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## News Round-up

- Sterlite Industries (India) Ltd, a subsidiary of London Stock Exchange-listed Vedanta Resources Plc, on Thursday said it raised $\$ 1.5$ billion through an offer of American Depositary Shares (ADS) to fund its capex plans. (FE)
- Engineering and construction major Larsen and Toubro has reported a 22-per cent drop in its order inflow for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 at Rs 9,571 crore as compared to Rs 12,234 crore logged in the same period last year. (BL)
- Zee Entertainment Enterprises has registered a 5.6 per cent drop in its net for the first quarter of current fiscal as competition from new channels dented its revenues. (BS)
- Changi Airports International is ready to enter into joint ventures with more Indian companies in developing airports. The company, which has picked up a $26 \%$ stake for $\$ 20$ million in Bengal Aerotropolis Pvt Ltd (BAPL), said it is looking at other opportunities. (FE)
- Anil Agarwal-promoted Vedanta Resources is in talks with European and Japanese steel producers to get into steel making. (BS)
- Bajaj Auto has reported a net profit of Rs 293.49 crore for the first quarter ended June 30, up 67.6 per cent from Rs 175.11 crore in the corresponding period last fiscal. (BL)
- Tube and pipe manufacturer Welspun-Gujarat Stahl Rohren Ltd has posted 94.27 per cent rise in its net at Rs 138.21 crore for the first quarter of financial year 2010 as compared to Rs 71.14 crore it posted in the corresponding period last year. (BS)
- Inching a step closer to the listing of Bharat Sanchar Nigam (BSNL), the government, on Thursday, said it is considering a part sale of its shareholding in the telecom monolith to the public. (ET)
- Basel-based drug maker Hoffman-La Roche Ltd plans to drag Cipla Ltd to the Supreme Court, challenging an April ruling of Delhi high court that dismissed Roche's appeal on a patent infringement case. (Mint)
- Tata Steel Ltd on Thursday said its unit Tata Steel Global Minerals Holdings Pte Ltd had raised its holding in Australia's Riversdale Mining Ltd to 19.38 \% through market purchases. (FE)

Source: $\mathrm{ET}=$ Economic Times, $\mathrm{BS}=$ Business Standard, $\mathrm{FE}=$ Financial Express, $\mathrm{BI}=$ Business Line.

|  | Change \% |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| India | 16-Jul | 1-day1-mo | 3-mo |  |
| Sensex | 14,250 | (0.0) | (1.9) |  |
| 29.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Nifty | 4,231 | (0.0) | (2.9) |  |
|  | 25.0 |  |  |  |

Global/Regional indices

| Dow Jones | $\mathbf{8 , 7 1 2}$ | 1.1 | 2.5 | $\mathbf{7 . 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nasdaq Composite | 1,885 | 1.2 | 4.3 | 12.7 |
| FTSE | 4,362 | 0.4 | 1.9 | $\mathbf{6 . 6}$ |
| Nikkie | $\mathbf{9 , 3 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 . 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ |
| Hang Seng | 18,552 | 1.0 | 2.6 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9}$ |
| KOSPI | 1,436 | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ |

Value traded - India


| Forex/money market |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Change, basis points |  |  |
|  | 16-Jul | 1-day | 1-mo | 3-mo |
| Rs/US $\$$ | 48.6 | 1 | 84 | $(112)$ |
| 10yr govt bond, \% | 7.0 | - | 11 | 53 |
| Net investment (US\$mn) |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - J u l}$ | MTD | CYTD |  |
| Flls | 212 | 1,054 | 6,024 |  |
| MFs | 51 |  | (4) | 516 |


| Top movers -3mo basis | Change, \% |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 16-Jul | 1-day | 1-mo | 3-mo |
| Best performers | 803.3 | 0.5 | 12.1 | 128.8 |
| ADE IN Equity | 197.4 | $(3.4)$ | $(2.7)$ | 121.7 |
| WGS IN Equity | 326.3 | $(2.8)$ | 2.2 | 111.9 |
| IVRC IN Equity | 138.8 | $(2.3)$ | 2.6 | 109.0 |
| IDFC IN Equity | 211.0 | $(1.1)$ | 12.2 | 90.6 |
| SESA IN Equity |  |  |  |  |
| Worst performers | 132.8 | 0.2 | $(16.8)$ | $(15.9)$ |
| ESOIL IN Equity | 474.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | $(13.5)$ |
| TCOM IN Equity | 1221.0 | 0.6 | $(5.8)$ | 1.2 |
| SUNP IN Equity | 205.4 | 3.2 | $(3.9)$ | 6.1 |
| NATP IN Equity | 753.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 11.0 |
| TT IN Equity |  |  |  |  |

## Industrials

Sedate results, may make up in 2HFY10E. We retain our ADD rating despite weak revenues and order inflows based on (1) potential for order accretion in 2HFY10E (ONGC, NTPC/DVC etc.), (2) strong revene growth and margin expansion in the key E\&C, (3) potential for better margins as contribution margins expand, (4) management confidence in its guidance and (5) 10-15\% correction from recent peak. We have marginally changed earnings estimates and target price to Rs1,425/share.

| Company data and valuation summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Larsen \& Toubro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock data |  |  |  | Forecasts/Valuations | 2009 | 2010E | 2011E |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  | 1,800-556 |  | EPS (Rs) | 52.6 | 60.1 | 71.7 |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  |  | 822.9 | EPS growth (\%) | 38.7 | 14.3 | 19.3 |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  | P/E (X) | 26.2 | 22.9 | 19.2 |
| Promoters |  |  | 0.0 | Sales (Rs bn) | 390.2 | 466.5 | 575.9 |
| Flls |  |  | 14.8 | Net profits (Rs bn) | 31.2 | 35.9 | 43.0 |
| MFs |  |  | 5.9 | EBITDA (Rs bn) | 54.7 | 64.8 | 77.6 |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M | 12M | EV/EBITDA ( X ) | 17.6 | 15.4 | 13.2 |
| Absolute | (6.1) | 58.7 | 12.9 | ROE (\%) | 22.5 | 19.7 | 19.0 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | (4.2) | 22.7 | 3.9 | Div. Yield (\%) | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |

Disappointing revenues as margins surprise; potential for weak results for engineering peers
L\&T reported disappointing yoy revenue growth of about 11\% in 1QFY10 significantly below our estimate. The slowdown was led by the MIP segment which reported a yoy revenue decline of $31 \%$. Poor performance in the products segment could indicate weak results from product companies such as ABB, Siemens, Cummins, etc. Higher-than-expected operating margins led by cost-control measures and a larger portion of orders crossing the margin recognition threshold helped the company meet our bottom line estimate of Rs 5.8 bn . There may be potential for better margins in 2HFY10E as contribution margins expand, also, operating leverage with higher execution may help in 2HFY10E.

Management confident of meeting revenue and inflow growth guidance
The management reiterated its confidence in achieving it aggressive order inflow guidance of 25$35 \%$ yoy for FY2010E. The order inflow momentum is expected to pick up from 2HFY10E with increased ordering activity from the public sector and likely large order wins such as ONGC platform orders, power equipment orders etc. The company has maintained its revenue growth guidance of $15-20 \%$ with flat margins for FY2010E.

