214,188 | 3.609 | 85 | 81.4 | 84.5 | 97.5 | 9.7 | 3.8 | 15.5 | 31.0 | 29.9 | 25.9 | 23.9 | 22.9 | 19.1 | 10.7 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 28.5 | 34.2 | 36.0 | 1,920 | (24.0) | 1.6 |
| Glenmark Pharmaceuticals | 605 | reduce | 163,855 | 2,761 | 271 | 22.7 | 28.1 | 33.1 | 3.7 | 23.7 | 17.9 | 26.7 | 21.6 | 18.3 | 18.9 | 14.8 | 12.7 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 23.8 | 24.4 | 23.1 | 535 | (11.6) | 5.6 |
| Lupin | 899 | ADD | 401,942 | 6,772 | 447 | 29.4 | 32.8 | 38.9 | 51.0 | 11.5 | 18.6 | 30.6 | 27.4 | 23.1 | 18.3 | 16.3 | 13.8 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 28.5 | 25.3 | 24.6 | 800 | (11.0) | 15.5 |
| Ranbaxy Laboratories | 324 | REDUCE | 137,087 | 2,310 | 423 | 21.8 | 16.5 | 28.6 | 217.7 | (24.4) | 73.0 | 14.9 | 19.6 | 11.3 | 8.1 | 13.9 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 1.5 | - | - | - | 26.5 | 12.8 | 14.8 | 430 | 32.7 | 10.2 |
| Sun Pharmaceuticals | 1.080 | sell | 1,118,574 | 18,847 | 1.036 | 29.0 | 36.8 | 41.9 | 16.3 | 26.8 | 13.8 | 37.2 | 29.3 | 25.8 | 20.7 | 19.1 | 16.6 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 20.7 | 21.7 | 20.2 | 825 | (23.6) | 21. |
| Pharmaceuticals |  | Atractive | 3,254,454 | 54,835 |  |  |  |  | 43.7 | 11.2 | 20.8 | 27.6 | 24.8 | 20.6 | 17.6 | 16.2 | 13.3 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 20.6 | 19.1 | 18.9 |  |  |  |
| Real Estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DLF | 168 | ADD | 299,474 | 5,046 | 1,779 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 11.1 | (41.9) | 5.9 | 163.5 | 42.5 | 40.1 | 15.2 | 20.1 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 6.5 | 270 | 60.4 | 30.6 |
| HDL | 39 | NR | 16.530 | 279 | 419 | 1.7 | 11.0 | 14.3 | (91.0) | 528.0 | 30.4 | 22.6 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 8.1 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 4.3 | 5.4 | - | - | 18.5 |
| Obero Reaty | 194 | bur | 63,726 | 1,074 | 328 | 14.5 | 23.2 | 25.1 | 9.2 | 59.6 | 8.3 | 13.4 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 9.1 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 12.1 | 16.8 | 15.6 | 290 | 49.4 | 0.5 |
| Prestige Estates Projects | 128 | ADD | 44,958 | 757 | 350 | 8.2 | 13.1 | 17.1 | 224.5 | 60.9 | 30.1 | 15.7 | 9.8 | 7.5 | 11.0 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | - | - | - | 11.7 | 15.6 | 17.4 | 200 | 55.7 | 0.7 |
| Sobha Developers | 321 | Bur | 31,513 |  |  | 22.1 | 23.2 | 36.5 | 5.4 |  | 57.5 | 14.5 | 13.9 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 10.5 | 10.2 | 14.6 | 500 | 55.6 | , |
| Sunteck Reaty | 384 | вur | 23,054 | 388 | 60 | 0.7 | 76.5 | 25.4 | 29.1 | 11,307 | (66.8) | 573.0 | 5.0 | 15.1 | 511.2 | 3.7 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 2.1 | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 64.3 | 15.2 | 560 | 45.7 | 0.2 |
| Real Estate |  | Cautious | 521,679 | 8,790 |  |  |  |  | (34.8) | 91.0 | 45.7 | 28.5 | 14.9 | 10.3 | 15.6 | 10.4 | 7.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 6.5 | 8.8 |  |  |  |

[^23]Kotak Institutional Equities: Valuation summary of KIE Universe stocks

