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## - With limited scope for price / margin increase, volume growth is the key

## - Overweight on Colgate and Dabur, Neutral on HUL, ITC and Marico

In this report, we (1) analyse trends at FMCG companies as reflected in the results; (2) assess the outlook for the year ahead in light of recent trends. The main insights are:

- Overall sales growth in the FMCG sector continues at $20 \%+$ with the balance tilted towards price growth in large categories. However, recent product price cuts mean that future growth will be dominated by volumes.
- Unorganised/regional competition has become more active as expected, and this is partially responsible for the market share losses of larger players. We believe that market shares will settle at June quarter 2009 levels.
- Although gross margins will expand, the quantum of expansion will be lower than originally estimated due to the recent rally in commodity prices. We estimate gross margin expansion of 55bp for our coverage universe as compared to our earlier estimate of 100bp.
- Focus on cost control should become even more important in light of reduced scope for gross margin improvement. Operating expenses as a percentage of sales declined 20bp y-o-y in the March quarter and will continue to be a focus area in FY10e, resulting in a 50bp EBIT margin increase for our coverage universe.
- The sector has recently re-rated and valuations overall look fair. But we see upside for Dabur and Colgate as these are two volume-backed stories in our coverage and hence rate them Overweight. We are Neutral on ITC, HUL and Marico.

Snapshot of companies under coverage

|  | Dabur - <br> India Palmolive | Colgate <br> Hndever | ITC | Marico <br> Industries |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ticker | DABUR IN | CLGT IN | HUVR IN | ITC IN | MRCO IN |
| Market cap (USDm) | 2,071 | 1,404 | 11,101 | 16,276 | 911 |
| Rating | OW (V) | OW | Neutral | Neutral | Neutral (V) |
| Target price (INR) | 123.0 | 540.0 | 249.0 | 193.0 | 69.4 |
| Current price (INR) | 112.9 | 486.2 | 239.7 | 203.1 | 70.5 |
| PE (on FYo9e) | $25.0 x$ | $22.8 x$ | $24.7 x$ | $23.0 x$ | $21.0 x$ |
| ROE (last reported FY08) | $60.9 \%$ | $106.1 \%$ | $92.7 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ |
| \% change from 1-Jan-09 | $34.5 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $-4.4 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ |

*Price data as on 3 June 2009.
Source: Company, HSBC
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## The road ahead

- Volume growth to drive top line, backed by new products and rural consumer demand
- Volatile commodity prices hint at conservative gross margin expansion
- Controlling overhead costs more important than ever


## What will drive top line?

Volumes, not prices
Over October 2008 to March 2009, consumer companies raised prices to varying degrees to protect margins and bottom lines. With commodity costs declining and volume growth slowing due to reduced customer buying, there was downward pressure on product prices.

Companies have cut prices for several products in response in the April to June 2009 period. Marico, for example, has taken a $4 \%$ price reduction in its Saffola franchise to regain volume growth. Saffola had suffered over the previous two quarters since the premium to other oil brands had increased.

HUL's sales volume declined $4.2 \%$ in the January to March 2009 quarter because of significant price increases, sales volume reduction, and pipeline contraction by traders, in anticipation of price cuts. HUL has now taken price cuts on its soaps and detergents portfolio or increased the pack size to spur volumes.

Price growth for consumer companies should be subdued in FY10. Although prices have been cut q-o-q, they will still increase $y-o-y$ due to rollover pricing, and such an increase will obviously be modest. Sales growth, therefore, will be volume-driven, rather than price-driven, as was the case in FY09.


## New product launches - added boost

Given renewed consumer confidence, new launches and product variants might give the required boost to top line growth. For example, Dabur plans to launch variants in hair oils and shampoos, a new ayurvedic skincare range and a new fruit drink. Marico will build on its functional foods range. HUL will start reaping the benefits of its investments in the water business, and gain from the extension of its hair care (Dove and Clinic All Clear) and skin care (Ponds and Vaseline) ranges.

Additionally, product variants in smaller pack sizes (priced below INR10) are also becoming a focus group across the sector to target mid-income consumers. HUL launched ketchup penny packs in the March 2009 quarter. Currently, small packs or popularly positioned products (PPPs) form $40 \%$ of the Indian FMCG market.

## Rural India going strong

Rural incomes are holding up strongly due to increase in minimum support prices of agricultural crops over the last two years. We expect this to drive volume growth as per capita consumption increases through the introduction of new users and increase in consumption by existing users. Rural segment growth is an important factor, as rural exposure is $50 \%$ each for HUL and Dabur, $25 \%$ for Marico and $35 \%$ for Colgate ( $35 \%$ is at the company level, but rural exposure in toothpowder is much higher).

Most of the companies that we interact with indicate that rural consumption is growing 200300 bp faster than urban consumption. Factors such as rising rural incomes, healthy agricultural growth, improved consumer sentiment, increasing consumerism across India, increased penetration of the rural market by consumer products should contribute to the high growth and rapid expansion of the consumer industry in rural India.

## Gross margins to expand, but lower than anticipated

Commodity costs as seen in the charts on page 1314 had softened during the December 2008 and March 2009 quarters, but are on a growth trajectory now. Volatile commodity markets could wreak havoc and affect margins. From their troughs, input costs have gone up anywhere between 5\% and 75\%. Malaysian Palm Oil rose $75.4 \%$, Coffee, $37.5 \%$, Tea, $49.3 \%$, groundnut oil, $12.8 \%$ and HDPE, $51.9 \%$ (refer table 'Snapshot of movement in commodity prices').

Companies that have strengthened measures to protect gross profit margins will stay ahead. For instance, Dabur has taken to extensive commodity hedging on international exchanges to safeguard margins. So while the competition raised prices by $15-20 \%$, Dabur raised them just $5 \%$. As per a news report (source: LiveMint, April 2009), Dabur plans to source $50 \%$ of its basic raw material through trading on international exchanges.

We therefore believe that there will be overall margin expansion, albeit lower than we earlier expected. HUL is likely to be affected the most, with palm oil going up significantly and affecting the bulk of its input costs.

## Cost control is key

Operating cost efficiency is the need of the hour as these are to a larger extent within the management's control. While we expect companies on the whole to maintain the ratio of ad spend to sales, there will be a tightening in other overheads, including staff costs.

While we do not expect a major slowdown in annual increments as it is necessary to retain good talent, there could be a slower growth in staff welfare expenses, variable pay, and head count.

| Category-wise volume growth for coverage universe in FY10e |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dabur |  | Colgate |  | HUL |  | Marico |  |
| Category | Volume growth | Category | Volume growth | Category | Volume growth | Category | Volume growth |
| Hair care | 8.8\% | Paste | 9.5\% | Soaps \& detergents | 4\% | Coconut | 7.0\% |
| Oral care | 15.0\% | Powder | 3.0\% | Personal products | 4\% | Other hair oil | 11.0\% |
| Health supplements | 13.0\% | Brush | 13.0\% | Coffee\& Tea | 4\% | Edible oils | 12.5\% |
| Digestives | 9.5\% |  |  | Ice-cream | 4\% | Other products | 7.0\% |
| Baby/skin (incl. Femcare) | 114.1\% |  |  | Foods | 4\% | International business | 15.0\% |
| Home care | 16.6\% |  |  | Exports | 4\% |  |  |
| Foods | 22.3\% |  |  | Others | 4\% |  |  |
| Consumer health division | 3.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| International business | 15.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: HSBC

Other expenses are likely to be closely scrutinised. For example, HUL has declared a 'war on waste' and all discretionary spends such as travel, are being questioned.

## Our forecasts

## Top line

Volume growth of some categories such as detergents has been adversely impacted by the steep price increases taken last year and might take time to pick up, but in most of the other categories, volume growth is not a cause for concern. On the whole we expect sales growth to be lower than that in FY09e, but in line with the longer term trend.

We estimate the following top line and volume growth for our coverage universe in FY10e:

- HUL: $9.7 \%$ increase in sales, driven $4 \%$
through volume and $5.5 \%$ through price. We expect growth to be price-led in H 1 and volume-led in H 2 . Overall sales growth will soften in H 2 as price increases on y-o-y basis slow down.
- ITC (cigarettes): Low taxation scenario $7 \%$ annualised increase in average selling prices and $6.4 \%$ annualised increase in volumes; high taxation scenario - 12.5\% annualised increase in average selling prices and $0.2 \%$ annualised increase in volumes.
- Dabur: $18 \%$ sales growth in FY10e with 3\% coming from acquisition of Femcare and $15 \%$ being organic growth. Of its organic growth we expect $12-13 \%$ to be driven by volume and 2-3\% by price. It has not increased prices aggressively and hence volumes should be robust. New variants will help.

| Snapshot of movement in commodity prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commodity | FY09 | H1 <br> FY10e | H1 FY10e <br> vs FY09 | Current <br> prices | FY10e <br> (Avg of H1 and <br> current prices) | FY10e <br> vs FY09 | Trough <br> (October 2008 - <br> March 2009) | Current <br> vs trough |
| HDPE (USD/MT) |  |  |  |  |  | 1,060 | $-28.9 \%$ | 755.0 |
| Malaysian palm oil (USD/MT) | $1,492.1$ | 973.8 | $-34.7 \%$ | $1,147.0$ | $51.9 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Groundnut oil index (INR) | 945.7 | 496.5 | $-47.5 \%$ | 570.0 | 533.2 | $-43.6 \%$ | 325.0 | $75.4 \%$ |
| Copra cochin (INR/quintal) | 671.4 | 541.7 | $-19.3 \%$ | 542.9 | 542.3 | $-19.2 \%$ | 481.2 | $12.8 \%$ |
| Indian wholesale price index Tea (INR) | $4,020.1$ | $3,631.1$ | $-9.7 \%$ | $3,215.0$ | $3,423.1$ | $-14.9 \%$ | $3,525.0$ | $-8.8 \%$ |
| Indian wholesale price index Sugar (INR) | 155.9 | 182.9 | $4.0 \%$ | 244.5 | 213.7 | $21.5 \%$ | 163.8 | $49.3 \%$ |
| S\&P GSCI Coffee Spot Index (USD) | 102.8 | 179.1 | $17.2 \%$ | 193.4 | 186.2 | $21.9 \%$ | 159.2 | $21.5 \%$ |
| S\&P GSCI Cocoa Spot Index (USD) | 99.9 | 91.0 | $-11.4 \%$ | 109.3 | 100.2 | $-2.5 \%$ | 79.5 | $37.5 \%$ |
| Calcium carbonate (USD/tonne) | 98.3 | 99.0 | $-1.5 \%$ | 103.7 | 101.0 | $1.2 \%$ | 75.1 | $38.1 \%$ |
| Titanium dioxide (cents/lb) | 107.1 | 114.5 | $0.7 \%$ | 96.0 | 97.5 | $-0.8 \%$ | 97.0 | $-1.0 \%$ |
| LAB (INR/kg) | 98.1 | 75.1 | $-23.4 \%$ | 116.0 | 76.1 | 115.3 | $7.6 \%$ | 109.0 |

[^0]- Colgate: $13.3 \%$ top line growth, largely driven by volume. Industry growth likely to remain robust on increased conversions from toothpowder to toothpaste.
- Marico: $\mathbf{1 2 . 2 \%}$ sales growth, of which 9.9\% should be from volumes. Non-coconut hair oils and Saffola to lead the growth.


## Gross margins

We believe that gross margins will expand since the commodity costs are off their peaks; however, we expect the impact to be subdued due to an uncertain 2H FY10e. While commodities fell sharply from their peaks, they have, in the last few months, gone up quite a bit from their troughs (see table, 'Snapshot of movement in commodity prices').

| HSBC forecasts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | FY08 | FYo9e | FY10e | FY11e |
| HUL* | Sales growth | $11.8 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |
|  | Gross margins | $47.0 \%$ | $46.6 \%$ | $47.0 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ |
|  | EBITDA margins | $13.7 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
|  | EBIT margins | $12.7 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
|  | EPS growth | $16.5 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ |
| ITC | Sales growth | $15.7 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |
|  | Gross margins | $59.5 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ | $60.1 \%$ |
|  | EBITDA margins | $31.2 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ |
|  | EBIT margins | $27.9 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ |
|  | EPS growth | $14.2 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ |
| Colgate | Sales growth | $13.8 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |
|  | Gross margins | $59.4 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ |
|  | EBITDA margins | $20.8 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ |
|  | EBIT margins | $19.4 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ |
|  | EPS growth | $22.9 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| Dabur | Sales growth | $15.6 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ |
|  | Gross margins | $49.7 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ | $52.4 \%$ |
|  | EBITDA margins | $17.3 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ |
|  | EBIT margins | $15.6 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
|  | EPS growth | $18.1 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ |
| Marico | Sales growth | $22.4 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ |
|  | Gross margins | $48.5 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ |
|  | EBITDA margins | $12.9 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ |
|  | EBIT margins | $11.3 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
|  | EPS growth | $58.2 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Adjusted for change in financial year to ensure like for like comparison
Source: Company, HSBC

We therefore believe that gross margin expansion may be lower than we had earlier estimated. For our coverage universe, we now expect a 55 bp gross margin expansion instead of 100bp which we had estimated earlier.

## EBIT margin

As mentioned earlier, aggressive cost control is likely to partially offset the disappointment in gross margin expansion. We expect operating cost as a percentage of sales in FY10e to contract 43bp y-o-y on weighted average, driving EBIT margin expansion by 50 bp (70bp estimated earlier).
Valuation
12-month forward PE

|  | 5 yr average |  | 1 year average | 3 month average | Curr. PE | Target PE (on March 10e EPS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HUL | 25.3 | 22.9 | 22.8 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 23.0 |
| ITC | 19.2 | 20.0 | 18.4 | 17.5 | 19.0 | 18.6 |
| Colgate | 19.6 | 18.5 | 17.5 | 18.5 | 19.4 | 22.0 |
| Dabur | 20.4 | 21.2 | 17.9 | 18.7 | 20.3 | 23.0 |
| Marico | 19.2 | 19.1 | 16.7 | 17.2 | 18.6 | 19.0 |
| Simple average | 20.8 | 20.3 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 19.8 | 21.1 |
| HUL premium | 22.1\% | 12.6\% | 22.0\% | 14.5\% | 9.0\% | 8.8\% |
| to sector* <br> ITC <br> discount <br> to sector* | 7.6\% | 1.7\% | 1.3\% | 6.1\% | 3.8\% | 12.0\% |

FMCG stocks under our coverage are trading below their 5-year and 2-year averages, but higher than 1-year and 3-month averages. With the broad stock market recovery, there has been a minor PE re-rating for the FMCG sector too. The large caps' premium to the sector has decreased (or discount has increased) considerably. This is a complete about-turn from the scenario in our last quarterly report dated 6 February 2009, India FMCG: What the future holds, where the large caps were trading at higher-than-average premium to the mid-caps. The reason for the premium contracting now is the issue with HUL's performance (volume decline and market share losses) and tax overhang on ITC, due to which investors are wary of buying aggressively despite reasonable valuations. We believe that as and when the performance for HUL improves and if the Central Budget is not


Source: DataStream, HSBC
Price data as on 3 June 2009
adverse for ITC, there could be a re-rating for these stocks. But since both these events are fraught with uncertainty we prefer to keep these stock ratings Neutral.

The BSE FMCG index is currently trading at a 12 month forward PE of 19.5 x , as compared to 17.2 x for Sensex. The premium to Sensex has reduced considerably - when Sensex hit its trough on 9 March, its PE was 9.6x 12-months forward and BSE FMCG index, at 16.1 x - more as a result of other sectors improving, rather than any substantial change in the FMCG sector. However, some investors were getting uncomfortable with
the premium that FMCG traded at earlier and those concerns have now been allayed.