Marginally revise earnings estimates and target price to Rs1,425/share; reiterate ADD
We have revised our standalone earnings estimates to Rs52.6 and Rs63 from Rs49.4 and Rs59 for FY2010E and FY2011E, respectively, based on moderate changes to other income and margin assumptions. This has led to a change in the consolidated earnings estimates to Rs60.1 and Rs71.7 from Rs57.4 and Rs68 for FY2010E and FY2011E respectively. We have correspondingly revised our FY2011E P/E multiple and SOTP-based target price to Rs1,425/ share from Rs1,375 earlier.

We retain our ADD rating based on (1) improving economic outlook, (2) stable political structure that has potential to catalyze infrastructural investments, (3 strong investments in capacity and capability enhancement and (4) strong balance sheet and cash flows that enable L\&T to capture opportunities in various areas including infrastructure development.
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## Revenue disappointment led by product segment slowdown

Larsen reported disappointing yoy revenue growth of about $11 \%$ (adjusted for sales of Rs2.7 bn from the ready mix concrete business) in 1QFY10, significantly below our estimates. The slowdown was led by the machinery and industrial products segment which reported a significant yoy revenue decline of $31 \%$. The company highlighted that all businesses within the segment saw a slowdown in the domestic and overseas geographies. The engineering and construction business, which contributes to $86 \%$ of total revenues, registered a growth of $18.6 \%$ in 1QFY10 while the electrical and electronics segment reported relatively flat revenues. The growth in the E\&C segment was led by the hydrocarbon and process sector.

Disappointing revenues offset by higher-than-expected margins
L\&T - key numbers - 1QFY10 (Rs mn)

|  |  | 1QFY10E | 1QFY09 | 4QFY09 | \%change |  |  | FY2010E | FY2009 | \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1QFY10 |  |  |  | 1QFY10E | 1QFY09 | 4QFY09 |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 73,627 | 85,659 | 69,014 | 104,670 | (14.0) | 6.7 | (29.7) | 407,900 | 336,486 | 21.2 |
| Expenses | $(65,764)$ | $(77,307)$ | $(62,441)$ | $(90,181)$ | (14.9) | 5.3 | (27.1) | $(360,380)$ | $(300,695)$ | 19.8 |
| Total RM consumption | $(19,459)$ |  | $(20,200)$ | $(25,556)$ |  | (3.7) | (23.9) | $(116,000)$ | $(90,256)$ | 28.5 |
| Subcontracting charges | $(19,283)$ |  | $(14,573)$ | $(23,621)$ |  | 32.3 | (18.4) | $(86,925)$ | $(72,236)$ | 20.3 |
| Construction materials | $(13,724)$ |  | $(15,395)$ | $(23,780)$ |  | (10.9) | (42.3) | $(93,529)$ | $(77,725)$ | 20.3 |
| Employee | $(5,115)$ |  | $(4,097)$ | $(3,765)$ |  | 24.8 | 35.9 | $(26,239)$ | $(19,980)$ | 31.3 |
| Other mfg. expenses | $(4,754)$ |  | $(4,971)$ | $(5,568)$ |  | (4.4) | (14.6) | $(16,475)$ | $(22,103)$ | (25.5) |
| Other S,G\&A | $(3,431)$ |  | $(3,205)$ | $(7,891)$ |  | 7.0 | (56.5) | $(21,211)$ | $(18,395)$ | 15.3 |
| Total other expenses | $(8,184)$ |  | $(8,177)$ | $(13,459)$ |  | 0.1 | (39.2) | $(37,686)$ | $(40,498)$ | (6.9) |
| Operating profit | 7,863 | 8,352 | 6,574 | 14,489 | (5.8) | 19.6 | (45.7) | 47,520 | 35,790 | 32.8 |
| Other income | 2,683 | 1,657 | 2,018 | 3,668 | 61.9 | 33.0 | (26.8) | 6,630 | 10,196 | (35.0) |
| EBIDTA | 10,547 | 10,009 | 8,592 | 18,157 | 5.4 | 22.8 | (41.9) | 54,150 | 45,986 | 17.8 |
| Interest | $(1,096)$ | $(1,068)$ | (382) | $(1,455)$ | 2.6 | 186.6 | (24.7) | $(4,271)$ | $(3,502)$ | 22.0 |
| Depreciation | (937) | (970) | (659) | (889) | (3.4) | 42.3 | 5.4 | $(4,367)$ | $(3,060)$ | 42.7 |
| PBT | 8,514 | 7,971 | 7,551 | 15,813 | 6.8 | 12.8 | (46.2) | 45,512 | 39,424 | 15.4 |
| Tax | $(2,730)$ | $(2,630)$ | $(2,526)$ | $(4,409)$ | 3.8 | 8.1 | (38.1) | $(14,109)$ | $(12,312)$ | 14.6 |
| Net profit | 5,783 | 5,341 | 5,024 | 11,404 | 8.3 | 15.1 | (49.3) | 31,403 | 27,112 | 15.8 |
| Extraordinary items | 10,199 | - | - | $(1,439)$ |  |  | (808.9) | - | 7,725 | (100.0) |
| RPAT | 15,982 | 5,341 | 5,024 | 9,965 | 199.3 | 218.1 | 60.4 | 31,403 | 34,837 | (9.9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Order details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Order booking | 95,700 |  | 122,000 | 125,170 |  | (21.6) | (23.5) | 605,784 | 516,210 | 17.4 |
| Order backlog | 716,530 |  | 582,000 | 703,000 |  | 23.1 | 1.9 | 959,570 | 703,000 | 36.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raw materials/sales | 45.1 |  | 51.6 | 47.1 |  | (6.5) |  | 51.4 | 49.9 |  |
| Subcontracting charges | 26.2 |  | 21.1 | 22.6 |  | 5.1 |  | 21.3 | 21.5 |  |
| Employee expenses/sales | 6.9 |  | 5.9 | 3.6 |  | 1.0 |  | 6.4 | 5.9 |  |
| Other manufacturing expenses | 6.5 |  | 7.2 | 5.3 |  | (0.7) |  | 4.0 | 6.6 |  |
| S G and A expenses/sales | 4.7 |  | 4.6 | 7.5 |  | 0.0 |  | 5.2 | 5.5 |  |
| Operating profit margin | 10.7 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 13.8 |  |  |  | 11.6 | 10.6 |  |
| EBIDTA margin | 14.3 | 11.7 | 12.4 | 17.3 |  |  |  | 13.3 | 13.7 |  |
| PBT Margin | 11.6 | 9.3 | 10.9 | 15.1 |  |  |  | 11.2 | 11.7 |  |
| Tax rate | 32.1 | 33.0 | 33.5 | 27.9 |  |  |  | 31.0 | 31.2 |  |

[^0]We can expect poor results for other product-oriented peers as well
The poor performance in the products segment could be the forerunner of weak results from product-oriented companies such as ABB, Siemens, Cummins, etc. High exposure to the products segment for $A B B$ and Siemens (about 40-50\% of revenues) could lead to results of these companies falling short of expectations led by lower-than-expected growth in the segment.

