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## News Roundup

- Swedish equipment major Ericsson and Chinese manufacturer of telecom gear Huawei have been shortlisted by Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd for its mega 93-million-line cellular project. (BL)
- Religare, owned by the erstwhile Ranbaxy family, is leading the race among eight others to buy out AIG Investments that manages nearly $\$ 100$ billion from over 45 locations globally. (ET)
- Anil Ambani group company Reliance Infrastructure is likely to invest about Rs 1,650 crore in various projects by the end of 2009-10, a global investment banking major has said. (ET)
- They may not be as big as their global peers in terms of revenue and profits, but Indian companies are top of the lot in terms of their reputation, as per a study that has ranked Tatas as more reputed than the likes of Google, Microsoft, Coca-Cola, GE and Walt Disney. (ET)
- Luxury car maker Porsche and Europe's biggest auto producer Volkswagen agreed on Wednesday to forge a merged German car giant, stepping up the momentous changes shaking the industry worldwide.(Live Mint)
- Shares of Bharati Shipyard Ltd and Great Offshore rose on Friday after Bharati acquired the $14.9 \%$ stake of Great Offshore that was pledged with the company. (Live Mint)
- FMCG major,Godrej Consumer Products Ltd (GCPL), is eyeing acquisitions in emerging markets and has earmarked up to $\$ 1$ billion for the purpose in the current fiscal. (Live Mint)
- Midas Touch Investors' Association (MTIA) has approached the National Consumer Redressal Commission seeking compensation of Rs 4,987.50 crore (about $\$ 1$ billion) for three lakh Satyam shareholders.(ET)

[^0]| India | Change, \% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8-May | 1-day | 1-mo | 3-mo |
| Sensex | 11,876 | (2.0) | 9.9 | 23.5 |
| Nifty | 3,621 | (1.7) | 8.3 | 23.8 |
| Global/Regional indices |  |  |  |  |
| Dow Jones | 8,575 | 2.0 | 6.1 | 8.0 |
| FTSE | 4,462 | 1.4 | 12.0 | 5.4 |
| Nikkie | 9,367 | (0.7) | 4.5 | 17.9 |
| Hang Seng | 17,381 | (0.1) | 16.6 | 28.4 |
| KOSPI | 1,409 | (0.2) | 5.5 | 18.4 |
| Value traded - India |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Moving avg, Rs bn |  |  |
|  | 8-May |  | 1-mo | 3-mo |
| Cash (NSE+BSE) | 222.4 |  | 209.4 | 151.8 |
| Derivatives (NSE) | 562.4 |  | 634.7 | 301 |
| Deri. open interest | 715.5 |  | 757 | 507 |

Forex/money market

|  | Change, basis points |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 8-May | 1-day | 1-mo | 3-mo |
| Rs/US $\$$ | 49.3 | 0 | $(91)$ | 73 |
| 10yr govt bond, \% | 6.3 | 4 | $(63)$ | 51 |

Commodity market

|  | Change, $\%$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 8-May | 1-day | 1-mo | 3-mo |
| Gold (US $\$ / O Z)$ | 913.7 | $(0.3)$ | 3.6 | $(2.7)$ |
| Silver (US $\$ / O Z)$ | 14.0 | $(0.1)$ | 13.1 | 3.4 |
| Crude (US $\$ / \mathrm{BBL})$ | 57.4 | $(0.6)$ | 6.5 | 30.7 |

Net investment (US\$mn)

|  | 7-May | MTD | CYTD |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FIls | 80 | 436 | 457 |
| MFs | 6 | 69 | $(53)$ |

Top movers -3mo basis

|  | Change, \% |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Best performers | 8-May | 1-day | 1-mo | 3-mo |  |
| Jsw Steel Limited | 409 | $(4.6)$ | 17.8 | 86.3 |  |
| Jaiprakash Associat | 142 | 2.6 | 24.8 | 85.8 |  |
| Sterlite Industries In | 490 | $(5.1)$ | 23.9 | 78.9 |  |
| Mahindra \& Mahinc | 493 | $(4.5)$ | 13.1 | 76.0 |  |
| Bharat Forge Limite | 141 | $(0.9)$ | 16.4 | 73.1 |  |
| Worst performers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Housing Developme | 174 | 1.7 | 45.9 | 102.1 |  |
| Ranbaxy Laboratori | 179 | $(0.6)$ | $(5.7)$ | $(19.3)$ |  |
| Hindustan Petroleur | 246 | $(2.8)$ | $(6.3)$ | $(18.2)$ |  |
| Bharat Petroleum C | 358 | $(0.3)$ | $(6.8)$ | $(12.7)$ |  |
| Hindustan Unilever | 233 | 1.0 | 0.0 | $(10.1)$ |  |
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| Banking |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| SBI.BO, Rs1325 |  |
| Rating | BUY |
| Sector coverage view | Attractive |
| Target Price (Rs) | 1,600 |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) | $1714-892$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 841.1 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 E}$ |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 335.6 | 363.1 | 434.9 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 91.2 | 76.9 | 88.4 |
| EPS (Rs) | 143.6 | 121.1 | 139.2 |
| EPS gth | 34.8 | $(15.7)$ | 14.9 |
| P/E (x) | 9.2 | 10.9 | 9.5 |
| P/B (x) | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Div yield (\%) | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 |

Pricing performance
Perf-1m
Perf-3m
16.2

## Shareholding, March 2009

|  | \% of <br> Pattern |  | Over/(under) <br> weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promoters | 59.4 | - | - |
| FIls | 12.2 | 2.0 | $(0.5)$ |
| MFs | 5.4 | 4.1 | 1.6 |
| UTI | - | - | $(2.5)$ |
| LIC | 9.6 | 5.3 | 2.7 |

## State Bank of India: Growth ambitions lead to lower margins; Asset quality trend seems manageable; Maintain BUY

Manish Karwa : manish.karwa@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1350
Ramnath Venkateswaran : ramnath.venkateswaran@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1240

- Significant deposits in 3QFY09 and subsequent PLR cuts see sharp decline in margins. We estimate NIM in 4QFY09 at about 2.4\%
- PAT was Rs27.4 bn (up 46\% yoy) led by strong treasury gains and lower provisions
- NPLs rose on accounting for Ratnagiri Power. Restructured assets (1.6\%) comfortable; Retain BUY

State Bank of India (SBI) reported PAT of Rs27.4 bn (up 46\% yoy) and 26\% ahead of estimates. Key highlights for the current quarter were (1) sharp decline in margins to 2.4\% post huge accretion of deposits in 3QFY09 at high rates-anticipated by us in our previous two quarterly updates; (2) strong treasury gains of Rs15.2 bn; (3) increase its gross NPLs, but largely due to recognition of Dabhol and (4) restructured assets at $1.6 \%$ of loan book. While margin trend is a disappointment, we expect a gradual improvement in margins as deposit costs moderate. Even as asset quality has worsened, restructured asset numbers are a positive surprise. We largely maintain our net earnings for FY2010E and FY2011E. The stock trades at 0.9X FY2010E PBR and 7X 2010E PER, adjusting for non-bank subsidiaries. We retain our BUY rating on the stock with a target price of Rs1,600 (unchanged).

Huge deposit accumulation takes a toll on margins. SBI's continued focus on building its balance sheet has now started to impact margins, as lending avenues have slowed down over the past few quarters. For FY2009, deposits grew by $38 \%$ yoy, a large part of this during the August-December 2008, at higher rates. SBI has done a commendable job in growing its CASA deposit base by $23 \%$ yoy in FY2009, despite a very challenging environment for growing its CASA deposits. However, due to a sharp accretion of term deposits, CASA ratio declined to 39\% as of March 2009 from 43\% as of March 2008. The strong growth in CASA deposits could be on account of the company's proactive strategy of the past few years of leveraging its corporate relationships to strengthen its liability franchise like providing services like bulk salary accounts, etc..

Net loans grew by 30\% in FY2009. However, it has to be noted that SBI chose to grow at a very slow pace in the high stress environment of 3QFY09. Loan growth was well diversified with large corporates growing by $47 \%$, mid corporates growing by $23 \%$, SMEs up by $23 \%$, agriculture loans increasing by $19 \%$ and international loans growing by $54 \%$ in FY2009.

Investments grew by 43\% and largely during 2HFY09, as lending avenues slowed down and incremental deposit accumulation was deployed in investments. The management stated that it's SLR / NDTL has increased to $29 \%$. The current liquidity situation allows SBI to cut deposit rates sharply, which should allow the company to improve its NIM faster. However, we note that despite reducing rates, SBI is still offering rates comparable to other banks. We believe that SBI is in an ideal position to offer lower than industry rates as it could support higher loan growth with much lower deposit growth in FY2010E.

Margins decline sharply in 4QFY09 to 2.4\%. On back of a strong deposit accumulation in deposits, costs increased sharply, resulting in a margin decline. While for FY2009, margins declined to $2.93 \%$ from $3.07 \%$ in FY2008, we estimate that the margins in 4QFY09 have declined to $2.4 \%$ (about $3.2 \%$ in 3QFY09). While a decline in margins was largely expected, the steep decline has come in lower than our expectations. NII in 4QFY09 was much below our expectations and grew by just $1 \%$.

Expect margins to improve, but improvement likely to be a gradual process. We believe that the 4QFY09 NIM is the lowest for SBI and is likely to improve going forward as (1) SBI has not reduced its lending rate (PLR) aggressively as compared to some other public banks (about 70-75\% of loans are linked to PLR) (2) deposits costs have started to come down and expect gradual repricing of deposits, (3) asset side rebalancing of shifting short-term investments to loans as the economy picks up could improve the yield on resources. SBI management stated that they have raised Rs400 bn in the 1,000-day deposit scheme paying an average rate of around $10 \%$. We note that these deposits are just 5.5\% of the deposit base and might not influence the overall cost of funds. Overall, SBI has raised Rs2 tn of term deposits in FY2009. A large part of the other term deposits accumulated in FY2009 had a maturity of 12 to 18 months and help the funding costs starting 2QFY09 onwards. SBI has already reduced deposit rates by 150-250 bps from peak rates across most maturities. However, we believe that this margin improvement process is likely to be gradual and the positive impact shall only be visible from 3QFY10 onwards, when a large part of the deposits start maturing. We model a 33 bps decline in NIM in FY2010E compared to FY2009. However, NIM in FY2011E is likely to improve by 20 bps compared to FY2010E.

Fee income continues to be healthy and aids earnings. SBI continues to report strong momentum in its non-interest revenues. The non-interest income (excluding treasury) in 4QFY09 was Rs32 bn (up 27\% yoy). The core fee income was up by 34\% yoy at Rs29.6 bn likely reflecting better utilization of the core banking solution for cross-selling and improved pricing power in the current environment. We have assumed a moderation in the fee income growth in FY2010E to $18 \%$ yoy. Note that SBI is a significant player in the payments business (apart from receipts business like tax collection) of government of India, hence, in an environment of high government spending, the company could be expected to make healthy fee income.

Treasury income of SBI was Rs 15.2 bn, which was 4X of income booked in 4QFY08 and more than twice the income reported in 3QFY09. The positive fallout of a huge deposit accretion has given SBI a lot of liquidity to make gains on its treasury portfolio. We believe that SBI continues to have huge unrealized gains on its book (at current yield levels), which it shall be able to book in future quarters. We estimate SBI to report treasury gains of Rs16 bn in FY2010E versus gains of Rs25 bn in FY2009.

## Operating expenses increased due to higher pension provisions

In 4QFY09, operating expenses increased $32 \%$ yoy to Rs43 bn. While core employee expenses declined by $9 \%$ yoy, pension provisions were Rs4.9 bn, against a reversal of Rs 4.8 bn in 4QFY08. Even other operating expenses increased by $32 \%$ yoy due to aggressive branch/ATM expansion and marketing expenses. During the year, SBI has recruited more than 33000 employees and added 800 branches. This significant addition to employee base is likely to lead to higher costs and impact the cost-to-income ratio as income growth tapers off in FY2010E. Cost to Income ratio has fallen to 47\% in FY2009, we expect it to increase back to $50 \%$, as income growth is likely to be slower in FY2010.

Reported asset quality deteriorates as exposure to Ratnagiri Gas \& Power is accounted as NPL. Gross NPL ratio and net NPL ratio of SBI as of 4QFY09 stood at 2.8\% and $1.8 \%$. Gross NPLs increased by $17 \%$ qoq in absolute terms and net NPL increased by $39 \%$ qoq. However, a large part of this increase in reported NPLs was on account of recognizing exposure to Ratnagiri Gas \& Power as NPL. This is as per the RBI directive which required all banks with exposure to this entity to be classified as non-standard loans. SBI had an exposure of Rs 16.4 bn to this entity. Thus, out of Rs23 bn of addition to NPLs, slippage on account of this single exposure was Rs16 bn. The management also stated that this loan might get upgraded to performing category in 1QFY10E as all the relevant linkages for the functioning of this project are in place. International NPLs at Rs10 bn also accounted for a large part of the increase in NPLs in FY2009. The management mentioned they are comfortable with the domestic asset quality as of now.

SBI's provision coverage ratio stands at 39\% as it follows the RBI's provisioning policy, unlike some of the other banks that have a more conservative policy. Consequently, the provision coverage ratio of the company is amongst the lowest in the industry. During the quarter, SBI made loan loss provisions of Rs11.8 bn. Nevertheless, overall provisions held for NPLs declined qoq, indicating SBI has fully written off Rs16 bn of loans 4QFY09, which also resulted in lower provision coverage and also had a positive impact on tax rates (tax rates were $29 \%$ in 4Q, lower than historic rates).

Slippages increased to $2.6 \%$ (including Dabhol) of opening loan book in FY2009 as against 2.3\% in FY2008. We are building in slippage levels to increase to 4\% in FY2010, as we expect some restructured assets to become NPLs over the next four quarters

Restructured assets at $\mathbf{1 . 6 \%}$ provide comfort. SBI reported its restructured loans at Rs83 bn, which is just $1.6 \%$ of total loans. This is much lower than our expectations and lower than many other public banks which have declared earnings thus far. However, SBI has reported these restructuring numbers as facility wise and not borrower wise. Many other banks are reported their restructured assets on the borrower basis, thus this is strictly not comparable. Nevertheless, we view a $1.6 \%$ restructured loans as a positive. The total slippage including restructured assets is over 4\% in FY2009. We currently model a 4\% slippage ratio in FY2010E leading to a gross NPL ratio of $4.9 \%$ compared to $2.8 \%$ as of March 2009.

## Current valuations are comfortable

We believe that our current estimates are conservative and likely factor in the impact on earnings due to lower NIM, lower fee income growth, higher credit provisions on account of likely NPLs apart from assuming lower treasury gains over the next 3-4 quarters. Our expectations on treasury profits are modest at around Rs16 bn and Rs14 bn in FY2010E and FY2011E compared to Rs25 bn of income in FY2009. Further, our long-term RoE expectation of the company is $15 \%$ and our valuation of its banking subsidiaries at 1 X APBR FY2009E, which is undemanding, in our view. The stock is attractively valued at 7X PER and 0.9X PBR FY2010E (banking business). The stock has also already underperformed the broad markets by $7 \%$ over the past three months and $11 \%$ over the past six months. We retain our BUY rating with a target price of Rs 1,600 .

| State Bank of India, Quarterly results, Rs mn |  | 1QFY09 | 2QFY09 | 3QFY09 | 4QFY09 | \% chg | 4QFY09KS Actual Vs KS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4QFY08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income earned | 135,767 | 137,992 | 155,665 | 180,303 | 173,424 | 28 | 176,414 | (2) |
| Income on advances | 96,035 | 100,140 | 114,821 | 128,366 | 120,836 | 26 | 127,605 | (5) |
| Income on investments | 32,118 | 35,079 | 38,287 | 41,804 | 42,309 | 32 | 51,309 | (18) |
| Interest on balance with RBI | 7,615 | 2,773 | 2,557 | 10,134 | 10,279 | 35 | $(2,500)$ | (511) |
| Interest expense | 87,761 | 89,815 | 101,112 | 122,722 | 125,005 | 42 | 120,821 | 3 |
| NII adjt for invest. amortization | 48,006 | 48,177 | 54,554 | 57,582 | 48,419 | 1 | 55,593 | (13) |
| Other income | 28,171 | 24,039 | 23,431 | 32,256 | 47,182 | 67 | 32,344 | 46 |
| Invt. income | 2,965 | 2,228 | 1,616 | 6,740 | 15,190 | 412 | 4,416 | 244 |
| Other income excl. treasury | 25,207 | 21,810 | 21,816 | 25,516 | 31,992 | 27 | 27,928 | 15 |
| Total income | 76,177 | 72,215 | 77,985 | 89,838 | 95,602 | 5 | 87,937 | 9 |
| Operating expenses | 32,447 | 32,592 | 36,053 | 45,011 | 42,835 | 32 | 39,188 | 9 |
| Staff expenses | 20,442 | 20,352 | 21,173 | 21,990 | 18,620 | (9) | 22,194 | (16) |
| Pension contributions | $(4,746)$ | 958 | 1,039 | 8,466 | 4,880 | (203) | - | - |
| Other operating expenses | 16,751 | 11,282 | 13,841 | 14,556 | 19,335 | 15 | 16,995 | 14 |
| Pre-provision operating profit | 43,731 | 39,623 | 41,932 | 44,826 | 52,767 | 21 | 48,748 | 8 |
| Provisions and extr. Ord. | 16,192 | 15,495 | 6,106 | 1,968 | 13,777 | (15) | 19,893 | (31) |
| Loan loss provisions (incl. standard asset prov) | 13,159 | $(2,111)$ | 10,930 | 5,151 | 11,787 | (10) | 18,492 | (36) |
| Standard assets | 2,490 | 363 | 1,820 | - | NA |  |  |  |
| Investment depreciation | 2,168 | 16,566 | $(4,803)$ | $(3,416)$ | NA | (100) | 2,653 | (100) |
| Other provisions | 865 | 1,040 | (22) | 239 | NA |  |  |  |
| PBT | 27,539 | 24,129 | 35,826 | 42,858 | 38,990 | 42 | 28,856 | 35 |
| Less tax | 8,707 | 7,721 | 13,229 | 18,074 | 11,571 | 33 | 7,061 | 64 |
| Profit after tax | 18,832 | 16,408 | 22,597 | 24,784 | 27,419 | 46 | 21,795 | 26 |
| Fees to PBT (\%) | 111.1 | 53.3 | 37.2 | 37.5 | 87.0 |  | 109.8 |  |
| Treasury income/PBT (\%) | 2.9 | (59.4) | 17.9 | 23.7 | 39.0 |  | 6.1 |  |
| Cost income ratio (\%) | 42.6 | 45.1 | 46.2 | 50.1 | 44.8 |  | 44.6 |  |
| Tax rate (\%) | 31.6 | 32.0 | 36.9 | 42.2 | 29.7 |  | 24.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key balance sheet data (Rs bn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advances gross | 4,473 | 4,483 | 5,001 | 5,103 | 5,736 | 28 |  |  |
| Advances net | 4,168 | 4,432 | 4,934 | 5,038 | 5,425 | 30 |  |  |
| Housing finance | 446 | 461 | 506 | 521 | 541 | 21 |  |  |
| Agriculture | 458.0 | 404 | 489 | NA | 547.0 | 19 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 5,374.1 | 5,619 | 6,197 | 6,929 | 7,420.7 | 38 |  |  |
| Low cost deposits (\%) | 43 | 41.2 | 39.7 | 36.6 | 39 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments | 1,895 | 2,000 | 1,950 | 2,450 | 2,709 | 43 |  |  |
| HTM | - | 1,340 | 1,365 | 1,622 | 1,953 |  |  |  |
| AFS | NA | 660 | 585 | 828 | 756.3 |  |  |  |
| AFS duration (years) | NA | 3.0 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.13 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yield management ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of deposits | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.3 |  |  |  |
| Yield on advances | 9.9 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 10.2 |  |  |  |
| Net interest margin | 3.07 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.93 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset quality details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross NPLs (Rs bn) | 136 | 114 | 126 | 133 | 156 | 15 |  |  |
| Gross NPLs (\%) | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 |  |  |  |
| Net NPLs (Rs bn) | 74 | 63 | 66 | 69 | 96 | 29 |  |  |
| Net NPLs (\%) | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.8 |  |  |  |
| Provisions (Rs bn) | 62 | 51.1 | 59.3 | 64.5 | 60 |  |  |  |
| Provision Coverage (\%) | 45.4 | 44.8 | 47.3 | 48.4 | 38.7 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital adequacy details (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAR | 13.5 | 13.0 | 12.1 | 13.4 | 14.3 |  |  |  |
| Tier I | 9.1 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 9.4 |  |  |  |
| Tier II | 4.3 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 4.9 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