Source: Thomson Financial, IBES, HSBC Note: PE is based on 3 June 2009 price

| Relative valuation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mkt cap (USD) | ${ }_{\mathrm{FY} 09}^{\mathrm{PE}}$ | FY10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EPS CAGR } \\ & \text { FY08-10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P/BV } \\ & \text { FY08 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PEG } \\ & \text { FY09 } \end{aligned}$ | EBIT margin FYO9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROE } \\ & \text { FY08 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROA } \\ & \text { FY08 } \end{aligned}$ |
| COLGATE-PALMOLIVE INDIA | 1,404 | 22.8x | 19.7x | 19.1\% | 39.5x | 1.5x | 19.5\% | 106.1\% | 33.1\% |
| DABUR INDIA | 2,071 | 25.0x | 21.1x | 17.8\% | 15.7x | 1.4 x | 15.0\% | 60.9\% | 27.0\% |
| HINDUSTAN UNILEVER | 11,101 | 24.7x | 22.1x | 11.7\% | 35.0x | 2.1x | 12.2\% | 92.7\% | 26.7\% |
| ITC | 16,276 | 23.0x | 19.6x | 11.5\% | $6.2 x$ | 1.3x | 27.5\% | 27.5\% | 19.2\% |
| MARICO | 911 | 21.0x | 19.3x | 18.5\% | 13.6x | 2.3 x | 11.1\% | 62.5\% | 22.8\% |
| COVERED STOCKS AVERAGE |  | 23.3x | 20.4x | 15.7\% | 22.0x | 1.7x | 17.1\% | 70.0\% | 25.8\% |
| BRITANNIA INDS.* | 833 | 21.7x | 18.4x | 9.5\% | 5.7x | 1.2 x | 5.3\% | 27.6\% | 14.1\% |
| GODREJ CONSUMER PRODUCTS* | 1,017 | 27.3x | 21.2x | 11.6\% | 188.3x | 1.0x | 13.5\% | 108.5\% | 25.4\% |
| NESTLÉ INDIA* | 3,495 | 29.4x | 24.7x | 22.6\% | 35.8x | 1.5x | 18.3\% | 106.8\% | 32.5\% |
| TATA TEA* | 1,037 | 13.3x | 11.5x | -47.7\% | 3.8 x | 0.9x | 14.7\% | 42.6\% | 20.5\% |
| UNITED SPIRITS* | 2,083 | 23.5x | 18.3x | 30.2\% | 3.7 x | 0.8x | 16.1\% | 15.8\% | 3.9\% |
| ASIAN PAINTS* | 2,302 | 27.8x | 22.8x | 7.9\% | 11.0x | 1.3x | 11.7\% | 43.6\% | 4.8\% |
| GLXSK.CSM. HEALTHCARE* | 773 | 18.9x | 16.9x | 12.7\% | $5.2 x$ | 1.6x | 16.1\% | 27.2\% | 19.2\% |
| UNCOVERED STOCKS AVERAGE |  | 23.1x | 19.1x | 6.7\% | 36.2x | 1.2x | 13.7\% | 53.2\% | 17.2\% |
| SECTOR AVERAGE |  | 23.2x | 19.6x | 10.4\% | 30.3x | 1.4x | 15.1\% | 60.2\% | 20.8\% |

Price data as on 3 June 2009

* Bloomberg estimates for stocks not under coverage

Source: HSBC, Bloomberg, DataStream

## Trend analysis

- Mid-caps and sector-specific stocks grow at 16-26\% during the quarter; outperforming the biggies
- Volatile commodity markets lead to $13 \%$ gross profit growth with a margin expansion of +120 bp
- Sharp cutback in operating costs led to 17\% growth in PBT



## Top line dynamics

The quarter ended March 2009, with a weighted average sales growth of $10 \% \mathrm{y}-0-\mathrm{y}$, has been the worst in FY09, pulling down the year-end numbers from a weighted average growth of $19.3 \%$ for the period April-December 2008 to $17.2 \%$ for the year ended March 2009.

## Mid-caps outperform

Mid-cap FMCG companies such as Marico, Dabur, Nestlé and Godrej Consumer recorded sales growth of $16-26 \%$ and outperformed the biggies like HUL that clocked $6 \%$ top line growth during the quarter, and ITC which actually
decelerated $1.1 \%$ in the quarter despite cigarette sales recording $16.3 \%$ growth for the 3 months ended March 2009.

## Sector focus pays off

Sector-specific companies such as Colgate
Palmolive, Asian Paints and United Spirits too have fared well.

## Marico

Sales growth for the year at $25 \%$ was driven equally by volume and price. For the quarter, sales growth was $20.5 \%$, of which 9 ppts was contributed by volumes. Though overall volume
growth of $9 \%$ in 4 Q for the company was higher than $7 \%$ in 3Q, we believe that the core portfolio continues to be under pressure. Growth of its Parachute brand slowed to $7 \%$ in 4Q from $9 \%$ in 3Q, Saffola though showed a small improvement but was way off its comfort zone of double digit growth.

## Dabur

Dabur posted sales growth of $20.6 \%$ in 4Q and $18.8 \%$ in FY09, making this year's organic growth the highest in a decade. Moreover, 4Q results have shown that the growth has become more broad-based, with categories such as Foods, Oral Care and Digestives improving their growth rates.

## Colgate Palmolive

Colgate's net sales increased $16.4 \%$ for the 4Q and $15.0 \%$ for the year, growing faster than the market. Market shares improved 200bp for the quarter and 10bp for the year on a y-o-y basis in the toothpaste segment. While toothpowder quarterly sales declined $2.6 \%$, due to conversion of users to toothpaste, healthy $15 \%$ volume growth was recorded in the toothpowder segment. There was a marked shift of c300bp from toothpowder to toothpaste as explained by category penetration numbers.

## ITC

Cigarettes grew $16.3 \%$ during the quarter and FMCG others saw reasonable growth at $13.5 \%$. Agri business dropped $51.2 \%$ but that was due to ITC rationalising its agri-commodity portfolio, necessitated by increasing policy intervention and volatility in commodity markets. A revenue increase of $25.7 \%$ in paperboard for 4 Q was largely driven by capacity ramp-up at a new plant, with further back-end support to the stationery business. Hotels bore the brunt, decelerating $29.3 \%$ during the quarter, and pulled down overall numbers.

## HUL

While sales growth for the quarter was just $6 \%$, FMCG sales growth of $11.8 \%$ was in line with market expectations and the lower overall number was due to a decline in non-core exports. A volume decline of $4.2 \%$ during the quarter was a result of price increases taken over the last 12-15 months, and the recent price declines having not yet fully reached retail shelves.

Soaps and detergents, beverages and ice creams grew $15.8 \%, 13.5 \%$ and $22.4 \%$ respectively during the quarter, while exports dropped $44.7 \%$. Growth in personal products was disappointing at $1.9 \%$, driven by loss in market shares and outlet consolidation in modern trade.

## Nestlé

Nestlé recorded $16 \%$ y-o-y growth in the quarter ended March 2009 and $22.4 \%$ in the April 2008March 2009 period

The $16 \%$ growth rate recorded during the quarter was due to strong domestic performance. Domestic sales were up $10.7 \%$ in volume and $18.7 \%$ in value terms. Exports suffered, with a $25.3 \%$ drop in volumes and $15.1 \%$ in sales.

## Godrej Consumer

Godrej Consumer retained its position as the second largest toilet soap player in the country with a market share of $9.9 \%$ in 4Q. Soap sales increased $46 \%$ y-o-y in the quarter backed by relaunch of the Cinthol and FairGlow brands, launch of a new variant in Godrej No. 1 during the year and market share gains. Market share in hair colorants stood at $32.6 \%$ in 4 Q with sales growing $20 \%$ y-o-y.

## Glaxo Consumer

2009 was kick-started with the launch of a nutritional snack "Horlicks Nutribar". 4Q saw the launch of "ActiGrow", a high protein baby food and recorded $31.3 \%$ top line growth.
"Boost" was the official energy drink for one of the teams participating in the IPL (Indian Premier League) 2009, and also contributed to healthy top line numbers for 4 Q and the full year.

## Asian Paints

Sales grew $25 \%$ for the quarter and $24 \%$ for the year. Demand for decorative paints improved in 4 Q , while industrial coatings business continued to be impacted by the slowdown in demand.

## Commodities volatile

## Copra

Average copra prices declined $9 \%$ in the quarter ended March 2009 over the previous nine months (April - December 2008). The trend has continued and they are currently $15 \%$ below the average for 4Q (January - March 2009) and 20\% below the average for FY09 (April 2008 - March 2009).

## Palm oil

Average Malaysian palm oil prices witnessed a sharp fall of $44.4 \%$ in the quarter ended March 2009, over the previous nine months (April December 2008). However, they are on an upward move again and are currently $16 \%$ higher than the average for 4Q (January - March 2009).

## Groundnut oil index

Groundnut oil index prices had softened with the average for the quarter ended March 2009 down $20 \%$ over the average for the previous nine months (April - December 2008). However, prices have increased slightly thereafter; currently $3 \%$ above the average for 4Q (January - March 2009).

## Cocoa and Coffee

Cocoa prices have held firm since April 2008. Currently they are 3\% above the average for FY09 (April 2008 - March 2009).

Average coffee prices on the other hand saw a $12 \%$ decline in 4Q (January - March 2009) over the average for the previous nine months (April December 2008), but the trend reversed thereafter and prices are currently $24 \%$ above the average for 4Q (January - March 2009).

## Sugar

Sugar has been on a growth trajectory since January 2008. Currently the price is $21 \%$ above the average for FY09 (April 2008 - March 2009). Industry source ISMA (Indian Sugar Mills Association) now believes that Indian sugar production for the 200809 crushing season will be $14-15 \mathrm{mt}$, down from 22 mt estimated in September 2008 and 19mt estimates in February 2009. Given this view, we estimate sugar prices to continue their climb.


## Tea

Indian wholesale tea prices softened in 3Q
(October - December 2008) and 4Q (January -
March 2009) but are on the upward move again, currently $30 \%$ above the average for FY09 (April 2008 - March 2009).

## LAB (liner alkyl benzene)

LAB is used in detergents and dropped $27 \%$ in 4Q (January - March 2009) as compared to the previous nine months (April - December 2008). Currently the prices are at the same level as recorded in 4Q.

## HDPE HK spot

Average prices, having fallen $37.3 \%$ in the quarter ended March 2009 over the previous nine months (April - December 2008), are currently $25.8 \%$ above the average of 4Q (January -March 2009); regaining a large part of the drop.

## Gross profit growth and margin

Mixed impact of volatile commodity markets led to a weighted average gross profit growth of $12.8 \%$ during the quarter with a margin expansion of 126 bp .

For the quarter ended March 2009, Marico, Dabur and Glaxo Consumer witnessed gross margin compression of $150 \mathrm{bp}, 66 \mathrm{bp}$ and 216 bp respectively on a y-o-y basis. Nestlé and Britannia ended with almost flat margins, expanding a mere 46 bp and 26 bp respectively. United Spirits saw deceleration of 604bp during the quarter.

Sub sector leaders such as HUL, ITC, Colgate and Asian Paints expanded margins y-o-y by 206bp, 634bp, 115bp and 326bp respectively by tinkering with prices.

Mass market player Godrej Consumer was the worst hit, with margins contracting 846bp y-o-y for the quarter ended March 2009.

Commodity cost trends
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## Operating expenses - sharp cutbacks

Tight control over operating expenses has been the trend across the board for the quarter ended March 2009, taking into account the period after the trend reversal started in 3Q FY09.

## Employee costs

Though employee costs as a percentage of sales have remained in the 6-7\% range, y-o-y growth in the quarter ended March 2009 at $14.9 \%$ was visibly lower than the $18.8 \%$ growth registered in the previous nine months.

## Ad spend

Advertisement and promotion expenses saw the most prominent cut backs. Ad spend during the quarter remained flat with $1 \%$ growth against $14.4 \%$ growth in the previous nine months.

## Other expenses

This category too saw some stringent measures with the weighted average growth during the quarter at $4.5 \%$ compared to $21 \%$ during the previous nine months.



## Profit before tax

## Reported PBT

Reported PBT grew 11.6\% during the quarter and 7.7\% for the year ended March 2009.

Margins expanded 27bp for the March 2009 quarter as against a compression of 238bp during the previous nine months. A sharp cut back in operating expenses was responsible for this trend reversal.

## PBT before exceptional items

PBT before exceptional items grew $17.3 \%$ during the quarter with a margin expansion of 119 bp . The top performers were Marico, Godrej Consumer, Glaxo Consumer and Colgate


Palmolive, which grew $74.5 \%, 40.6 \%, 53.3 \%$ and $43.6 \%$, respectively.

United Spirits was the only company that saw a deceleration of $14.2 \%$; largely due to $71.9 \%$ increase in interest expense and a $59.5 \%$ drop in other operational income.

On a full-year basis, profit before exceptional items grew $9.4 \%$ but margins compressed 133bp $\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{y}$, due to the impact of the first nine months of the year.

## Net profit

Accordingly, net profit grew $11.1 \%$ during the quarter and $8 \%$ for the year ended March 2009.



Consolidated - Marico, Dabur, GCPL, Asian Paints
Standalone - HUL, Britannia, Glaxo Consumer, Colgate Palmolive, Nesté, ITC, United Spirits

| FY09 vs FY08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income statement snapshot | Marico | Dabur | HUL | GCPL | Britannia | Glaxo Consumer | Colgate Palmolive | Nestlé | ITC | Asian Paints | United Spirits |
| Net Sales | 23,884 | 28,054 | 165,396 | 13,930 | 34,212 | 16,716 | 16,952 | 44,992 | 163,323 | 54,632 | 38,478 |
| Other operational income | 0 | 256 | 2,849 | 37 | 150 | 135 | 646 | 109 | 2,178 | 0 | 425 |
| Gross profit | 11,106 | 14,293 | 76,840 | 6,234 | 13,022 | 10,259 | 10,143 | 23,175 | 99,377 | 20,926 | 17,103 |
| Gross profit margin | 46.5\% | 50.9\% | 46.5\% | 44.8\% | 38.1\% | 61.4\% | 59.8\% | 51.5\% | 60.8\% | 38.3\% | 44.4\% |
| Employee costs | 1,648 | 2,347 | 9,386 | 876 | 1,587 | 1,831 | 1,509 | 3,268 | 13,228 | 3,715 | 2,361 |
| As a \% of sales | 6.9\% | 8.4\% | 5.7\% | 6.3\% | 4.6\% | 11.0\% | 8.9\% | 7.3\% | 8.1\% | 6.8\% | 6.1\% |
| Advertising \& Publicity | 2,504 | 3,433 | 16,644 | 997 | 2,356 | 1,990 | 2,717 | NA | NA | NA | 3,354 |
| As a \% of sales | 10.5\% | 12.2\% | 10.1\% | 7.2\% | 6.9\% | 11.9\% | 16.0\% | NA | NA | NA | 8.7\% |
| Other expenditure | 3,934 | 3,808 | 28,109 | 2,324 | 6,781 | 3,673 | 2,929 | 10,665 | 35,427 | 10,517 | 5,130 |
| As a \% of sales | 16.5\% | 13.6\% | 17.0\% | 16.7\% | 19.8\% | 22.0\% | 17.3\% | 23.7\% | 21.7\% | 19.2\% | 13.3\% |
| EBIT | 2,662 | 4,468 | 23,961 | 1,882 | 1,789 | 2,481 | 3,303 | 8,099 | 47,092 | 5,950 | 6,328 |
| EBIT margin | 11.1\% | 15.9\% | 14.5\% | 13.5\% | 5.2\% | 14.8\% | 19.5\% | 18.0\% | 28.8\% | 10.9\% | 16.4\% |
| Interest expense | 357 | 232 | 0 | -160 | 239 | 70 | 15 | 17.1 | 186.9 | 263 | 1,830 |
| Other income | 142 | 213 | 1,602 | 51 | 233 | 890 | 198 | 272.5 | 2,942.7 | 517 | 57 |
| PBT (before exceptional) | 2,447 | 4,448 | 25,562 | 2,092 | 1,783 | 3,301 | 3,534 | 8,355 | 49,848 | 6,204 | 4,639 |
| PBT margin | 10.2\% | 15.9\% | 15.5\% | 15.0\% | 5.2\% | 19.7\% | 20.8\% | 18.6\% | 30.5\% | 11.4\% | 12.1\% |
| PBT (after exceptional) | 2,447 | 4,448 | 25,456 | 2,092 | 1,963 | 3,301 | 3,485 | 8,324 | 49,848 | 6,204 | 4,639 |
| PBT margin | 10.2\% | 15.9\% | 15.4\% | 15.0\% | 5.7\% | 19.7\% | 20.6\% | 18.5\% | 30.5\% | 11.4\% | 12.1\% |
| Tax | 409 | 540 | 4,136 | 366 | 530 | 1,144 | 603 | 2,611 | 16,254 | 1,974 | 1,666 |
| Effective tax rate | 16.7\% | 12.1\% | 16.2\% | 17.5\% | 27.0\% | 34.7\% | 17.3\% | 31.4\% | 32.6\% | 31.8\% | 35.9\% |
| Reported net profit | 1,887 | 3,912 | 21,155 | 1,733 | 1,515 | 2,157 | 2,858 | 5,712 | 33,246 | 3,978 | 2,972 |
| Net profit margin | 7.9\% | 13.9\% | 12.8\% | 12.4\% | 4.4\% | 12.9\% | 16.9\% | 12.7\% | 20.4\% | 7.3\% | 7.7\% |
| Reported Basic EPS | 3.10 | 4.52 | 9.71 | 6.83 | 63.41 | 51.29 | 21.01 | 59.25 | 8.82 | 41.48 | 29.68 |
| Reported Diluted EPS | 3.10 | 4.50 | 9.71 | 6.83 | 63.40 | 51.29 | 21.01 | 59.25 | 8.81 | 41.48 | 29.68 |