L\&T - segmental numbers, 1QFY10 (Rs mn)

|  |  | 1QFY09 | 4QFY09 | (\% chg) |  | yoy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1QFY10 |  |  | 1QFY09 | 4QFY09 | FY2010E | FY2009 | (\% chg) |
| Engineering and Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Order backlog | 699,500 | 563,360 | 687,530 | 24.2 | 1.7 | 959,570 | 687,530 | 39.6 |
| Revenues | 65,729 | 55,417 | 91,720 | 18.6 | (28.3) | 349,214 | 279,840 | 24.8 |
| EBITDA | 6,992 | 5,365 | 15,160 | 30.3 | (53.9) | 44,525 | 36,060 | 23.5 |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 10.6 | 9.7 | 16.5 |  |  | 12.8 | 12.9 |  |
| Electrical and Electronics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | 5,759 | 5,776 | 6,940 | (0.3) | (17.0) | 28,204 | 25,070 | 12.5 |
| EBITDA | 680 | 664 | 980 | 2.5 | (30.6) | 3,525 | 3,320 | 6.2 |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 11.8 | 11.5 | 14.1 |  |  | 12.5 | 13.2 |  |
| Machinery and Industrial products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | 4,370 | 6,335 | 6,070 | (31.0) | (28.0) | 23,970 | 23,970 | 0.0 |
| EBITDA | 954 | 1,463 | 1,210 | (34.8) | (21.2) | 4,195 | 4,810 | (12.8) |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 21.8 | 23.1 | 19.9 |  |  | 17.5 | 20.1 |  |
| Others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | 771 | 3,760 | 1,320 | (79.5) | (41.6) | 3,500 | 10,390 | (66.3) |
| EBITDA | 42 | 249 | (50) | (83.1) | (184.0) | 333 | 670 | (50.4) |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 5.4 | 6.6 | (3.8) |  |  | 9.5 | 6.4 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | 76,628 | 71,287 | 106,050 | 7.5 | (27.7) | 404,888 | 339,270 | 19.3 |
| EBITDA | 8,668 | 7,740 | 17,300 | 12.0 | (49.9) | 52,578 | 44,860 | 17.2 |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 11.3 | 10.9 | 16.3 |  |  | 13.0 | 13.2 |  |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Contribution margins have expanded; may have potential for better margins
Larsen reported operating profit margin expansion of about 120 bps yoy to $10.7 \%$ in 1QFY10 versus $9.5 \%$ in 1QFY09. We had expected the company to report relatively flat yoy operating margins of $9.8 \%$ in the quarter. The improvement in margins was led by a 140 bps decline in manufacturing expenses (raw materials + subcontracting charges), partially offset by a 30 bps yoy increase in employee and other expenses as a percentage of sales. In addition to the cost control, margin improvement was also contributed by larger proportion of orders crossing the margin recognition threshold.

Margin improvement of 90 bps yoy was seen in the key engineering and construction segment. The electrical and electronics segment reported relatively flat margins yoy while the machinery and industrial products segment reported a 130 bps yoy decline in margins.

EPC margins for a particular quarter are unreliable but we believe margins could beat expectations as the contribution margins have expanded during the quarter. In 2HFY10E, higher execution operating leverage may lead to better margins-if the contribution margin expansion sustains.

Sedate order inflows in 1Q; expects revival in ordering activity from 2HFY10E Larsen reported sedate order booking of Rs95.7 bn in 1QFY10, down 22\% yoy, from Rs122 bn in 1QFY09. The company expects order inflow activity to pick up from the second half of the fiscal with likely large order wins such as ONGC platform order, power equipment orders etc. We have built in an order inflow assumption of $17 \%$ and $15 \%$ for FY2010E and FY2011E, respectively. Larsen reported an order backlog of Rs716 bn at the end of 1 Q which provides a visibility of 1.7 years based on forward four quarter revenues.

Order book visibility maintained at about 1.7 years based on forward four quarter revenues Order booking, Order backlog \& Visibility trend for L\&T (Rs mn)


Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

## Management reiterates guidance for full-year revenues and order booking

Despite sedate orders in 1Q, the management maintained its aggressive guidance of 25$35 \%$ growth in order inflows, highlighting that inflow activity is likely to be back-ended for FY2010E. The company expects order inflow activity to pick up momentum from end2QFY10E. The guidance is not based on specific opportunities but more generally on (1) an analysis of all projects likely to be placed and (2) confidence in maintaining its historical strike rate in winning projects. The management also reiterated its guidance of 15-20\% growth for FY2010E with flat margins. The relatively moderate revenue growth guidance versus order inflows is due to the lead time between order inflow and accretion of revenues. The company expects the E\&C segment to report a healthy growth and MIP segment to improve performance only with recovery in the broad economic environment. The management also cited that margins for the firm should not be viewed on a quarter-to-quarter basis and hence margin expansion seen during the quarter may not continue. The company has maintained its guidance of flat yoy margins for FY2010E.

The management does not expect margin pressure from the increasing proportion of government work in its business mix. Its confidence stems from based on significant component of design and engineering skills, manufacturing and fabrication in L\&T's revenue mix.

Slight improvement in working capital—public sector projects may take a toll
L\&T has reported a slight improvement in working capital levels since March 2009. The company highlighted its focus on government sector orders as the private sector is still cautious. We highlight that the public sector orders typically involve lower margins and higher working capital levels. Hence, the increased emphasis on public sector orders could potentially lead to working capital deterioration for the company. The company highlighted that it has been able to stave off the increase in working capital levels so far but is facing incremental pressure in vendor payables.

Current investments of the firm increased significantly by, about Rs16 bn, led by (1) Rs10 bn due to deployment of proceeds from the stake sale of Ultratech and (2) Rs6 bn in earnings accruals.

Larsen - balance sheet highlights, as on June 2009 (Rs mn)

|  | Jun-09 | Mar-09 | Mar-09 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net worth | 141,490 | 124,590 | 95,520 |
| Loan funds | 65,850 | 65,560 | 35,840 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 690 | 490 | 610 |
| Total sources of funds | $\mathbf{2 0 8 , 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 0 , 6 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 , 9 7 0}$ |
| Net fixed assets | 53,800 | 51,950 | 36,450 |
| Current investments | 64,890 | 48,800 | 42,830 |
| Invt./ ICDs/ Loand and advances to subsidiaries \& associates | 54,330 | 53,900 | 26,800 |
| Other investments | 3,330 | 3,520 | 3,190 |
| Net current assets | 31,680 | 32,470 | 2,270 |
| Total application of funds | $\mathbf{2 0 8 , 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 0 , 6 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1 , 5 4 0}$ |

Source: Company

Weak subsidiary performance led by broad economic slowdown
L\&T reported poor performance in key subsidiaries, L\&T Infotech, L\&T Finance and L\&T Infrastructure Finance Ltd, led by the broad economic slowdown. L\&T Infotech reported a sedate 5\% yoy revenue growth and 1.6\% growth in PAT for 1QFY10. The business assets increased from Rs52.9 bn in March 2009 to Rs54 bn for L\&T Finance and from Rs24 bn to Rs30 bn for L\&T Infrastructure Finance Ltd.

Weak performance in subsidiaries led by economic slowdown
Performance of key subsidiaries in 1QFY10 (Rs mn)

|  | Revenues |  |  | PAT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1QFY10 | 1QFY09 | \% change | 1QFY10 | 1QFY09 | \% change |
| L\&T Infotech Ltd | 4,740 | 4,520 | 4.9 | 620 | 610 | 1.6 |
| L\&T Finance Ltd | 1,980 | 1,780 | 11.2 | 240 | 250 | (4.0) |
| L\&T Infrastructure Finance Ltd | 860 | 750 | 14.7 | 220 | 180 | 22.2 |

Source: Company

Revise earnings estimates and target price to Rs1,425/share; reiterate ADD
We have marginally revised our standalone earnings estimates to Rs52.6 and Rs63 from Rs49.4 and Rs59 for FY2010E and FY2011E, respectively, based on moderate changes to (a) other income and margin expectations versus earlier. This has led to a change in the consolidated earnings estimates to Rs60.1 and Rs71.7 from Rs57.4 and Rs68 for FY2010E and FY2011E respectively. We have correspondingly revised our FY2011E P/E multiple and SOTP-based target price to Rs1,425/ share from Rs1,375 earlier.