State Bank of India, Quarterly results-- key analytical ratios

|  | 4QFY08 | 1QFY09 | 2QFY09 | 3QFY09 | 4QFY09 | \% chg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yield management ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of deposits | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.3 |  |
| Yield on advances | 9.9 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 10.2 |  |
| Net interest margin | 3.07 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.93 |  |
| Asset quality details |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross NPLs (Rs bn) | 136 | 114 | 126 | 133 | 156 | 14.6 |
| Gross NPLs (\%) | 3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3 |  |
| Net NPLs (Rs bn) | 74 | 63 | 66 | 69 | 96 | 28.7 |
| Net NPLs (\%) | 1.78 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.76 |  |
| Capital adequacy details (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAR | 13.5 | 13.0 | 12.1 | 13.4 | 14.3 |  |
| Tier I | 9.1 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 9.4 |  |
| Tier II | 4.3 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 4.9 |  |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

SBI has reduced rates by around 175-250 bps in the 1-3 year buckets (key maturities) on its deposits since October 2008
Deposit rate movement since October 2008

|  | 01-Oct-08 | 01-Dec-08 | 01-Jan-09 | 09-Mar-09 | 13-Apr-09 | 04-May-09 | Change from peak levels |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-14 days | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 0.00 |
| 15-30days | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 1.00 |
| 31-45days | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 1.00 |
| 46-60 days | 5.25 | 5.25 | 5.25 | 5.25 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 0.50 |
| 61-90 | 5.25 | 5.25 | 5.25 | 5.25 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 0.50 |
| 91-120days | 7.50 | 7.00 | 6.50 | 6.50 | 6.25 | 6.00 | 1.50 |
| 120-180 days | 7.50 | 7.00 | 6.50 | 6.50 | 6.25 | 6.00 | 1.50 |
| 181-270 | 8.50 | 8.00 | 7.25 | 7.25 | 7.00 | 6.75 | 1.75 |
| 271-1yr | 8.50 | 8.00 | 7.25 | 7.25 | 7.00 | 6.75 | 1.75 |
| 1 year - 2 year | 10.00 | 9.50 | 8.50 | 8.10 | 7.75 | 7.50 | 2.50 |
| 1000 days | 10.50 | 10.00 | 9.00 | 8.50 | 8.25 | 8.00 | 2.50 |
| 2 year - 3year | 9.50 | 9.00 | 8.75 | 8.25 | 8.00 | 7.75 | 1.75 |
| 3 years and above upto 5 years | 9.75 | 9.25 | 8.50 | 8.50 | 8.00 | 7.75 | 2.00 |
| 5 years and above upto 8 years | 9.25 | 9.00 | 8.50 | 8.50 | 8.25 | 8.00 | 1.25 |
| 8years and above upto 10 years | 9.25 | 9.00 | 8.50 | 8.50 | 8.50 | 8.25 | 1.00 |

Source: Company.

PLR reduction and higher deposit costs resulting in margin pressure


Source: Company.

Term deposit mobilization during 3QFY09 was rather strong
Incremental term deposit of SBI, (Rs bn)


Source: Company

Incremental loan growth was down sharply during November 2008-January 2009
Incremental loans of SBI, (Rs bn)


Source: Company

We factor in a fairly sharp increase in delinquencies over the next two years
March fiscal year-ends, 2002-2011E


Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

## Leading to a rise in reported NPLs

March fiscal year-ends, 2002-2011E, gross and net NPLs (\%)


Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

| SOTP valuation of SBI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subsidiaries | SBI holding (\%) | NW FY2009E (Rs mn) | Multiple assumed (X) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value FY2010 } \\ & \text { (Rs mn) } \end{aligned}$ | Value per share FY2010 (Rs) | Methodology adopted |
| SBI standalone |  |  |  |  | 1,186 | Residual income model |
| Non banking subsidiaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SBI Life |  |  |  | 120,197 | 170 | Based on appraisal value |
| SBIMF | 63\% |  |  | 9,580 | 15 | $4 \%$ of AUM of Rs317 bn (20\% growth in FY2009 AUM) |
| NSE | 8\% |  |  | 12,480 | 20 | NSE value assumed to be Rs130 bn, which is based on last transaction |
| UTI MF | 25\% |  |  | 5,832 | 9 | Valued at 4\% of AUM of Rs583 bn (20\% growth over FY2009 AUM) |
| SBI Caps | 86\% |  |  | 10,449 | 16 | 10X 2008 PAT |
| SBI DFHI | 57\% |  |  | 6,835 | 11 | 1 CFY 2010 networth |
| SBI Factors | 54\% |  |  | 603 | 1 | 1 FFY 2010 networth |
| Value of all non-bank subsidiaries |  |  |  |  | 246 |  |
| SBI Associate banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur | 75\% | 14,355 | 1.00 | 14,355 |  | BV multiple based on RoE |
| State Bank of Hyderabad | 100\% | 33,307 | 1.00 | 33,307 |  | BV multiple based on RoE |
| State Bank of Mysore | 92\% | 14,987 | 1.00 | 14,987 |  | BV multiple based on RoE |
| State Bank of Patiala | 100\% | 27,686 | 1.00 | 27,686 |  | BV multiple based on RoE |
| State Bank of Travancore | 75\% | 15,074 | 1.00 | 15,074 |  | BV multiple based on RoE |
| State Bank of Indore | 98\% | 15,455 | 1.00 | 15,455 |  | BV multiple based on RoE |
| Banking subsidiaries and associates |  | 120,865 |  | 120,865 |  |  |
| post discount of 10\% |  | 108,778 |  | 108,778 | 171 |  |
| Value of all subsidiaries |  |  |  |  | 417 |  |
| Total value of the bank |  |  |  |  | 1,603 |  |

[^1]
## State Bank of India-- (Old and new estimates)

March fiscal year-ends, 2009-2011E (Rs mn)

|  | Old estimates |  |  | New estimates |  |  | \% change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E |
| Nll post invt amortization | 215,172 | 243,377 | 295,736 | 208,731 | 230,695 | 289,304 | (3.0) | (5.2) | (2.2) |
| Loan growth | 27.4 | 18.0 | 18.8 | 30.2 | 17.7 | 18.8 |  |  |  |
| NIM assumed (\%) | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.5 |  |  |  |
| Loan loss provisions | 42,656 | 69,482 | 102,893 | 24,750 | 64,966 | 97,809 | (42.0) | (6.5) | (4.9) |
| Other income | 103,574 | 120,974 | 133,426 | 126,908 | 132,434 | 145,569 | 22.5 | 9.5 | 9.1 |
| Fee income | 73,928 | 85,017 | 97,770 | 73,928 | 87,235 | 100,320 | - | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Treasury income | 9,000 | 14,000 | 12,000 | 25,660 | 16,000 | 14,000 | 185.1 | 14.3 | 16.7 |
| Operating expenses | 152,799 | 175,966 | 190,537 | 156,487 | 180,041 | 201,229 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 5.6 |
| Employee expenses | 96,171 | 109,822 | 118,607 | 97,473 | 110,882 | 124,846 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 5.3 |
| Investment depreciation | - | - | - | 11,000 | - | - |  |  |  |
| PBT | 123,292 | 118,904 | 135,731 | 141,807 | 116,526 | 133,920 | 15.0 | (2.0) | (1.3) |
| Tax | 43,152 | 41,616 | 47,506 | 50,594 | 39,619 | 45,533 | 17.2 | (4.8) | (4.2) |
| Net profit | 80,139 | 77,287 | 88,225 | 91,212 | 76,907 | 88,387 | 13.8 | (0.5) | 0.2 |
| PBT - treasury+loan loss pro | 156,947 | 174,386 | 226,625 | 151,896 | 165,492 | 217,728 | (3.2) | (5.1) | (3.9) |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

State Bank of India growth rates and key ratios, March year-ends 2007-2011E

|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2011 E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth rates (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net loan | 28.9 | 23.5 | 30.2 | 17.7 | 18.8 |
| Total Asset | 14.7 | 27.4 | 33.7 | 15.8 | 14.4 |
| Deposits | 14.6 | 23.4 | 38.1 | 18.8 | 16.7 |
| Current | 20.6 | 19.7 | 6.5 | 18.8 | 16.7 |
| Savings | 14.6 | 19.4 | 9.5 | 30.5 | 16.7 |
| Fixed | 12.6 | 27.0 | 64.4 | 14.6 | 16.7 |
| Net interest income | 8.1 | 13.0 | 22.6 | 10.5 | 25.4 |
| Loan loss provisions | 265.1 | 27.2 | (3.6) | 162.5 | 50.6 |
| Total other income | 3.3 | 28.6 | 46.1 | 4.4 | 9.9 |
| Net fee income | 20.2 | 23.1 | 25.0 | 18.0 | 15.0 |
| Net capital gains | (112.4) | $(1,397.4)$ | 171.2 | (37.6) | (12.5) |
| Net exchange gains | (29.4) | 109.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Operating expenses | 0.8 | 6.6 | 24.1 | 15.1 | 11.8 |
| Employee expenses | (2.3) | (1.8) | 25.2 | 13.8 | 12.6 |
| Key ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yield on average earning assets | 7.5 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 7.6 |
| Yield on average loans | 8.3 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 8.9 |
| Yield on average investments | 6.9 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.7 |
| Average cost of funds | 4.7 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.6 |
| Interest on deposits | 4.6 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.6 |
| Difference | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| Net interest income/earning assets | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| New provisions/average net loans | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| Interest income/total income | 69.0 | 66.2 | 62.2 | 63.5 | 66.5 |
| Fee income to total income | 22.0 | 23.0 | 22.0 | 24.0 | 23.1 |
| Operating expenses/total income | 54.2 | 49.1 | 46.6 | 49.6 | 46.3 |
| Tax rate | 40.2 | 35.5 | 35.7 | 34.0 | 34.0 |
| Dividend payout ratio | 16.2 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 24.8 | 23.0 |
| Share of deposits |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current | 18.8 | 18.3 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 14.1 |
| Fixed | 51.5 | 53.0 | 63.2 | 60.9 | 60.9 |
| Savings | 29.7 | 28.7 | 22.8 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Loans-to-deposit ratio | 77.5 | 77.6 | 73.1 | 72.4 | 73.7 |
| Equity/assets (EoY) | 5.5 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.5 |
| Dupont analysis (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Loan loss provisions | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Net other income | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Operating expenses | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Invt. depreciation | 0.1 | (0.0) | 0.1 | - | - |
| (1- tax rate) | 59.8 | 64.5 | 64.3 | 66.0 | 66.0 |
| ROA | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Average assets/average equity | 18.0 | 16.0 | 15.8 | 17.1 | 18.0 |
| ROE | 15.4 | 16.8 | 17.1 | 12.7 | 13.3 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

India Daily Summary - May 11, 2009

| SBI income statement and balance sheet, March year-ends 2007-2011E |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E |
| Income statement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest income | 372,423 | 489,503 | 637,884 | 761,164 | 875,386 |
| Loans | 248,392 | 352,281 | 464,047 | 535,346 | 621,332 |
| Investments | 104,562 | 119,442 | 155,741 | 216,918 | 246,327 |
| Total interest expense | 221,841 | 319,291 | 429,153 | 530,469 | 586,082 |
| Net interest income | 150,582 | 170,212 | 208,731 | 230,695 | 289,304 |
| Loan loss provisions | 20,187 | 25,679 | 24,750 | 64,966 | 97,809 |
| Net interest income (after prov.) | 130,395 | 144,533 | 183,982 | 165,729 | 191,495 |
| Other income | 67,531 | 86,839 | 126,908 | 132,434 | 145,569 |
| Net fee income | 48,045 | 59,143 | 73,928 | 87,235 | 100,320 |
| Net capital gains | (729) | 9,463 | 25,660 | 16,000 | 14,000 |
| Net exchange gains | 3,315 | 6,927 | 7,620 | 8,382 | 9,220 |
| Operating expenses | 118,235 | 126,086 | 156,487 | 180,041 | 201,229 |
| Employee expenses | 79,326 | 77,859 | 97,473 | 110,882 | 124,846 |
| Depreciation on investments | 3,877 | (887) | 11,000 | - | - |
| Other Provisions | 32 | 1,894 | 1,596 | 1,596 | 1,915 |
| Pretax income | 75,903 | 104,389 | 141,807 | 116,526 | 133,920 |
| Tax provisions | 30,490 | 37,098 | 50,594 | 39,619 | 45,533 |
| Net Profit | 45,413 | 67,291 | 91,212 | 76,907 | 88,387 |
| \% growth | 3.1 | 48.2 | 35.5 | (15.7) | 14.9 |
| PBT - Treasury + Provisions | 100,729 | 121,612 | 153,492 | 167,088 | 219,644 |
| \% growth | (8.15) | 20.73 | 26.21 | 8.86 | 31.45 |


| Balance sheet |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and bank balance | 519,687 | 674,663 | 1,044,040 | 735,966 | 809,540 |
| Cash | 25,301 | 32,203 | 32,203 | 32,203 | 32,203 |
| Balance with RBI | 265,463 | 483,143 | 523,257 | 440,906 | 514,480 |
| Balance with banks | 9,967 | 37,135 | 37,135 | 37,135 | 37,135 |
| Net value of investments | 1,491,489 | 1,895,013 | 2,759,540 | 3,620,392 | 3,958,887 |
| Govt. and other securities | 1,177,031 | 1,407,340 | 2,282,150 | 3,143,002 | 3,481,497 |
| Shares | 23,047 | 45,025 | 45,025 | 45,025 | 45,025 |
| Debentures and bonds | 86,907 | 176,288 | 176,288 | 176,288 | 176,288 |
| Net loans and advances | 3,373,365 | 4,167,682 | 5,425,030 | 6,386,917 | 7,585,785 |
| Fixed assets | 28,189 | 33,735 | 38,380 | 45,979 | 48,408 |
| Other assets | 252,923 | 444,170 | 377,330 | 377,330 | 377,330 |
| Total assets | 5,665,652 | 7,215,263 | 9,644,320 | 11,166,585 | 12,779,950 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 4,355,211 | 5,374,060 | 7,420,730 | 8,818,115 | 10,289,592 |
| Current | 819,980 | 981,335 | 1,044,670 | 1,241,390 | 1,448,540 |
| Fixed | 2,243,866 | 2,850,411 | 4,686,770 | 5,372,196 | 6,268,654 |
| Savings | 1,291,365 | 1,542,293 | 1,689,290 | 2,204,529 | 2,572,398 |
| Borrowings and bills payable | 761,496 | 921,767 | 941,632 | 941,632 | 941,632 |
| Other liabilities | 235,960 | 429,131 | 702,488 | 772,736 | 850,010 |
| Total liabilities | 5,352,667 | 6,724,957 | 9,064,850 | 10,532,484 | 12,081,234 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 312,985 | 490,327 | 579,480 | 634,101 | 698,715 |

[^2]| Consumer products |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| HLL.BO, Rs233 |  |
| Rating | REDUCE |
| Sector coverage view | Cautious |
| Target Price (Rs) | 235 |
| 52 W High -Low (Rs) | $272-185$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 507.9 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| December y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 2009E | 2010E |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 163.5 | 179.5 | 201.3 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 20.0 | 22.3 | 25.3 |
| EPS (Rs) | 9.2 | 10.2 | 11.6 |
| EPS gth | 12.9 | 11.5 | 13.6 |
| P/E (x) | 25.4 | 22.8 | 20.1 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 20.3 | 17.4 | 15.3 |
| Div yield (\%) | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.7 |

Pricing performance

| Perf-1m | Perf-3m | Perf-6m | Perf-1y |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 0.0 | $(10.1)$ | $(5.6)$ | $(7.5)$ |

## Shareholding, March 2009

|  | \% of <br> Pottern |  | Over/(under) <br> weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promoters | 52.1 | - | - |
| Flls | 14.3 | 1.8 | $(0.1)$ |
| MFs | 4.6 | 2.7 | 0.7 |
| UTI | - | - | $(1.9)$ |
| LIC | 5.9 | 2.5 | 0.5 |

## Hindustan Unilever: Searching for silver bullets

Manoj Menon : manoj.menon@kotak.com, +91-22-6749-3391
Amrita Basu : amrita.basu@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1147

## - Volume decline of $4.5 \%$ in line with our expectations

- Management is guiding for (1) muted margins, (2) down-trading effects visible and (3) price-based competition
- Sustainable margin expansion unlikely, in our view. Reiterate REDUCE

HUL reported net sales of Rs39.9 bn (overall $+6 \%$; FMCG $+12 \%$, Exports $-45 \%$ ) compared with our estimates of Rs44.4 bn (18\% growth) due to a strategic defocus on non-core exports. However, margins were ahead of our expectations-EBITDA came in at Rs5.5 bn (35\% growth) versus our estimate of Rs5.1 bn (24\% growth). Adjusted PAT of Rs4.6 bn ( $22 \%$ growth) was in line with our estimates. Volumes declined $4.5 \%$, in line with our estimates due to (1) challenging environment, (2) trade de-stocking and (3) outlet consolidation in organized retail. We are worried about the implication that consumer has likely deferred purchases or switched from HUL brands due to unavailability of stores/ stocks. We are also surprised that difficult market conditions have impacted HUL more than its FMCG peers, who made no mention about difficult market conditions in commenting on their results. We temper expectations of continued significant margin expansion for HUL due to (1) its need to significantly step-up advertising and promotion to stabilize and regain market shares; (2) anniversary effects of price increases and (3) specific management guidance for muted margin expansion. Stability of market shares is key for stock performance. Retain TP Rs235/share, reiterate REDUCE.