[^1]Standalone - HUL, Glaxo Consumer, Nestlé, United Spirits

| March qtr FY09 vs March qtr FY08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y-o-y Change | Marico | Dabur | HUL | GCPL | Britannia | Glaxo Consumer | Colgate Palmolive | Nestlé | ITC | Asian <br> Paints | United Spirits | Simple average | Weighted average |
| Net Sales | 20.5\% | 20.6\% | 6.0\% | 26.1\% | 10.1\% | 31.3\% | 16.4\% | 16.0\% | -1.1\% | 25.4\% | 19.6\% | 17.4\% | 10.0\% |
| Other operational income | NA | -52.4\% | -39.1\% | -100.0\% | -18.0\% | NA | 2.6\% | 109.8\% | -67.1\% | NA | 101.9\% | -7.8\% | -43.9\% |
| Gross profit | 16.9\% | 19.2\% | 10.8\% | 7.6\% | 10.8\% | 26.7\% | 18.8\% | 17.1\% | 10.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.7\% | 14.5\% | 12.8\% |
| Gross profit margin | -150bp | -66bp | 206bp | -846bp | 26bp | -216bp | 115bp | 46bp | 634bp | -326bp | -604bp | -107bp | 126bp |
| Employee costs | 17.5\% | 10.6\% | 9.8\% | 32.2\% | 1.6\% | 28.8\% | 26.5\% | 16.2\% | 16.3\% | 23.2\% | 3.2\% | 16.9\% | 14.9\% |
| As a \% of sales | -19bp | -73bp | 20bp | 33bp | -26bp | -18bp | 69bp | 1bp | 85bp | -13bp | -109bp | -5bp | 27bp |
| Advertising \& Publicity | -19.4\% | 29.4\% | 2.6\% | -41.4\% | 17.9\% | 9.0\% | -4.4\% | NA | NA | NA | -8.3\% | -1.8\% | 1.4\% |
| As a \% of sales | -500bp | 89bp | -37bp | -516bp | 50bp | -230bp | -335bp | NA | NA | NA | -267bp | -218bp | -51bp |
| Other expenditure | 23.2\% | 3.3\% | 1.8\% | 10.4\% | 6.6\% | 18.1\% | 11.2\% | 9.9\% | -2.9\% | 14.7\% | 10.8\% | 9.7\% | 4.5\% |
| As a \% of sales | 41bp | -240bp | -70bp | -261bp | -65bp | -200bp | -67bp | -119bp | -40bp | -178bp | -114bp | -119bp | -98bp |
| EBIT | 67.0\% | 24.9\% | 23.7\% | 18.7\% | 17.3\% | 53.7\% | 37.6\% | 26.4\% | 11.8\% | 11.4\% | 16.7\% | 28.1\% | 19.3\% |
| EBIT margin | 312 bp | 56bp | 199bp | -112bp | 51bp | 293bp | 293bp | 180bp | 342 bp | -140bp | -42bp | 130bp | 148bp |
| Interest expense | 29.8\% | 135.0\% | NA | -277.4\% | -47.1\% | -47.6\% | -69.4\% | 77.8\% | 406.7\% | 91.0\% | 71.9\% | 37.1\% | 57.5\% |
| Other income | 53.7\% | 7.8\% | -10.1\% | NA | -15.9\% | 37.8\% | 2.7\% | 37.6\% | -5.9\% | 3.2\% | -59.5\% | 5.1\% | 3.6\% |
| PBT (before exceptional) | 74.5\% | 20.2\% | 22.2\% | 40.6\% | 15.7\% | 53.3\% | 43.6\% | 26.6\% | 9.9\% | 8.8\% | -14.2\% | 27.4\% | 17.3\% |
| PBT margin | 329bp | -6bp | 191bp | 207bp | 42bp | 352bp | 395bp | 186bp | 307bp | -175bp | -390bp | 131bp | 119bp |
| PBT (after exceptional) | 74.5\% | 20.2\% | -1.1\% | 40.6\% | -14.2\% | 53.3\% | 36.3\% | 26.6\% | 9.9\% | 8.8\% | -14.2\% | 21.9\% | 11.6\% |
| PBT margin | 329bp | -6bp | -84bp | 207bp | -238bp | 352bp | 288bp | 186bp | 307bp | -175bp | -390bp | 71 bp | 27bp |
| Tax | -97.5\% | -19.5\% | -21.5\% | 24.0\% | 71.2\% | 62.6\% | 24.0\% | 35.0\% | 9.8\% | 11.0\% | -13.7\% | 7.8\% | 9.5\% |
| Effective tax rate | -1126bp | -449bp | -395bp | -195bp | 1829bp | 211bp | -143bp | 190bp | -3bp | 64bp | 25bp | 1bp | -183bp |
| Reported net profit | 8.9\% | 30.9\% | 3.7\% | 45.4\% | -33.4\% | 48.3\% | 38.6\% | 23.2\% | 10.0\% | 7.0\% | -14.6\% | 15.3\% | 11.1\% |
| Net profit margin | -84bp | 112bp | -22bp | 230bp | -349bp | 179bp | 271bp | 91bp | 209bp | -122bp | -245bp | 25bp | 14bp |
| Reported Basic EPS | 9.0\% | 27.1\% | 3.4\% | 27.6\% | -33.5\% | 48.3\% | 38.6\% | 23.2\% | 10.3\% | 7.0\% | -16.8\% | 13.1\% | 4.8\% |
| Reported Diluted EPS | 9.0\% | 27.4\% | 3.4\% | 27.6\% | -33.5\% | 48.3\% | 38.6\% | 23.2\% | 10.9\% | 7.0\% | -15.7\% | 13.3\% | 5.0\% |

Source: Company, HSBC

| Apr-Dec 2009 vs Apr-Dec 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y-o-y Change | Marico | Dabur | HUL | GCPL | Britannia | Glaxo Consumer | Colgate Palmolive | Nestlé | ITC | Asian Paints | United Spirits | Simple average | Weighted average |
| Net Sales | 27.2\% | 18.2\% | 19.2\% | 26.1\% | 24.2\% | 18.9\% | 14.5\% | 22.4\% | 14.8\% | 23.4\% | 22.1\% | 21.0\% | 19.3\% |
| Other operational income | -92.7\% | 47.2\% | 49.2\% | NA | 328.6\% | 20.4\% | 26.1\% | 191.2\% | 25.9\% | NA | 73.4\% | 74.4\% | 41.4\% |
| Gross profit | 21.8\% | 14.3\% | 15.9\% | 5.7\% | 18.9\% | 12.9\% | 11.7\% | 20.5\% | 13.5\% | 13.9\% | 8.3\% | 14.3\% | 14.6\% |
| Gross profit margin | -203bp | -173bp | -131bp | -833bp | -172bp | -333bp | -142bp | -83bp | -69bp | -320bp | -562bp | -275bp | -201bp |
| Employee costs | 35.0\% | 20.4\% | 19.5\% | 16.9\% | 7.6\% | 11.1\% | 15.1\% | 16.9\% | 23.3\% | 20.4\% | 3.9\% | 17.3\% | 18.8\% |
| As a \% of sales | 38bp | 15bp | 2 bp | -47bp | -47bp | -83bp | 4bp | -35bp | 40bp | -17bp | -104bp | -21bp | -3bp |
| Advertising \& Publicity | 11.1\% | 14.5\% | 13.8\% | 29.2\% | 17.3\% | 14.3\% | 10.1\% | NA | NA | NA | 17.1\% | 15.9\% | 14.4\% |
| As a \% of sales | -154bp | -38bp | -45bp | 19bp | -39bp | -50bp | -66bp | NA | NA | NA | -38bp | -51bp | -25bp |
| Other expenditure | 27.1\% | 16.6\% | 17.7\% | 10.9\% | 24.5\% | 24.7\% | 14.6\% | 22.7\% | 21.8\% | 24.7\% | 19.7\% | 20.5\% | 20.8\% |
| As a \% of sales | -2bp | -18bp | -21bp | -221bp | 5 bp | 110bp | 2 bp | 5bp | 118bp | 19bp | -26bp | -3bp | 22 bp |
| EBIT | 18.2\% | 10.7\% | 17.4\% | -12.4\% | 17.0\% | -0.5\% | 12.8\% | 17.8\% | 5.7\% | -5.0\% | 0.2\% | 7.4\% | 8.4\% |
| EBIT margin | -85bp | -106bp | -22bp | -528bp | -47bp | -241bp | -30bp | -65bp | -250bp | -323bp | -358bp | -187bp | -180bp |
| Interest expense | 14.9\% | 12.3\% | NA | -205.6\% | 118.5\% | 63.9\% | -8.3\% | 134.8\% | 142.9\% | 9.3\% | 33.5\% | 31.6\% | 18.0\% |
| Other income | -39.5\% | 180.5\% | -19.5\% | 5.9\% | -0.9\% | 46.0\% | 14.1\% | 32.9\% | -10.2\% | -17.0\% | 54.6\% | 22.4\% | -5.8\% |
| PBT (before exceptional) | 15.4\% | 14.2\% | 13.7\% | 1.3\% | 11.8\% | 9.4\% | 12.5\% | 18.1\% | 4.2\% | -6.6\% | -5.5\% | 8.0\% | 7.1\% |
| PBT margin | -104bp | -55bp | -76bp | -326bp | -88bp | -152bp | -38bp | -62bp | -322bp | -365bp | -372bp | -178bp | -216bp |
| PBT (after exceptional) | 15.4\% | 14.2\% | 9.3\% | 1.3\% | 6.9\% | 9.4\% | 13.0\% | 18.3\% | 4.2\% | -6.6\% | -5.5\% | 7.3\% | 6.1\% |
| PBT margin | -104bp | -55bp | -150bp | -326bp | -116bp | -152bp | -29bp | -60bp | -322bp | -365bp | -372bp | -186bp | -238bp |
| Tax | 27.4\% | 15.8\% | -7.3\% | 31.8\% | 3.9\% | 9.3\% | -15.6\% | 10.2\% | 6.9\% | -7.2\% | -5.2\% | 6.4\% | 3.1\% |
| Effective tax rate | 207bp | 18bp | -294bp | 436bp | -49bp | -4bp | -558bp | -233bp | 82bp | -19bp | 9bp | -37bp | -103bp |
| Reported net profit | 12.5\% | 13.3\% | 12.3\% | -3.8\% | 7.5\% | 9.5\% | 21.1\% | 22.5\% | 2.9\% | -5.7\% | -5.6\% | 7.9\% | 7.0\% |
| Net profit margin | -103bp | -60bp | -84bp | -337bp | -92bp | -100bp | 93bp | Obp | -246bp | -227bp | -241bp | -127bp | -161bp |
| Reported Basic EPS | 12.3\% | 13.8\% | 13.2\% | -13.9\% | 7.6\% | 9.5\% | 21.0\% | 22.5\% | 2.7\% | -5.7\% | -8.5\% | 6.8\% | 6.5\% |
| Reported Diluted EPS | 12.3\% | 13.9\% | 13.2\% | -13.9\% | 7.6\% | 9.5\% | 21.0\% | 22.5\% | 2.8\% | -5.7\% | -7.2\% | 6.9\% | 6.7\% |

Source: Company, HSBC

| FY09 vs FY08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y-o-y Change | Marico | Dabur | HUL | GCPL | Britannia | Glaxo Consumer | Colgate Palmolive | Nestlé | ITC | Asian Paints | United Spirits | Simple average | Weighted average |
| Net Sales | 25.4\% | 18.8\% | 15.7\% | 26.3\% | 23.2\% | 22.7\% | 15.0\% | 20.6\% | 11.4\% | 24.0\% | 21.3\% | 20.4\% | 17.2\% |
| Other operational income | NA | 6.6\% | 20.3\% | 160.3\% | 94.5\% | 20.4\% | 15.2\% | 147.6\% | -12.2\% | NA | 38.3\% | 54.6\% | 9.4\% |
| Gross profit | 20.2\% | 14.7\% | 14.6\% | 6.6\% | 20.2\% | 16.9\% | 15.8\% | 19.5\% | 14.0\% | 14.4\% | 7.9\% | 15.0\% | 14.7\% |
| Gross profit margin | -201bp | -181bp | -46bp | -827bp | -96bp | -301bp | 42bp | -47bp | 139bp | -321bp | -549bp | -217bp | -109bp |
| Employee costs | 30.0\% | 17.8\% | 16.9\% | 20.7\% | 25.7\% | 15.4\% | 21.9\% | 16.7\% | 24.0\% | 21.2\% | 3.6\% | 19.4\% | 19.6\% |
| As a \% of sales | 24bp | -8bp | 6 bp | -29bp | 9bp | -69bp | 50bp | -24bp | 82bp | -16bp | -105bp | -7bp | 14bp |
| Advertising \& Publicity | 2.3\% | 16.1\% | 10.5\% | 9.0\% | 28.6\% | 12.6\% | 5.9\% | NA | NA | NA | 10.7\% | 12.0\% | 11.2\% |
| As a \% of sales | -236bp | -28bp | -47bp | -114bp | 28bp | -106bp | -138bp | NA | NA | NA | -83bp | -91bp | -30bp |
| Other expenditure | 28.4\% | 11.5\% | 13.5\% | 12.8\% | 25.2\% | 22.9\% | 20.9\% | 19.2\% | 15.0\% | 22.0\% | 12.5\% | 18.5\% | 16.7\% |
| As a \% of sales | 39bp | -89bp | -33bp | -200bp | 31 bp | 4bp | 84bp | -28bp | 67bp | -32bp | -104bp | -24bp | -8bp |
| EBIT | 23.5\% | 14.2\% | 18.8\% | -4.8\% | -10.8\% | 17.6\% | 15.0\% | 20.6\% | 8.4\% | -1.1\% | 6.3\% | 9.8\% | 11.0\% |
| EBIT margin | -17bp | -64bp | 38bp | -443bp | -199bp | -64bp | -1bp | 1 bp | -80bp | -275bp | -232bp | -121bp | -100bp |
| Interest expense | 17.0\% | 38.2\% | NA | -224.2\% | 58.4\% | 17.1\% | 25.2\% | 128.0\% | 197.6\% | 24.4\% | 42.4\% | 32.4\% | 27.6\% |
| Other income | 48.8\% | 112.1\% | -18.5\% | 93.1\% | -7.9\% | 43.6\% | -0.7\% | 33.8\% | -13.0\% | -13.3\% | -7.5\% | 24.6\% | -5.1\% |
| PBT (before exceptional) | 25.8\% | 15.7\% | 15.5\% | 11.6\% | -15.4\% | 23.6\% | 15.1\% | 20.9\% | 6.6\% | -3.0\% | -4.4\% | 10.2\% | 9.4\% |
| PBT margin | 3bp | -43bp | -3bp | -199bp | -238bp | 15bp | 2 bp | 5bp | -137bp | -316bp | -323bp | -112bp | -133bp |
| PBT (after exceptional) | 25.8\% | 15.7\% | 7.2\% | 11.6\% | -10.0\% | 23.6\% | 13.9\% | 21.0\% | 6.6\% | -3.0\% | -4.4\% | 9.8\% | 7.7\% |
| PBT margin | 3 bp | -43bp | -122bp | -199bp | -212bp | 15bp | -20bp | 6 bp | -137bp | -316bp | -323bp | -123bp | -165bp |
| Tax | 13.8\% | 6.7\% | -10.1\% | 29.6\% | 27.4\% | 26.9\% | -12.9\% | 17.3\% | 8.6\% | -2.9\% | -4.1\% | 9.1\% | 5.3\% |
| Effective tax rate | -175bp | -103bp | -312bp | 243bp | 793bp | 91bp | -534bp | -97bp | 59bp | 3bp | 11bp | -2bp | -106bp |
| Reported net profit | 11.6\% | 17.5\% | 10.6\% | 8.8\% | -14.6\% | 21.9\% | 21.2\% | 22.7\% | 5.3\% | -2.8\% | -4.5\% | 8.9\% | 8.0\% |
| Net profit margin | -97bp | -16bp | -59bp | -201bp | -196bp | -8bp | 86bp | 22 bp | -119bp | -200bp | -209bp | -91bp | -114bp |
| Reported Basic EPS | 11.5\% | 17.4\% | 11.2\% | -3.1\% | -14.6\% | 21.9\% | 21.2\% | 22.7\% | 5.1\% | -2.8\% | -6.8\% | 7.6\% | 4.1\% |
| Reported Diluted EPS | 11.5\% | 17.5\% | 11.2\% | -3.1\% | -14.6\% | 21.9\% | 21.2\% | 22.7\% | 5.5\% | -2.8\% | -5.5\% | 7.8\% | 4.3\% |

Source: Company. HSBC

Consumer \& Retail Personal Products Equity - India
Overweight (V)

| Target price (INR) | $\mathbf{1 2 3 . 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Share price (INR) | $\mathbf{1 0 1 . 5 0}$ |  |
| Potential total return (\%) | $\mathbf{2 3 . 2}$ |  |
| Performance | 1M | 3M |
| Absolute (\%) | 8.3 | 16.7 |
| Relative^ (\%) | -1.1 | -1.8 |
| Index^ | 49.2 |  |
| RIC | BOMBAY SE IDX |  |
| Bloomberg | DABU.BO |  |
| Market cap (USDm) | DABUR IN |  |
| Market cap (INRm) | 1,738 |  |
| Enterprise value (INRm) | 87,805 |  |
| Free float (\%) | 85,128 |  |
| Note: (M) = volatile (pla | 22 |  |

Note: $(\mathrm{V})=$ volatile (please see disclosure appendix)
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# Dabur India (DABUR) 

## Reiterate OW (V): A strong performance

- Results show Dabur is gaining strong sales traction across categories; growth becoming more broad based
- Margin expansion and new launches planned to drive growth for FY10e; we increase FY10e EPS estimates by $1.5 \%$
- Raise TP from INR94.5 to INR123; 20\% CAGR over two years to drive stock

Sales growth gains traction and becomes broad based. Dabur posted sales growth of $20.6 \%$ in 4Q and $18.8 \%$ for FY09, making this year's organic growth the highest in a decade. Moreover, 4Q results have shown that the growth has become more broad based, with categories such as Foods, Oral Care and Digestives improving their growth rates.