Change in earnings estimates of L\&T, March fiscal year-ends, 2010E and 2011E (Rs mn)

| Target price (Rs) | New estimates |  |  | Old estimates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,425 |  |  | 1,375 |  |  |
| Rating | ADD |  |  | ADD |  |  |
|  | FY2009 | FY2010E | FY2011E | FY2009 | FY2010E | FY2011E |
| Consolidated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | 390,186 | 466,457 | 575,854 | 390,186 | 475,008 | 582,394 |
| Operating profit | 54,654 | 64,833 | 77,571 | 54,654 | 64,282 | 76,349 |
| Operating profit margin (\%) | 14.0 | 13.9 | 13.5 | 14.0 | 13.5 | 13.1 |
| Profit before tax | 44,500 | 51,232 | 61,020 | 44,500 | 50,107 | 59,303 |
| Profit after tax | 31,192 | 35,880 | 43,008 | 31,192 | 34,254 | 40,793 |
| EPS (Rs) | 52.6 | 60.1 | 71.7 | 52.6 | 57.4 | 68.0 |
| EPS growth (\%) | 35.5 | 14.3 | 19.3 | 35.5 | 9.1 | 18.5 |
| Order booking | 516,000 | 605,784 | 696,652 | 516,000 | 591,465 | 680,185 |
| Order booking growth (\%) | 22.8 | 17.4 | 15.0 | 22.8 | 14.6 | 15.0 |
| Order backlog | 703,000 | 959,570 | 1,215,643 | 703,000 | 939,888 | 1,174,440 |
| Standalone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | 339,264 | 407,900 | 507,143 | 339,264 | 415,034 | 512,240 |
| Operating profit | 39,345 | 47,520 | 57,872 | 39,345 | 46,709 | 56,388 |
| Operating profit margin (\%) | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 11.3 | 11.0 |
| Profit before tax | 39,404 | 45,512 | 54,738 | 39,404 | 44,154 | 52,784 |
| Profit after tax | 27,092 | 31,403 | 37,769 | 27,092 | 29,583 | 35,365 |
| EPS (Rs) | 45.7 | 52.6 | 63.0 | 45.7 | 49.6 | 59.0 |
| EPS growth (\%) | 23.1 | 15.1 | 19.7 | 23.1 | 8.5 | 18.9 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Our target price of Rs1,425/share comprises (1) Rs1,139 from the core construction business based on 18X FY2011E expected earnings, (2) Rs79 from L\&T's service subsidiaries and (3) Rs38 from its manufacturing subsidiaries.

We retain our ADD rating based on (1) improving outlook for demand, reflected in the bounce back in commodity prices as well as financing environment-we have seen progress in the financial closures of several projects, (2) stable political structure that has potential to catalyze infrastructural investments, (3 strong investments in capacity and capability enhancement that would open new growth vistas such as power equipment, nuclear, defense and (4) strong balance sheet and cash flows that enable L\&T to capture opportunities in various areas including infrastructure development. Key risks originate from (1) continued order booking pressure led by a slowdown in capex in important areas such as Middle-East, metals, real estate, petrochemicals etc leading to lower-thanexpected earnings momentum going forward, and (2) likely pressure on working capital and margins with likely dominance of infrastructure orders.

Y2011E-based Sum of The Parts (SOTP) valuation of Larsen and Toubro

|  | Earnings/Book | FY11 multiple | Valuation basis | Stake | Value | Per share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Rs bn) | (X) |  | (\%) | (Rs bn) | (Rs) |
| Core company valuation | 37,769 | 18.0 | P/E | 100 | 680 | 1,139 |
| Key subsidiaries - services | 14,158 |  |  |  | 47 | 79 |
| L\&T Finance | 11,089 | 1.5 | P/B | 100 | 17 | 28 |
| L\&T Infotech | 3,069 | 10.0 | P/E | 100 | 31 | 51 |
| Key subsidiaries - manufacturing | 3,887 |  |  |  | 38 | 64 |
| Tractor Engineers | 161 | 13.0 | P/E | 100 | 2 | 4 |
| Associate companies* | 3,726 | 13.0 | P/E | 50 | 24 | 41 |
| Power equipment JVwth MHI | N.A. |  | DCF | 51 | 12 | 19 |
| Infrastructure SPVs | 30,989 | 2.5 | P/B | 79 | 61 | 103 |
| Other subsidiaries | 12,415 | 2.5 | P/B | 100 | 31 | 52 |
| Total subsidiaries |  |  |  |  | 177 | 297 |
| Grand total |  |  |  |  | 857 | 1,437 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

## Automobiles

Margin upside looks sustainable, raising target, rating. Bajaj Auto reported stronger-than-expected results as it took most of the currency benefits to its bottom line. 1QFY10 operating margin was 300 bps higher than our expectations. We have raised our EPS estimates for FY2010E to Rs85 from Rs65 to factor higher margin assumption of $19.5 \%$ versus $17.5 \%$ earlier. We raise our TP to Rs1,260 from Rs780 and upgrade our rating to ADD. Poor reception to the company's 100cc bike is a key risk.

| Company data and valuation summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bajaj Auto |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock data |  |  |  | Forecasts/Valuations | 2009 | 2010E | 2011E |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  |  | 212-262 | EPS (Rs) | 45.2 | 85.0 | 100.9 |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  |  | 166.0 | EPS growth (\%) | (13.4) | 87.9 | 18.7 |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  | P/E (X) | 25.4 | 13.5 | 11.4 |
| Promoters |  |  | 49.6 | Sales (Rs bn) | 84.4 | 104.1 | 111.8 |
| FIls |  |  | 13.9 | Net profits (Rs bn) | 6.5 | 12.3 | 14.6 |
| MFs |  |  | 3.4 | EBITDA (Rs bn) | 12.1 | 20.4 | 21.9 |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M | 12M | EV/EBITDA (X) | 14.9 | 8.3 | 7.3 |
| Absolute | 15.2 | 74.1 | 0.0 | ROE (\%) | 37.7 | 53.1 | 44.0 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | 19.1 | 33.8 | (11.8) | Div. Yield (\%) | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |

Upside mostly from higher-than-expected flow-through of currency benefit

Bajaj Auto reported 1QFY10 PAT of Rs2.9 bn versus our expectation of Rs2 bn. The Rs900 mn upside was largely driven by higher-than-expected benefit from currency. Most of the $15 \%$ increase in hedged currency rates fell to the bottom line compared to our estimate of only 50\% flowing thorough. As a result, the Rs1 bn in currency benefit was Rs500 mn higher than our estimate. The remaining PAT upside was driven by Rs218 mn in currency translation gains and Rs153 mn in lower-than-expected VRS charges. EBITDA margins for the quarter came in at 20\% versus 16\% reported in 4QFY09 and 12\% in 1QFY09. The 400 bps sequential improvement in EBITDA margins reflects the above mentioned currency benefit.