The company is hosting a conference call today (Monday, May 11, 1630 IST, Dial in number +91 224444 4003, conference code 319383).

HUL reported net sales of Rs39.9 bn (overall $+6 \%$; FMCG $+12 \%$, Exports $-45 \%$ ) compared with our estimates of Rs44.4 bn (18\% growth) due to planned defocus on non-core exports. However, margins were ahead of our expectations-EBITDA of Rs5.5 bn (35\% growth), our estimate of Rs5.1 bn (24\% growth). Adjusted PAT of Rs4.6 bn ( $22 \%$ growth) was in line with our estimates. Segment revenue growth of Soaps and detergents (+16\%) were in line, whereas Personal products (+2\%), Beverages (+14\%) and Foods (+8\%) disappointed.

## Volumes declined $4.5 \%$ for the quarter, in line with our estimates

Management attributed the $4.5 \%$ volume decline to (1) challenging environment, (2) trade de-stocking and (3) outlet consolidation in organized retail. While we agree that HUL faced multiple head winds at the same time (including a higher base last year due to extended winter), we are surprised that the impact of difficult market conditions hit HUL more than peers-there was no mention about difficult market conditions in the results communication of Dabur, Marico, GSK Consumer, Nestle and Godrej Consumer.

We continue to be worried about volume decline in detergents as consumers are opting to rationalize on quantum of usage in detergents (resulting in longer-than-expected consumer purchase cycles) and soaps (market share losses to Wipro, Reckitt, Godrej and ITC). We highlight that CY2009 is possibly the first time HUL is faced with volume decline due to market conditions, primarily market share losses. The company's volume declined in CY2002 and CY2003 due to consumer sector decline during that period.

## Significant impact of modern retail on HUL is a surprise

In a media briefing, management explained that the contribution of modern retail (MR) to HUL sales is about $8-9 \%$ and the contribution of MR to certain categories like Personal products are higher at about $20 \%$. While we understand that the closure/relocation of stores by Subhiksha, Indiabulls Retail, Vishal Retail and More, we are surprised at that the slowdown impacted HUL so significantly as, (1) about $50 \%$ of sales of skin care is in smaller packs of Fair \& Lovely—less likely to be sold in a MR outlet, (2) Subhiksha was positioned as a neighborhood kirana store in the organized retail format-and not a mallbased destination outlet and (3) more importantly, the implication that consumer has likely postponed purchases or switched out of HUL brands due to the unavailability of stores/ stocks.

## Sustainable margin expansion unlikely

For the quarter, EBITDA margins expanded 300 bps, driven by 210 bps improvement in gross margins and savings in brand spends as well as other expenses. We temper the optimism of continued significant margin expansion for HUL due to (1) the necessity to step-up investment in advertising and promotion significantly in FY2010 to stabilize and regain market shares; (2) anniversary effects of price increases (the company had taken two rounds of major price increases in CY2008—Jan/Feb and October) and (3) specific management guidance for muted margin expansion (we assign a high probability for this event as HUL has historically abstained from providing guidance).

HUL highlighted that downtrading in select categories is visible (brand downtrading likely in soaps, detergents and pack-size downtrading is likely in personal products, particularly skin and shampoo) which will likely lead to a deterioration of the mix and, in turn, hurt margins, in our view.

## Stability of market shares key for stock performance

We continue to rate HUL as REDUCE even after the stock's huge underperformance (-50\%) vis-à-vis Nifty over the past two months. The reasons are still relevant, in our view, (1) market share losses, (2) volumes are under severe pressure (3) market optimism over HUL's premium brand launches was not supported by business fundamentals and (4) market expectation of sustained margin expansion is over the top. We believe that it will take at least 2-3 quarters for HUL's business conditions to improve if the company manages to arrest the continued loss of market share in all key categories.

At CMP of Rs233/share the stock trades at 23XCY09E. Considering the significant street disappointment over volume decline, we believe that the stock will correct meaningfully in near term. We advise buying at $\sim$ Rs $200 /$ share levels. Maintain EPS of Rs $10.2 /$ share (11.5\% growth) and Rs11.6/share (13.6\% growth) for CY09E and CY10E, respectively. We reiterate that the quality of earnings growth in CY09E and business fundamentals do not support a case for absolute stock performance. We continue to believe that at $23 \mathrm{XCY09E}$, the stock is richly valued for estimated 12\% EPS growth, 4\% dividend yield and 4\% FCF yield. We value the stock at PE of $23 X$ —at the lower-end of the trading band over the last 1 and 3 years. Retain TP Rs235/share, reiterate REDUCE.

Interim results of Hindustan Unilever, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)


| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soaps and detergents | 20,122 | 20,723 | 17,382 | 21,234 | (2.9) | 15.8 | (5.2) |
| Personal products | 10,386 | 11,375 | 10,189 | 11,923 | (8.7) | 1.9 | (12.9) |
| Beverages | 4,893 | 5,290 | 4,310 | 5,106 | (7.5) | 13.5 | (4.2) |
| Foods | 1,634 | 2,104 | 1,520 | 1,715 | (22.3) | 7.5 | (4.7) |
| Icecreams | 453 | 474 | 370 | 343 | (4.5) | 22.4 | 32.1 |
| Exports | 2,205 | 4,204 | 3,989 | 2,668 | (47.6) | (44.7) | (17.4) |
| Others | 675 | 894 | 441 | 739 | (24.5) | 53.0 | (8.6) |
| Segment PBIT margins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soaps and detergents | 3,335 | 2,985 | 2,325 | 3,501 | 11.7 | 43.4 | (4.7) |
| Personal products | 2,389 | 2,733 | 2,517 | 3,914 | (12.6) | (5.1) | (39.0) |
| Beverages | 655 | 671 | 498 | 651 | (2.4) | 31.5 | 0.6 |
| Foods | (45) | (40) | 17 | (39) | 11.8 | (358.4) | 14.6 |
| Lecreams | (19) | (30) | (29) | (28) | (38.0) | (35.9) | (34.5) |
| Exports | 144 | 195 | 177 | 156 | (26.4) | (18.8) | (7.7) |
| Others | (242) | (350) | (305) | (255) | (30.8) | (20.7) | (4.9) |


| Segment PBIT margins, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soaps and detergents | 16.6 | 14.4 | 13.4 | 16.5 |  |  |
| Personal products | 23.0 | 24.0 | 24.7 | 32.8 |  |  |
| Beverages | 13.4 | 12.7 | 11.6 | 12.8 |  |  |
| Foods | (2.7) | (1.9) | 1.1 | (2.3) |  |  |
| Icecreams | (4.1) | (6.3) | (7.8) | (8.3) |  |  |
| Exports | 6.5 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 5.8 |  |  |
| Others | (35.9) | (39.1) | (69.2) | (34.5) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital employed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soaps and detergents | 5,935 |  | 3,142 | 4,890 | 88.9 | 21.4 |
| Personal products | 229 |  | 1,153 | 800 | (80.1) | (71.3) |
| Beverages | 3,266 |  | 1,371 | 1,209 | 138.3 | 170.1 |
| Foods | 440 |  | 151 | 370 | 192.0 | 18.9 |
| Icecreams | 461 |  | 397 | 509 | 16.2 | (9.5) |
| Exports | 3,210 |  | 3,656 | 2,893 | (12.2) | 11.0 |
| Others | (506) |  | (342) | (815) | 47.9 | (37.9) |
| Unallocated corporate | 7,580 |  | 8,759 | 16,942 | (13.5) | (55.3) |
| Total | 20,615 |  | 18,285 | 26,799 | 12.7 | (23.1) |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities

## Market share losses leading to declining volumes, in our view

HUL FMCG volume growth (\%)


Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

## Sustainable volume growth is key

HUL P/E (x) and volume growth (\%)


Source: Kotak Institutional Equities

## HUL P/E has inverse correlation with margin expansion

HUL P/E (x) and margins (\%)


[^3]
## HUL faced volume decline when markets contracted in 2001 and 2002

Volume and price realization growth for HUL FMCG sales (\%)


Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

HUL is either losing out to nearest competition..

| Category | Company | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ \hline(\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ \hline(\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2007 \\ \hline(\%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 \\ \hline(\%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Change (bps) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8-years | 4-years | 1-year |
| Soaps | HUL | 58.4 | 57.1 | 54.3 | 48.3 | (1010) | (880) | (600) |
|  | Godrej | 2.1 | 6.0 | 9.7 | 9.9 | 780 | 390 | 20 |
|  | Reckitt Benckiser (Dettol) | 3.2 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 240 | 170 | 40 |
|  | Wipro (Santoor) | 3.7 | 4.5 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 560 | 480 | 100 |
|  | ITC | - | - | - | 2.4 | 240 | 240 | 240 |
|  | Others | 32.6 | 28.5 | 22.5 | 24.5 | (810) | (400) | 200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detergents | HUL | 38.9 | 37.8 | 37.3 | 37.0 | (190) | (80) | (30) |
|  | P\&G | 3.8 | 4.9 | 7.4 | 8.2 | 440 | 330 | 80 |
|  | Kanpur Detergents (Ghadi) | 6.8 | 10.3 | 11.8 | 12.2 | 540 | 190 | 40 |
|  | Nirma | 19.4 | 17.3 | 13.4 | 11.0 | (840) | (630) | (240) |
|  | Others | 31.1 | 29.7 | 30.1 | 31.6 | 50 | 190 | 150 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tea | HUL | 34.7 | 29.5 | 22.7 | 23.7 | (1100) | (580) | 100 |
|  | Tata Tea | 19.0 | 19.5 | 20.8 | 21.8 | 280 | 230 | 100 |
|  | Wagh Bakri | 3.0 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 120 | (20) | 0 |
|  | Others | 43.3 | 46.6 | 52.3 | 50.3 | 700 | 370 | (200) |

Source: Trade sources, Kotak Institutional Equities
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## ..or the market is getting increasingly fragmented, particularly in personal products

| Category | Company | 2001 | 2004 | 2007 | 2009 | Change (bps) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | 8-years | 4-years | 1-year |
| Shampoo | HUL | 63.4 | 49.3 | 47.8 | 44.9 | (1850) | (440) | (290) |
|  | P\&G | 13.1 | 19.6 | 23.7 | 24.1 | 1100 | 450 | 40 |
|  | CavinKare | 11.0 | 13.2 | 12.0 | 11.7 | 70 | (150) | (30) |
|  | Dabur | 4.1 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 180 | (30) | 60 |
|  | Others | 8.4 | 11.7 | 11.2 | 13.4 | 500 | 170 | 220 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skincare | HUL | 53.6 | 60.2 | 54.5 | 50.9 | (270) | (930) | (360) |
|  | CavinKare (Fairever) | 5.3 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 2.5 | (280) | (130) | 20 |
|  | Vicco | 4.5 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 1.9 | (260) | (180) | (10) |
|  | Others | 36.6 | 32.3 | 41.2 | 44.7 | 810 | 1240 | 350 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toothpaste | HUL | 35.7 | 32.8 | 29.5 | 28.1 | (760) | (470) | (140) |
|  | Colgate | 47.8 | 46.6 | 48.8 | 50.2 | 240 | 360 | 140 |
|  | Anchor | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 4.0 | (10) | (20) | 30 |
|  | Dabur | 2.8 | 5.1 | 9.5 | 9.9 | 710 | 480 | 40 |
|  | Others | 9.6 | 11.3 | 8.5 | 7.8 | (180) | (350) | (70) |

Source: Trade sources, Kotak Institutional Equities

## HUL: Profit model, balance sheet, cash model 2006-2010E, March year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan-Dec |  |  |  |  |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 121,034 | 137,178 | 163,452 | 179,464 | 201,318 |
| EBITDA | 16621 | 18874 | 21288 | 24464 | 27837 |
| Other income | 3,545 | 4,627 | 4,800 | 5,255 | 6,163 |
| Depreciation | $(1,302)$ | $(1,384)$ | $(1,541)$ | $(1,807)$ | $(2,096)$ |
| Pretax profits | 18,757 | 21,862 | 24,546 | 27,837 | 31,830 |
| Tax | $(2,950)$ | $(3,782)$ | $(3,530)$ | $(4,446)$ | $(5,510)$ |
| Deferred taxation | (268) | (389) | (803) | $(1,111)$ | $(1,019)$ |
| Net profit | 15539 | 17690 | 20213 | 22280 | 25301 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 7.0 | 8.0 | 9.2 | 10.2 | 11.6 |


| Balance sheet (Rs mn) | 27,235 | 14,392 | 15,473 | 16,679 | 18,048 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total equity | 726 | 885 | 885 | 885 | 885 |
| Total borrowings | 45,231 | 51,110 | 57,408 | 62,717 | 70,290 |
| Currrent liabilities | $\mathbf{7 3 1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 3 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 7 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 2 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 2 2 3}$ |
| Total liabilities and equity | 4,169 | 2,009 | 1,183 | 2,150 | 4,788 |
| Cash | 27,527 | 30,765 | 3,497 | 36,571 | 40,949 |
| Current assets | 15,110 | 17,081 | 20,134 | 23,718 | 26,663 |
| Total fixed assets | 24,139 | 14,408 | 17,632 | 17,632 | 17,632 |
| Investments | 2,245 | 2,124 | 1,321 | 210 | $\mathbf{( 8 1 0})$ |
| Deferred tax asset | $\mathbf{7 3 1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 3 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 7 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 2 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 2 2 3}$ |
| Total assets |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free cash flow (Rs mn) | 20,209 | 20,860 | 22,346 | 25,297 | $\mathbf{2 8 , 4 9 5}$ |
| Operating cash flow, excl. working capital | $(471)$ | 3,092 | 4,788 | 1,528 | 2,316 |
| Working capital | $(1,576)$ | $(3,355)$ | $(4,593)$ | $(5,391)$ | $(5,041)$ |
| Capital expenditure | $(4,309)$ | 9,294 | $(3,224)$ | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Investments | $\mathbf{1 3 8 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 8 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 4 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 6 9}$ |
| Free cash flow |  |  |  |  |  |


| Key assumptions | 9.4 | 13.3 | 19.2 | 9.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenue Growth (\%) | 13.9 | 13.7 | 13.0 | 12.2 |
| EBITDA Margin(\%) | 18.3 | 13.8 | 14.5 | 13.6 |
| EPS Growth (\%) |  | 11.5 | 13 |  |

Note: The company has extended the financial year ending 31 December 2008 to 31 March 2009.
The above mentioned estimates are for 12 month periods to facilitate meaningful comparison.
We will roll-over to March fiscal year-end estimates after the management meet.

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

| Banking |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| ADBK.BO, Rs62 |  |
| Rating | ADD |
| Sector coverage view | Attractive |
| Target Price (Rs) | 75 |
| 52 W High -Low (Rs) | $85-35$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 30.2 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 E}$ |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 24.0 | 24.7 | 28.8 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 6.6 | 5.4 | 6.1 |
| EPS (Rs) | 13.5 | 11.1 | 12.6 |
| EPS gth | 14.4 | $(18.4)$ | 14.0 |
| P/E (x) | 4.6 | 5.6 | 4.9 |
| P/B (x) | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Div yield (\%) | 7.2 | 4.5 | 5.1 |


| Pricing performance |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Perf-1m | Perf-3m | Perf-6m | Perf-1y |
| 8.0 | 21.8 | 20.6 | $(17.3)$ |

## Shareholding, March 2009

|  | \% of <br> Pattern <br> Portfolio |  | Over/(under) <br> weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promoters | 51.5 | - | - |
| Flls | 14.6 | 0.1 | $(0.0)$ |
| MFs | 4.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| UTI | - | - | $(0.1)$ |
| LIC | - | - | $(0.1)$ |

Andhra Bank: Reasonable quarterly performance; retain ADD rating
Ramnath Venkateswaran : ramnath.venkateswaran@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1240
Manish Karwa : manish.karwa@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1350

- Reports PAT of Rs2 bn (up $62 \%$ yoy) and $40 \%$ ahead of estimates
- NII growth was healthy but fee income disappoints
- Reported asset quality remains steady while restructured assets stood at $3.6 \%$ of March 2009 loan book
- Retain ADD rating with a target price of Rs75 (unchanged)

Andhra Bank's PAT in 4QFY09 was Rs2 bn, which was a growth of $62 \%$ yoy and much ahead of expectations (by 39\%). While the company's NII growth was healthy at $15 \%$ yoy, the fee income growth was sluggish. The asset quality of the company continues to remain healthy with a gross NPL ratio of $0.8 \%$ and $0.2 \%$ of net NPL ratio as of March 2009. Andhra Bank has restructured Rs 19.6 bn of loans as of March 2009, which are about 3.6\% of its loan book and has a further Rs1.6 bn of loan proposals that are being considered for restructuring. We have marginally reduced earnings by 3\% for FY20102011E. Retain ADD rating with a target price of Rs75.