Margins turning the corner. Gross margin declined by 66bps this quarter, much lower than the 355 bps in 3 Q as the impact of lower commodity prices has started showing up. We believe that from next quarter onwards we are likely to see gross margin expansion. We have built in 112bps gross margin expansion for FY10e.

New variants/launches to power growth. FY10e is likely to see an increase in the number of new products/variants being launched. On average, Dabur gains 4-5\% growth due to new variants, but the figure is likely to be higher this year and should help offset any recessionary impact. A new fruit drink, light hair oil variants, an ayurvedic skincare brand and the national rollout of Chywan Junior are slated for FY10e.

Increase EPS estimates, raise TP to INR123, maintain OW(V). We increase our FY10e EPS estimates marginally by $1.5 \%$ on the back of FY09e numbers being better than expected. With the growth becoming broader based, new launches planned and increased overall sales traction, we believe the stock is likely to undergo a multiple rerating. We value Dabur at 23x PE (18x earlier), which is in line with its three-year average PE multiple. We increase our price target from INR94.5 to INR123 and retain our OW(V) rating. A good set of numbers combined with likely consensus upgrades and a $20.5 \%$ EPS CAGR for the next two years are likely to drive the stock steadily upwards.

| Dabur: Snapshot (INRm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year to Mar | Sales | y-0-y | EBIT | y-0-y | Net profit | y-0-y | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EPS } \\ & \text { (INR) } \end{aligned}$ | PE | ROE | ROA |
| FY08 | 23,611 | 15.6\% | 3,672 | 18.9\% | 3,339 | 18.0\% | 3.84 | $26.4 x$ | 60.9\% | 27.0\% |
| FY09e | 28,054 | 18.8\% | 4,212 | 14.7\% | 3,912 | 17.2\% | 4.50 | 22.5x | 54.5\% | 24.7\% |
| FY10e | 33,078 | 17.9\% | 5,215 | 23.8\% | 4,630 | 18.4\% | 5.33 | 19.0x | 49.1\% | 24.5\% |
| FY11e | 38,446 | 16.2\% | 6,199 | 18.9\% | 5,676 | 22.6\% | 6.53 | 15.5x | 46.4\% | 25.6\% |

Source: Company, HSBC

Financials \& valuation

| Financial statements |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year to | 03/2008a | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 0 9 e}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 1 0 e}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 1 1 e}$ |
| Profit \& loss summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 23,611 | 28,054 | 33,078 | 38,446 |
| EBITDA | 4,093 | 4,705 | 5,771 | 6,929 |
| Depreciation \& amortisation | -421 | -492 | -556 | -731 |
| Operating profit/EBIT | 3,672 | 4,212 | 5,215 | 6,199 |
| Net interest | -168 | -232 | -247 | -250 |
| PBT | 3,844 | 4,448 | 5,318 | 6,549 |
| Taxation | -507 | -540 | -693 | -879 |
| Net profit | 3,339 | 3,912 | 4,630 | 5,676 |
| HSBC net profit | 3,339 | 3,912 | 4,630 | 5,676 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash flow summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash flow from operations | 4,077 | 3,110 | 3,564 | 5,469 |
| Capex | $-1,124$ | $-1,288$ | $-4,500$ | -400 |
| Dividends | -758 | $-1,521$ | $-2,070$ | $-2,345$ |
| Change in net debt | -766 | 567 | 1,180 | $-3,133$ |
| FCF equity | 3,164 | 2,089 | -936 | 5,069 |
| Balance sheet summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| Tangible fixed assets | 4,653 | 5,592 | 9,550 | 9,220 |
| Current assets | 7,739 | 9,507 | 9,194 | 13,698 |
| Cash \& others | 766 | 1,483 | 326 | 3,482 |
| Total assets | 14,568 | 18,655 | 20,816 | 25,189 |
| Operating liabilities | 6,563 | 7,059 | 6,635 | 7,652 |
| Gross debt | 992 | 2,276 | 2,299 | 2,322 |
| Net debt | 226 | 793 | 1,973 | $-1,160$ |
| Shareholders funds | 6,176 | 8,175 | 10,699 | 13,792 |
| Invested capital | 5,063 | 6,557 | 11,783 | 11,784 |

Ratio, growth and per share analysis

| Year to | 03/2008a | 03/2009e | 03/2010e | 03/2011e |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Y-o-y \% change |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 15.6 | 18.8 | 17.9 | 16.2 |
| EBITDA | 17.0 | 14.9 | 22.7 | 20.1 |
| Operating profit | 18.9 | 14.7 | 23.8 | 18.9 |
| PBT | 20.3 | 15.7 | 19.6 | 23.1 |
| HSBC EPS | 18.1 | 17.2 | 18.4 | 22.6 |
| Ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue/IC (x) | 4.7 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| ROIC | 62.9 | 63.1 | 49.3 | 45.2 |
| ROE | 60.9 | 54.5 | 49.1 | 46.4 |
| ROA | 27.0 | 24.7 | 24.5 | 25.6 |
| EBITDA margin | 17.3 | 16.8 | 17.4 | 18.0 |
| Operating profit margin | 15.6 | 15.0 | 15.8 | 16.1 |
| EBITDA/net interest (x) | 24.4 | 20.3 | 23.4 | 27.8 |
| Net debt/equity | 3.6 | 9.6 | 18.4 | -8.4 |
| Net debt/EBITDA (x) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | -0.2 |
| Per share data (INR) |  |  |  |  |
| EPS reported (fully diluted) | 3.84 | 4.50 | 5.33 | 6.53 |
| HSBC EPS (fully diluted) | 3.84 | 4.50 | 5.33 | 6.53 |
| DPS | 1.49 | 1.75 | 2.07 | 2.54 |
| Book value | 7.16 | 9.47 | 12.40 | 15.98 |


| Valuation data |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year to | 03/2008a | 03/2009e | 03/2010e | 03/2011e |
| EV/sales | 3.6 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| EV/EBITDA | 21.0 | 18.1 | 15.2 | 12.2 |
| EV/IC | 17.0 | 13.0 | 7.4 | 7.2 |
| PE $^{\star}$ | 26.4 | 22.5 | 19.0 | 15.5 |
| P/Book value | 14.2 | 10.7 | 8.2 | 6.4 |
| FCF yield (\%) | 3.7 | 2.5 | -1.1 | 5.9 |
| Dividend yield (\%) | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| Note * Been |  |  |  |  |

Note: * = Based on HSBC EPS (fully diluted)


Source: Company, HSBC

## Price relative



Source: HSBC

Note: Price at close of 28 Apr 2009

## Results analysis

| Dabur: 4Q FY09 and FY09 results snapshot (INRm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4Q FY09 | 4Q FY08 | y-o-y (\%) | FY09 | FY08 | y-0-y (\%) |
| Net sales | 7,317 | 6,065 | 20.6\% | 28,054 | 23,611 | 18.8\% |
| Other operating income | 47 | 98 | -52.4\% | 256 | 240 | 6.6\% |
| Gross profit | 3,894 | 3,268 | 19.2\% | 14,293 | 12,457 | 14.7\% |
| Gross profit margin | 53.2\% | 53.9\% | -66 bps | 50.9\% | 52.8\% | -181 bps |
| Advertising \& Publicity | 963 | 744 | 29.4\% | 3,433 | 2,955 | 16.1\% |
| Employees Cost | 592 | 535 | 10.6\% | 2,347 | 1,993 | 17.8\% |
| Other Expenditure | 1,044 | 1,011 | 3.3\% | 3,808 | 3,415 | 11.5\% |
| EBITDA | 1,342 | 1,076 | 24.7\% | 4,960 | 4,333 | 14.5\% |
| EBITDA margin | 18.3\% | 17.7\% | 60 bps | 17.7\% | 18.4\% | -67 bps |
| Depreciation and amortization | 144 | 117 | 22.9\% | 492 | 421 | 17.0\% |
| EBIT | 1,198 | 959 | 24.9\% | 4,468 | 3,912 | 14.2\% |
| EBIT margin | 16.4\% | 15.8\% | 56 bps | 15.9\% | 16.6\% | -64 bps |
| Interest expense | 83 | 35 | 135.0\% | 232 | 168 | 38.2\% |
| Other income | 43 | 40 | 7.8\% | 213 | 100 | 112.1\% |
| PBT | 1,158 | 964 | 20.2\% | 4,448 | 3,844 | 15.7\% |
| PBT margin | 15.8\% | 15.9\% | -6 bps | 15.9\% | 16.3\% | -43 bps |
| Tax | 106 | 131 | -19.5\% | 540 | 507 | 6.7\% |
| Net profit | 1,043 | 796 | 30.9\% | 3,912 | 3,329 | 17.5\% |
| Net profit margin | 14.3\% | 13.1\% | 112 bps | 13.9\% | 14.1\% | -16 bps |
| Basic EPS | 1.22 | 0.96 | 27.1\% | 4.52 | 3.85 | 17.4\% |
| Diluted EPS | 1.21 | 0.95 | 27.4\% | 4.50 | 3.83 | 17.5\% |

Source: Company, HSBC

- 4Q sales growth was robust at $20.6 \%$. Sales growth was broad based with Foods and Oral Care, categories which were earlier subdued, doing better.
- Gross profit margins contracted 66bps for the quarter but show an improving trend (181bps decline for FY09e and 355bps decline for 3Q FY09).
- EBITDA margins expanded by 60 bps for 4 Q , again showing an improving trend as compared to 67bps decline for FY09e.
- Retail losses for the quarter are down to INR21m, compared to INR179m for FY09e.


## New launches likely in FY10e

A range of variants/new products is scheduled to be introduced in FY10e. Activity on this front is likely to be higher than normal and should offset the impact of a slowdown in consumer demand in the established business.

- Chywan Junior (malted beverage) to be launched nationally.
- New variants in shampoos under the Vatika brand.
- Light hair oils under the Vatika and Dabur Amla brands.
- A new brand in ayurvedic skin care.
- A new brand in fruit drinks.

| Segment wise sales growth |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1Q FY09 | 2Q FY09 | 3Q FY09 | 4Q FY09 | FY09 |
| CCD | $9.9 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ |
| - Hair oils | $12.2 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ |
| - Shampoos | $26.3 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $33.2 \%$ | $31.6 \%$ |
| - Oral care | $5.5 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |  |
| - Health supplements | $19.1 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |
| - Digestive | $0.0 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |
| - Baby and Skin care (excl Vatika |  |  |  |  |  |
| soap) | $12.5 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| -Home care | $0.8 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |
| - Foods | $15.2 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| CHD | $24.5 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ |
| IBD | $39.5 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ | $48.2 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $39.9 \%$ |
| Total | $15.5 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ |

Source: Company, HSBC

## Femcare acquisition impact

The full impact of Femcare profits will not be visible in FY10e. This is because a large part of the EBIT contributed by Femcare will be negated by reduced interest income (Dabur will have to sell some of its investments to finance the acquisition). Hence, while EBITDA growth for FY10e is estimated at $22.7 \%$, EPS is expected to grow at $18.4 \%$. On the other hand, in FY11e, the investments will be recouped through internal cash flows and hence interest income will grow at a fast rate. For FY11e, we build in $20.1 \%$ EBITDA growth but higher EPS growth (22.6\%) due to increased interest income.

## The road ahead

We build in $18 \%$ sales growth for FY10e - 15\% organic and 3\% from Femcare. We believe that this will be driven by an organic volume growth of $12-13 \%$ and a price growth of $2-3 \%$. With commodity costs coming down, we build in a 112bps gross margin expansion. Moreover, losses on New U are likely to come down to INR100m from INR179m in FY09e. We increase our EPS for FY10e by 1.5\% from INR5.25 to INR5.33 on the back of FY09e performance (INR4.5 vs earlier estimate of INR4.4). We keep our EPS estimate for FY11e unchanged.

## Valuation

We believe that Dabur is likely to undergo a PE re-rating and will return to trading at mean multiples from the lows seen recently. We therefore raise our PE multiple target from 18x to $23 x$ on FY10e EPS. The reasons are (1) $23 x$ is the average multiple over last three years; (2) Sales growth has now become broader based - this was one of the main concerns we mentioned in our earlier reports; (3) We expect robust growth of $20.5 \%$ EPS CAGR over next two years. We arrive at a 12-month target price of INR123 for Dabur. Our target price implies a 19x PE multiple on our FY11e EPS.

## Rating

Under our research model, for stocks with a volatility indicator, the Neutral rating band is 10 percentage points above and below the hurdle rate for Indian stocks of $11 \%$. This translates into a Neutral band of $1 \%$ to $21 \%$ around the current share price. Our target price of INR123 represents a total potential return of $23.2 \%$ (including dividend yield of $2 \%$ ), which is above the Neutral band. We therefore reiterate our Overweight (V) rating.



## Risks

Downside risks are (1) A macro-economic slowdown may result in lower consumer spending and may affect volume growth for FMCG companies; (2) Organised retail companies may ask for products at cheaper prices which may result in margin contraction; (3) Retail losses could be higher than we estimate; (4) Competitive pressures are increasing in the FMCG industry and this could affect Dabur on revenue and/or costs by requiring a higher ad spend than previously estimated; (5) Synergies expected with FCPL do not flow through.

## Consumer \& Retail Household Products

Equity - India

| Overweight |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target price (INR) |  |  | 540.00 |
| Share price (INR) |  |  | 474.25 |
| Potential total re | turn (\%) |  | 17.9 |
| Performance | 1M | 3M | 12M |
| Absolute (\%) | -0.6 | 1.9 | 10.6 |
| Relative^ (\%) | -20.7 | -36.6 | 26.2 |
| Index^ | BOMBAY SE IDX |  |  |
| RIC | COLG.BO CLGT IN |  |  |
| Bloomberg |  |  |  |
| Market cap (USDm) | $\begin{array}{r} 1,355 \\ 64,495 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Market cap (INRm) |  |  |  |
| Enterprise value (INR | 60,31248 |  |  |
| Free float (\%) |  |  |  |
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# Colgate-Palmolive India (CLGT) 

Maintain OW; volume story continues

- Q4 EPS grew 39\% on the back of healthy volumes, abating material costs, and reduced ad spends
- The growth story should continue in FY10; we are raising our FY10 and FY11 EPS estimates by 4\%
- Shifting from blended DCF-PE to PE basis; target price rises to INR540 from INR470; reiterate Overweight rating

A strong performance: Colgate posted a strong performance for Q4, with sales growth of $15 \%$, backed by $15 \%$ volume growth in the toothpaste category, gross margin expansion of 115 bps , and PAT growth of $39 \%$. The company expanded its toothpaste market share by 200bps on a y-o-y basis. Penetration figures for the industry show marked up-trading from toothpowder to toothpaste, but with toothpaste penetration still at just $57 \%$, we see ample room for growth.