Raise FY2010E EPS to Rs85 and FY2011E estimate to Rs101 (from Rs65 and Rs73)

The increase in our earnings estimates is driven by higher margin assumptions, which we expect to remain at 1 Q levels. The sustainability of current margin levels is largely dependent on the ability of Bajaj to retain most of the currency gains. We had now modeling all of the Rs4 bn in currency gains to fall to the bottom line compared to half prior. This raised our EBITDA margin by 200 bps for the year. Our FY2010E EPS of Rs85 assumes $15 \%$ volume growth and 530 bps improvement in margins compared to $11 \%$ volume growth and 330 bps margin improvement. On volumes, we have assumed that the company is able to sell close to 20,000 units per month of the new Discover 100cc bike. For FY2011E, we have modeled a $9.5 \%$ volume growth and flat margins from FY2011E.

Raise TP to Rs1,260 on higher earnings and valuation multiple, upgrade to ADD from SELL
Our target price goes to Rs1,260 from Rs780 and reflects 12.5 X our FY2011E EPS estimate of Rs101. Rs350 of the Rs 480 increase is driven by higher earnings estimate of Rs28. The remaining Rs 130 target increase reflects a higher valuation multiple of 12.5 X versus the 11 X we were assigning earlier. We are now not valuing Bajaj Auto stock at a similar multiple to Hero Honda. Comparable margins and volume growth, we believe do not justify a discount. The biggest risks to our thesis would be a poor response to Bajaj's 100cc bike, stronger competition in the '> 150cc' segment and export markets. These could force Bajaj to surrender some of the margin gains.
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Recent new launches (Pulsar 220) and likely launch of Discover 100cc "volume" bike to drive volumes

1QFY10 volumes grew 26 \% sequentially led mainly by (1) the recently launched Pulsar 220 (June '09) and (2) upgrades of Pulsar 150 and Pulsar 180. We believe that the full impact of the new launches will be reflected in the coming months. Besides, Bajaj plans to launch a new a 100 cc "volume" bike in the next couple of days to enable double-digit growth in motorcycle volumes. The launch of the 100 cc bike is an attempt to re-enter the 100cc segment. We have modeled motorcycle volume growth at $16.3 \%$ and $10 \%$ for FY2010E and FY2011E, respectively.

## Better-than-expected results on account of higher export realizations

Bajaj Auto reported 1QFY09 net profit at Rs2.9 bn—ahead of our estimate of Rs2 bn. The better-than-expected performance was mainly on account of (1) exports being booked at Rs48/US\$ resulting in higher export realizations and (2) richer product mix in favor of higher-end bikes. Consequently, EBITDA margin at 20.2\% grew sharply yoy as well as qoq (EBITDA margin for 1QFY09 and 4QFY09 was $12 \%$ and $16 \%$, respectively).

Net revenue at Rs22.6 bn was up 1.2\% yoy and $26 \%$ qoq. This was mainly on account of (1) improved realizations-net realization grew $14.6 \%$ yoy and (2) 1QFY10 volumes at 547,662 declined $12 \%$ yoy. On a sequential basis, volumes grew $24.4 \%$ while realizations were up $1.6 \%$.

Weaker domestic currency in 1QFY10 resulted in higher export realizations. 1QFY10 exports at Rs6.4 bn grew 29\% qoq while it remained flat on a yoy basis. Export revenues were flat on a yoy basis despite a decline in volume sales (10\% yoy) while revenues were higher on a qoq basis mainly due to the currency impact. We believe export realizations will likely remain stable in the next few quarters as Bajaj has entered into range-forwards in order to protect its revenues.

Interim results of Bajaj Auto, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 1QFY10 | 1QFY10E | 1QFY09 | 4QFY09 | (\% chg.) |  |  | 2010E | 2009 | (\%chg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1QFY10E | 1QFY09 | 4QFY09 |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 22,590 | 22,180 | 22,315 | 17,875 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 26.4 | 104,064 | 84,369 | 23.3 |
| Total expenditure | 18,831 | 19,376 | 20,440 | 15,976 | (2.8) | (7.9) | 17.9 | 87,938 | 76,182 | 15.4 |
| Inc/(Dec) in stock | (477) | 50 | (347) | (16) | $(1,053.6)$ | 37.6 | 2,956.4 | (43) | (245) | (82.5) |
| Raw materials | $(14,993)$ | $(16,131)$ | $(17,108)$ | $(12,936)$ | (7.1) | (12.4) | 15.9 | $(74,115)$ | $(64,390)$ | 15.1 |
| Staff cost | $(1,129)$ | (925) | $(1,081)$ | (946) | 22.1 | 4.4 | 19.3 | $(4,387)$ | $(3,544)$ | 23.8 |
| Other expenditure | $(2,244)$ | $(2,400)$ | $(1,935)$ | $(2,094)$ | (6.5) | 16.0 | 7.1 | $(9,493)$ | $(8,148)$ | 16.5 |
| Expenses capitalized | 13 | 30 | 31 | 17 | (57.0) | (58.1) | (22.3) | 100 | 144 |  |
| Other operating income | 795 | 900 | 793 | 959 | (11.7) | 0.3 | (17.1) | 4,320 | 3,910 |  |
| EBITDA | 4,554 | 3,704 | 2,668 | 2,858 | 23.0 | 70.7 | 59.3 | 20,447 | 12,097 | 69.0 |
| OPM (\%) | 20.2 | 16.7 | 12.0 | 16.0 |  |  |  | 19.6 | 14.3 |  |
| Other income | 231 | 250 | 288 | 229 | (7.4) | (19.6) | 1.0 | 881 | 1,043 | (15.6) |
| Interest | (60) | (60) | (9) | (52) | (0.5) | 548.9 | 14.8 | (263) | (210) | 25.0 |
| Depreciation | (331) | (400) | (335) | (313) | (17.3) | (1.3) | 5.7 | $(1,406)$ | $(1,298)$ | 8.3 |
| Pretax profits | 4,395 | 3,494 | 2,611 | 2,723 | 25.8 | 68.3 | 61.4 | 19,659 | 11,632 | 69.0 |
| Extraordinaries | 240 | 661 | - | 829 | (63.7) |  | (71.0) | 1,833 | 2,051 |  |
| Tax | 1,220 | 865 | 860 | 591 | 41.1 | 41.9 | 106.4 | 5,526 | 3,016 | 83.2 |
| Net income | 2,935 | 2,018 | 1,751 | 1,302 | 45.4 | 67.6 | 125.4 | 12,300 | 6,565 | 87.4 |
| Income tax rate (\%) | 29.4 | 30.0 | 32.9 | 31.2 |  |  |  | 31.0 | 31.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RM to sales (\%) | 68.5 | 72.7 | 78.2 | 72.5 |  |  |  | 71.3 | 76.6 |  |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 20.2 | 16.7 | 12.0 | 16.0 |  |  |  | 19.6 | 14.3 |  |
| Net profit margin (\%) | 13.0 | 8.9 | 7.8 | 7.3 |  |  |  | 11.8 | 7.8 |  |
| ETR (\%) | 29.4 | 30.0 | 32.9 | 31.2 |  |  |  | 31.0 | 31.5 |  |
| EPS (Rs) | 20.3 | 13.7 | 12.1 | 9.0 |  |  |  | 85.0 | 45.4 |  |
| Other details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales volumes (\# vehicles) | 547,662 | 547,662 | 620,095 | 440,269 | - | (11.7) | 24.4 | 2,525,883 | 2,194,154 | 15.1 |
| Net sales realisation (Rs/vehicle) | 41,248 | 40,500 | 35,987 | 40,601 | 1.8 | 14.6 | 1.6 | 41,199 | 38,452 | 7.1 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Bajaj Auto, Change in estimates, March fiscal year-ends, 2010E-11E (Rs mn)