## Net interest income growth was healthy

- Net interest income (NII) of Andhra Bank increased by 15\% yoy to Rs3.9 bn in 4QFY09. This growth was driven by more by higher loan growth rather than an improvement in margin. In line with the trend observed with other banks, NIM declined to $2.6 \%$ in 4QFY09 from 3.4\% in 3QFY09 as assets repriced faster than liabilities. Cost of deposits in fact went up in 4QFY09 by 50 bps as the impact of high cost mobilization in 3QFY09 played out in the current quarter.
- Outstanding loans as of March 2009 were Rs444 bn—growth of $29 \%$ yoy and higher than the trend observed in the past. However, the company's incremental loan growth was Rs24 bn lower than the Rs54 bn observed in 3QFY09.
- CASA ratio of the company was 31.4\% as of March 2009, marginally down from the $31.8 \%$ reported as of December 2008. On a more positive note, the company's high cost bulk deposits were at around Rs48 bn, down from the Rs90 bn as of December 2008. The management indicated that most of these high-cost liabilities were shed towards the last week of March 2009 and the positive impact of this is likely to be felt over the next few quarters.


## Reported asset quality is healthy

Andhra Bank's asset quality with a net NPL ratio of $0.2 \%$ as of March 2009 with a provision coverage ratio of $78 \%$ is amongst the best in the industry. The high provision cover and a low net NPL ratio could provide the company with cushion to manage a likely rise in NPLs in the future. The company has restructured around Rs19.6 bn (3.6\% of March 2009 loan book) of loan assets as of March 2009 under the special regulatory forbearance provided by RBI. A further Rs1.6 bn of loans are under consideration for restructuring as of March 2009.

## Other key highlights of the quarter

- Non-interest revenues were Rs3.2 bn in 4QFY09 (up 58\% yoy) and 40\% higher than estimates. These revenues were driven by higher treasury gains rather an improvement in core fee income. The treasury gains were Rs 1.6 bn in 4QFY09 and were up 4X yoy.
- Andhra Bank made Rs200 mn of adhoc provisions in 4QFY09 for the expected employee wage hike given the ongoing negotiations between IBA and employee unions. The company has made Rs600 mn of such provisions in FY2009 for this contingency expecting a wage hike of $15 \%$.
- Andhra Bank has implemented a core banking solution across all its branches in FY2009, which might prove beneficial over a period of time and help its profitability. The company has decided to amortize the expenses incurred under this head over a 5year period rather than provide the expenses in a single year. The quantum of benefit to the company's PAT on account of this accounting policy has not been provided in the notes to the exchanges.
- The company had an effective tax rate of $18 \%$ in 4QFY09 and $27 \%$ for the entire year. The effective tax rates have typically been in excess of $31 \%$ over the past two years and the reasons for the lower tax rate in the current year are unclear to us.

| Andhra Bank, quarterly results (Rs mn) |  | 1QFY09 | 2QFY09 | 3QFY09 | 4QFY09 | \% chg | 4QFY09KS Actual Vs KS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4QFY08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Earned | 11,692 | 11,777 | 13,481 | 14,024 | 15,067 | 29 | 14,249 | 6 |
| Interest on advances | 8,676 | 8,801 | 10,253 | 11,108 | 11,988 | 38 | 10,938 | 10 |
| Interest on investments | 2,717 | 2,912 | 3,006 | 2,823 | 2,852 | 5 | 3,118 | (9) |
| Interest on bal. with RBI \& other inter bank funds | 98 | 64 | 162 | 93 | 58 | (40) | 253 | (77) |
| Other interest | 201 | - | 60 | - | 169 | (16) | (60) | (382) |
| Interest expense | 8,263 | 8,111 | 8,946 | 9,305 | 11,114 | 35 | 10,043 | 11 |
| Net interest income | 3,429 | 3,666 | 4,535 | 4,719 | 3,953 | 15 | 4,206 | (6) |
| Other income | 2,033 | 1,187 | 1,354 | 2,165 | 3,204 | 58 | 2,281 | 40 |
| Treasury | 323 | (10) | (80) | 670 | 1,624 | 403 | 420 | 287 |
| Commission \& exchange | 640 | 496 | 520 | 600 | 508 | (21) | - | - |
| Dividend income | 20 | 10 | 17 | 20 | 5 | (75) | - | - |
| Forex profit | 80 | 92 | 145 | 30 | 106 | 33 | - | - |
| Others | 970 | 599 | 752 | 845 | 957 | (1) | - | - |
| Total income | 5,462 | 4,853 | 5,889 | 6,884 | 7,157 | 31 | 6,486 | 10 |
| Operating expenses | 2,131 | 2,597 | 2,905 | 2,958 | 2,839 | 33 | 2,855 | (1) |
| Payments to / Provisions for employees | 974 | 1,406 | 1,669 | 1,644 | 1,522 | 56 | 1,541 | (1) |
| Other operating expenses | 1,157 | 1,190 | 1,236 | 1,315 | 1,317 | 14 | 1,315 | 0 |
| Operating profit before prov. \& cont. | 3,331 | 2,256 | 2,984 | 3,925 | 4,318 | 30 | 3,631 | 19 |
| Provisions \& Contingencies | 1,114 | 1,430 | 769 | 449 | 1,856 | 67 | 1,381 | 34 |
| Loan loss provisions | 430 | 362 | 457 | 320 | 921 | 114 | 858 | 7 |
| Investment depreciation | 480 | 864 | 126 | (200) | 1,010 | 110 | 300 | 237 |
| Profit before tax | 2,218 | 826 | 2,215 | 3,477 | 2,462 | 11 | 2,249 | 9 |
| Provision for taxes | 975 | 50 | 600 | 1,350 | 450 | (54) | 806 | (44) |
| Net profit | 1,243 | 776 | 1,615 | 2,127 | 2,012 | 62 | 1,444 | 39 |
| Tax rate | 44 | 6 | 27 | 39 | 18 |  | 36 |  |
| PBT - treasury + investment dep. | 2,375 | 1,700 | 2,421 | 2,607 | 1,848 | (22) | 2,130 | (13) |
| PBT - treasury + investment dep. + loan loss | 2,965 | 2,223 | 3,038 | 2,927 | 2,769 | (7) | 2,988 | (7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key balance sheet items (Rs bn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 494 | 492 | 505 | 538 | 594 | 20 |  |  |
| CASA | 166 | 162 | 172 | 171 | 186 | 12 |  |  |
| CASA (\%) | 33.6 | 33.0 | 34.1 | 31.8 | 31.4 |  |  |  |
| Advances | 346 | 341 | 364 | 420 | 444 | 29 |  |  |
| Agriculture | 62 | 61 | 59 | 65 | 68 | 11 |  |  |
| SME | 40 | 42 | 45 | 50 | 54 | 34 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments | 149.0 | 161.1 | 142.4 | 163.7 | 169.1 | 13.5 |  |  |
| AFS | 39.0 | NA | 25.5 | 43.5 | 46.9 | 20.3 |  |  |
| Duration (years) | 2.6 | NA | 1.4 | 1.8 | 3.4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset quality details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Non Performing Assets (Rs mn) | 3,724 | 3,928 | 3,741 | 3,734 | 3,681 | (1) |  |  |
| Gross Non Performing Assets (\%) | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |  |  |  |
| Net Non Performing Assets (Rs mn) | 537 | 351 | 873 | 862 | 792 | 48 |  |  |
| Net Non Performing Assets (\%) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yield management measures (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yield on advances | 10.7 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 11.9 | 11.5 |  |  |  |
| Cost of deposits | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.5 |  |  |  |
| Yield on investments | 8.3 | 7.4 | 7.6 | - | 10.7 |  |  |  |
| Cost of funds | 6.2 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.8 |  |  |  |
| Yield from funds | 8.7 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 9.2 |  |  |  |
| Spread | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.4 |  |  |  |
| NIM | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 2.6 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital adeuqacy details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAR (\%) | 11.6 | 12.1 | 13.4 | 12.7 | 13.2 |  |  |  |
| Tier I (\%) | 8.5 | 9.0 | NA | 8.7 | NA |  |  |  |
| Tier II (\%) | 3.1 | 3.1 | NA | 4.0 | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

## Andhra Bank -- old and new estimates

Key financial parameters, March fiscal year-ends, 2009-2011E (Rs mn)

|  | Old estimates |  |  | New estimates |  |  | \% difference |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E |
| Net interest income | 17,125 | 18,601 | 22,021 | 16,279 | 17,831 | 21,526 | (4.9) | (4.1) | (2.2) |
| Loan growth (\%) | 24 | 15 | 15 | 28 | 16 | 15 |  |  |  |
| Spread (\%) | 2.55 | 2.37 | 2.45 | 2.40 | 2.24 | 2.37 |  |  |  |
| Loan loss provisions | 1,998 | 4,120 | 5,790 | 2,060 | 4,267 | 6,020 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.0 |
| Other income | 6,987 | 7,580 | 8,002 | 7,676 | 6,908 | 7,288 | 9.9 | (8.9) | (8.9) |
| Fee income | 2,337 | 2,570 | 2,776 | 2,124 | 2,230 | 2,408 | (9.1) | (13.2) | (13.2) |
| Treasury income | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 2,205 | 1,000 | 1,000 |  |  |  |
| Operating expenses | 11,315 | 12,961 | 14,250 | 11,044 | 12,310 | 13,521 | (2.4) | (5.0) | (5.1) |
| Employee expenses | 6,260 | 7,249 | 8,029 | 6,241 | 7,039 | 7,797 | (0.3) | (2.9) | (2.9) |
| Net profit | 5,962 | 5,577 | 6,245 | 6,561 | 5,387 | 6,120 | 10.0 | (3.4) | (2.0) |
| PBT-treasury+provisions | 10,984 | 11,570 | 14,253 | 10,706 | 11,429 | 14,292 | (2.5) | (1.2) | 0.3 |

[^4]
## Andhra Bank growth rates and key ratios, March year-ends 2007-2011E

|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010E | 2011E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth rates (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net loan | 26.2 | 22.8 | 28.2 | 16.0 | 14.9 |
| Total Asset | 16.9 | 19.0 | 18.2 | 15.8 | 13.1 |
| Deposits | 22.2 | 19.3 | 20.1 | 17.0 | 13.9 |
| Current | 18.7 | 20.4 | 18.3 | 17.0 | 13.9 |
| Savings | 15.4 | 14.4 | 10.3 | 24.1 | 13.9 |
| Fixed | 25.6 | 21.0 | 24.1 | 14.7 | 13.9 |
| Net interest income | 21.3 | 0.2 | 14.6 | 9.5 | 20.7 |
| Loan loss provisions | 5.9 | 46.2 | 66.6 | 107.1 | 41.1 |
| Total other income | 13.5 | 27.2 | 16.1 | (10.0) | 5.5 |
| Net fee income | 7.0 | 17.0 | (0.0) | 5.0 | 8.0 |
| Net capital gains | (24.2) | - | - | - | - |
| Net exchange gains | 16.5 | 3.5 | 11.7 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Operating expenses | 8.8 | 1.2 | 17.0 | 11.5 | 9.8 |
| Employee expenses | 11.3 | (7.2) | 22.5 | 12.8 | 10.8 |
| Key ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yield on average earning assets | 7.8 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 8.4 |
| Yield on average loans | 9.2 | 10.2 | 10.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 |
| Yield on average investments | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| Average cost of funds | 4.8 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 6.0 |
| Interest on deposits | 4.8 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Difference | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Net interest income/earning assets | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| New provisions/average net loans | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| Interest income/total income | 73.2 | 68.2 | 68.0 | 72.1 | 74.7 |
| Fee income to total income | 9.4 | 10.2 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 8.4 |
| Operating expenses/total income | 48.2 | 45.4 | 46.1 | 49.8 | 46.9 |
| Tax rate | 31.5 | 37.3 | 27.2 | 34.0 | 34.0 |
| Dividend payout ratio | 34.3 | 33.7 | 33.3 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Share of deposits |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current | 25.7 | 24.6 | 22.6 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Fixed | 65.5 | 66.4 | 68.6 | 67.2 | 67.2 |
| Savings | 25.7 | 24.6 | 22.6 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Loans-to-deposit ratio | 67.3 | 69.3 | 73.9 | 73.3 | 73.9 |
| Dupont analysis (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| Loan loss provisions | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Net other income | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Operating expenses | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Invt. depreciation | 0.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| (1- tax rate) | 68.5 | 62.7 | 72.8 | 66.0 | 66.0 |
| ROA | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Average assets/average equity | 14.6 | 16.3 | 17.9 | 18.8 | 19.4 |
| ROE | 17.8 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 14.0 | 14.4 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

Andhra Bank Income statement and balance sheet, March year-ends 2007-2011E

|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010E | 2011E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income statement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest income | 33,153 | 42,899 | 53,746 | 58,610 | 68,005 |
| Loans | 23,034 | 31,769 | 42,151 | 46,563 | 53,762 |
| Investments | 8,964 | 10,482 | 10,990 | 11,915 | 14,119 |
| Cash and deposits | 1,155 | 647 | 606 | 131 | 124 |
| Total interest expense | 18,978 | 28,700 | 37,467 | 40,779 | 46,479 |
| Deposits from customers | 18,108 | 27,778 | 34,381 | 38,625 | 44,325 |
| Net interest income | 14,175 | 14,199 | 16,279 | 17,831 | 21,526 |
| Loan loss provisions | 846 | 1,237 | 2,060 | 4,267 | 6,020 |
| Net interest income (after prov.) | 13,330 | 12,962 | 14,219 | 13,564 | 15,506 |
| Other income | 5,201 | 6,614 | 7,676 | 6,908 | 7,288 |
| Net fee income | 1,816 | 2,124 | 2,124 | 2,230 | 2,408 |
| Net capital gains | 537 | 1,192 | 2,205 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Net exchange gains | 323 | 334 | 373 | 410 | 451 |
| Operating expenses | 9,332 | 9,443 | 11,044 | 12,310 | 13,521 |
| Employee expenses | 5,488 | 5,094 | 6,241 | 7,039 | 7,797 |
| Depreciation on investments | 1,364 | 925 | 1,800 | - | - |
| Other Provisions | (11) | 35 | 41 | - | - |
| Pretax income | 7,849 | 9,176 | 9,011 | 8,162 | 9,272 |
| Tax provisions | 2,470 | 3,420 | 2,450 | 2,775 | 3,153 |
| Net Profit | 5,379 | 5,756 | 6,561 | 5,387 | 6,120 |
| \% growth | 10.8 | 7.0 | 14.0 | (17.9) | 13.6 |
| PBT - Treasury + Provisions | 9,511 | 10,181 | 10,706 | 11,429 | 14,292 |
| \% growth | 38.8 | 6.9 | 14.2 | 6.7 | 25.1 |
| Balance sheet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and bank balance | 40,242 | 56,943 | 57,693 | 47,301 | 52,139 |
| Cash | 3,918 | 4,407 | 4,627 | 4,627 | 4,627 |
| Balance with RBI | 25,572 | 44,610 | 45,139 | 34,748 | 39,585 |
| Balance with banks | 5,196 | 2,443 | 2,443 | 2,443 | 2,443 |
| Net value of investments | 143,007 | 148,982 | 157,614 | 203,460 | 224,376 |
| Govt. and other securities | 113,570 | 130,869 | 141,682 | 187,528 | 208,443 |
| Shares | 2,163 | 2,078 | 2,078 | 2,078 | 2,078 |
| Debentures and bonds | 9,475 | 8,896 | 8,007 | 8,007 | 8,007 |
| Net loans and advances | 278,891 | 342,384 | 439,033 | 509,235 | 585,309 |
| Fixed assets | 1,923 | 2,195 | 2,122 | 1,863 | 1,716 |
| Net leased assets | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net Owned assets | 1,923 | 2,195 | 2,122 | 1,863 | 1,716 |
| Other assets | 11,347 | 15,420 | 12,336 | 12,336 | 12,336 |
| Total assets | 475,410 | 565,924 | 668,798 | 774,196 | 875,875 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 414,540 | 494,365 | 593,935 | 694,950 | 791,699 |
| Borrowings and bills payable | 19,443 | 24,761 | 26,918 | 26,918 | 26,918 |
| Other liabilities | 9,864 | 14,305 | 11,444 | 12,016 | 12,617 |
| Total liabilities | 443,847 | 533,431 | 632,297 | 733,884 | 831,234 |
| Paid-up capital | 4,850 | 4,850 | 4,850 | 4,850 | 4,850 |
| Reserves \& surplus | 26,713 | 27,643 | 31,650 | 35,461 | 39,791 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 31,563 | 32,493 | 36,500 | 40,311 | 44,641 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

| Energy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ONGC.BO, Rs883 |  |  |  |
| Rating |  |  | ADD |
| Sector coverage view |  |  | Neutral |
| Target Price (Rs) |  |  | 900 |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) |  |  | 40-538 |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) |  |  | 1,889 |
| Financials |  |  |  |
| March y/e | 2009 | 9 2010E | 2011E |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 1,249 | 1,088 | 1,202 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | bn) 219.5 | $5 \quad 202.4$ | 268.4 |
| EPS (Rs) | 102.6 | - 94.6 | 125.5 |
| EPS gth | 10.7 | 7 (7.8) | 32.7 |
| P/E (x) | 8.6 | - 9.3 | 7.0 |
| EV/EBITDA ( x ) | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| Div yield (\%) | 3.8 | 8.1 | 5.4 |
| Pricing performance |  |  |  |
| Perf-1m$(0.2)$ | Perf-3m P | Perf-6m | Perf-1y |
|  | 25.0 | 20.0 | (14.4) |

## Shareholding, March 2009

|  | \% of <br> Pattern |  | Over/(under) <br> weight |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promoters | 74.1 | - | - |
| FIls | 5.4 | 2.2 | $(4.0)$ |
| MFs | 1.7 | 3.2 | $(3.0)$ |
| UTI | - | - | $(6.3)$ |
| LIC | 2.6 | 3.5 | $(2.7)$ |

## Oil \& Natural Gas Corporation: Increased risk to earnings may result in muted near-term stock performance
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- Increased risk to earnings from (1) likely higher subsidy burden and (2) strengthening rupee
- Encouraging reserve accretion for FY2009 with reserve replacement ratio of 1.44X
- Revised earnings for stronger rupee; revised 12-month target price to Rs900 (Rs950 previously)

We see increased risk to earnings of ONGC in the near term from (1) likely sharing of higher subsidy burden for 4QFY09, (2) strengthening of rupee and (3) likely weakness in crude prices from current levels. We do not model any subsidy burden for ONGC in 4QFY09. Thus, we see risk of some subsidy burden on ONGC in case the government decides to cap the amount of oil bonds to a lower figure versus Rs150 bn assumed by us and allocates the balance amount to the upstream companies. We revise our FY2010E, FY2011E and FY2012E EPS estimates to Rs95 (-9\%), Rs126 (-9\%) and Rs134 (-10\%) on the back of (1) stronger rupee and (2) higher discount on crude produced from Cairnoperated Rajasthan block. Our revised 12-month fair valuation based on 8 X normalized FCF is Rs900 (Rs950 previously). Key downside risk stems from lower-than-expected net realized price due to higher-than-expected subsidy burden.