The road ahead and changes in our estimates: We believe that Colgate will continue on the growth path in FY10e. We build in a marginal slowdown in sales growth from $15 \%$ in FY09e to $13.3 \%$ in FY10e and a 50bps increase in ad spend as a percentage of sales for the same period to account for increased activity by competitors. However, we are raising our FY10e and FY11e EPS by $4 \%$ to account for higher savings in input costs and for our advertising cost estimates being a bit more conservative than seems warranted.

Valuation: We are changing from a blended DCF-PE basis to a PE basis. We had used a blended basis, as multiples were affected by weakness in market sentiment, and the DCF valuation was substantially higher than the PE valuation, which is not the case now. Our preferred valuation methodology for all consumer staples stocks is PE-based. We value Colgate at 22x FY10e PE, slightly above the three-year average forward PE of 20.4x, due to improved performance but at a slight discount to Dabur's $23 x$ to account for a lower EPS growth rate and the risk of being a single-product company. We are thus raising our 12-month target price to INR540 from INR470. Our new target suggests a potential total return, including dividend yield, of $17.9 \%$. Catalysts for the stock, in our view, include continued volume growth and savings on input cost and overheads.

| Colgate-Palmolive: Snapshot (INRm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year to Mar | Revenue | y-o-y <br> (\%) | EBIT | y-0-y <br> (\%) | Net <br> profit | y-0-y <br> (\%) | EPS <br> (INR) | PE <br> (x) | ROE <br> $(\%)$ | ROA <br> $(\%)$ |
| FY08 | 14,739 | 13.8 | 2,861 | 59.3 | 2,358 | 58.9 | 17.34 | 27.4 | 106.1 | 33.1 |
| FY09e | 16,952 | 15.0 | 3,303 | 15.5 | 2,858 | 21.2 | 21.01 | 22.6 | 162.3 | 33.4 |
| FY10e | 19,200 | 13.3 | 3,841 | 16.3 | 3,337 | 16.8 | 24.54 | 19.3 | 175.5 | 31.5 |
| FY11e | 21,861 | 13.9 | 4,417 | 15.0 | 3,631 | 8.8 | 26.70 | 17.8 | 177.7 | 30.0 |

Source: Company reports, HSBC

Financials \& valuation

| Financial statements |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year to | 03/2008a | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 0 9}$ | 03/2010e | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 1 1 e}$ |
| Profit \& loss summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 14,739 | 16,952 | 19,200 | 21,861 |
| EBITDA | 3,070 | 3,585 | 4,150 | 4,762 |
| Depreciation \& amortisation | -209 | -283 | -309 | -345 |
| Operating profit/EBIT | 2,861 | 3,303 | 3,841 | 4,417 |
| Net interest | 199 | 182 | 263 | 531 |
| PBT | 3,059 | 3,485 | 4,105 | 4,948 |
| Taxation | -693 | -627 | -739 | $-1,287$ |
| Net profit | 2,358 | 2,833 | 3,337 | 3,631 |
| HSBC net profit | 2,365 | 2,898 | 3,354 | 3,645 |
| Cash flow summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash flow from operations | 3,112 | 3,916 | 4,153 | 4,983 |
| Capex | -692 | -634 | -633 | -657 |
| Cash flow from investment | -115 | $-1,677$ | -972 | -962 |
| Dividends | $-1,479$ | $-1,121$ | $-2,631$ | $-3,315$ |
| Change in net debt | -329 | $-1,093$ | -521 | -675 |
| FCF equity | 2,385 | 2,758 | 3,385 | 3,778 |
| Balance sheet summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| Tangible fixed assets | 2,403 | 2,763 | 3,087 | 3,399 |
| Current assets | 3,954 | 5,285 | 6,052 | 7,009 |
| Cash \& others | 1,481 | 2,575 | 3,096 | 3,771 |
| Total assets | 7,292 | 10,026 | 11,457 | 13,031 |
| Operating liabilities | 3,521 | 3,915 | 4,396 | 4,976 |
| Gross debt | 47 | 47 | 47 | 47 |
| Net debt | $-1,434$ | $-2,528$ | $-3,049$ | $-3,724$ |
| Shareholders funds | 1,673 | 1,899 | 1,922 | 2,180 |
| Invested capital | 1,612 | 1,815 | 1,904 | 1,918 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Ratio, growth and per share analysis

| Year to | 03/2008a | 03/2009e | 03/2010e | 03/2011e |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Y-o-y \% change |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 13.8 | 15.0 | 13.3 | 13.9 |
| EBITDA | 54.2 | 16.8 | 15.8 | 14.7 |
| Operating profit | 59.3 | 15.5 | 16.3 | 15.0 |
| PBT | 56.5 | 13.9 | 17.8 | 20.6 |
| HSBC EPS | 22.9 | 22.5 | 15.7 | 8.7 |
| Ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue/IC (x) | 9.4 | 9.9 | 10.3 | 11.4 |
| ROIC | 142.3 | 160.4 | 170.3 | 171.8 |
| ROE | 106.1 | 162.3 | 175.5 | 177.7 |
| ROA | 33.1 | 33.1 | 31.5 | 30.0 |
| EBITDA margin | 20.8 | 21.2 | 21.6 | 21.8 |
| Operating profit margin | 19.4 | 19.5 | 20.0 | 20.2 |
| EBITDA/net interest (x) |  |  |  |  |
| Net debt/equity | -83.7 | -130.3 | -155.4 | -167.7 |
| Net debt/EBITDA (x) | -0.5 | -0.7 | -0.7 | -0.8 |
| Per share data (INR) |  |  |  |  |
| EPS reported (fully diluted) | 17.34 | 20.84 | 24.54 | 26.70 |
| HSBC EPS (fully diluted) | 17.39 | 21.31 | 24.66 | 26.81 |
| DPS | 13.00 | 15.00 | 18.90 | 19.22 |
| Book value | 12.30 | 13.97 | 14.13 | 16.03 |



## Results snapshot

| Colgate-Palmolive: Results snapshot |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (INRm) | Stand-alone |  |  | Consolidated |  |  |
|  | Q4 FY09 | Q4 FY08 | Change | FYO9 | FY08 | Change |
| Net sales | 4555 | 3913 | 16.4\% | 16952 | 14739 | 15.0\% |
| Operating income | 167 | 163 | 2.6\% | 646 | 561 | 15.2\% |
| Total revenue | 4722 | 4076 | 15.8\% | 17598 | 15300 | 15.0\% |
| Cost of goods sold | 2005 | 1768 | 13.4\% | 6809 | 5982 | 13.8\% |
| Gross profit | 2549 | 2145 | 18.8\% | 10143 | 8756 | 15.8\% |
| Gross margin | 56.0\% | 54.8\% | 115 bps | 59.8\% | 59.4\% | 42 bps |
| Employee cost | 389 | 307 | 26.5\% | 1509 | 1238 | 21.9\% |
| Voluntary retirement scheme | 49 | 0 | NA | 49 | 10 | 386.0\% |
| Advertisement \& sales promotion | 702 | 735 | -4.4\% | 2717 | 2565 | 5.9\% |
| Other expenditure | 649 | 584 | 11.2\% | 2929 | 2422 | 20.9\% |
| EBITDA | 928 | 683 | 35.9\% | 3585 | 3082 | 16.3\% |
| Depreciation | 64 | 55 | 16.5\% | 283 | 209 | 35.0\% |
| EBIT | 864 | 628 | 37.6\% | 3303 | 2873 | 15.0\% |
| EBIT margin | 18.3\% | 15.4\% | 290 bps | 18.8\% | 18.8\% | 1 bps |
| Clean EBIT | 913 | 628 | 45.4\% | 3352 | 2883 | 16.3\% |
| Clean EBIT margin | 19.3\% | 15.4\% | 393 bps | 19.0\% | 18.8\% | 20 bps |
| Other income | 38 | 37 | 2.7\% | 198 | 199 | -0.7\% |
| Interest | 1 | 4 | -69.4\% | 15 | 12 | 25.2\% |
| PBT | 901 | 662 | 36.3\% | 3485 | 3059 | 13.9\% |
| Tax | 131 | 105 | 24.0\% | 603 | 693 | -12.9\% |
| PAT | 771 | 556 | 38.6\% | 2882 | 2367 | 21.8\% |
| Minority interest | 0 | 0 | NA | 25 | 9 | 166.3\% |
| Net profit | 771 | 556 | 38.6\% | 2858 | 2358 | 21.2\% |
| EPS | 5.67 | 4.09 | 38.6\% | 21.01 | 17.34 | 21.2\% |

Source: Company reports, HSBC

Colgate's net sales increased $16.4 \%$ for the latest quarter and $15.0 \%$ for the year, growing faster than the market. Market shares improved by 200bps for the quarter and 10bps for the year on y-o-y basis in toothpaste, and 30bps and 210bps, respectively, in toothpowder; and changed -20bps and 280bps, respectively, in toothbrushes. While toothpowder quarterly sales declined $2.6 \%$ quarter due to conversion of users to toothpaste, healthy $15 \%$ volume growth in the toothpowder segment was clocked. There was a marked shift of c 300 bps from toothpowder to toothpaste as explained by category penetration numbers.

Gross margin expanded 115bps for the quarter and 42bps for the year, which we regard as commendable in an inflationary year, although part of this can be explained by Q4 FY08's being burdened by increases in raw-material costs granted to suppliers with a retrospective effect. Advertisings cost as a percentage of sales declined by 335 bps for the quarter and 138 bps for the year, resulting in 'clean' (ie excluding VRS expenses) EBIT growth of $45.4 \%$ and $16.3 \%$, respectively.

The company has considerably stepped up its promotional activities: The oral health month, held annually in October, has had a $24 \%$ increase in participating dentists this year over last, and the number of towns covered totaled 200 versus 50 in 2005. A total of 0.76 m dental checkups were carried out versus 0.42 m last year. Colgate as a brand recommended by dentists moved up to $80 \%$ in 2007 from $75 \%$ in 2005, and $87 \%$ of dentists themselves use Colgate, up from $82 \%$ two years back.

## The road ahead

We believe that FY10e sales growth may slow marginally to $13.3 \%$ from $15.0 \%$ in FY09e due to increased competitive activity. With HUL recording market share losses over the past few quarters, we believe there will be an increased effort on its part. HUL recently reduced its Pepsodent INR13 pack to

INR10 and its INR6 pack to INR5. However, we believe that Colgate will be able to maintain market shares at last year's levels due to its focus, innovation, and marketing initiatives, but that it will not have the advantage of sales being boosted by market share gains in FY10e, as was the case in FY09. As commodity cost has softened, we are building in a 30bps increase in gross margins for FY10e, but an increase in ad spend as a percentage of sales of 50 bps , which we believe will be necessary to combat the increase in competition that we expect. However, we believe that employee cost will expand only $15 \%$, compared with $22 \%$ this year owing to the voluntary retirement scheme.

## Changes to our estimates

Colgate-Palmolive: Changes to our estimates

| (INRm) | FY10e |  |  | FY11e |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Old | New | Variance | Old | New | Variance |
| Sales | 19,200 | 19,200 | 0.0\% | 21,861 | 21,861 | 0.0\% |
| EBIT | 3,620 | 3,841 | 6.1\% | 4,194 | 4,417 | 5.3\% |
| PAT | 3,206 | 3,337 | 4.1\% | 3,493 | 3,631 | 3.9\% |
| EPS (INR) | 23.57 | 24.54 | 4.1\% | 25.68 | 26.70 | 4.0\% |

Source: HSBC

Though we are keeping our sales estimates unchanged (see the table immediately above), we believe that our earlier estimates of material costs and advertisement expenses were on the higher side, as has been the case for FY 09 , too, and therefore we are reducing these, and our EPS estimates increase $4 \%$.

## Valuation

We previously valued Colgate on an average of DCF and PE methodologies, as markets were suppressed at that time, and the DCF value was coming out substantially stronger than the PE valuation. However, with the markets having recovered, the PE multiples are no longer depressed. We therefore are switching to a PE valuation basis, which is our preferred valuation methodology for all consumer staples stocks.

We are increasing our target price to INR540 from INR470. We value Colgate on a PE multiple of 22 x for FY10e (our previous target price of INR470 implied a multiple of 20x). Over the past three years, the stock has fluctuated in a band of 31.2 x and 16.1 x , averaging 20.4 x on a 12 -month forward basis. We believe that the stock should trade slightly above its average PE due to the robust volume growth that it has clocked recently and that we expect in the future.

Our multiple of 22x is slightly lower than Dabur's 23x. While Colgate has higher return ratios and better free cash flows, compared to Dabur, it has a lower EPS growth rate and the disadvantage of being a single-product company. Applying 22x to our FY10e EPS of INR24.54, we arrive at our new target price of INR540.

Under our research model, for stocks without a volatility indicator, the Neutral band is five percentage points above and below the hurdle rate for Indian stocks of $11 \%$. This translates into a Neutral band of $6 \%$ to $16 \%$ around the current share price. Our new 12-month target price of INR540 for ColgatePalmolive India shares represents $13.9 \%$ absolute upside potential, and adding the anticipated $4.0 \%$ dividend yield, this suggests a potential total return of $17.9 \%$, which is above the Neutral band. Thus, we reiterate our Overweight rating on the shares.

## Risks

We see the following downside risks:

- Higher effective tax rates will hit the company after FY10e as the manufacturing facility at Baddi moves out of $100 \%$ tax incentives. We assume an increase in tax to $26 \%$ from $18 \%$, but a higher increase could be a risk.
- Market share losses may happen if competition intensifies more than our expectations. Colgate has recovered from the shocks of low-price competition by Babool, Anchor, Ajanta, and Amar, but another price war could be a risk.
- Volumes are clocking double-digit growth, but there is a risk that volume growth might moderate due to the macroeconomic slowdown.
- Cost increases could reduce our gross margin estimates
- New entrants such as P\&G or ITC could erode Colgate's market share.
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## Hindustan Unilever Ltd

## Maintain Neutral; going through a rough patch

- Volume de-growth and lacklustre growth in personal products in MQ results is cause for concern, we believe


## - Company has taken corrective action and we expect recovery in H2 FY10e; cutting our EPS estimates by c4\%+

- Lowering target price to INR249 from INR255 and maintaining Neutral rating; value should emerge at cINR215

Quality of growth an issue: HUL reported $12 \%$ FMCG sales growth and $23 \%$ EBIT growth for the March 09 quarter, but this was accompanied by a $4.2 \%$ volume decline, low $1.9 \%$ sales growth in the personal products segment, and market share losses in most segments. The sudden drop in volumes in the quarter followed general trade de-stocking in anticipation of price declines, reductions in modern trade outlets, and competitive pressures.

We expect a recovery in H2 FY10e: The company has taken actions to address the volume decline viz price reductions, volume increases, consolidation of distributors, reduction in distributor inventory, and hand-held recorders given to sales staff, eg, which we believe will start showing results in the next six months.

Cutting EPS estimates: We are reducing our EPS estimate for FY10e by 4.3\%; we now expect y-o-y EPS growth of $11.5 \%$, based on sales growth of $9.7 \%$, gross margin expansion of 50 bps , an overhead increase of $9 \% \mathrm{y}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{y}$, and a 370 bps increase in the effective tax rate. Similarly, we are cutting our FY11e EPS by $4.6 \%$.