|  | $\frac{\text { 19-Jul-13 }}{\text { Price (Rs) }}$ | Rating | mkt cap. |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} 0 / 5 \\ \text { shares } \end{array} \\ \hline(m n) \text { en } \end{gathered}$ | EPS (Rs) |  |  | EPS growth (\%) |  |  | PER ( X ) |  |  | ev/ebitda ( X ) |  |  | Price/BV (X) |  |  | Dividend yield (\%) |  |  | RoE (\%) |  |  | Target price | Upside | ADVT- <br> 3 mo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Technology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCL Technologies | 892 | REDUCE | 634,390 | 10,689 | 711 | 54.3 | 57.8 | 61.9 | 57.0 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 16.4 | 15.4 | 14.4 | 10.7 | 9.8 | 8.8 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 31.7 | 26.1 | 23.4 | 675 | (24.3) | 17.3 |
| Hexaware Technologies | 107 | reduce | 31,331 | 528 | 293 | 11.2 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 22.8 | 14.0 | ${ }^{(0.6)}$ | 9.6 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 29.5 | 29.1 | 25.9 | 110 | 3.0 |  |
| Infosys | 2.847 | ADD | 1,627,033 | 27,414 | 571 | 164.9 | 172.2 | 195.7 | 13.3 | 4.5 | 13.7 | 17.3 | 16.5 | 14.5 | 12.2 | 11.0 | 9.2 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 27.2 | 24.1 | 23.8 | 3,050 | 7.1 | 48.4 |
| Mahindra Satyam | 115 | ADD | 135,416 | 2,282 | 1,176 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 12.2 | 10.7 | 0.5 | 7.4 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 37.5 | 28.9 | 25.3 | 130 | 12.9 | 8.6 |
| Mindtree | 945 | ADD | 39,696 | 669 | 42 | 81.7 | 99.5 | 108.1 | 53.2 | 21.8 | 8.7 | 11.6 | 9.5 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 29.8 | 28.1 | 24.6 | 1,050 | 11.1 | 0.6 |
| Mphasis | 407 | SELL | 85,817 | 1.446 | 211 | 37.6 | 35.7 | 35.9 | (3.7) | (5.0) | 0.6 | 10.8 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 19.1 | 16.5 | 15.5 | 360 | (11.6) | 1.3 |
| Polaris Financial Technology | 118 | Reduce | 11,735 | 198 | 100 | 20.0 | 17.2 | 17.2 | (3.8) | (13.9) | ${ }^{(0.0)}$ | 5.9 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 15.3 | 12.2 | 11.2 | 110 | (6.5) | 2.2 |
| TCS | 1,743 | ADD | 3,411,008 | 57.473 | 1,957 | 71.2 | 87.3 | 97.5 | 31.1 | 22.6 | 11.6 | 24.5 | 20.0 | 17.9 | 18.3 | 14.2 | 12.5 | 8.3 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 37.9 | 37.5 | 34.5 | 1.800 | 3.3 | 37.0 |
| Tech Mahindra | 1,132 | ADD | 145,048 | 2,444 | 128 | 98.3 | 111.3 | 110.0 | 17.6 | 13.3 | (1.2) | 11.5 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 27.2 | 25.0 | 21.9 | 1,100 | (2.9) | 12.6 |
| Wipro | 391 | Reduce | 964,018 | 16,243 | 2,463 | 24.9 | 27.3 | 29.7 | 9.9 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 15.7 | 14.3 | 13.2 | 10.8 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 21.6 | 21.9 | 20.6 | 365 | (6.7) | 10.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bharti Airtel | 330 | ADD | 1.252,638 | 21,106 | 3,798 | 6.0 | 11.9 | 17.5 | (46.6) | 99.1 | 46.4 | 55.0 | 27.7 | 18.9 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 8.7 | 11.7 | 350 | 6.1 | 22.5 |
| Bharti infatel | 155 | ADD | 292,577 | 4.930 | 1,889 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 23.3 | 31.5 | 12.8 | 29.2 | 22.2 | 19.7 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 170 | 9.8 |  |
| IDEA | 151 | BuY | 497,147 | 8.377 | 3,303 | 3.1 | 6.1 | 9.5 | 39.8 | 100.6 | 55.5 | 49.2 | 24.5 | 15.8 | 10.5 | 7.8 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.6 | - | - | 0.5 | 7.4 | 13.3 | 17.9 | 180 | 19.6 | 12.5 |