## Key risks to earnings

1. Likely higher subsidy burden on upstream companies. We do not rule out higher subsidy burden for the upstream companies for FY2009E and some burden in 4QFY09 versus nil assumed by us. As per press reports, the government will issue Rs100 bn of oil bonds to the downstream companies in 4QFY09, which is lower than our expected Rs150 bn. We had assumed Rs150 bn for 4QFY09 based on Rs 759 figure in Interim Budget 2009 and Rs610 bn of oil bonds issued in 9MFY09. We currently assume nil subsidy burden for upstream companies for 4QFY09. We note that our FY2009E EPS estimate for ONGC will decline to Rs95 from Rs104 if the upstream companies are required to share additional burden of Rs50 bn (ONGC's share at Rs34 bn) for FY2009E.
2. Strengthening rupee. We see a stronger rupee versus our revised assumptions (Rs50.8/US\$ for FY2010E and Rs50.5/US\$ for FY2011E) as a negative for earnings for ONGC. The rupee has appreciated $3.7 \%$ since March 1,2009 . We highlight that ONGC's earnings have high sensitivity to changes in exchange rate assumptions; a Rs/1 US\$ change will impact ONGC's earnings by about 4\% and would reduce its FY2010E and FY2011E EPS to Rs91 and Rs121 from our base-case EPS estimates of Rs95 and Rs126 (see Exhibit 2). However, the negative impact of strengthening rupees on ONGC will be partly mitigated through lower subsidy losses for downstream oil companies and likely higher global crude oil prices.
3. Likely weakness in crude prices. We do not see the recent flare-up in crude oil prices as sustainable in light of (1) demand weakness in OECD countries despite encouraging signs of recovery in developing economies and (2) very high and rising global inventories (see Exhibits 3 and 4). We have been positively surprised by the recent strength in crude oil prices and the recovery probably reflects the market's optimism about global economic recovery. We remain positive on crude prices in the medium term and expect a recovery in 4QCY09 after weakness in 2QCY09 and 3QCY09 due to likely weak demand in the US and other developed countries.

## Reserves addition for FY2009 is encouraging

We are encouraged by the addition of 69 mn tons of ultimate recoverable reserves in ONGC alone (without overseas and domestic joint ventures) versus 63.8 mn tons in FY2008, which result in reserves replacement ratio of 1.44 X . ONGC added 186 mn tons of ultimate reserves ( 1.4 bn bbls of oil and oil equivalent of gas) in FY2009 broken down between 68.9 mn tons in ONGC-operated fields in India, 2.8 mn tons in overseas joint ventures and 114.2 mn tons in domestic joint venture fields. Exhibit 5 gives the breakdown of reserve accretion for ONGC, OVL and joint venture fields.

The Street had concerns about ONGC not having had any major exploration success over the past few years (reserve replacement ratio of 0.6X between FY2002 and FY2006) but the performance in FY2009 and the past two years will likely allay concerns about ONGC's ability to find hydrocarbons. Exhibit 6 gives details of reserves addition by ONGC over the past few years.

## Earnings revisions

We discuss our earnings changes and key assumptions behind our earnings model (see Exhibit 7) below.

1. FY2010E. We have reduced FY2010E EPS to Rs95 from Rs104 to reflect primarily stronger rupee at Rs50.8/US\$ versus Rs53.3/US\$ previously. We model net realized crude price of US $\$ 43.4 / \mathrm{bbl}$ based on gross crude price of US\$55/bbl (Dated Brent basis) and subsidy burden of Rs100 bn. Our volume assumptions are unchanged.
2. FY2011E. We have reduced FY2011E EPS to Rs126 from Rs138 to reflect stronger rupee at Rs50.5/US\$ versus Rs53/US\$ previously and higher discount of US\$8/bbl versus US $\$ 6 / \mathrm{bbl}$ for crude oil produced from Cairn-operated Rajasthan fields (RJ-ON90/1 block). We model net realized crude price of US $\$ 45.4 / \mathrm{bbl}$ based on gross crude price of US $\$ 66 / \mathrm{bbl}$ (Dated Brent basis) and subsidy burden of Rs175 bn. There is no change to our volume assumptions.
3. FY2012E. We have reduced FY2012E EPS to Rs 134 from Rs 149 to reflect stronger rupee at Rs48.5 versus Rs51.3 previously and higher discount of US\$8/bbl versus US\$6/ bbl for crude oil produced from Cairn-operated Rajasthan fields (RJ-OSN-90/1 block). We model net realized crude price of US\$44.2/bbl based on gross crude price of US $\$ 70 / \mathrm{bbl}$ (Dated Brent basis) and subsidy burden of Rs220 bn.

## We value ONGC stock at Rs900 on US\$50/bbl normalized crude price

Estimation of fair value of ONGC stock based on normalized free cash flow (Rs mn)

|  | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normalized crude price assumption (US\$/bbl) | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Recurring operating cash flow |  |  |  |
| Operating cash flow $=$ EBIT $\mathrm{X}(1-\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{D}$ | 138,178 | 262,679 | 233,246 |
| Add: OCF after normalizing natural gas price | 38,065 | 36,388 | 30,346 |
| Add: OCF after removing subsidies | 178,630 | 66,120 | 118,429 |
| Recurring OCF | 354,873 | 365,188 | 382,020 |
| Recurring capex |  |  |  |
| Production per annum (mn bbls) | 374 | 377 | 392 |
| Replacement or F\&D costs (US\$/bbl) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Recurring capex | 171,316 | 191,474 | 197,911 |
| Free cash flow | 183,557 | 173,713 | 184,110 |
| Free cash flow multiple (X) | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Enterprise value | 1,468,453 | 1,389,708 | 1,472,877 |
| (Net debt)/cash | 315,908 | 422,717 | 529,439 |
| Investments | 91,787 | 96,727 | 101,668 |
| Equity value | 1,876,148 | 1,909,152 | 2,103,984 |
| Total equity value per share (Rs/share) | 877 | 893 | 984 |


|  | Equity value <br> (Rs/share) | Change from base case <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Normalized crude prices |  |  |
| US $\$ 90 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 1,962 | 120 |
| $U S \$ 80 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 1,695 | 90 |
| $U S \$ 70 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 1,427 | 60 |
| $U S \$ 60 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 1,160 | 30 |
| US $\$ 50 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 893 |  |
| $U S \$ 45 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 782 | $(12)$ |
| $U S \$ 40 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 694 | $(22)$ |
| $U S \$ 35 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 539 | $(40)$ |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

ONGC's earnings are highly sensitive to crude price and exchange rate assumptions
Earnings sensitivity of ONGC to key variables

|  | 2010E |  |  | 2011E |  |  | 2011E |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Downside | Base case | Upside | Downside | Base case | Upside | Downside | Base case | Upside |
| Exchange rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rs/US\$ | 49.8 | 50.8 | 51.8 | 49.5 | 50.5 | 51.5 | 47.5 | 48.5 | 49.5 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) | 194,243 | 202,359 | 210,475 | 258,112 | 268,444 | 278,775 | 274,848 | 286,300 | 297,751 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 90.8 | 94.6 | 98.4 | 120.7 | 125.5 | 130.3 | 128.5 | 133.9 | 139.2 |
| \% upside/(downside) | (4.0) |  | 4.0 | (3.8) |  | 3.8 | (4.0) |  | 4.0 |
| Average crude prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude price (US \$/bbl) | 53.0 | 55.0 | 57.0 | 63.0 | 65.0 | 67.0 | 68.0 | 70.0 | 72.0 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) | 188,071 | 202,359 | 216,649 | 252,847 | 268,444 | 284,038 | 270,730 | 286,300 | 301,865 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 87.9 | 94.6 | 101.3 | 118.2 | 125.5 | 132.8 | 126.6 | 133.9 | 141.1 |
| \% upside/(downside) | (7.1) |  | 7.1 | (5.8) |  | 5.8 | (5.4) |  | 5.4 |
| Cess |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cess on domestic crude (Rs/ton) | 3,090 | 2,575 | 2,060 | 3,090 | 2,575 | 2,060 | 3,090 | 2,575 | 2,060 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) | 194,934 | 202,359 | 209,784 | 260,627 | 268,444 | 276,261 | 278,359 | 286,300 | 294,241 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 91.1 | 94.6 | 98.1 | 121.9 | 125.5 | 129.2 | 130.1 | 133.9 | 137.6 |
| \% upside/(downside) | (3.7) |  | 3.7 | (2.9) |  | 2.9 | (2.8) |  | 2.8 |
| Natural gas prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural gas price ceiling (Rs/'000 cum) | 2,700 | 3,200 | 3,700 | 3,250 | 3,750 | 4,250 | 4,000 | 4,500 | 5,000 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) | 197,229 | 202,359 | 209,784 | 263,387 | 268,444 | 273,500 | 281,615 | 286,300 | 290,983 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 92.2 | 94.6 | 98.1 | 123.1 | 125.5 | 127.9 | 131.7 | 133.9 | 136.0 |
| \% upside/(downside) | (2.5) |  | 3.7 | (1.9) |  | 1.9 | (1.6) |  | 1.6 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates
$\overline{\text { OECD demand has fallen in the recent month due to the impact of high gasoline and other product prices }}$ OECD demand (mn b/d)


Source: IEA, Kotak Institutional Equities


Source: DOE, Kotak Institutional Equities

ONGC reserves data, March fiscal year-ends, 2008-09 (mn tons)

|  | In-place reserves accretion ( $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OEG}$ ) |  | Ultimate reserves(O+OEG) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
| Domestic |  |  |  |  |
| ONGC | 182.2 | 284.8 | 63.8 | 68.9 |
| JV fields | 30.9 | 12.2 | (0.3) | 2.8 |
| Overseas |  |  |  |  |
| OVL |  |  | 46.7 | 114.2 |
| Total | 213 | 297 | 110 | 186 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

## ONGC's reserve replacement ratio has been encouraging

Reserve accretion and production data, March fiscal year-ends, 2004-09 (mn tons)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reserve accretion | 33.7 | 49.4 | 51.5 | 65.6 | 63.8 | 68.9 |
| Production | 49.6 | 49.4 | 47.0 | 48.5 | 48.3 | 47.9 |
| Reserve replacement ratio $(\mathbf{X})$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 4}$ |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

## Moderate growth in volumes over the next few years

Key assumptions, March fiscal year-ends, 2005-2012E

|  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2012E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rs/US\$ rate | 45.0 | 44.3 | 45.3 | 40.3 | 45.8 | 50.8 | 50.5 | 48.5 |
| Subsidy share scheme loss (Rs bn) | 41.0 | 119.6 | 170.2 | 220.0 | 273.7 | 100.0 | 175.0 | 220.0 |
| Import tariff on crude oil (\%) | 9.7 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 0.9 | - | - | - |
| Crude/natural gas prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude price, Bonny Light (US\$/bbl) | 40.6 | 57.2 | 64.8 | 78.9 | 83.0 | 55.0 | 65.0 | 70.0 |
| Net crude price, ONGC-India (US\$/bbl) | 39.4 | 43.8 | 46.1 | 54.2 | 48.4 | 43.4 | 45.4 | 44.2 |
| Natural gas price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ceiling natural gas price, India (Rs/cu m) | 2.85 | 3.52 | 3.20 | 3.20 | 3.20 | 3.20 | 3.75 | 4.50 |
| Ceiling natural gas price, India (US\$/mn BTU) | 1.69 | 2.12 | 1.89 | 2.12 | 1.87 | 1.69 | 1.98 | 2.48 |
| Net natural gas price, ONGC-India (Rs/cu m) | 2.18 | 3.11 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.81 | 3.32 | 4.00 |
| Net natural gas price, ONGC-India (US\$/mn BTU) | 1.29 | 1.88 | 1.67 | 1.87 | 1.64 | 1.48 | 1.75 | 2.20 |
| International operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net natural gas price, OVL-Vietnam (Rs/cu m) | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| Net crude price, OVL-Sudan (Rs/ton) | 5,893 | 8,118 | 9,384 | 10,142 | 12,136 | 8,951 | 10,503 | 10,854 |
| Net crude price, OVL-Russia (Rs/ton) | - | 8,320 | 9,633 | 10,434 | 12,493 | 9,169 | 10,783 | 11,153 |
| Sales volumes-Domestic fields (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude oil (mn tons) | 24.1 | 22.5 | 24.4 | 24.1 | 23.1 | 24.3 | 26.2 | 27.0 |
| Natural gas (bcm) | 20.6 | 20.5 | 20.3 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 19.6 | 19.2 | 17.9 |
| Sales volumes-Overseas fields |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude oil (mn tons) | 3.7 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.2 |
| Natural gas (bcm) | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Total sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude oil (mn tons) | 27.8 | 27.0 | 30.2 | 30.9 | 30.7 | 31.7 | 33.5 | 34.2 |
| Natural gas (bcm) | 22.0 | 22.3 | 22.5 | 22.4 | 23.0 | 22.2 | 22.0 | 20.7 |
| Total sales (mn toe) | 47.4 | 46.9 | 50.3 | 50.9 | 51.2 | 51.5 | 53.1 | 52.7 |
| Total sales (mn boe) | 346 | 342 | 367 | 372 | 374 | 376 | 388 | 384 |
| Crude oil (\%) | 59 | 58 | 60 | 61 | 60 | 62 | 63 | 65 |
| Natural gas (\%) | 41 | 42 | 40 | 39 | 40 | 38 | 37 | 35 |

(a) Includes ONGC's share of production from joint venture fields.

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Consolidated profit model, balance sheet, cash model of ONGC, March fiscal year-ends, 2004-2012E (Rs mn)

|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2012E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 467,124 | 707,083 | 807,603 | 966,542 | 1,091,644 | 1,248,747 | 1,088,182 | 1,202,343 | 1,228,354 |
| EBITDA | 196,494 | 281,195 | 310,054 | 358,001 | 407,790 | 431,650 | 401,493 | 478,349 | 483,516 |
| Other income | 23,752 | 17,595 | 27,350 | 45,378 | 53,565 | 50,829 | 57,534 | 67,250 | 80,570 |
| Interest | $(5,028)$ | $(2,512)$ | (537) | 394 | $(12,027)$ | $(7,754)$ | $(4,315)$ | $(2,372)$ | $(4,236)$ |
| Depreciation and depletion | $(65,525)$ | $(73,465)$ | $(97,726)$ | $(119,550)$ | $(138,624)$ | $(136,578)$ | $(145,517)$ | $(143,696)$ | $(140,094)$ |
| Pretax profits | 149,693 | 222,813 | 239,141 | 284,222 | 310,705 | 338,146 | 309,194 | 399,532 | 419,757 |
| Tax | $(46,101)$ | $(74,690)$ | $(71,196)$ | $(88,986)$ | $(102,908)$ | $(111,685)$ | $(101,618)$ | $(129,011)$ | $(126,472)$ |
| Deferred tax | $(7,779)$ | $(4,744)$ | $(13,612)$ | $(9,264)$ | $(6,471)$ | $(5,795)$ | $(3,137)$ | (144) | $(4,558)$ |
| Net profits | 95,523 | 143,175 | 154,596 | 178,414 | 203,076 | 223,948 | 204,439 | 270,377 | 288,727 |
| Net profits after minority interests | 94,219 | 140,670 | 153,542 | 176,922 | 199,466 | 221,620 | 202,359 | 268,444 | 286,300 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 44.1 | 65.8 | 71.8 | 82.7 | 93.3 | 103.6 | 94.6 | 125.5 | 133.9 |