Lowering target price to INR249 from INR255, maintaining Neutral rating: We value HUL at INR249 based on a 23x FY10e PE multiple, same as that for Dabur. While HUL traditionally trades at a $15-20 \%$ premium to smaller consumer companies such as Dabur, we believe that the shrinkage of the premium to zero is justified, given the subpar performance. Our 23x PE multiple is a $15-20 \%$ discount to the average of the last three years. Our target price implies a potential total return of $12.9 \%$ including dividend yield.

| Hindustan Unilever Ltd: Snapshot (INRm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year to Dec | Revenue | y-0-y | EBIT | y-0-y | PAT | y-0-y | EPS (INR) | PE | ROE | ROA |
| CY07 | 138,711 | 11.8\% | 17,550 | 18.9\% | 19,149 | 1.3\% | 8.69 | 26.1x | 92.7\% | 26.7\% |
| FY09e | 205,011 | 47.8\% | 25,029 | 42.6\% | 25,045 | 30.8\% | 11.47 | 19.8x | 126.9\% | 32.5\% |
| FY10e | 183,831 | -10.3\% | 24,844 | -0.7\% | 23,666 | -5.5\% | 10.84 | 21.0x | 95.6\% | 27.0\% |
| FY11e | 203,715 | 10.8\% | 29,281 | 17.9\% | 26,922 | 13.8\% | 12.33 | 18.4x | 97.8\% | 28.0\% |

[^2]Financials \& valuation

| Financial statements |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year to | $\mathbf{1 2 / 2 0 0 7 a}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 0 9 e}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 1 0 e}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 1 1 e}$ |
| Profit \& loss summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 138,711 | 205,011 | 183,831 | 203,715 |
| EBITDA | 18,969 | 27,029 | 26,697 | 31,339 |
| Depreciation \& amortisation | $-1,419$ | $-2,000$ | $-1,853$ | $-2,057$ |
| Operating profit/EBIT | 17,550 | 25,029 | 24,844 | 29,281 |
| Net interest | 1,975 | 1,632 | 1,625 | 1,814 |
| PBT | 23,272 | 30,279 | 29,644 | 34,588 |
| Taxation | $-4,084$ | $-5,179$ | $-5,929$ | $-7,609$ |
| Net profit | 19,149 | 25,045 | 23,666 | 26,922 |
| Cash flow summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash flow from operations | 18,109 | 24,232 | 26,557 | 30,234 |
| Capex | $-3,346$ | $-5,661$ | $-3,872$ | $-4,134$ |
| Cash flow from investment | 8,544 | $-6,375$ | $-5,372$ | $-5,784$ |
| Dividends | $-22,924$ | $-16,044$ | $-18,542$ | $-20,922$ |
| Change in net debt | 2,081 | $-1,374$ | $-2,643$ | $-3,527$ |
| FCF equity | 14,992 | 13,428 | 19,173 | 22,253 |
| Balance sheet summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| Tangible fixed assets | 17,477 | 21,577 | 23,596 | 25,672 |
| Current assets | 34,196 | 44,631 | 46,891 | 53,997 |
| Cash \& others | 2,624 | 3,998 | 6,641 | 10,168 |
| Total assets | 70,060 | 85,515 | 91,509 | 102,568 |
| Operating liabilities | 45,418 | 50,516 | 54,807 | 59,702 |
| Gross debt | 1,021 | 1,021 | 1,021 | 1,021 |
| Net debt | $-1,603$ | $-2,976$ | $-5,620$ | $-9,147$ |
| Shareholders funds | 15,082 | 24,398 | 25,097 | 29,958 |
| Invested capital | 5,774 | 13,945 | 11,402 | 12,280 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Ratio, growth and per share analysis

| Year to | 12/2007a | 03/2009e | 03/2010e | 03/2011e |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Y-o-y \% change |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 11.8 | 47.8 | -10.3 | 10.8 |
| EBITDA | 17.7 | 42.5 | -1.2 | 17.4 |
| Operating profit | 18.9 | 42.6 | -0.7 | 17.9 |
| PBT | 4.5 | 30.1 | -2.1 | 16.7 |
| HSBC EPS | 1.4 | 32.0 | -5.5 | 13.8 |
| Ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue/IC (x) | 24.2 | 20.8 | 14.5 | 17.2 |
| ROIC | 276.8 | 241.3 | 179.0 | 218.7 |
| ROE | 92.7 | 126.9 | 95.6 | 97.8 |
| ROA | 26.7 | 32.5 | 27.0 | 28.0 |
| EBITDA margin | 13.7 | 13.2 | 14.5 | 15.4 |
| Operating profit margin | 12.7 | 12.2 | 13.5 | 14.4 |
| Net debt/equity | -10.6 | -12.2 | -22.3 | -30.3 |
| Net debt/EBITDA (x) | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.3 |
| Per share data (INR) |  |  |  |  |
| EPS reported (fully diluted) | 8.69 | 11.47 | 10.84 | 12.33 |
| HSBC EPS (fully diluted) | 8.69 | 11.47 | 10.84 | 12.33 |
| DPS | 9.00 | 7.50 | 7.61 | 8.65 |
| Book value | 6.84 | 11.20 | 11.52 | 13.75 |


| Valuation data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year to | 12/2007a | 03/2009e | 03/2010e | 03/2011e |
| EV/sales | 3.5 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.3 |
| EV/EBITDA | 25.3 | 17.7 | 17.7 | 14.9 |
| EV/IC | 83.0 | 34.2 | 41.5 | 38.1 |
| PE* | 26.1 | 19.8 | 21.0 | 18.4 |
| $\mathrm{P} /$ Book value | 33.2 | 20.3 | 19.7 | 16.5 |
| FCF yield (\%) | 3.1 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| Dividend yield (\%) | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.8 |
| Note: ${ }^{*}=$ Based on HSBC EPS (fully diluted) |  |  |  |  |
| Price relative <br> Source: HSBC |  | 20 <br> AY SE SENSII | DEX | $\begin{aligned} & 450 \\ & 400 \\ & 350 \\ & 300 \\ & 250 \\ & 200 \\ & 150 \\ & 100 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |

## March 2009 quarter: performance snapshot

| Hindustan Unilever Ltd: March 2009 quarter and full-year stand-alone results snapshot |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (INRm) | Jan - Mar 2009 | Jan - Mar 2008 | y-0-y growth | Apr - Mar 2009 | Apr - Mar 2008 | y-0-y growth |
| Net sales | 39,883 | 37,626 | 6.0\% | 164,768 | 142960 | 15.3\% |
| Total income | 40,354 | 38,399 | 5.1\% | 167,617 | 145484 | 15.2\% |
| COGS | 20,856 | 20,452 | 2.0\% | 87,928 | 75884 | 15.9\% |
| Gross profit | 19,027 | 17,174 | 10.8\% | 76,840 | 67,076 | 14.6\% |
| Gross profit margin | 47.7\% | 45.6\% | 206 bps | 46.6\% | 46.9\% | -28 bps |
| Employee costs | 2343.5 | 2135.2 | 9.8\% | 9,386 | 8027 | 16.9\% |
| Advertisement and sales promotion | 4505.5 | 4391.4 | 2.6\% | 16,918 | 15057 | 12.4\% |
| Other expenditure | 6685.1 | 6569.4 | 1.8\% | 27,835 | 24677 | 12.8\% |
| EBITDA | 5,963 | 4,851 | 22.9\% | 25,551 | 21,840 | 17.0\% |
| EBITDA margin | 15.0\% | 12.9\% | 206 bps | 15.5\% | 15.3\% | 23 bps |
| Depreciation | 412.5 | 363 | 13.6\% | 1,590 | 1418 | 12.2\% |
| EBIT | 5,551 | 4,488 | 23.7\% | 23,961 | 20,422 | 17.3\% |
| EBIT margin | 13.9\% | 11.9\% | 199 bps | 14.5\% | 14.3\% | 26 bps |
| PBT | 4,660 | 4,714 | -1.1\% | 25,374 | 22387 | 13.3\% |
| PBT margin | 11.7\% | 12.5\% | -84 bps | 15.4\% | 15.7\% | -26 bps |
| Tax expense | 710.4 | 904.8 | -21.5\% | 4,131 | 4251 | -2.8\% |
| Effective tax rate | 15.2\% | 19.2\% | -395 bps | 16.3\% | 19.0\% | -271 bps |
| Net profit | 3,950 | 3,810 | 3.7\% | 21,155 | 19110 | 10.7\% |
| Net profit margin | 9.9\% | 10.1\% | -22 bps | 12.8\% | 13.4\% | -53 bps |
| *Diluted EPS (bei) | 1.81 | 1.75 | 3.4\% | 9.73 | 8.33 | 16.8\% |
| **Diluted EPS (aei) | 1.81 | 1.75 | 3.4\% | 9.69 | 8.78 | 10.4\% |

*Before extraordinary items. ** After extraordinary items. Apr-Mar 2008 numbers have been derived from quarterlies and might not match the adjusted company-guided memorandum numbers for the full year.
Source: Company reports, HSBC

- While sales growth for the quarter was just $6 \%$, FMCG sales growth at $11.8 \%$ was in line with market expectations and the lower overall number was due to a decline in non core exports. Volume decline of $4.2 \%$ for the quarter was a result of price increases taken over last 12-15 months, and the recent price declines have not yet fully reached retail shelves.
- Gross and EBIT margin grew by c200bps as a result of cuts in product prices lagging behind reductions in commodity costs. While A\&P spends grew just $2.6 \% \mathrm{y}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{y}$, EBIT growth would still have been robust at $15 \%$ (instead of the reported $23 \%$ ) if A\&P spends had grown in line with FMCG sales.

| Hindustan Unilever Ltd: March 2009 quarter segment results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (INRm) | Sales |  |  | Segment PBT |  |  | Segment margins |  |
|  | Mar-09 | Mar-08 | growth | Mar-09 | Mar-08 | growth | Mar-09 | Mar-08 |
| Soaps and detergents | 20121.9 | 17382 | 15.8\% | 3335.3 | 2325.1 | 43.4\% | 16.6\% | 13.4\% |
| Personal products | 10386 | 10188.8 | 1.9\% | 2389.4 | 2458.1 | -2.8\% | 23.0\% | 24.1\% |
| Beverages | 4892.9 | 4309.7 | 13.5\% | 655.1 | 535 | 22.4\% | 13.4\% | 12.4\% |
| Processed foods | 1633.9 | 1519.8 | 7.5\% | -44.7 | 34.3 | -230.3\% | -2.7\% | 2.3\% |
| Ice creams | 452.8 | 369.8 | 22.4\% | -18.6 | -25 | -25.6\% | -4.1\% | -6.8\% |
| Exports | 2204.7 | 3989.3 | -44.7\% | 143.6 | 177.9 | -19.3\% | 6.5\% | 4.5\% |
| Others | 675 | 441.3 | 53.0\% | -242.2 | -305.3 | -20.7\% | -35.9\% | -69.2\% |
| Total | 40,367 | 38,201 | 5.7\% | 6,218 | 5,200 | 19.6\% |  |  |

Source: Company reports, HSBC

- On a segment basis, growth in personal products disappointed, at $1.9 \%$, driven by loss in market shares and outlet consolidation in modern trade.
- For the year, performance was better, with the company reporting the following on memorandum basis for the 12 months ended March 09 vs. 12 months ended March 08 . Sales grew $15.5 \%$, driven by $3.1 \%$ growth in volumes. EBIT margin expanded by 40 bps , and EBIT grew $18.8 \% \mathrm{y}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{y}$. PAT after exceptional items grew $10.6 \%$ y-o-y.


## The road ahead

Following the disappointing March quarter, we believe that HUL is aware of the problems it faces and is taking corrective action such as (1) cutting product prices in the mass-market segment, where it has had the highest volume losses, (2) hastening the 'speed to market', ie time it takes for company actions to reach the consumer, (3) improving the front end by enabling the salesforce with hand-held recorders, which give outlet level data and can improve insights, (4) distributor consolidation, appointing larger and fewer distributors instead of many smaller ones, enabling ease of management and implementation of technology-driven solutions, and (5) working on near-zero levels of inventory at distributor levels in urban areas, preventing de-stocking.

We believe that in FY10e, HUL will be able to increase sales $9.7 \%$, driven 4pptsthrough volume and 5.5 ppts through price. While the first half of the fiscal may be tilted towards price growth, the second half should be tilted towards volume growth. We believe that a 50bps increase in gross margins is possible due to declining input costs. Overhead growth should be limited to $9 \%$ y-o-y ( $7 \%$ increase in employee costs, $15 \%$ in A\&P spends, and $6 \%$ in other expenses) due to cost-efficiency plans. EBIT thus would grow $16.2 \%$ y-o-y ( 70 bps margin expansion) but PAT growth is likely to be lower due to a 370bps increase in the effective tax rate.

| Hindustan Unilever Ltd: Comparable consolidated earnings snapshot (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ month period ended: | Mar-08 | Mar-09e | Mar-10e | Mar-11e |
| Net sales | 145,048 | 167,530 | 183,831 | 203,715 |
| y-0-y growth |  | $15.5 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| EBIT | 18,130 | 21,384 | 24,844 | 29,281 |
| y-0-y growth |  | $18.0 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ |
| EPS (INR) | 8.87 | 9.70 | 10.84 | 12.33 |
| y-0-y growth |  | $9.4 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ |
| EPS without exceptional / extraordinary items (INR) | 8.42 | 9.72 | 10.84 | 12.33 |
| y-0-y growth |  | $15.5 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ |

Source: Company reports, HSBC

## Changes in our estimates

| Hindustan Unilever Ltd: Changes in our forecasts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 month period ended: |  | FY10e |  | FY11e |  |  |
|  | Old | New | Variance | Old | New | Variance |
| Sales | 188,506 | 183,831 | -2.5\% | 211,126 | 203,715 | -3.5\% |
| EBIT | 24,397 | 24,844 | 1.8\% | 28,154 | 29,281 | 4.0\% |
| EBIT margin | 12.9\% | 13.5\% | 57 bps | 13.3\% | 14.4\% | 104 bps |
| PBT | 30,142 | 29,644 | -1.7\% | 34,399 | 34,588 | 0.5\% |
| PBT margin | 16.0\% | 16.1\% | 14 bps | 16.3\% | 17.0\% | 69 bps |
| Tax | 5,426 | 5,929 | 9.3\% | 6,192 | 7,609 | 22.9\% |
| Tax rate \% | 18.0\% | 20.0\% | 200 bps | 18.0\% | 22.0\% | 400 bps |
| Net profit | 24,665 | 23,666 | -4.1\% | 28,149 | 26,922 | -4.4\% |
| Net profit margin | 13.1\% | 12.9\% | -21 bps | 13.3\% | 13.2\% | -12 bps |
| Diluted EPS (INR) | 11.32 | 10.84 | -4.3\% | 12.92 | 12.33 | -4.6\% |

[^3]
## Valuation

We are lowering our target price to INR249 from INR255. We value HUL on 23x FY10e PE at INR249 per share. We equate our target multiple for Dabur and HUL at 23x. Traditionally, HUL has traded at 15$20 \%$ premiums to smaller consumer stocks such as Dabur. However, we believe that the deterioration in the quality of growth will erode that premium. While on one hand, Dabur's EPS growth of c20\% is higher than HUL's $\mathrm{c} 12 \%$, on the other hand return ratios for HUL are higher with ROE of $95.6 \%$ vs. Dabur's 49.1\%. We believe that until HUL restores the quality of its growth (ie better volume growth and halting market-share losses), it is unlikely to command the premium valuation at which it usually trades. We therefore believe that a PE multiple of 23 x is appropriate. Our target multiple is $15-20 \%$ lower than what HUL has traded at over the past three years. While correlation between HUL's PE and Sensex's PE is very low, we believe improvement in broader market multiples justifies a marginal increase from 22.5 x to $23 x$ in our target PE multiple for HUL.

Under our research model, for stocks without a volatility indicator, the Neutral band is five percentage points above and below the hurdle rate for Indian stocks of $11 \%$. This translates into a Neutral band of $6 \%$ to $16 \%$ around the current share price. Our new target price of INR249 for HUL shares represents $9.6 \%$ absolute upside potential; added this the anticipated $3.3 \%$ dividend yield suggests a potential total return of $12.9 \%$, which is within the Neutral band. We therefore reiterate our Neutral rating on HUL shares.

## Risks

Upside risks: (1) HUL re-asserts it leadership and starts gaining market share decisively; (2) FMCG industry growth is more resilient than we expect; and (3) HUL's margins expand due to a fall in rawmaterial costs and cost-efficiency measures.

Downside risks: (1) Regional/unorganised players could become active, with commodity prices falling, and this may result in further market share erosion for HUL; (2) a macroeconomic slowdown may result in lower consumer spending and may affect volume growth for FMCG companies; (3) organised retail may flex its muscle and ask for products at lower cost, which may result in margin contraction.


Source: NSE India, HSBC


| Consumer Brands \& Retail Tobacco |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity - India |  |  |  |
| Neutral |  |  |  |
| Target pric |  |  | 193.00 |
| Share price |  |  | 183.60 |
| Potential to | urn (\%) |  | 7.5 |
| Performance | 1M | 3M | 12M |
| Absolute (\%) | -3.6 | 1.9 | -17.8 |
| Relative^ (\%) | -24.1 | -34.4 | 1.2 |
| Index^ ${ }^{\text {A }}$ ( BOMBAY SE IDX |  |  |  |
| RIC <br> ITC.BO |  |  |  |
| Bloomberg ITC IN |  |  |  |
| Market cap (USDm) 14,627 |  |  |  |
| Market cap (INRm) 692,980 |  |  |  |
| Enterprise value (INRm) 651,279 <br> Free float (\%) 70 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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## Maintain N - Decent performance; all eyes on budget

- Q4 PAT growth marginally below expectations, but quality of growth does leave us with much cause for concern


## - Cigarettes EBIT growth strong at 24\%, but hotels hit by slowdown and FMCG losses within expectations

- We maintain our 12-month target price of INR193 and reiterate our Neutral rating on ITC shares

Core categories do well: ITC reported Q4 FY09 (March) revenues of INR38.9bn ( $-1.1 \%$ growth) and PAT of INR8.09bn ( $10 \%$ growth). The cigarettes division did well, with growth of $16.3 \%$ in sales and $24.3 \%$ in EBIT. Hotels bore the brunt of the slowdown, with sales and profits down $29.3 \%$ and $50.2 \%$, respectively. The FMCG business also did well, with $13.5 \%$ sales growth and losses restricted to INR1.2bn. While agri division sales fell $51.2 \%$, due to defocusing non-core exports, profits rose $43.4 \%$, and the paperboards division received a boost from the paper sales through the stationery division.