|  | Revised estimates |  | Old estimates |  | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010E | 2011E | 2010E | 2011E | 2010E | 2011E |
| Net sales | 104,064 | 111,806 | 93,975 | 98,963 | 10.7 | 13.0 |
| EBITDA | 20,447 | 21,906 | 16,655 | 16,316 | 22.8 | 34.3 |
| PAT | 12,300 | 14,603 | 9,441 | 10,572 | 30.3 | 38.1 |
| EPS (Rs) | 85.0 | 100.9 | 65.3 | 73.1 | 30.2 | 38.1 |
| Volumes (\# vehicles) | 2,525,883 | 2,762,193 | 2,363,520 | 2,494,965 | 6.9 | 10.7 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Bajaj Auto, Volume assumptions, March fiscal year ends, 2006-2011E

|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volumes (\# vehicles) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motorcycles | 1,913,094 | 2,376,519 | 2,139,633 | 1,907,810 | 2,218,415 | 2,440,256 |
| Domestic | 1,747,806 | 2,078,860 | 1,658,084 | 1,276,427 | 1,555,463 | 1,711,009 |
| Exports | 165,288 | 297,659 | 481,549 | 631,383 | 662,952 | 729,247 |
| Scooters | 115,472 | 20,480 | 21,294 | 11,772 | 7,887 | 7,887 |
| Geared | 62,860 | 5,254 | - | - | - | - |
| Ungeared | 52,612 | 15,226 | 20,817 | 9,692 | 6,494 | 6,494 |
| Exports | - | - | 477 | 2,080 | 1,394 | 1,394 |
| Total 2-wheelers | 2,028,566 | 2,396,999 | 2,160,927 | 1,919,582 | 2,226,302 | 2,448,143 |
| Domestic 3-Wheelers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passenger 3-wheelers | 141,351 | 138,759 | 127,379 | 125,273 | 150,328 | 157,844 |
| Goods 3-wheelers | 35,394 | 42,374 | 26,607 | 10,197 | 10,197 | 10,197 |
| Exports | 75,261 | 140,663 | 136,315 | 139,056 | 139,056 | 146,009 |
| Total 3-wheelers | 252,006 | 321,796 | 290,301 | 274,526 | 299,581 | 314,050 |
| Total vehicles | 2,280,572 | 2,718,795 | 2,451,228 | 2,194,108 | 2,525,883 | 2,762,193 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth rates (yoy \%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motorcycles | 30.2 | 24.2 | (10.0) | (10.8) | 16.3 | 10.0 |
| Domestic | 30.0 | 18.9 | (20.2) | (23.0) | 21.9 | 10.0 |
| Exports | 33.4 | 80.1 | 61.8 | 31.1 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| Scooters | (13.5) | (82.3) | 4.0 | (44.7) | (33.0) | - |
| Geared | (38.7) | (91.6) | (100.0) | - | - | - |
| Ungeared | 70.1 | (71.1) | 36.7 | (53.4) | (33.0) | - |
| Exports | - | - | - | 336.1 | (33.0) | - |
| Total 2-wheelers | 26.6 | 18.2 | (9.8) | (11.2) | 16.0 | 10.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic 3-Wheelers | 13.1 | 2.5 | (15.0) | (12.0) | 18.5 | 4.7 |
| Passenger 3-wheelers | 14.2 | (1.8) | (8.2) | (1.7) | 20.0 | 5.0 |
| Goods 3-wheelers | 9.1 | 19.7 | (37.2) | (61.7) | - | - |
| Exports | 14.5 | 86.9 | (3.1) | 2.0 | - | 5.0 |
| Total 3-wheelers | 13.5 | 27.7 | (9.8) | (5.4) | 9.1 | 4.8 |
| TOTAL Vehicles | 25.0 | 19.2 | (9.8) | (10.5) | 15.1 | 9.4 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Bajaj Auto, Profit model and Balance Sheet, March fiscal year-ends, 2008-2011E (Rs mn)

|  | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 86,633 | 84,369 | 104,064 | 111,806 |
| Operating profit | 12,900 | 12,097 | 20,447 | 21,906 |
| Other income | 12,900 | 12,097 | 20,447 | 21,906 |
| Interest | (52) | (210) | (263) | (263) |
| Depreciaiton | $(1,740)$ | $(1,298)$ | $(1,406)$ | $(1,483)$ |
| Profit before tax | 12,371 | 11,632 | 19,659 | 21,164 |
| Extra-ordinary itemss | $(1,025)$ | $(2,071)$ | $(1,833)$ | - |
| Taxes | $(3,788)$ | $(3,016)$ | $(5,526)$ | $(6,561)$ |
| Net profit | 7,558 | 6,545 | 12,300 | 14,603 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 54.2 | 45.2 | 85.0 | 100.9 |
| Balance sheet (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |
| Equity | 15,876 | 18,697 | 27,612 | 38,829 |
| Deferred tax liability | 110 | 42 | - | - |
| Total Borrowings | 13,343 | 15,700 | 14,379 | 13,189 |
| Current liabilities | 18,773 | 24,376 | 24,492 | 25,240 |
| Total liabilities | 48,102 | 58,814 | 66,482 | 77,259 |
| Net fixed assets | 12,928 | 15,481 | 15,825 | 16,342 |
| Investments | 18,571 | 18,085 | 18,860 | 19,633 |
| Cash | 561 | 1,369 | 9,961 | 18,258 |
| Other current assets | 15,936 | 21,884 | 21,183 | 21,739 |
| Miscellaneous expenditure | 105 | 163 | 163 | 163 |
| Deferred tax assets | - | - | 491 | 1,124 |
| Total assets | 48,102 | 56,981 | 66,482 | 77,259 |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |
| Operating margin (\%) | 14.9 | 14.3 | 19.6 | 19.6 |
| PAT margin (\%) | 8.7 | 7.8 | 11.8 | 13.1 |
| Debt/equity (X) | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Net debt/equity (X) | 0.0 | 0.2 | (0.2) | (0.4) |
| Book Value (Rs/share) | 114.6 | 129.5 | 190.8 | 268.3 |
| RoAE (\%) | 21.0 | 37.7 | 53.1 | 44.0 |
| RoACE (\%) | 72.5 | 44.1 | 60.1 | 50.8 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

## Media

Stronger-than-expected 1QFY10 results despite weak ad revenues. ZEEL reported stronger-than-expected 1QFY10 net income at Rs1.0 bn versus our expectation of Rs0.8 bn; the positive variance reflects sharp (and surprising) $36 \%$ qoq decline in SG\&A expenses. ZEEL reported 1QFY10 revenues at Rs4.8 bn versus our expectation of Rs5.1 bn due to major cricket events (IPL and T20 World Cup). We retain our REDUCE rating with a 12-month DCF-based target price of Rs160 (Rs145 previously).