## Balance sheet (Rs mn)

| Total equity | 415,582 | 488,912 | 578,830 | 670,137 | 786,657 | 921,695 | 1,033,033 | 1,180,600 | 1,341,908 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deferred tax liability | 54,250 | 57,911 | 71,557 | 80,976 | 87,227 | 93,022 | 96,159 | 96,303 | 100,861 |
| Liability for abandonment cost | 80,292 | 80,941 | 128,675 | 151,857 | 129,325 | 129,325 | 129,325 | 129,325 | 129,325 |
| Total borrowings | 60,961 | 39,028 | 28,767 | 21,826 | 22,039 | 33,712 | 42,339 | 72,739 | 124,639 |
| Currrent liabilities | 85,376 | 128,346 | 142,435 | 187,051 | 251,797 | 158,795 | 150,124 | 150,763 | 152,649 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 696,461 | 795,138 | 950,264 | 1,111,847 | 1,277,045 | 1,336,549 | 1,450,981 | 1,629,730 | 1,849,382 |
| Cash | 95,721 | 101,843 | 90,743 | 206,262 | 249,807 | 349,619 | 465,056 | 602,178 | 787,203 |
| Current assets | 133,039 | 178,421 | 240,210 | 192,652 | 257,384 | 219,411 | 202,654 | 237,607 | 242,798 |
| Total fixed assets | 419,213 | 471,543 | 565,722 | 643,219 | 695,227 | 692,893 | 703,704 | 705,439 | 734,875 |
| Goodwill | 11,661 | 10,753 | 14,172 | 27,686 | 22,847 | 22,847 | 22,847 | 22,847 | 22,847 |
| Investments | 30,811 | 26,961 | 35,753 | 36,888 | 45,041 | 45,041 | 49,981 | 54,922 | 54,923 |
| Deferred expenditure | 6,017 | 5,617 | 3,663 | 5,141 | 6,739 | 6,739 | 6,739 | 6,739 | 6,739 |
| Total assets | 696,461 | 795,138 | 950,264 | 1,111,848 | 1,277,045 | 1,336,549 | 1,450,981 | 1,629,732 | 1,849,385 |
| Free cash flow (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating cash flow, excl. working capital | 133,261 | 187,001 | 216,736 | 252,772 | 284,517 | 257,322 | 238,511 | 297,628 | 302,201 |
| Working capital changes | 25,630 | 18,787 | 46,461 | $(4,990)$ | $(24,929)$ | $(119,904)$ | 39,006 | $(11,665)$ | $(3,305)$ |
| Capital expenditure | $(56,301)$ | $(103,418)$ | $(113,738)$ | $(135,049)$ | $(166,427)$ | $(77,200)$ | $(100,757)$ | $(98,275)$ | $(121,222)$ |
| Investments | $(10,608)$ | $(9,887)$ | $(28,912)$ | 53,822 | $(7,348)$ | - | $(4,940)$ | - | - |
| Other income | 9,767 | 13,049 | 14,537 | 20,422 | 22,822 | 51,203 | 57,534 | 67,250 | 80,570 |
| Free cash flow | 101,749 | 105,532 | 135,083 | 186,976 | 108,636 | 111,421 | 229,353 | 254,937 | 258,245 |


| Ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debt/equity | 14.7 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 6.2 | 9.3 |
| Net debt/equity | (8.4) | (12.8) | (10.7) | (27.5) | (29.0) | (34.3) | (40.9) | (44.8) | (49.4) |
| RoAE | 21.6 | 28.0 | 25.9 | 25.5 | 24.8 | 23.8 | 19.1 | 22.6 | 21.3 |
| RoACE | 20.6 | 24.6 | 22.0 | 22.1 | 21.9 | 21.0 | 17.3 | 20.4 | 19.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rs/dollar rate | 46.0 | 45.0 | 44.3 | 45.3 | 40.3 | 45.8 | 50.8 | 50.5 | 48.5 |
| Crude fob price (US $\$ / \mathrm{bbl}$ ) | 28.7 | 40.6 | 57.2 | 64.8 | 78.9 | 83.0 | 55.0 | 65.0 | 70.0 |
| Ceiling/actual natural gas price (Rs/'000 cm) | 2,850 | 2,850 | 3,515 | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,750 | 4,500 |
| Subsidy loss (Rs bn) | 26.9 | 41.0 | 119.6 | 170.2 | 220.0 | 273.7 | 100.0 | 175.0 | 220.0 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

| Media |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| JAGP.BO, Rs56 |  |
| Rating | BUY |
| Sector coverage view | Attractive |
| Target Price (Rs) | 80 |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) | $88-40$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 16.7 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 E}$ |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 8.3 | 9.4 | 10.9 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.8 |
| EPS (Rs) | 2.9 | 4.1 | 6.0 |
| EPS gth | $(11.9)$ | 41.4 | 49.2 |
| P/E (x) | 19.4 | 13.7 | 9.2 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 10.7 | 7.5 | 5.2 |
| Div yield (\%) | 2.6 | 3.6 | 4.9 |


| Pricing performance |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Perf- $\mathbf{1 m}$ | Perf-3m | Perf-6m | Perf-1y |
| $(4.5)$ | 1.8 | 6.9 | $(34.7)$ |


| Media |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| HTML.BO, Rs68 |  |
| Rating | BUY |
| Sector coverage view | Attractive |
| Target Price (Rs) | 100 |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) | $147-36$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 15.9 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 E}$ |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 13.8 | 15.4 | 17.5 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| EPS (Rs) | 2.9 | 4.1 | 7.0 |
| EPS gth | $(32.4)$ | 39.3 | 72.8 |
| P/E (x) | 23.3 | 16.7 | 9.7 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 10.4 | 7.3 | 5.0 |
| Div yield (\%) | 0.6 | 1.2 | 3.6 |


| Pricing performance |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Perf- $\mathbf{1 m}$ | Perf-3m | Perf-6m | Perf-1y |
| 15.5 | 6.5 | 2.7 | $(51.5)$ |

## HT Media, Jagran Prakashan: IRS 2009 Round 1 analysis—stable readership numbers for HTML and JAGP

Amit Kumar : amit.ckumar@kotak.com, +91-22-6749-3392

- HT's (HTML's English newspaper) readership stable in Delhi, Mumbai
- JAGP reports stable readership of DJ (JAGP's Hindi newspaper) and robust gains by I-Next (light-read/tabloid Hindi newspaper) in UP market
- HH (HTML's Hindi newspaper) gains readership across markets (UP and Delhi)
- Retain positive bias on JAGP (BUY, TP: Rs80) over HTML (ADD, TP: Rs100)

Our analysis of IRS 2009 Round 1 readership data reveals that (1) readership of English as well as Hindi dailies has increased marginally ( $+3.5 \%$ and $+1.0 \%$ over 2008 Round 2 ), (2) HTML's English newspaper's (HT) readership remained largely stable (3) HTML's Hindi newspaper's (Hindustan, HH) readership increased substantially, (4) JAGP's flagship Hindi newspaper Dainik Jagran's (DJ) largely maintained its readership in the key UP market (marginal decline in other markets) but (5) JAGP's new light-read/tabloid newspaper I-Next (IN) reported robust gains with in the key UP market. However, we are reluctant to use readership data to make investment decisions and tend to rely on circulation data as a better measure; we highlight the disparity between HTML's business newspaper's (Mint) readership across Delhi and Mumbai (the latter being the financial capital of India) as a surprise revelation in IRS 2009 R1. We note the marginal changes in readership and no changes in leadership of top newspapers reinforce our view of strong entry barriers in the print media segment. We retain our positive view and 12-month DCF-based target prices of Rs80 and Rs100 for JAGP (BUY) and HTML (ADD). Key downside risks stem from lower-than-expected ad revenues.

## Stock view—prefer JAGP over HTML

FY2010E—cost rationalization would be critical. Exhibit 1 presents the trends in newsprint prices; newsprint prices in the domestic and global markets had shot through the FY2009, which along with weak ad revenue market in 2HFY09 resulted in sharp decline in profitability in FY2009. However, the positive impact of the former occurred at two levels-(1) sharp decline in competitive intensity as many print players put their expansion plans on hold and (2) robust cost rationalization programs (conservation in RM consumption and reduction in overheads) to mitigate the impact of rising newsprint prices. Going forward, the print media industry is expected to benefit in FY2010E as newsprint prices have declined $20-25 \%$ from peak levels and print players have cut a lot of flab along the way.

FY2011E—return of the 'advertiser' and operating leverage. Exhibit 2 presents GroupM's ad revenue estimates for the Indian media industry. An interesting observation above is the higher-than-industry growth by print media during CY2005-2007. We believe the dependence of print media on strongly cyclical sectors such as autos, BFSI and real estate is the key reason behind this anomaly (see Exhibit 3). Therefore, while print will lose market share to radio and Internet during the troughs of economic cycles but, it will come back strongly during cyclical upturns. We believe FY2010E will remain the trough of the economic cycle but recovery will start in FY2011E, supported initially by autos and BSFI (2HFY10E) followed by real estate and retail segments (FY2011E; between 12-18 months from current lows). Also, the leading print players will likely outpace smaller rivals and print industry.

JAGP versus HTML—we prefer JAGP. Exhibit 4 compares the performance of key print players in the top 6 markets in the largest Hindi-belt state, UP; we believe concerns that aggressive expansion by HTML will hurt JAGP are valid but grossly overestimated. Hindustan (HH) has had a legacy presence in Lucknow and Varanasi; we are currently seeing the impact of its expansion in Kanpur, Meerut and Agra in CY2007. However, the incremental impact of HH in 5 of the top six markets may be minimal, where JAGP is the leader. The only market that may be incrementally impacted by HH will be Allahabad, where HH expanded in CY2008 and the effects may be visible in IRS R2 2009 and IRS R1 2009. However, JAGP has been able to withstand the challenge quite well, losing marginally even as other players such as Amar Ujala and Aj have been hurt badly. Including IN into the picture, JAGP has actually gained market share.

JAGP—superior strategy of vertical expansion. JAGP has been a lot more focused on vertical expansion in its existing key markets. We believe this is a smart move as most of the large Hindi markets (see Exhibit 5) already have strong top two players, except maybe MP. However, there are segments of population in all markets (e.g. young readers), which were not being served by existing publications. JAGP has launched a tabloid/light-read newspaper I-Next in its existing markets and tied-up with TV18 to launch a financial daily in its key markets to ring-fence its already strong presence with DJ. The JV combines the strength of TV18 in content and JAGP in production and distribution. HTML, on the other hand, has gone for geographic expansion across its portfolio (HT Mumbai, Mint and Hindustan); the leverage in its balance sheet has gone up as a result but the benefits are yet to flow through. Nonetheless, our positive view on HTML stems from (1) core earnings depressed by new investments and (2) likelihood of some of these new investments contributing positively in future (see Exhibit 6).

## IRS 2009 Round 1 analysis

We give below the key findings of IRS 2009 Round 1 for various newspaper publishers in India. However, we clarify that surveys typically have sampling and extrapolation limitations. We rely on $A B C$ circulation data for our modeling purposes; $A B C$ data is certified, the process of measurement more rigorous and reliable, in our view.

1. English and Hindi readership. Average Issue Readership (AIR) of English newspapers increased $3.5 \%$ in 2009 R1 to 16.8 mn from 16.2 mn in 2008 R2. Exhibit 7 gives a list of top English dailies and their readership. The Times of India (TOI, Bennett, Coleman \& Co.) continues to be the leader with AIR of 6.9 mn . HT, the flagship HTML daily, reported an AIR of 3.5 mn . AIR of Hindi newspapers was largely flat at 57.5 mn in 2009 R1 from 57 mn in 2008 R2. Exhibit 8 gives a list of top Hindi dailies and their readership. Dainik Jagran (DJ), the flagship daily of JAGP, continues to be the market leader with a daily readership of 16.4 mn . Hindustan, the Hindi daily from HTML, was in third position with an AIR of 9.5 mn in 2009 R1. Dainik Bhaskar, the leader in MP, Chhattisgarh and Rajasthan markets, was in second position with an AIR of 13 mn .
IRS R2 2009-we expect a decline. We highlight the increase in total AIR of English and Hindi newspapers as positive but expect numbers to decline in IRS R2 2009. The market growth was led by new product launches and expansion, which has been on hold starting 2HFY09 and will likely remain on hold for FY2010E. The increase in newsprint prices and weak ad revenue market has had a negative impact, notably on small players, and we expect consolidation in the market. Also, (1) several newspapers have chosen to withdraw from uneconomic regions, (2) lower readership may reflect marginal readers that may be discouraged in future (complementary copies) and (3) the quality of readership is improving with the growth in the economy, which will make up for the modest decline in AIR. Therefore, we believe that core readership will likely remain intact and the decline as measured by IRS may reflect factors that may not be negative for ad revenues.
2. Hindi market-modest decline in AIR of DJ but gains for HH. DJ's overall AIR dropped to 16.4 mn in 2009 R1 from 16.65 mn in 2008 R2; however, DJ was able to maintain its leadership position and AIR in the critical market of UP (see Exhibit 9) and increase its AIR in Uttaranchal. The loss to DJ came largely from the relatively smaller markets of Punjab, Haryana, Bihar and Jharkhand from Hindustan (HH) and Dainik Bhaskar (DB). JAGP's ability to hold on to its readership base given expansion by competition would be critical. Exhibit 6 presents readership data of major Hindi dailies across key states. HH's AIR increased $1 \%$ to 9.5 mn from 9.4 mn in IRS 2008 R2; HH gained readership across markets of Delhi/NCR, Jharkhand and UP with its geographic expansion and increasing focus on the Hindi market but lost marginally in the key Bihar market.
3. HTML—HT retains leadership position in Delhi. HTML's flagship English newspaper (HT) retained its leadership position (see Exhibit 10) in the core Delhi market. However, the surprise in IRS R2 2009 was muted growth in the Mumbai market; HT's AIR increased a marginal $2 \%$ to 0.57 mn in 2009 R1 versus 0.56 mn in 2008 R2. In comparison, DNA increased its AIR by a robust 5\% to 0.75 mn versus 0.71 mn in 2008 R2 and further closed the gap with TOI (Mumbai readership of 1.52 mn ). Our discussion with HTML management reveals the starting of new printing equipment in Mumbai, a vast improvement over the previous version (better quality, higher capacity). The new equipment is currently undergoing trial runs and will soon become operational in 2QFY10E, when HT will again start expanding its circulation and readership (impact likely visible in IRS R1 2010). Exhibit 11 compares the readership of major English dailies across key states.

Mint business newspaper—readership gains but surprising strange distribution.
The entry of Mint has shaken up the business newspaper segment and competition for second position has intensified. As per R1 2009, Mint has already cemented its second position (see Exhibit 12) with a readership of 0.18 mn, a 26\% increase over R2 2008. However, the ABC circulation data paints a different picture with Business Standard (BS) ahead of Mint; we highlight that Mint is currently present in only 5 cities versus 10-12 editions each for BS and Hindu Business Line (HBL). However, the distribution of Mint readership in Delhi and Mumbai is the surprise revelation of IRS R1 2009; it highlights Mint readership of 0.14 mn in Delhi but only 0.03 mn in Mumbai, the financial capital of India. Economic Times (ET) and BS report roughly similar readership and circulation numbers in each of these cities; we find it hard to imagine what, if any, could be the rationale behind such wide disparity since both editions were launched in their respective markets at the same time.

Historical newsprint price, US East Coast, 1979-2009 (US\$/ton)


Source: PPPC, Pulp and Paper Weekly, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities

## Indian ad revenue growth estimates by GroupM, 2004-2009E

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 E}$ (a) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ad revenues (Rs bn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Print | 55.5 | 66.5 | 78.6 | 92.9 | 100.3 | 98.3 |
| C\&S TV | 45.3 | 51.9 | 60.5 | 71.1 | 84.0 | 89.9 |
| Outdoor | 8.8 | 10.0 | 11.7 | 14.0 | 14.5 | 15.1 |
| Radio | 2.4 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 8.8 | 10.1 |
| Digital | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 6.8 | 8.5 |
| Total ad revenues | $\mathbf{1 1 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1 . 9}$ |
| Market growth (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Print |  | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ |
| C\&S TV | 15 | 17 | 18 | 18 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |
| Outdoor | 14 | 17 | 20 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Radio | 25 | 33 | 48 | 49 | 15 |  |
| Digital | 50 | 67 | 95 | 74 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |  |
| Average growth | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |
| Market share (\%) | 49 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 47 | 44 |
| Print | 40 | 39 | 39 | 38 | 39 | 41 |
| C\&S TV | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Outdoor | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Radio | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Digital | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Total share |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:
(a) Excludes Rs3.5 bn ad spend of account Indian General Elections in CY2009E.

Source: GroupM India 2009 report, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities

Top 10 advertisers on C\&S TV and print media by volume, 2008 (\%)

| C\&S TV |  | Print |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Market share (\%) | Category | Market share (\%) |
| Food \& beverages | 13.0 | Education | 15.0 |
| Personal care \& hygiene | 9.0 | Services | 12.0 |
| Services | 6.0 | Banking \& finance | 10.0 |
| Telecom \& ISPs | 6.0 | Autos | 7.0 |
| Hair care | 5.0 | Retail | 5.0 |
| Banking \& finance | 4.0 | Durables | 4.0 |
| Autos | 4.0 | Personal accessories | 3.0 |
| Personal accessories | 4.0 | Corporate | 3.0 |
| Personal healthcare | 3.0 | Personal healthcare | 3.0 |
| Household products | 3.0 | Media \& entertainment | 2.0 |

Source: FICCI-KPMG Frames 2009 report, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities

India Daily Summary - May 11, 2009

Trends in newspaper readership in key markets of Uttar Pradesh ('000)

|  | R1 2006 | R2 2006 | R1 2007 | R2 2007 | R1 2008 | R2 2008 | R1 2009 | Change (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kanpur |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aj | 204 | 183 | 153 | 136 | 132 | 99 | 86 | (58) |
| Amar Ujala | 412 | 400 | 364 | 331 | 327 | 315 | 296 | (28) |
| Dainik Jagran | 828 | 778 | 716 | 668 | 666 | 645 | 678 | (18) |
| Hindustan | 19 | 20 | 52 | 94 | 203 | 246 | 221 | 1,063 |
| Lucknow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aj | 42 | 51 | 47 | 51 | 44 | 34 | 35 | (17) |
| Dainik Jagran | 438 | 458 | 491 | 496 | 455 | 418 | 383 | (13) |
| Hindustan | 317 | 292 | 278 | 259 | 275 | 277 | 264 | (17) |
| Rashtriya Sahara | 107 | 93 | 86 | 73 | 56 | 54 | 45 | (58) |
| Meerut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amar Ujala | 253 | 259 | 243 | 217 | 202 | 179 | 196 | (23) |
| Dainik Jagran | 228 | 231 | 230 | 205 | 183 | 214 | 228 | - |
| Hindustan | 1 | 26 | 66 | 66 | 67 | 72 | 60 | 5,900 |
| Agra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aj | 17 | 8 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 7 | (59) |
| Amar Ujala | 268 | 243 | 208 | 188 | 194 | 177 | 188 | (30) |
| Dainik Jagran | 150 | 146 | 135 | 134 | 124 | 117 | 134 | (11) |
| Hindustan | 2 | 1 | 6 | 18 | 29 | 39 | 53 | 2,550 |
| Allahabad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aj | 24 | 16 | 13 | 10 | 13 | 6 | 3 | (88) |
| Amar Ujala | 240 | 206 | 178 | 190 | 190 | 187 | 175 | (27) |
| Dainik Jagran | 210 | 197 | 195 | 218 | 222 | 193 | 179 | (15) |
| Hindustan | 23 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 11 | 7 | (70) |
| Varanasi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aj | 69 | 61 | 44 | 34 | 31 | 27 | 22 | (68) |
| Amar Ujala | 136 | 129 | 95 | 93 | 127 | 122 | 108 | (21) |
| Dainik Jagran | 198 | 189 | 170 | 168 | 184 | 169 | 155 | (22) |
| Hindustan | 111 | 104 | 96 | 91 | 87 | 77 | 81 | (27) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aj | 356 | 319 | 271 | 238 | 223 | 168 | 153 | (57) |
| Amar Ujala | 1,309 | 1,237 | 1,088 | 1,019 | 1,040 | 980 | 963 | (26) |
| Hindustan | 473 | 457 | 514 | 544 | 676 | 722 | 686 | 45 |
| Dainik Jagran | 2,052 | 1,999 | 1,937 | 1,889 | 1,834 | 1,756 | 1,757 | (14) |
| I-Next (a) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 445 |  |
| JAGP | 2,052 | 1,999 | 1,937 | 1,889 | 1,834 | 1,756 | 2,202 | 7 |

Note:
(a) Total IRS R1 2009 readership of I-Next is 0.63 mn across the UP market.