Road ahead: We keep our estimates unchanged, and we expect double-digit EPS growth for FY10. For the cigarettes division, we build two scenarios: (a) high taxes and big price increases, and therefore a volume decline, and (b) low taxes and small price increases, and therefore volume growth. We derive different EPS values for each scenario, and we use a $14 x$ PE multiple in scenario 'a' and a 19x PE multiple in scenario 'b' on the cigarette EPS. For FMCG, we expect losses to narrow to INR3.6bn, and for the hotels business, we expect a flattish year, as a lot of damage has already been done in H2 FY09. The agri business will concentrate on core commodities, and this may reduce volatility of margins. The paperboard business is likely to be helped by the stationery business.

Valuation and rating: We value ITC on an SOTP basis at INR193 per share. Our hightax scenario suggests an INR167 target price and our low-tax scenario INR218. We take an average of these to arrive at our INR193 target price, which implies a potential total return of $7.5 \%$. We maintain our Neutral rating on the stock.

| ITC: Snapshot (INRm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year to 31 March | Sales | y-0-y | EBIT | y-0-y | Net profit | y-0-y | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EPS } \\ & \text { (INR) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PE } \\ & (\mathrm{x}) \end{aligned}$ | ROE | ROA |
| FY08 | 146,591 | 15.7\% | 40,953 | 10.9\% | 31,578 | 14.6\% | 8.35 | 22.0 | 27.5\% | 19.2\% |
| FY09e | 163,323 | 11.4\% | 44,914 | 9.7\% | 33,246 | 5.3\% | 8.81 | 20.8 | 25.3\% | 18.0\% |
| FY10e | 194,511 | 19.1\% | 53,295 | 18.7\% | 39,268 | 18.1\% | 10.38 | 17.7 | 26.2\% | 18.7\% |
| FY11e | 220,652 | 13.4\% | 61,310 | 15.0\% | 45,089 | 14.8\% | 11.92 | 15.4 | 26.3\% | 18.8\% |

Source: HSBC

Financials \& valuation

| Financial statements |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year to | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 0 8 a}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 0 9 e}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 1 0 e}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 1 1 e}$ |
| Profit \& loss summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 146,591 | 163,323 | 194,511 | 220,652 |
| EBITDA | 45,681 | 50,723 | 59,231 | 68,142 |
| Depreciation \& amortisation | $-4,729$ | $-5,809$ | $-5,937$ | $-6,832$ |
| Operating profit/EBIT | 40,953 | 44,914 | 53,295 | 61,310 |
| Net interest | -63 | -187 | -30 | -30 |
| PBT | 46,830 | 49,909 | 58,222 | 66,854 |
| HSBC PBT | 46,752 | 49,848 | 58,118 | 66,736 |
| Taxation | $-14,970$ | $-16,254$ | $-18,598$ | $-21,356$ |
| Net profit | 31,578 | 33,246 | 39,268 | 45,089 |
| HSBC net profit | 31,578 | 33,246 | 39,268 | 45,089 |
| Cash flow summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash flow from operations | 30,067 | 36,716 | 39,668 | 47,681 |
| Capex | $-23,263$ | $-11,337$ | $-15,605$ | $-20,616$ |
| Cash flow from investment | $-19,681$ | $-12,413$ | $-21,252$ | $-26,264$ |
| Dividends | $-13,827$ | $-15,432$ | $-16,340$ | $-19,360$ |
| Change in net debt | 3,337 | $-9,027$ | $-2,259$ | $-2,269$ |
| FCF equity | 4,645 | 20,606 | 19,462 | 21,900 |
| Balance sheet summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| Tangible fixed assets | 78,193 | 83,721 | 93,389 | 107,173 |
| Current assets | 73,340 | 86,605 | 101,127 | 111,850 |
| Cash \& others | 7,768 | 16,775 | 19,014 | 21,262 |
| Total assets | 177,616 | 197,485 | 227,323 | 257,477 |
| Operating liabilities | 29,708 | 31,621 | 38,362 | 42,590 |
| Gross debt | 2,229 | 2,209 | 2,189 |  |
| Net debt | $-5,519$ | $-14,546$ | $-16,805$ | $-19,073$ |
| Shareholders funds | 122,878 | 139,784 | 159,692 | 182,552 |
| Invested capital | 114,056 | 121,930 | 137,140 | 155,170 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Ratio, growth and per share analysis

| Year to | 03/2008a | 03/2009e | 03/2010e | 03/2011e |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Y-o-y \% change |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 15.7 | 11.4 | 19.1 | 13.4 |
| EBITDA | 11.8 | 11.0 | 16.8 | 15.0 |
| Operating profit | 10.9 | 9.7 | 18.7 | 15.0 |
| PBT | 15.5 | 6.6 | 16.7 | 14.8 |
| HSBC EPS | 14.2 | 5.5 | 17.9 | 14.8 |
| Ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue/IC (x) | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| ROIC | 25.1 | 24.3 | 26.8 | 27.3 |
| ROE | 27.5 | 25.3 | 26.2 | 26.3 |
| ROA | 19.2 | 18.0 | 18.7 | 18.8 |
| EBITDA margin | 31.2 | 31.1 | 30.5 | 30.9 |
| Operating profit margin | 27.9 | 27.5 | 27.4 | 27.8 |
| EBITDA/net interest (x) | 727.4 | 271.4 | 1974.4 | 2271.4 |
| Net debt/equity | -4.5 | -10.3 | -10.4 | -10.4 |
| Net debt/EBITDA (x) | -0.1 | -0.3 | -0.3 | -0.3 |
| Per share data (INR) |  |  |  |  |
| EPS reported (fully diluted) | 8.35 | 8.81 | 10.38 | 11.92 |
| HSBC EPS (fully diluted) | 8.35 | 8.81 | 10.38 | 11.92 |
| DPS | 3.49 | 3.70 | 4.34 | 4.98 |
| Book value | 32.49 | 36.96 | 42.23 | 48.27 |



## Results snapshot

| ITC: Stand-alone segment results analysis (INRm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q4 FY09 | Q4 FY08 | y-o-y \% | FY09 | FY08 | y-0-y \% |
| Cigarettes | 20,115 | 17,302 | 16.3\% | 75,568 | 66,350 | 13.9\% |
| FMCG other | 8,368 | 7,373 | 13.5\% | 30,056 | 25,096 | 19.8\% |
| Hotels | 2,210 | 3,125 | -29.3\% | 9,355 | 10,121 | -7.6\% |
| Agri business | 5,259 | 10,781 | -51.2\% | 38,460 | 38,684 | -0.6\% |
| Paperboards | 7,136 | 5,677 | 25.7\% | 26,471 | 21,579 | 22.7\% |
| Net sales (before segment elimination) | 43,089 | 44,259 | -2.6\% | 179,910 | 161,830 | 11.2\% |
| Cigarettes | 10,814 | 8,701 | 24.3\% | 41,838 | 36,340 | 15.1\% |
| FMCG other | -1,173 | -1,179 | -0.5\% | -4,835 | -2,635 | 83.5\% |
| Hotels | 711 | 1,428 | -50.2\% | 3,162 | 4,108 | -23.0\% |
| Agri business | 531 | 370 | 43.4\% | 2,562 | 1,292 | 98.3\% |
| Paperboards | 1,519 | 1,227 | 23.8\% | 5,086 | 4,531 | 12.2\% |
| Segment EBIT | 12,401 | 10,547 | 17.6\% | 47,813 | 43,636 | 9.6\% |
| Un-allocable inc. net of un-allocable exp. | -347 | 322 | -207.7\% | 628 | 2,128 | -70.5\% |
| Interest | 137 | 27 | 406.7\% | 183 | 46 | 297.4\% |
| PBT | 11,918 | 10,842 | 9.9\% | 48,257 | 45,718 | 5.6\% |
| Net profit | 8,090 | 7,356 | 10.0\% | 32,636 | 31,201 | 4.6\% |
| Basic EPS | 2.15 | 1.95 | 10.3\% | 8.66 | 8.29 | 4.5\% |
| Diluted EPS | 2.14 | 1.93 | 10.9\% | 8.64 | 8.25 | 4.7\% |
| Margins |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cigarettes | 53.8\% | 50.3\% | 347 bps | 55.4\% | 54.8\% | 59 bps |
| FMCG other | -14.0\% | -16.0\% | 197 bps | -16.1\% | -10.5\% | -558 bps |
| Hotels | 32.2\% | 45.7\% | -1351 bps | 33.8\% | 40.6\% | -679 bps |
| Agri business | 10.1\% | 3.4\% | 666 bps | 6.7\% | 3.3\% | 332 bps |
| Paperboards | 21.3\% | 21.6\% | -33 bps | 19.2\% | 21.0\% | -178 bps |

Source: Company reports, HSBC

Cigarettes: Q4 FY09 saw a $16.3 \%$ increase in revenues but $24.3 \%$ growth in EBIT. This was due to a 13$14 \%$ increase in the weighted average selling price on a y-o-y basis. While volume declined 3.0-3.5\% in FY09 and Q4, the cumulative impact of price increases taken since July 2008 led to a $13.9 \%$ increase in net revenues and $15.1 \%$ in EBIT on a y-o-y basis.

FMCG others: FY09 saw $19.8 \%$ top-line growth in the other FMCG businesses, with a loss at the EBIT level that narrowed to INR2.6bn in FY09 from INR4.8bn in FY08. For the quarter, losses were maintained at last year's level. In this category for FY09, the biscuits category grew $14 \%$, the Agarbatti business $20 \%$, and the stationery business a robust $60 \%$. The lifestyle retailing business achieved robust growth of $32 \%$ in exports, despite tough economic conditions in the target US and European markets, driven largely by an improved product mix. The branded packaged-foods business clocked reasonable growth but was adversely impacted by high raw-material costs. The personal care business strengthened its 'Vivel' range and continued launching differentiated products in the market.

Hotels: The gloomy macroeconomic environment has been weighing heavily on the hotels business for the past two quarters. This, combined with the terrorist strikes in Mumbai and the loss of IPL business as it moved to South Africa, resulted in poor H 2 results. Accordingly, the hotels business saw revenue decreases of $14 \%$ in Q3 and $29.3 \%$ in Q4, resulting in a $7.6 \%$ decline for FY09. Furthermore, average occupancy rates (ARR) across the sector dropped sharply, which led to a $23 \%$ deceleration at the EBIT level for FY09.

Agri business: In Q4 FY09, ITC rationalised its agri-commodity portfolio, necessitated by increasing policy intervention and volatility in commodity markets. Thus, it moved out of commodities such as rice, pulses, and sesame seeds, while increasing concentration on commodities used in its other FMCG businesses such as leaf tobacco, wheat, soya, and potatoes. This resulted in a $51.2 \%$ fall in revenues for Q4 and a flat FY09. However, these were low-margin commodities, resulting in a $43.4 \%$ jump in Q4 and 98.3\% growth for FY09 at the EBIT level on a y-o-y basis. Robust performance was achieved by the leaf tobacco portfolio. However, if the impact of leaf tobacco sold to the cigarettes division were excluded, EBIT would be lower by about INR250m; this is accounted for in the unallocated other expenses line item.

Paperboards: Sales of value-added paperboards grew $13 \%$ in the year. FY09 saw a new paper manufacturing plant with a capacity of 0.1 m TPA commencing operations. A revenue increase of $25.7 \%$ for Q4 FY09 was largely driven by capacity ramp-up at this plant, with further back-end support to the stationery business.

## The road ahead

Going forward, we expect hotels business revenues to remain under pressure in Q1 FY10. We expect Q2 revenues to be relatively flat, with a marginal recovery in Q3 and Q4. Accordingly, we estimate that revenues will end flat in FY10e. We estimate FMCG other will clock 20-22\% revenue growth, driven by the personal care portfolio and the foods business, and that losses will narrow to INR3.6bn.

In the case of cigarettes, we believe that one of the main issues affecting ITC is taxation. With heavy taxes on cigarettes over the last two years, there is apprehension that taxes could be high next year, too. If this happens, it should require large price increases and thereby affect volume and EPS. We therefore evaluate two scenarios - one of a normal $5 \%$ excise duty increase and the other with a $15 \%$ excise duty increase. Our estimates of the impact on revenue and profit for FY10 are in the following table.

| ITC: Tax scenarios |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario | Impact on FY10e assumptions | Rationale |
| Low taxation: $5 \%$ increase in excise duty (annualised 3.6\%) | $7 \%$ annualised increase in average selling prices (4.2\% after budget) <br> 6.4\% annualised increase in volumes ( $6.5 \%$ after budget) <br> $9 \%$ increase in overheads | Demonstrated ability to pass on increases higher than required to offset increased taxes Growth in cigarette volumes has not been affected during moderate tax and price increase regime Trade and distribution support based on historical spend levels |
| High taxation: $15 \%$ increase in excise duty (annualised 10.9\%) | $12.5 \%$ annualised increase in average selling prices <br> (11.7\% after budget) <br> $0.2 \%$ annualised increase in volumes ( $2 \%$ decline after budget) <br> $12 \%$ increase in overheads | Pass on the entire burden of higher taxes through matching price increases <br> Third successive year of higher-than-normal price increases to affect medium-term consumer demand Increased trade and distribution support to contain volume declines and loss of market share |

Source: HSBC

- Low taxation (best case). Net sales to grow $15.5 \%$ for the company and $17.6 \%$ for the cigarettes business for FY10. EBIT to grow $18.5 \%$ for the company and $19 \%$ for the cigarettes business. This results in diluted EPS of INR10.56, or growth of $18.5 \%$.
- High taxation (worst case). Net sales to grow $14.1 \%$ for the company and $14.3 \%$ for the cigarettes business for FY10. EBIT to grow $14.3 \%$ for the company and $13.7 \%$ for the cigarettes business. This results in diluted EPS of INR10.19, a growth of $14.3 \%$.
Valuation

| ITC: Scenario analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (INR) | Business | Best case |  |  | Multiple $\begin{aligned} & \text { Worst case } \\ & \text { Per share }\end{aligned}$ |  | Price | Multiple | Average Per share | Price |
|  |  | Multiple | Per share | Price |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPS | Cigarettes | 19.0x | 9.1 | 174 | 14.0x | 8.8 | 123 | 16.5x | 9.0 | 149 |
|  | Hotels | 15.0x | 0.6 | 9 | 15.0x | 0.6 | 9 | 15.0x | 0.6 | 9 |
|  | Agribusiness | $6.0 x$ | 0.5 | 3 | $6.0 x$ | 0.5 | 3 | $6.0 x$ | 0.5 | 3 |
|  | Paperboards | 5.0x | 1.0 | 5 | 5.0x | 1.0 | 5 | 5.0x | 1.0 | 5 |
|  | FMCG - Others | $1.5 x$ | 9.9 | 15 | 1.5 x | 9.9 | 15 | 1.5x | 9.9 | 15 |
| Cash | Cash per share |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |
|  | Target price |  |  | 218 |  |  | 167 |  |  | 193 |

Source: HSBC

We value ITC on an SOTP basis at INR193 per share on the average of valuations derived for the scenarios of normal and high taxation.

While we note little difference in EPS from cigarettes in the two scenarios, because ITC can recover much of what it loses in volumes through price increases, we believe that market will pay a better multiple for volume growth. In our view, low volume growth reduces the long-term sustainability of EPS growth, as large price increases cannot be taken every year. We accordingly attribute 19x in a normal taxation scenario and 14 x in a high-taxation scenario. We believe that uncertainty until the Indian government budget is announced will weigh on the market, and we apply a 16.5 x multiple to our average case scenario.

## Rating

Under our research model, for stocks without a volatility indicator, the Neutral band is five percentage points above and below our hurdle rate for Indian stocks of $11 \%$, or $6 \%$ to $16 \%$ around the current share price. Our 12-month target price of INR193 for ITC shares represents a potential total return, including a $2.4 \%$ dividend yield, of $7.5 \%$, which is within the Neutral band; thus, we reiterate our Neutral rating.

## Risks

Upside risks, in our view, include moderate or marginal tax increases on cigarettes, another round of price increases beyond the full-year average of $\mathrm{c} 14 \%$, FMCG losses contained due to successful garnering of high market shares for personal products, and an earlier-than-anticipated turnaround of the foods business.