| Company data and valuation summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zee Entertainment Enterprises |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock data |  |  |  | Forecasts/Valuations | 2009 | 2010E | 2011E |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  |  | 255-88 | EPS (Rs) | 8.3 | 10.0 | 12.0 |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  |  | 78.1 | EPS growth (\%) | (6.5) | 20.6 | 19.5 |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  | P/E (X) | 21.7 | 18.0 | 15.1 |
| Promoters |  |  | 41.5 | Sales (Rs bn) | 21.7 | 22.7 | 25.4 |
| Flls |  |  | 29.5 | Net profits (Rs bn) | 3.6 | 4.3 | 5.2 |
| MFs |  |  | 10.0 | EBITDA (Rs bn) | 5.3 | 6.1 | 7.5 |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M | 12M | EV/EBITDA ( X ) | 15.5 | 12.9 | 10.4 |
| Absolute | 7.6 | 41.1 | (3.1) | ROE (\%) | 11.9 | 13.0 | 14.4 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | 9.3 | 9.2 | (10.8) | Div. Yield (\%) | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 |

Stronger-than-expected 1QFY10 results though ad revenues disappoint

- Weaker-than-expected 1QFY10 ad revenues at Rs2 bn (-29\% yoy, -13\% qoq) on account of two major cricket tournaments.
- $3 \%$ qoq growth in subscription revenues entirely due to improved realizations from DTH; cable, international revenues were stable qoq.
- $11 \%$ qoq decline in employee expenses given cost rationalization measures by the company; positive trend given the challenging conditions.
- Impressive but surprising 36\% qoq decline in SG\&A expenses (overheads); we do not expect such low spends to be sustained.

Investment rationale and view remain largely unchanged

- Sustainability of sharply lower spending on content, overheads (advertising and promotion, etc.) is difficult given the competitive scenario, in our view. The management also agrees that spends may vary on a quarterly basis depending on its strategy, competition.
- Sharp 10\% rally in stock post-1 QFY10 results precludes any significant investment opportunity; valuations at 18X revised FY2010E EPS estimates leave little room for upside.
- We highlight continued strong operational performance of the Zee TV channel as key performance driver; await better valuations for entry.
- Retain REDUCE but with higher 12-month DCF-based target price of Rs160 (Rs145 previously); revised FY2010E and FY2011E EPS estimates to Rs10.0 (Rs9.3 previously) and Rs12.0 (Rs11.2 previously) led by (1) reduced expenses but partially negated by (2) lower revenues.
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1QFY10 results analysis-the good, the bad and the mysterious
The good-stronger-than-expected EBITDA on cost rationalization. ZEEL reported stronger-than-expected 1QFY10 EBITDA at Rs1.2 bn versus our Rs1.1 bn expectation; the variance was on account of cost rationalization measures initiated by the management, which negated weaker-than-expected revenues. We had previously noted the need for right sizing (see our 4QFY09 results analysis 'Marginally weak 4QFY09 results but operational performance is starting to improve' dated April 22, 2009) given the challenging macro environment and management's resolve for the same. ZEEL reported 1QFY10 employee expenses at Rs390 mn ( $-11 \%$ qoq, $-2 \%$ yoy excluding Rs290 mn of one-off expenses in 1QFY09) versus our Rs450 mn estimate.

Interim results of Zee Entertainment (ZEEL), March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 1QFY10 | 1QFY10E | 1QFY09 | 4QFY09 | (\% chg) |  |  | FY2009 | FY2008 | (\% chg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1QFY10E | 1QFY09 | 4QFY09 |  |  |  |
| Total revenues | 4,759 | 5,167 | 5,420 | 5,137 | (8) | (12) | (7) | 21,730 | 18,354 | 18 |
| Advertising revenues | 1,980 | 2,284 | 2,798 | 2,284 | (13) | (29) | (13) | 10,618 | 9,307 | 14 |
| Subscription revenues | 2,410 | 2,375 | 2,150 | 2,345 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 9,014 | 7,436 | 21 |
| --Domestic subscription | 1,318 | 1,230 | 1,053 | 1,230 | 7 | 25 | 7 | 4,523 | 3,394 | 33 |
| --International subscription | 1,092 | 1,115 | 1,097 | 1,115 | (2) | (0) | (2) | 4,490 | 3,933 | 14 |
| Others (incl. movies) | 370 | 508 | 471 | 508 | (27) | (21) | (27) | 2,098 | 1,611 | 30 |
| Total expenditure | $(3,589)$ | $(3,950)$ | $(3,978)$ | $(3,936)$ | (9) | (10) | (9) | $(16,398)$ | $(12,931)$ | 27 |
| Cost of revenues | $(2,392)$ | $(2,300)$ | $(2,366)$ | $(2,241)$ | 4 | 1 | 7 | $(9,904)$ | $(7,818)$ | 27 |
| Employee costs | (390) | (450) | (691) | (439) | (13) | (44) | (11) | $(2,025)$ | $(1,438)$ | 41 |
| SG\&A expenses | (808) | $(1,200)$ | (921) | $(1,255)$ | (33) | (12) | (36) | $(4,468)$ | $(3,675)$ | 22 |
| EBITDA | 1,170 | 1,217 | 1,442 | 1,202 | (4) | (19) | (3) | 5,332 | 5,423 | (2) |
| OPM (\%) | 24.6 | 23.6 | 26.6 | 23.4 |  |  |  | 24.5 | 29.5 |  |
| Other income | 325 | 450 | 278 | 444 | (28) | 17 | (27) | 1,403 | 1,138 | 23 |
| Interest expense | (91) | (400) | (214) | (509) | (77) | (57) | (82) | $(1,331)$ | (516) | 158 |
| D\&A expenses | (75) | (100) | (55) | (99) | (25) | 36 | (24) | (304) | (232) | 31 |
| Pretax profits | 1,329 | 1,167 | 1,450 | 1,038 | 14 | (8) | 28 | 5,099 | 5,813 | (12) |
| Extraordinaries | - | - | 574 | 260 |  |  |  | 1,651 | (26) |  |
| Tax provision | (416) | (300) | (417) | (330) | 39 | (0) | 26 | $(1,552)$ | $(1,627)$ | (5) |
| Minority interest | 106 | - | (6) | (3) |  | $(1,863)$ | $(3,212)$ | (23) | (328) | (93) |
| Adj. net income | 1,019 | 867 | 1,027 | 705 | 17 | (1) | 45 | 5,175 | 3,833 | 35 |
| Tax rate (\%) | 31.3 | 25.7 | 28.8 | 31.8 |  |  |  | 30.4 | 28.0 |  |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

The bad-continued weakness in advertising revenues. ZEEL reported weaker-thanexpected 1QFY10 advertising revenues at Rs2.0 bn ( $-29 \%$ yoy, $-13 \%$ qoq) versus our expectation of Rs2.2 bn. The weak advertising revenue performance was largely on account of three factors-(1) high base effect given ad rate hike taken by ZEEL in 1QFY09 on the back strong ratings performance, (2) continued weakness in ad revenue market and most important, (3) the impact of two major cricket events notably IPL Season 2 and T20 World Cup in 1QFY10, which impacted advertising flows from categories such as telecom, insurance and autos. We believe the worst in terms of ad revenue decline is over though recovery may still be some time away.