Source: Indian Readership Survey, compiled by Kotak institutional Equities

Average Issue Readership (AIR) data of Hindi dailies in key Hindi-speaking states ('000)

|  | Amar Ujala | Dainik <br> Bhaskar | Dainik <br> Jagran | Hari Bhoomi | Hindustan | Navbharat | Navbharat Times | Prabhat Khabar | Punjab Kesari | Rajasthan Patrika | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bihar | - | - | 2,410 | - | 4,336 | - | - | - | - | - | 6,746 |
| Chhattisgarh | - | 1,021 | - | 771 | - - | 687 | - | - | - | - | 2,479 |
| Delhi/NCR | 277 | - | 953 | - | 1,416 | - | 1,659 | - | 855 | - | 5,160 |
| Haryana | - | 1,335 | 920 | 246 | - | - | - | - | 858 | - | 3,359 |
| Jharkhand | - | - | 794 | - | 1,253 | - - | - | 981 | - | - | 3,028 |
| Madhya Pradesh | - | 3,059 | 458 | 222 | - - | 517 | - | - | - | - | 4,256 |
| Maharashtra | - | 447 | - | - | - | 555 | 571 | - | - | - | 1,573 |
| Punjab | 220 | 834 | 868 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,035 | - | 2,957 |
| Rajasthan | - | 5,736 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6,538 | 12,274 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 6,275 | - | 9,143 | - | 2,314 | - | - | - | - | - | 17,732 |
| Uttaranchal | 821 | - | 541 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,362 |
| Total | 7,593 | 12,432 | 16,087 | 1,239 | 9,319 | 1,759 | 2,230 | 981 | 2,748 | 6,538 | 60,926 |

Note:
(a) Fieldwork period for R1 2009: January 2008 to December 2008.

Source: Indian Readership Survey, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities

Estimate of implied valuation of emerging businesses of HT Media (Rs mn)

|  | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Valuation of mature businesses | English (HT) and Hindi (Hindustan) |  |
| FY2010E EBITDA of HT Delhi edition | 2,352 | Flagship print brand, growing at 4-6\% normalized over time |
| Appropriate EV/EBITDA multiple (X) | 6.0 | New printing facilities for HT Delhi in FY2008 |
| FY2010E EBITDA of HT (other) and Hindustan editions | 927 | Robust 15-20\% growth, EBITDA depressed due to new editions |
| Appropriate EV/EBITDA multiple (X) | 8.0 | New printing facilities for Hindustan in FY2009E-2010E |
| Valuation of mature businesses | 21,526 |  |
| 2. Valuation of investments |  |  |
| Net cash position at end-FY2009E | $(1,712)$ |  |
| Total value of investments | $(1,712)$ |  |
| Valuation of HTML ex-emerging businesses | 19,814 |  |
| Enterprise value of HTML | 19,780 |  |
| 3. Valuation of emerging businesses |  | Emerging media businesses |
| Implied value of new media businesses | (34) |  |
| FY2010E revenues of HT Mumbai edition | 1,335 | Circulation of 0.43 mn copies, complements presense in metro market of Delhi |
| FY2010E revenues of HT Mint business newspaper | 500 | Circulation of 0.13 mn copies, Number two in metro markets of Delhi and Mumbai |
| FY2010E revenues of Radio business | 478 | Stations in metro markets of Delhi, Mumbai, Bangalore and Kolkata |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

## Average Issue Readership (AIR) ranking of English dailies ('000)

| Rank | Newspaper | yoy |  |  | ror |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R1 2009 | R1 2008 | chg (\%) | R1 2009 | R2 2008 | chg (\%) |
| 1 | The Times Of India | 6,864 | 6,790 | 1 | 6,864 | 6,710 | 2 |
| 2 | Hindustan Times | 3,492 | 3,276 | 7 | 3,492 | 3,522 | (1) |
| 3 | Hindu | 2,237 | 2,244 | (0) | 2,237 | 2,121 | 5 |
| 4 | Deccan Chronicle | 1,093 | 1,225 | (11) | 1,093 | 1,152 | (5) |
| 5 | Telegraph | 1,083 | 1,009 | 7 | 1,083 | 1,018 | 6 |
| 6 | Mumbai Mirror | 880 | 775 | 14 | 880 | 895 | (2) |
| 7 | The Economic Times | 781 | 743 | 5 | 781 | 752 | 4 |
| 8 | DNA | 749 | 676 | 11 | 749 | 710 | 5 |
| 9 | Mid-day | 539 | 571 | (6) | 539 | 535 | 1 |
| 10 | The Tribune | 515 | 429 | 20 | 515 | 458 | 12 |
|  | Top 10 players | 18,233 | 17,738 | 3 | 18,233 | 17,873 | 2 |
|  | Total English | 16,750 | 16,601 | 1 | 16,750 | 16,186 | 3 |

Note:
(a) Fieldwork period for R1 2009: January 2008 to December 2008.
(b) Fieldwork period for R2 2008: July 2007 to June 2008.
(c) Fieldwork period for R1 2008: January 2007 to December 2007.

Source: Indian Readership Survey, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities

## Average Issue Readership (AIR) ranking of Hindi dailies ('000)

| Rank | Newspaper | yoy |  |  | ror |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R1 2009 | R1 2008 | chg (\%) | R1 2009 | R2 2008 | chg (\%) |
| 1 | Dainik Jagran | 16,410 | 16,738 | (2) | 16,410 | 16,647 | (1) |
| 2 | Dainik Bhaskar | 12,967 | 12,934 | 0 | 12,967 | 13,091 | (1) |
| 3 | Hindustan | 9,457 | 8,878 | 7 | 9,457 | 9,390 | 1 |
| 4 | Amar Ujala | 8,356 | 8,302 | 1 | 8,356 | 8,257 | 1 |
| 5 | Rajasthan Patrika | 6,668 | 7,331 | (9) | 6,668 | 6,670 | (0) |
| 6 | Punjab Kesari | 3,406 | 3,622 | (6) | 3,406 | 3,395 | 0 |
| 7 | Navbharat Times | 2,360 | 2,283 | 3 | 2,360 | 2,196 | 7 |
| 8 | Navabharat (Mah/Cha) | 1,276 | 1,560 | (18) | 1,276 | 1,353 | (6) |
| 9 | Hari Bhoomi | 1,250 | 1,111 | 13 | 1,250 | 1,183 | 6 |
| 10 | Prabhat Khabar | 1,232 | 1,303 | (5) | 1,232 | 1,238 | (0) |
|  | Top 5 players | 53,858 | 54,183 | (1) | 53,858 | 54,055 | (0) |
|  | Next 5 players | 9,524 | 9,879 | (4) | 9,524 | 9,365 | 2 |

Note:
(a) Fieldwork period for R1 2009: January 2008 to December 2008.
(b) Fieldwork period for R2 2008: July 2007 to June 2008.
(c) Fieldwork period for R1 2008: January 2007 to December 2007.

Source: Indian Readership Survey, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities

Trends in AIR of Jagran Prakashan publications and competitors in key markets ('000)

|  | JAGP |  |  | Competition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R1 2009 | R2 2008 chg (\%) |  | R1 2009 R2 2008 chg (\%) |  |  |  |
| Dainik Jagran |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bihar | 2,410 | 2,505 | (4) | 4,336 | 4,564 | (5) | Hindustan |
| Delhi/NCR | 953 | 933 | 2 | 855 | 805 | 6 | Punjab Kesari |
| Haryana | 920 | 965 | (5) | 858 | 854 | 0 | Punjab Kesari |
| Jharkhand | 794 | 869 | (9) | 981 | 923 | 6 | Prabhat Khabar |
| Madhya Pradesh | 458 | 433 | 6 | 517 | 522 | (1) | Navbharat |
| Punjab | 868 | 930 | (7) | 1,035 | 1,075 | (4) | Punjab Kesari |
| Uttar Pradesh | 9,143 | 9,193 | (1) | 6,275 | 6,166 | 2 | Amar Ujala |
| Uttaranchal | 541 | 555 | (3) | 821 | 826 | (1) | Amar Ujala |

Note:
(a) Fieldwork period for R1 2009: January 2008 to December 2008.
(b) Fieldwork period for R2 2008: July 2007 to June 2008.

Source: Indian Readership Survey, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities

Trends in AIR of HT Media publications and competitors in key markets ('000)

|  | HTML |  |  | Competition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R1 2009 | R2 2008 | chg (\%) | R1 2009 R2 2008 chg (\%) |  |  |  |
| Hindustan Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delhi/NCR | 2,208 | 2,236 | (1) | 2,198 | 2,155 | 2 | The Times of India |
| Maharashtra | 574 | 563 | 2 | 749 | 710 | 5 | DNA |
| Punjab | 151 | 120 | 26 | 300 | 283 | 6 | The Tribune |
| Uttar Pradesh | 246 | 254 | (3) | 502 | 476 | 5 | The Times of India |
| Hindustan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bihar | 4,336 | 4,564 | (5) | 2,410 | 2,505 | (4) | Dainik Jagran |
| Delhi/NCR | 1,416 | 1,281 | 11 | 953 | 933 | 2 | Dainik Jagran |
| Jharkhand | 1,253 | 1,216 | 3 | 981 | 923 | 6 | Prabhat Khabar |
| Uttar Pradesh | 2,314 | 2,193 | 6 | 6,275 | 6,166 | 2 | Amar Ujala |

Note:
(a) Fieldwork period for R1 2009: January 2008 to December 2008.
(b) Fieldwork period for R2 2008: July 2007 to June 2008.

Source: Indian Readership Survey, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities

## Average Issue Readership (AIR) of English dailies in key English-speaking states ('000)

|  | Deccan Chronicle | Deccan Herald | DNA | Economic Times | Hindu | Hindustan Times | Mid-day | Mumbai Mirror | Telegraph | Times of India | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andhra Pradesh | 808 | - | - | - | 384 | - | - | - | - | 192 | 1,384 |
| Delhi/NCR | - | - | - | 210 | - | 2,208 | - | - | - | 2,198 | 4,616 |
| Karnataka | - | 330 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 481 | 811 |
| Kerela | - | - | - | - | 397 | - | - | - | - | - | 397 |
| Maharashtra | - | - | 749 | 225 | - | 574 | 539 | 880 | - | 1,893 | 4,860 |
| Tamil Nadu | 282 | - | - | - | 1,165 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,447 |
| Uttar Pradesh | - | - | - | - | - | 246 | - | - | - | 502 | 748 |
| West Bengal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 875 | 551 | 1,426 |
| Total | 1,090 | 330 | 749 | 435 | 1,946 | 3,028 | 539 | 880 | 875 | 5,817 | 15,689 |

Note:
(a) Fieldwork period for R1 2009: January 2008 to December 2008.

Source: Indian Readership Survey, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities

## Trends in AIR of HT Mint and other business publications ('000)

|  | yoy |  |  | ror |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R1 2009 | R1 2008 | chg (\%) | R1 2009 | R2 2008 | chg (\%) |
| Mint |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economic Times | 781 | 743 | 5 | 781 | 752 | 4 |
| Business Standard (c) | 185 | 144 | 28 | 185 | 170 | 9 |
| HT Mint | 175 | 100 | 75 | 175 | 139 | 26 |
| Hindu Business Line | 111 | 86 | 29 | 111 | 67 | 66 |

Note:
(a) Fieldwork period for IRS R1 2009: January 2008 to December 2008.
(b) Fieldwork period for IRS R2 2008: July 2007 to June 2008.
(c) Fieldwork period for IRS R1 2008: January 2007 to December 2007.
(d) Circulation data for Business Standard as per Audit Bureau of Circulation.

Source: Indian Readership Survey, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities
Kotak Institutional Equities: Valuation Summary of Key Indian Companies