Downside risks that we see include tobacco volumes' failure to continue momentum, an adverse regulatory environment including tough implementation of smoking bans and changes in the Cigarette and Other Tobacco Products Act 2003 (COTPA), and the PE multiple for the cigarette business contracting more than we estimate.

Consumer \& Retail Personal Products Equity - India

## Neutral (V)

| Target price (INR) | 69.40 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Share price (INR) | $\mathbf{6 7 . 3 0}$ |  |
| Potential total return (\%) | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ |  |
| Performance | 1 M | 3M |
| Absolute (\%) | 15.6 | 14.7 |
| Relative^ (\%) | -4.9 | -7.3 |
| Index^ | 45.7 |  |
| RIC | BOMBAY SE IDX |  |
| Bloomberg | MRCO.BO |  |
| Market cap (USDm) | MRCO IN |  |
| Market cap (INRm) | 80.2 |  |
| Enterprise value (INRm) | 40,986 |  |
| Free float (\%) | 43,698 |  |

Note: $(\mathrm{V})=$ volatile (please see disclosure appendix)
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# Marico Industries 

## Downgrade to Neutral (V); fairly priced

- Q4 results boosted by ad spend cuts, in line with expectations adjusting for this; thus, share price spurt surprising
- We believe that top-line growth will slow substantially; we are cutting EPS estimates for FY10 and FY11 by $3.4 \%$ each
- We are lowering our target price to INR69.4 from INR72 and downgrading our rating to Neutral (V) from Overweight (V) as the stock price now appears near fair value

Q4 (March) results boosted by reduction in ad spends: Marico reported a $74 \%$ increase in PBT before one-off items; however, ad spends declined $20 \%$ y-o-y, fuelling most of this increase. Adjusting for that, we believe the results are in line with our and the consensus expectations, and the $5 \%+$ increase in the stock price is therefore surprising. Gross margins remain be under pressure, although we expect this to reverse in coming quarters. Though overall volume growth at $9 \%$ was higher than the $7 \%$ in Q3, growth in the core portfolio ie Parachute, Other hair oils, and Saffola - did not improve substantially. Kaya same-store sales growth dropped to single digits from $20 \%+$ growth 3-4 quarters ago.

The road ahead and changes in our estimates: We believe that the year ahead will be more challenging than the past year. Sales growth will fall from $25 \%$ (changed from 15\%) in FY09e to $12 \%$ in FY10e, as most of the growth should be volume-led and pricing levers absent. While we estimate gross margins will increase by 200bps, 150bps will be eaten away by the increase in ad spends, and EBITDA margins will expand by 70bps. We therefore are lowering our EPS estimates for FY10 and FY11 by $3.4 \%$ each.

Valuation: We retain our PE multiple of 19x for valuing Marico, as we believe that any reduction due to our lowering our EPS estimates is offset by improved multiples across the market. We derive a revised target price of INR69.4, down from INR72. Our new target price suggests a potential total return, including dividend yield, of $4.1 \%$. Thus, we are downgrading our rating to Neutral (V) from Overweight (V).

| Marico Industries: Snapshot (INRm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year to Mar | Revenue | $\begin{aligned} & y-0-y \\ & \text { chge } \end{aligned}$ | EBIT | $\begin{aligned} & y-0-y \\ & \text { chge } \end{aligned}$ | HSBC net profit | $\begin{aligned} & y-0-y \\ & \text { chge } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HSBC } \\ & \text { S (INR) } \end{aligned}$ | PE | ROE | ROA |
| FY08 | 19,050 | 22.4\% | 2,155 | 47.1\% | 1,585 | 63.4\% | 2.60 | 25.9x | 62.5\% | 22.8\% |
| FY09e | 23,884 | 25.4\% | 2,662 | 23.5\% | 2,037 | 28.6\% | 3.34 | 20.1x | 53.0\% | 20.0\% |
| FY10e | 26,803 | 12.2\% | 3,156 | 18.6\% | 2,225 | 9.2\% | 3.65 | 18.4x | 41.4\% | 19.8\% |
| FY11e | 31,149 | 16.2\% | 3,721 | 17.9\% | 2,640 | 18.6\% | 4.33 | 15.5x | 36.8\% | 20.6\% |

Source: HSBC

Financials \& valuation

| Financial statements |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year to | 03/2008a | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 0 9 e}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 1 0 e}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 0 1 1 e}$ |
| Profit \& loss summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 19,050 | 23,884 | 26,803 | 31,149 |
| EBITDA | 2,462 | 3,020 | 3,565 | 4,199 |
| Depreciation \& amortisation | -307 | -358 | -409 | -478 |
| Operating profit/EBIT | 2,155 | 2,662 | 3,156 | 3,721 |
| Net interest | -305 | -357 | -356 | -262 |
| PBT | 2,051 | 2,296 | 2,854 | 3,521 |
| HSBC PBT | 1,945 | 2,447 | 2,854 | 3,521 |
| Taxation | -360 | -409 | -628 | -880 |
| Net profit | 1,691 | 1,887 | 2,225 | 2,640 |
| HSBC net profit | 1,585 | 2,037 | 2,225 | 2,640 |
| Cash flow summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash flow from operations | 1,185 | 1,466 | 2,342 | 2,734 |
| Capex | $-1,614$ | -865 | -855 | -948 |
| Cash flow from investment | $-1,614$ | -865 | -855 | -948 |
| Dividends | -325 | -486 | -573 | -679 |
| Change in net debt | 746 | -115 | -914 | $-1,106$ |
| FCF equity | -740 | 609 | 1,434 | 1,724 |
| Balance sheet summary (INRm) |  |  |  |  |
| Intangible fixed assets | 842 | 842 | 842 | 842 |
| Tangible fixed assets | 2,573 | 3,080 | 3,526 | 3,995 |
| Current assets | 5,281 | 7,203 | 7,739 | 8,575 |
| Cash \& others | 753 | 1,245 | 1,357 | 1,398 |
| Total assets | 9,678 | 12,107 | 13,089 | 14,394 |
| Operating liabilities | 2,560 | 3,180 | 3,294 | 3,651 |
| Gross debt | 3,579 | 3,956 | 3,155 | 2,089 |
| Net debt | 2,827 | 2,711 | 1,797 | 691 |
| Shareholders funds | 3,146 | 4,548 | 6,200 | 8,161 |
| Invested capital | 6,366 | 7,682 | 8,438 | 9,345 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Ratio, growth and per share analysis

| Year to | 03/2008a | 03/2009e | 03/2010e | 03/2011e |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Y-o-y \% change |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 22.4 | 25.4 | 12.2 | 16.2 |
| EBITDA | 23.9 | 22.6 | 18.0 | 17.8 |
| Operating profit | 47.1 | 23.5 | 18.6 | 17.9 |
| PBT | 36.7 | 11.9 | 24.3 | 23.4 |
| HSBC EPS | 58.2 | 28.6 | 9.2 | 18.6 |
| Ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue/IC (x) | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| ROIC | 34.1 | 32.4 | 30.5 | 31.7 |
| ROE | 62.5 | 53.0 | 41.4 | 36.8 |
| ROA | 22.8 | 20.0 | 19.8 | 20.6 |
| EBITDA margin | 12.9 | 12.6 | 13.3 | 13.5 |
| Operating profit margin | 11.3 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 11.9 |
| EBITDA/net interest (x) | 8.1 | 8.5 | 10.0 | 16.0 |
| Net debt/equity | 89.8 | 59.6 | 29.0 | 8.5 |
| Net debt/EBITDA (x) | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Per share data (INR) |  |  |  |  |
| EPS reported (fully diluted) | 2.78 | 3.10 | 3.65 | 4.33 |
| HSBC EPS (fully diluted) | 2.60 | 3.34 | 3.65 | 4.33 |
| DPS | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.80 | 0.95 |
| Book value | 5.17 | 7.47 | 10.18 | 13.40 |


| Valuation data |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year to | 03/2008a | 03/2009e | 03/2010e | 03/2011e |
| EV/sales | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| EV/EBITDA | 17.8 | 14.5 | 12.0 | 9.9 |
| EV/IC | 6.9 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 4.5 |
| PE $^{*}$ | 25.9 | 20.1 | 18.4 | 15.5 |
| P/Book value | 13.0 | 9.0 | 6.6 | 5.0 |
| FCF yield (\%) | -1.8 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 4.2 |
| Dividend yield (\%) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 |

Note: * $=$ Based on HSBC EPS (fully diluted)

## Price relative



Source: HSBC

Note: price at close of 22 Apr 2009

## Q4 and FY09 results snapshot

| Marico Industries: Q4 FY09 results analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (INRm) | Q4 FY09 | Q4 FY08 | Y-o-y \% | FY09 | FY08 | Y-o-y \% |
| Income from sales and services | 5,612 | 4,659 | 20.5\% | 23,884 | 19,050 | 25.4\% |
| COGS | 2,851 | 2,297 | 24.1\% | 12,779 | 9,809 | 30.3\% |
| Gross profit | 2,760 | 2,361 | 16.9\% | 11,106 | 9,242 | 20.2\% |
| Gross profit margin | 49.2\% | 50.7\% | -150 bps | 46.5\% | 48.5\% | -201 bps |
| Employee cost | 427 | 363 | 17.5\% | 1,648 | 1,268 | 30.0\% |
| Advertisement \& sales promotion | 568 | 705 | -19.4\% | 2,504 | 2,447 | 2.3\% |
| Other expenses | 1,032 | 838 | 23.2\% | 3,934 | 3,064 | 28.4\% |
| EBITDA | 733 | 455 | 60.9\% | 3,020 | 2,462 | 22.6\% |
| EBITDA margin | 13.1\% | 9.8\% | 328 bps | 12.6\% | 12.9\% | -28 bps |
| Depreciation \& amortisation | 104 | 79 | 31.8\% | 358 | 307 | 16.4\% |
| EBIT | 629 | 376 | 67.0\% | 2,662 | 2,155 | 23.5\% |
| EBIT margin | 11.2\% | 8.1\% | 312 bps | 11.1\% | 11.3\% | -17 bps |
| Interest | 113 | 87 | 29.8\% | 357 | 305 | 17.0\% |
| PBT | 595 | 341 | 74.5\% | 2,447 | 1,945.216 | 25.8\% |
| PBT margin | 10.6\% | 7.3\% | 329 bps | 10.2\% | 10.2\% | 3 bps |
| Tax expense | 1 | 39 | -97.5\% | 409 | 360 | 13.8\% |
| Effective tax rate | 0.2\% | 11.4\% | -1126 bps | 16.7\% | 18.5\% | -175 bps |
| Exceptional | -150 | 106 |  | -150 | 106 |  |
| Net profit | 444 | 408 | 8.9\% | 1,887 | 1,691 | 11.6\% |
| Net profit margin | 7.9\% | 8.8\% | -84 bps | 7.9\% | 8.9\% | -97 bps |
| Basic \& diluted EPS | 0.73 | 0.67 | 9.0\% | 3.1 | 2.78 | 11.5\% |

Source: Company reports, HSBC

- Volume growth for Q4 slowed slightly. While Parachute growth slowed to 7\% from 9\% in Q3, Saffola had a small improvement but must go back into the double-digit zone for us to feel comfortable. Hair oils also slowed, to $10 \%$. Though overall volume growth of $9 \%$ for the company was higher than 7\% in Q3, we believe that the core portfolio has not improved as much.

| Marico Industries: Volume growth |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Q1 FY09 | Q2 FY09 | Q3 FY09 | Q4 FY09 | FY09 |
| Parachute | $8 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Hair oils | $26 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Saffola | $28 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Company level | $15 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |

Source: Company reports, HSBC

- Sales growth for the year at $25 \%$ was driven equally by volume and price. For the quarter, sales growth was $20.5 \%$, of which 9 ppts was contributed by volumes.
- Gross margins for the quarter declined by 150bps. While prices of commodities such as Copra, Kardi, and plastics have gone down, the impact will come following a lag, and we expect the current quarter to show an expansion. For the year, gross margins declined by 200bps, as the company was wary of passing on an equal percentage increase in prices as cost increases to protect volumes.
- Advertising costs declined $20 \%$, partly due to a high base last year but also due to reduced advertising activity. For the full year, ad spends as a percentage of sales fell to $10.5 \%$ in FY09 from $12.8 \%$ in FY08. The company guided that this could rise back to $12 \%$ in FY10e.
- The company plans to divest itself of the Sundari business in the US and took a loss of INR150m in the consolidated P\&L as the difference between the fair value and book value of the assets. However, the impact at the PAT level is negligible due to tax write-offs that are expected on this transaction.


## The road ahead

We believe that FY10e will be a challenging year for Marico. On the one hand, volume growth is likely to be marginally depressed versus FY09e due to lagged impact of the recession on demand, price increases, and general inflation. On the other hand, price increases, which were heavy in FY09e, should be difficult to come by in FY10e due to reduced commodity prices. The company has taken price declines of $4 \%$ in the Saffola franchise. We believe that Marico will be able to manage sales growth of $12.2 \%$ next year, predominantly driven by volumes.

We expect a gross margin expansion of 200bps in FY10e due to reductions in prices of commodities. However, a 150bps increase in advertising spends is likely to ensure that the expansion at EBITDA level is just 70bps, we estimate. A marginal reduction in interest expenses due to reduction in debt levels is likely to help, and we expect EPS growth of $17.9 \%$ for FY10e.

## Changes in our estimates

| Marico Industries: Changes in HSBC forecasts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (INRm) |  | FY10e |  | FY11e |  |  |
|  | Old | New | Change \% | Old | New | Change \% |
| Sales | 27,666 | 26,803 | -3.1\% | 32,159 | 31,149 | -3.1\% |
| EBITDA | 3,625 | 3,565 | -1.6\% | 4,409 | 4,199 | -4.8\% |
| EBITDA margin | 13.1\% | 13.3\% | 20 bps | 13.7\% | 13.5\% | -23 bps |
| EBIT | 3,215 | 3,156 | -1.8\% | 3,929 | 3,721 | -5.3\% |
| EBIT margin | 11.6\% | 11.8\% | 15 bps | 12.2\% | 11.9\% | -27 bps |
| Interest | 325 | 356 | 9.5\% | 359 | 262 | -26.9\% |
| PBT | 2,954 | 2,854 | -3.4\% | 3,644 | 3,521 | -3.4\% |
| PBT margin | 10.7\% | 10.6\% | $-3 \mathrm{bps}$ | 11.3\% | 11.3\% | $-3 \mathrm{bps}$ |
| Tax | 650 | 628 | -3.4\% | 911 | 880 | -3.4\% |
| Net income | 2,303 | 2,225 | -3.4\% | 2,732 | 2,640 | -3.4\% |
| Net income margin | 8.3\% | 8.3\% | -2 bps | 8.5\% | 8.5\% | -2 bps |
| EPS (INR) | 3.78 | 3.65 | -3.4\% | 4.48 | 4.33 | -3.4\% |

Source: HSBC

## Valuation

We value Marico at 19x FY10e EPS of INR3.65, or INR69.4, which is our new target price, down from INR72. We are maintaining our multiple at 19x, because we believe that any decline in the multiple due to the decreases in our estimates of EPS growth is offset by an improvement in the multiples of the market across the board. Our multiple of 19 x works out to a $15 \%$ discount to our target multiple on Hindustan Unilever. The stock has averaged a PE multiple of 20x on 12-month forward EPS for the last three years.

Under our research model, for stocks with a volatility indicator, the Neutral band is 10 percentage points above and below our hurdle rate for Indian stocks of $11 \%$, or $1-21 \%$ around the current share price. Our


new 12-month target price of INR69.4 for Marico shares represents upside potential of 3.1\%, and adding this to the anticipated $1.0 \%$ dividend yield, this suggests a potential total return of $4.1 \%$, which is within the Neutral band. Thus, we are downgrading our rating on Marico shares to Neutral (V) from Overweight (V).

## Risks

## Upside risks

- Volumes in the core portfolio are more than estimated.
- Commodity costs drop further and therefore gross margin expansion is higher.
- Ad spends do not reach previous levels of $12 \%$ of sales, and Marico is able exercise cost-efficiency measures.


## Downside risks

- Volume growth slows more than expected due to macroeconomic factors or competition.
- Government support price for Copra has been announced higher than current market price and may put upward pressure on market prices, reducing the quantum of cost decline over the last year.
- Though Kaya recorded 57\% y-o-y sales growth in FY09, it is still not profitable at PBT and continues to draw on the group's resources for its investment needs. Moreover, due to the weak economic environment, footfalls may decline, driving the business into further losses.
- The tax holiday at some production units is close to expiring, which means that Marico will bear an increased tax burden. Although a higher effective tax rate, compared with previous years, has been built into our estimates, any further increase could result in lower net profits accordingly,
- International operations are subject to risks of taxation and economic or political turmoil in other regions.
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