| Reliance Communications | 145 | SELL | 300,002 | 5,055 | 2,064 | 3.3 | 9.3 | 8.6 | (27.5) | 186.7 | (8.3) | 44.6 | 15.6 | 17.0 | 10.4 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 65 | (55.3) | 61.5 |
| Tata Communications | 165 | Reduce | 47.011 | 792 | 285 | (29.4) | (9.8) | (1.6) | (5.5) | 66.6 | 83.7 | (5.6) | (16.8) | (103.0) | 7.8 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.6 | - | - | - | (42.6) | (18.8) | (3.5) | 220 | 33.4 | 1.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adani Power | 40 | SELL | 94,654 | 1.595 | 2,393 | (9.0) | (1.9) | 5.3 | (2,023.1) | 79.2 | 380.9 | (4.4) | (21.1) | 7.5 | 44.9 | 10.1 | 6.8 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.9 | - | - | - | (41.7) | (11.0) | 28.2 | 35 | (11.5) | 3.8 |
| cesc | 336 | Reduce | 41,985 | 707 | 125 | 34.3 | 38.4 | 38.1 | 57.6 | 11.9 | (0.5) | 9.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 8.9 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 324 | (3.6) | 1.8 |
| Jsw Energy | 43 | ADD | 70,192 | 1,183 | 1,640 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 232.1 | 3.2 | (8.8) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | - | - | - | 18.5 | 16.8 | 13.2 | 50 | 16.8 | 2.2 |
| Lanco Infratech | 7 | RS | 15,005 | 253 | 2.223 | (4.7) | (6.0) | 4.5 | (790.4) | (28.6) | 174.6 | (1.4) | (1.1) | 1.5 | 14.8 | 13.5 | 5.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | - | - | - | (24.2) | (41.9) | 33.3 | - | - |  |
| NHPC | 18 | ADD | 220,183 | 3,710 | 12,301 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.3 | (22.4) | 6.1 | 11.7 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 9.6 | 8.4 | 6.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 26 | 45.3 | 1.5 |
| NTPC | 144 | ADD | 1,184,873 | 19,964 | 8,245 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 14.2 | 20.8 | 2.3 | 6.3 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 10.1 | 10.0 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 14.0 | 13.1 | 12.8 | 175 | 21.8 |  |
| Power Grid | 111 | Bur | 515,520 | 8.686 | 4,630 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 12.8 | 28.7 | 17.3 | 19.9 | 12.2 | 10.4 | 8.7 | 10.9 | 8.6 | 7.3 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 16.9 | 17.7 | 18.9 | 140 | 25.7 |  |
| Reliance infrastructure | 388 | вur | 102,082 | 1.720 | 263 | 70.9 | 68.1 | 74.7 | 17.5 | (4.0) | 9.8 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 9.3 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 11.0 | 11.2 | 9.3 | 810 | 108.7 | 25.1 |
| Reliance Power | 80 | SEL | 224,270 | 3,779 | 2.805 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 16.7 | 2.4 | 5.1 | 22.2 | 21.7 | 20.6 | 25.9 | 20.0 | 13.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | - | - | - | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 75 | (6.2) |  |
| Tata Power | 93 | Bur | 228,322 | 3,847 | 2,468 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 6.3 | (12.9) | 43.0 | 10.2 | 23.1 | 16.1 | 14.6 | 9.3 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 7.4 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 104 | 12.4 | 4.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carborundum Universal | 112 | BuY | 21,007 | 354 | 187 | 5.7 | 9.6 | 13.7 | (50.7) | 67.5 | 43.4 | 19.6 | 11.7 | 8.2 | 9.8 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 10.3 | 15.7 | 19.1 | 180 | 60.6 | 0.1 |