|  | 8-May-09 |  | Mkt cap. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { o/s } \\ \text { shares } \end{gathered}$ | EPS (Rs) |  |  | EPS growth (\%) |  |  | PER ( X ) |  |  | ev/ebitda ( X ) |  |  | Price/BV (x) |  |  | Dividend yield (\%) |  |  | RoE (\%) |  |  | Target price | Upside | ADVT- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | Price (Rs) | Rating | (Rs mn) | (USS mn) | (mn) | 2009E | 2010E | 2011 E | 2009 E | 2010 E | 2011 E | 2009 E | 2010E | 2011 E | 2009E | 2010E | 2011 E | 2009 E | 2010E | 2011 E | 2009E | 2010 E | 2011 E | 2009 E | 2010E | 2011 E | (Rs) | (\%) |  |
| Automobiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajj Auto | 680 | REDUCE | 98,370 | 1,996 | 145 | 50.7 | 61.5 | 62.2 | (2.9) | 21.4 | 1.0 | 13.4 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 41.0 | 39 | 32. | 615 | (9.5) | 2.0 |
| Hero Honda | 1,225 | Reduce | 244,652 | 4,965 | 200 | 64.2 | 83.8 | 91.2 | 32.4 | 30.5 | 8.8 | 19.1 | 14.6 | 13.4 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 36.6 | 37.4 | 31.9 | 1,000 | (18.4) | 15.7 |
| Mahindra \& Mahindra | 493 | ADD | 130,876 | 2,656 | 265 | 21.6 | 34.7 | 34.4 | (43.2) | 60.6 | (0.8) | 22.8 | 14.2 | 14.3 | 15.6 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 12.2 | 16.9 | 14.0 | 450 | (8.8) | 13.2 |
| Maruti Suzuki | 828 | REDUCE | 239,379 | 4,858 | 289 | 42.2 | 57.9 | 63.6 | (29.5) | 37.1 | 9.8 | 19.6 | 14.3 | 13.0 | 10.7 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 13.3 | 16.0 | 15.2 | 780 | (5.8) | 25.5 |
| Tata Motors | 269 | SELL | 149,514 | 3,034 | 556 | 18.5 | 19.0 | 16.6 | (49.2) | 2.7 | (12.6) | 14.5 | 14.1 | 16.2 | 11.3 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | - | - | - | 9.1 | 7.3 | - | 195 | (27.4) | 27.4 |
| Automobiles |  | Cautious | 862,791 | 17,508 |  |  |  |  | (25.1) | 29.1 | 2.9 | 17.9 | 13.9 | 13.5 | 11.0 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 14.1 | 15.5 | 14.1 |  |  |  |
|  | Banks/Financial Institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andhra Bank | 62 | ADD | 30,216 | 613 | 485 | 13.5 | 11.1 | 12.6 | 14.0 | (17.9) | 13.6 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 4.9 | - | - | - | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 7.2 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 19.0 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 75 | 20.4 | 0.7 |
| Axis Bank | 607 | BUY | 218,009 | 4,424 | 359 | 50.6 | 55.8 | 64.5 | 56.9 | 10.3 | 15.6 | 12.0 | 10.9 | 9.4 | - | - | - | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 19.2 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 700 | 15.3 | 53.1 |
| Bank of Baroda | 322 | ADD | 117,554 | 2,385 | 366 | 60.9 | 54.9 | 58.5 | 55.1 | (9.8) | 6.5 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.5 | - | - | - | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 18.7 | 14.9 | 14.2 | 370 | 15.0 | 8.6 |
| Bank of India | 233 | ADD | 122,748 | 2,491 | 526 | 57.2 | 45.6 | 55.1 | 40.7 | (20.2) | 20.7 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 4.2 | - | - | - | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 29.6 | 19.2 | 19.5 | 310 | 32.8 | 13.3 |
| Canara Bank | 225 | REDUCE | 92,127 | 1,869 | 410 | 50.5 | 38.6 | 41.4 | 32.4 | (23.6) | 7.1 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 5.4 | - | - | - | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 18.3 | 12.4 | 12.2 | 220 | (2.1) | 4.3 |
| Corporation Bank | 236 | BuY | 33,887 | 688 | 143 | 62.2 | 51.5 | 55.3 | 21.4 | (17.3) | 7.4 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 4.3 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 19.6 | 14.3 | 13.8 | 310 | 31.2 | 0.6 |
| Federal Bank | 172 | BuY | 29,418 | 597 | 171 | 32.0 | 34.0 | 39.3 | (6.9) | 6.4 | 15.6 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 4.4 | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 13.2 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 280 | 62.8 | 1.4 |
| Future Capital Holdings | 153 | BUY | 9,664 | 196 | 63 | 4.5 | 28.8 | NA | (198.6) | 546.1 | (100.0) | 34.3 | 5.3 | NA | - | - | - | 1.3 | 1.0 | NA | - | - | - | 3.8 | 21.4 | NA | 440 | 187.9 | 0.9 |
| HDFC | 1,736 | SELI | 493,919 | 10,023 | 284 | 80.2 | 93.4 | 109.1 | (6.5) | 16.5 | 16.7 | 21.6 | 18.6 | 15.9 | - | - | - | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 18.2 | 18.7 | 19.2 | 1.730 | (0.4) | 68.6 |
| HDFC Bank | 1,144 | ADD | 481,364 | 9,768 | 421 | 55.4 | 64.1 | 75.0 | 20.4 | 15.7 | 17.1 | 20.7 | 17.9 | 15.2 | - | - | - | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 16.9 | 16.7 | 17.3 | 1,150 | 0.5 | 47.3 |
| ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CICI}$ Bank | 521 | ADD | 579,595 | 11,761 | 1,113 | 33.8 | 32.7 | 38.5 | (15.4) | (3.1) | 17.6 | 15.4 | 15.9 | 13.5 | - | - | - | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 475 | (8.8) | 178.2 |
| IDFC | 86 | ADD | 111,051 | 2,253 | 1,294 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 21.0 | 13.3 | 14.8 | 12.2 | 10.8 | - | - | - | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 12.9 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 85 | (0.9) | 17.9 |
| India Infoline | 89 | ADD | 27,607 | 560 | 311 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 6.5 | (10.0) | 15.5 | 12.3 | 17.6 | 15.2 | 13.6 | - | - | - | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 11.7 | 13.5 | 14.6 | 90 | 1.5 | 5.1 |
| Indian Bank | 99 | BuY | 42,504 | 863 | 430 | 28.1 | 26.1 | 30.0 | 24.7 | (7.2) | 15.1 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.3 | - | - | - | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 22.7 | 17.9 | 17.8 | 165 | 66.8 | 2.2 |
| Indian Overseas Bank | 61 | BuY | 33,233 | 674 | 545 | 24.3 | 16.6 | 22.2 | 10.3 | (31.9) | 33.8 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 2.8 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 8.6 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 24.7 | 14.5 | 17.0 | 110 | 80.3 | 2.6 |
| J\&k Bank | 357 | ADD | 17,302 | 351 | 48 | 82.8 | 72.2 | 92.8 | 11.5 | (12.7) | 28.4 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 3.8 | - | - | - | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 16.5 | 12.9 | 14.9 | 480 | 34.5 | 0.3 |
| LC Housing Finance | 375 | buy | 31,840 | 646 | 85 | 62.5 | 68.8 | 80.3 | 37.3 | 10.0 | 16.8 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 4.7 | - | - | - | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.7 | - | - | - | 390 | 4.1 | 5.7 |
| Mahindra \& Mahindra Financial | 211 | ADD | 20,174 | 409 | 96 | 22.4 | 27.5 | 30.0 | 7.5 | 22.9 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 7.0 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 15.4 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 240 | 13.9 | 0.1 |
| Oriental Bank of Commerce | 129 | ADD | 32,370 | 657 | 251 | 36.1 | 27.3 | 34.6 | 51.4 | (24.5) | 26.7 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 3.7 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 14.8 | 10.2 | 11.8 | 150 | 16.1 | 2.9 |
| PFC | 163 | ADD | 186,914 | 3,793 | 1,148 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 19.3 | 14.3 | 26.7 | 17.6 | 12.5 | 9.9 | 8.4 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 13.8 | 15.8 | 16.7 | 160 | (1.8) | 3.8 |
| Punjab National Bank | 529 | BuY | 166,716 | 3,383 | 315 | 90.7 | 90.7 | 99.7 | 39.6 | (0.0) | 10.0 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.3 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 21.5 | 18.6 | 18.0 | 650 | 22.9 | 20.3 |
| Rural Electrification Corp. | 121 | BuY | 103,547 | 2,101 | 859 | 15.2 | 17.7 | 20.0 | 38.8 | 16.8 | 13.0 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 6.0 | - | - | - | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 19.6 | 19.9 | 19.6 | 125 | 3.6 | 1.7 |
| Shriram Transport | 243 | REDUCE | 51,292 | 1,041 | 211 | 28.6 | 27.0 | 27.7 | 49.0 | (5.6) | 2.6 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 8.8 | - | - | - | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 28.8 | 23.6 | 20.9 | 215 | (11.5) | 1.3 |
| SREI | 42 | ADD | 4,896 | 99 | 116 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 8.2 | (32.8) | (10.1) | 18.6 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 5.1 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 14.0 | 11.5 | 13.0 | 50 | 18.8 | 2.0 |
| State Bank of India | 1.325 | BuY | 841,089 | 17,068 | 635 | 143.6 | 110.7 | 134.6 | 34.8 | (22.9) | 21.6 | 9.2 | 12.0 | 9.8 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 17.1 | 11.7 | 13.0 | 1.600 | 20.8 | 97.4 |
| Union Bank | 160 | BuY | 80,819 | 1,640 | 505 | 34.2 | 29.6 | 35.5 | 24.5 | (13.5) | 19.9 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 4.5 | - | - | - | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 27.2 | 19.5 | 19.9 | 220 | 37.5 | 4.7 |
| Banks/Financial Institutions |  | Attractive | 3,959,853 | 80,354 |  |  |  |  | 27.0 | (6.4) | 16.1 | 9.4 | 10.0 | 8.6 | - | - | - | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 16.8 | 14.9 | 15.6 |  |  |  |
| Cement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACC | 601 | REDUCE | 112,963 | 2,292 | 188 | 56.3 | 55.2 | 42.2 | (12.2) | (1.9) | (23.6) | 10.7 | 10.9 | 14.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 24.7 | 21.3 | 15.1 | 625 | 4.0 | 10.4 |
| Ambuja Cements | 75 | Reduce | 114,635 | 2,326 | 1,522 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 5.4 | (5.0) | (4.7) | (20.5) | 10.5 | 11.0 | 13.8 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 19.7 | 16.6 | 12.0 | 70 | (7.0) |  |
| Grasim Industries | 1,780 | Reduce | 163,185 | 3,311 | 92 | 225.7 | 188.5 | 192.6 | (20.7) | (16.5) | 2.2 | 7.9 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 20.7 | 14.9 | 13.6 | 1,500 | (15.7) | 9.9 |
| India Cements | 121 | ADD | 34,162 | 693 | 282 | 22.7 | 19.8 | 17.5 | n/a | (12.8) | (11.2) | 5.3 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 15.7 | 14.7 | 11.7 | 130 | 7.3 | 3.6 |
| Shree Cement | 815 | BuY | 28,384 | 576 | 35 | 174.7 | 91.6 | 86.2 | 93.7 | (47.6) | (5.9) | 4.7 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 65.7 | 24.0 | 18.9 | 950 | 16.6 | 0.4 |
| UltraTech Cement | 559 | ADD | 70,002 | 1,420 | 125 | 78.0 | 70.5 | 49.3 | (4.1) | (9.7) | (30.0) | 7.2 | 7.9 | 11.3 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 31.2 | 22.3 | 13.4 | 625 | 11.8 | 1.1 |
| Cement |  | Cautious | 523,330 | 10,620 |  |  |  |  | (6.8) | (14.5) | (13.8) | 8.0 | 9.4 | 10.9 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 20.7 | 15.5 | 12.1 |  |  |  |
| Consumer products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian Paints | 908 | REDUCE | 87,086 | 1,767 | 96 | 35.7 | 44.3 | 51.1 | (9.2) | 24.3 | 15.2 | 25.5 | 20.5 | 17.8 | 15.2 | 11.8 | 10.1 | 7.8 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 34.2 | 36.2 | 35.1 | 800 | (11.9) | 0.6 |
| Colgate-Palmolive (India) | 471 | ADD | 64,100 | 1,301 | 136 | 20.4 | 23.3 | 25.7 | 19.4 | 14.4 | 10.1 | 23.1 | 20.2 | 18.4 | 20.1 | 16.8 | 14.6 | 38.0 | 42.1 | 47.8 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 157.8 | 198.4 | 245.6 | 490 | 4.0 | 1.9 |
| Glaxosmithkine Consumer (a) | 828 | ADD | 34,803 | 706 | 42 | 44.8 | 56.1 | 63.6 | 15.8 | 25.2 | 13.5 | 18.5 | 14.8 | 13.0 | 10.1 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 26.8 | 28.5 | 28.0 | 900 | 8.8 | 0.3 |
| Godrej Consumer Products | 144 | ADD | 37,142 | 754 | 258 | 6.8 | 8.7 | 9.8 | (3.9) | 27.8 | 12.8 | 21.2 | 16.6 | 14.7 | 18.6 | 13.6 | 11.2 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 33.1 | 160 | 11.2 | 0.5 |
| Hindustan Unilever | 233 | Reduce | 507,924 | 10,307 | 2,179 | 9.2 | 10.2 | 11.6 | 12.9 | 11.5 | 13.6 | 25.4 | 22.8 | 20.1 | 20.3 | 17.4 | 15.3 | 32.8 | 30.5 | 28.1 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 134.3 | 139.0 | 146.1 | 235 | 0.8 | 22.1 |
|  | 188 | ADD | 710,006 | 14,408 | 3,769 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 11.2 | 4.6 | 12.8 | 14.5 | 21.8 | 19.3 | 16.8 | 13.4 | 11.9 | 10.4 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 25.4 | 25.2 |  | 200 | 6.2 | 19.4 |
| Jyothy Laboratories | 68 | ADD | 4,902 | 99 | 73 | 7.2 | 10.6 | 13.0 | 10.2 | 47.3 | 22.1 | 9.4 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 18.8 | 127 | 88.0 |  |
| Nestle India (a) | 1,735 | ADD | 167,233 | 3,394 | 96 | 58.6 | 70.5 | 82.4 | 31.0 | 20.4 | 16.8 | 29.6 | 24.6 | 21.1 | 18.8 | 16.1 | 13.9 | 35.3 | 29.0 | 24.0 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 126.7 | 129.6 | 124.8 | 1,800 | 3.8 | 1.5 |
| Tata Tea | 723 | BuY | 44,689 | 907 | 62 | 60.1 | 67.7 | 75.8 | 10.9 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 10.7 | 9.5 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 10.3 | 10.8 | 11.3 | 940 | 30.1 | 1.7 |
| Consumer products |  | Attractive | 1,657,884 | 33,642 |  |  |  |  | 9.0 | 14.6 | 14.2 | 22.9 | 20.0 | 17.5 | 15.2 | 13.1 | 11.5 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 30.0 | 30.6 | 31.0 |  |  |  |
| Constructions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated Construction Co . | 134 | ADD | 4,952 | 100 | 37 | 19.7 | 27.2 | 31.9 | (18.1) | 38.0 | 17.5 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 15.0 | 18.1 | 18.3 | 190 | 41.8 | 0.1 |
| VRCL | 171 | BUY | 23,179 | 470 | 135 | 14.4 | 16.9 | 19.4 | (7.3) | 17.1 | 14.8 | 11.9 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 215 | 25.5 | 9.9 |
| Nagariuna Construction Co. | 82 | BuY | 18,865 | 383 | 229 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 2.5 | 19.7 | 10.0 | 11.2 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 11.4 | 120 | 45.7 | 2.5 |
| Punj Loyd | 127 | ADD | 39,350 | 799 | 311 | 9.6 | 16.5 | 17.6 | (4.0) | 72.6 | 6.6 | 13.2 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 10.8 | 16.4 | 15.1 | 135 | 6.7 | 21.2 |
| Sadbhav Engineering | 398 | ADD | 4,969 | 101 | 13 | 43.8 | 54.3 | 88.0 | 8.2 | 23.8 | 62.2 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 15.9 | 16.8 | 21.7 | 550 | 38.4 | 0.1 |
| Construction |  | Attractive | 91,316 | 1.853 |  |  |  |  | (4.1) | 41.5 | 13.2 | 11.4 | 8.1 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 10.9 | 13.5 | 13.4 |  |  |  |
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"Each of the analysts named below hereby certifies that, with respect to each subject company and its securities for which the analyst is responsible in this report, (1) all of the views expressed in this report accurately reflect his or her personal views about the subject companies and securities, and (2) no part of his or her compensation was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed in this report: Manish Karwa, Manoj Menon, Sanjeev Prasad, Amit Kumar. "

Kotak Institutional Equities Research coverage universe
Distribution of ratings/investment banking relationships


Percentage of companies covered by Kotak Institutional Equities, within the specified category.

Percentage of companies within each category for which Kotak Institutional Equities and or its affiliates has provided investment banking services within the previous 12 months.

* The above categories are defined as follows: Buy = We expect this stock to outperform the BSE Sensex by 10\% over the next 12 months; Add = We expect this stock to outperform the BSE Sensex by $0-10 \%$ over the next 12 months; Reduce $=$ We expect this stock to underperform the BSE Sensex by $0-10 \%$ over the next 12 months; Sell = We expect this stock to underperform the BSE Sensex by more then $10 \%$ over the next 12 months. These ratings are used illustratively to comply with applicable regulations. As of 31/03/2009 Kotak Institutional Equities Investment Research had investment ratings on 146 equity securities.

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities
As of March 31, 2009

## Ratings and other definitions/identifiers

Rating system
Definitions of ratings
BUY. We expect this stock to outperform the BSE Sensex by $10 \%$ over the next 12 months.
ADD. We expect this stock to outperform the BSE Sensex by $0-10 \%$ over the next 12 months.
REDUCE: We expect this stock to underperform the BSE Sensex by $0-10 \%$ over the next 12 months.
SELL: We expect this stock to underperform the BSE Sensexby more than $10 \%$ over the next 12 months.

Our target price are also on 12-month horizon basis.
Other definitions
Coverage view. The coverage view represents each analyst's overall fundamental outlook on the Sector. The coverage view will consist of one of the following designations: Attractive (A), Neutral (N), Cautious (C).

Other ratings/identifiers
NR = Not Rated. The investment rating and target price, if any, have been suspended temporarily. Such suspension is in compliance with applicable regulation(s) and/or Kotak Securities policies in circumstances when Kotak Securities or its affiliates is acting in an advisory capacity in a merger or strategic transaction involving this company and in certain other circumstances.
CS = Coverage Suspended. Kotak Securities has suspended coverage of this company.
NC = Not Covered. Kotak Securities does not cover this company.
RS = Rating Suspended. Kotak Securities Research has suspended the investment rating and price target, if any, for this stock, because there is not a sufficient fundamental basis for determining an investment rating or target. The previous investment rating and price target, if any, are no longer in effect for this stock and should not be relied upon. NA = Not Available or Not Applicable. The information is not available for display or is not applicable.
NM = Not Meaningful. The information is not meaningful and is therefore excluded.

## Corporate Office <br> Kotak Securities Ltd.

Bakhtawar, 1st Floor
229, Nariman Point
Mumbai 400 021, India
Tel: +91-22-6634-1100

## Overseas Offices

## Kotak Mahindra (UK) Ltd.

6th Floor, Portsoken House
155-157 The Minories
London EC 3N 1 LS
Tel: +44-20-7977-6900 / 6940

## Kotak Mahindra Inc.

50 Main Street, Suite No. 310
Westchester Financial Centre
White Plains, New York 10606
Tel: +1-914-997-6120

Copyright 2009 Kotak Institutional Equities (Kotak Securities Limited). All rights reserved.
Kotak Securities Limited and its affiliates are a full-service, integrated investment banking, investment management, brokerage and financing group. We along with our affiliates are leading underwriter of securities and participants in virtually all securities trading markets in India. We and our affiliates have investment banking and other business relationships with a significant percentage of the companies covered by our Investment Research Department. Our research professionals provide important input into our investment banking and other business selection processes. Investors should assume that Kotak Securities Limited and/or its affiliates are seeking or will seek investment banking or other business from the company or companies that are the subject of this material and that the research professionals who were involved in preparing this material may participate in the solicitation of such business. Our research professionals are paid in part based on the profitability of Kotak Securities Limited, which include earnings from investment banking and other business. Kotak Securities Limited generally prohibits its analysts, persons reporting to analysts, and members of their households from maintaining a financial interest in the securities or derivatives of any companies that the analysts cover. Additionally, Kotak Securities Limited generally prohibits its analysts and persons reporting to analysts from serving as an officer, director, or advisory board member of any companies that the analysts cover. Our salespeople, traders, and other professionals may provide oral or written market commentary or trading strategies to our clients that reflect opinions that are contrary to the opinions expressed herein, and our proprietary trading and investing businesses may make investment decisions that are inconsistent with the recommendations expressed herein. In reviewing these materials, you should be aware that any or all of the foregoing, among other things, may give rise to real or potential conflicts of interest. Additionally, other important information regarding our relationships with the company or companies that are the subject of this material is provided herein.

This material should not be construed as an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security in any jurisdiction where such an offer or solicitation would be illegal. We are not soliciting any action based on this material. It is for the general information of clients of Kotak Securities Limited. It does not constitute a personal recommendation or take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situations, or needs of individual clients. Before acting on any advice or recommendation in this material, clients should consider whether it is suitable for their particular circumstances and, if necessary, seek professional advice. The price and value of the investments referred to in this material and the income from them may go down as well as up, and investors may realize losses on any investments. Past performance is not a guide for future performance, future returns are not guaranteed and a loss of original capital may occur. Kotak Securities Limited does not provide tax advise to its clients, and all investors are strongly advised to consult with their tax advisers regarding any potential investment.
Certain transactions -including those involving futures, options, and other derivatives as well as non-investment-grade securities - give rise to substantial risk and are not suitable for all investors. The material is based on information that we consider reliable, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete, and it should not be relied on as such. Opinions expressed are our current opinions as of the date appearing on this material only. We endeavor to update on a reasonable basis the information discussed in this material, but regulatory, compliance, or other reasons may prevent us from doing so. We and our affiliates, officers, directors, and employees, including persons involved in the preparation or issuance of this material, may from time to time have "long" or "short" positions in, act as principal in, and buy or sell the securities or derivatives thereof of companies mentioned herein. For the purpose of calculating whether Kotak Securities Limited and its affiliates holds beneficially owns or controls, including the right to vote for directors, $1 \%$ of more of the equity shares of the subject issuer of a research report, the holdings does not include accounts managed by Kotak Mahindra Mutual Fund. Kotak Securities Limited and its non US affiliates may, to the extent permissible under applicable laws, have acted on or used this research to the extent that it relates to non US issuers, prior to or immediately following its publication. Foreign currency denominated securities are subject to fluctuations in exchange rates that could have an adverse effect on the value or price of or income derived from the investment. In addition, investors in securities such as ADRs, the value of which are influenced by foreign currencies affectively assume currency risk. In addition options involve risks and are not suitable for all investors. Please ensure that you have read and understood the current derivatives risk disclosure document before entering into any derivative transactions.
This report has not been prepared by Kotak Mahindra Inc. (KMInc). However KMInc has reviewed the report and, in so far as it includes current or historical information, it is believed to be reliable, although its accuracy and completeness cannot be guaranteed. Any reference to Kotak Securities Limited shall also be deemed to mean and include Kotak Mahindra Inc.

Kotak Securities Ltd.
Bakhtawar, 1st floor, 229 Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021, India.


[^0]:    Source: $E T=$ Economic Times, $B S=$ Business Standard, $F E=$ Financial Express, $B L=$ Business Line

[^1]:    Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.
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[^4]:    Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

[^5]:    Source: Company, Bloomberg, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

[^6]:    Note. For banks we have used adjusted book values.
    (2) 2008 means calendar year 2007, similarly for 2009 and 2010 for these particular companies.
    (3) EV/Sales \& EV/EBITDA for KS universe excludes Banking Sector.