| Coromandel Interrational | 179 | SEL | 50,594 | 852 | 283 | 18.1 | 18.1 | 20.4 | (19.7) | 0.0 | 12.4 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 8.7 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 18.8 | 16.9 | 17.3 | 150 | (16.2) | 0.3 |
| Havels ${ }^{\text {d }}$ dia | 781 | Reduce | 97,424 | 1,642 | 125 | 33.4 | 38.6 | 42.9 | 6.0 | 15.8 | 11.0 | 23.4 | 20.2 | 18.2 | 15.2 | 12.3 | 11.1 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 33.3 | 28.8 | 25.9 | 625 | (20.0) | 5.8 |
| Jaiprakash Associates | 50 | bur | 108,656 | 1.831 | 2,191 | 2.0 | 9.6 | 17.1 | (32.1) | 383.4 | 78.3 | 25.0 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | - | - | - | 3.7 | 16.0 | 23.7 | 100 | 101.6 | 28.0 |
| Jet Airways | 371 | SEL | 42,115 | 710 | 114 | 1.2 | 91.5 | 45.4 | 100.6 | 7.639 | (50.4) | 313.5 | 4.1 | 8.2 | 9.9 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 29.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 | - | - | - | 7.5 | 61.5 | 14.7 | 550 | 48.3 | 24.1 |
| MCX India | 739 | ADD | 37.534 | 632 | 51 | 58.1 | 44.3 | 49.9 | (2.4) | (24) | 12.7 | 12.7 | 16.7 | 14.8 | 7.3 | 11.0 | 8.5 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 27.4 | 18.9 | 19.7 | 990 | 33.9 | 1.8 |
| Rallis India | 152 | Bur | 29,560 | 498 | 194 | 6.1 | 8.5 | 10.2 | 20.0 | 38 | 20.6 | 24.8 | 18.0 | 14.9 | 14.4 | 10.6 | 9.1 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 18.9 | 24.0 | 24.6 | 165 | 8.6 | 0.6 |
| Spiceet | 28 | BuY | 13,442 | 226 | 484 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 103.2 | 679.1 | 47.5 | 62.6 | 8.0 | 5.4 | 18.3 | 5.8 | 3.8 | (41.8) | 10.0 | 3.5 | - | - | - | (23) | 325.1 | 95.5 | 60 | 116.2 | 1.9 |
| Tata Chemicals | 285 | Bur | 72,547 | 1,222 | 255 | 33.6 | 37.7 | 40.7 | 2.2 | 12.2 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 10.9 | 370 | 30.0 | 1.9 |
| United Phosphorus | 147 | Reduce | 65,151 | 1,098 | 443 | 17.5 | 18.3 | 19.1 | 39.4 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 8.4 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 18.0 | 16.6 | 15.2 | 135 | (8.3) | 5.7 |
| Others |  |  | 538,030 | 9,065 |  |  |  |  | 142.0 | 87.2 | 23.7 | 15.2 | 8.1 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 11.1 | 16.8 | 17.6 |  |  |  |
| KIE Universe |  |  | 53,014,565 | 893,253 |  |  |  |  | 6.7 | 11.7 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 13.2 | 11.6 | 10.0 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 15.3 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 45,074,398 | 759,468 |  |  |  |  | 8.4 | 12.8 | 15.4 | 16.0 | 14.2 | 12.3 | 11.3 | 9.7 | 8.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 16.2 |  |  |  |
| KIE universe ex-energy \& ex-commodities |  |  | 39,576,769 | 666,837 |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | 15.4 | 16.3 | 17.6 | 15.2 | 13.1 | 12.9 | 10.8 | 9.2 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 15.7 | 16.1 | 16.5 |  |  |  |

(a) For banks we have used adiusted book values.
(b) 2012 means calendar year 2011 , smiliary for 2013 and 2014 tor hes
(c) EV/Sales $\&$ EV/EBrTDA for $K S$ universe excludes Banking Sector.
source: Company, Bloomberg, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates
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