The mysterious-SG\&A expenses, DTH revenues and other operations. ZEEL reported 1QFY10 SG\&A expenses at Rs808 mn, a sharp and impressive $36 \%$ qoq decline from Rs1.25 bn reported in 4QFY09 and our Rs1.2 bn estimate. We did expect some progress on cost rationalization given the large increase in overhead costs over the past few years on account of rising competitive intensity and investments by ZEEL to shore up its core operations; we are positively inclined on management's efforts to right size the business. However, the reported improvement (see Exhibit 2) was far ahead of our expectations; ZEEL's reported 1QFY10 SG\&A expenses were below the reported overhead costs for every quarter since 3QFY08.

Trend in reported overhead expenses of Zee Entertainment (Rs mn)


Source: Company data, compiled by Kotak institutional Equities

Also, we find it difficult to reconcile with ZEEL management on the same-(1) Zee Next channel was almost closed by end-4QFY09 and the scope for incremental savings was limited, (2) ZEEL had reduced its afternoon programming in 4QFY09 without any impact on SG\&A, (3) the number of new program launches remained unchanged from 4QFY09 ('Pavitra Risshta', 'Aap Ki Antara' and 'SaReGaMaPa Lil Champs' in 1QFY10 versus 'Choti Bahu', 'Bitiya' and 'Dance India Dance' in 4QFY09) and (4) some additional events during 4QFY09 (Zee Carnival). Debt provisions and write-offs account for a large part of SG\&A expenses; we believe lower provisions may have contributed to lower SG\&A expenses in 1QFY10, which may not be sustainable.

DTH revenues—back on track and how. ZEEL reported 1QFY10 subscription revenues at Rs2.4 bn, marginally ahead of our estimates. Stronger-than-expected DTH realizations at Rs467 mn (+33\% qoq) in 1QFY10 were the key driver of subscription revenues. We highlight that DTH revenues have come back on track from the trend of weak growth (out of line with industry subscriber additions) in a couple of quarters (2Q-4QFY09). However, the element of surprise stems from (1) 1QFY10 DTH revenue growth outpacing the growth in subscriber additions (see Exhibit 3) and more important, (2) significant reduction in content costs at Dish TV, ZEEL's sister DTH concern, in 4QFY09. We find it hard to reconcile the opposing signals coming from broadcasters (improved realizations) and DTH operators (bargaining power, reduced content costs).

Trend in ZEEL's DTH revenues and industry subscriber base


Source: Company data, compiled by Kotak institutional Equities

Other operations and interest expenses. Exhibit 4 presents the analysis of ZEEL's other operations; we note that Sports (Taj TV/Ten Sports) and overseas (US and UK) operations are the key drivers of ZEEL 'rest'. We would highlight that the performance of ZEEL 'rest' has improved meaningfully (1QFY10 EBITDA of Rs200 mn versus Rs43 mn in 4QFY09) despite (1) Sports business reporting an operating loss of Rs 272 mn in 1QFY10 versus operating profit of Rs137 mn in 4QFY09 and (2) continued weakness in international subscription revenues in 1QFY10 at Rs1.1 bn (-2\% yoy, $-1 \%$ qoq); we need to clarify the same with the management. The 1QFY10 reported interest expenses at Rs91 mn imply an interest rate of $7.2 \%$ given average gross debt of Rs5.1 bn in 1QFY10; the management attributed the same to better debt management.

Key financials of ZEEL, consolidated and standalone (Rs mn)

|  | ZEEL consolidated |  |  | ZEEL standalone |  |  | ZEEL "rest" |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1QFY10 | 4QFY09 | FY2009 | 1QFY10 | 4QFY09 | FY2009 | 1QFY10 | 4QFY09 | FY2009 |
| Revenues | 4,759 | 5,137 | 21,730 | 2,389 | 2,872 | 12,093 | 2,370 | 2,266 | 9,637 |
| EBITDA | 1,170 | 1,202 | 5,332 | 900 | 978 | 3,615 | 270 | 224 | 1,716 |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 24.6 | 23.4 | 24.5 | 37.7 | 34.1 | 29.9 | 11.4 | 9.9 | 17.8 |
| Pre-tax profits | 1,329 | 1,038 | 5,099 | 1,083 | 1,017 | 3,761 | 246 | 21 | 1,338 |
| Tax | 416 | 330 | 1,552 | 370 | 352 | 1,301 | 46 | (22) | 251 |
| Adjusted net income | 913 | 708 | 3,547 | 713 | 665 | 2,460 | 200 | 43 | 1,087 |
| Tax rate (\%) | 31.3 | 31.8 | 30.4 | 34.2 | 34.6 | 34.6 | 18.5 | (102.3) | 18.8 |

Note:
(a) ZEEL "rest" primarily comprises of overseas operations.

Source: Company data, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities

## Investment rationale-operations and valuations

Operational performance has improved without any doubt. Exhibit 5 presents the GRP performance of key Hindi GE channels over the last few years; we would highlight the robust rating gains by Zee TV, ZEEL's flagship channel, for the last few quarters on account of revamped content slate that has been appreciated by the mass Indian audience. Zee TV has done well to hold off strong competition from new entrants such as NDTV Imagine and Colors and other incumbents, Star Plus and Sony TV, have been impacted more by the entry of competition. We credit ZEEL's management for the operational performance and believe this positions ZEEL well given the improvement in ad revenue market.


[^1]Weakness in ad revenues to continue for some time; quantum of recovery unclear. ZEEL's 1QFY10 ad revenues provide a clear picture of the extent of weakness in ad revenue market since at least one of the two major sports events (IPL) was on air last year as well but did not have any large impact on ZEEL. We expect the weakness in ad revenues to continue for some time as the emergence of competition has also given some options to advertisers and put pressure on ad rates. We expect ad revenues to remain under pressure in 2QFY08 (also on account of a high base effect) and 3QFY08 (also T20 Champions League cricket) before showing signs of recovery. Even so, we are wary of modeling a definitively large ad revenue growth expectation for FY2011E (we expect $14 \%$ yoy ad revenue growth in FY2011E currently).

Valuations-certainly not inexpensive post impressive rally. ZEEL is currently valued at 18X FY2010E EPS estimates (revised to Rs10.0 versus Rs9.3 previously); we do not believe there is much comfort in current valuations. Moreover, we believe the valuations largely capture the improved operational performance given (1) continued weakness in ad revenue markets and near-term impact on financial performance and (2) increase in competitive intensity, which may become a reason for concern. We do not believe weak competition has much chance of survival in the long run (see Exhibit 6) but we have not yet seen any exits from the market as well. NDTV Imagine and Sony TV, given they can resolve their funding constraints, could potentially surprise negatively.


Source: TAM Media Research, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities

Earnings revisions-reduced expenses.
We have revised our FY2010E and FY2011E EPS estimates to Rs10.0 (Rs9.3 previously) and Rs12.0 (Rs11.2 previously) to account for 1QFY10 results.

- Advertising revenues. We have reduced our FY2010E and FY2011E ad revenue estimates to Rs10.1 bn (Rs10.7 bn previously) and Rs11.5 bn (Rs12.0 bn previously) to account for weak ad revenue market and impact of cricket events.
- Employee expenses. We have reduced our FY2010E and FY2011E employee expenses to Rs1.9 bn (Rs2.1 bn previously) and Rs2.1 bn (Rs2.5 bn previously).
- SG\&A expenses. We have reduced our FY2010E and FY2011E SG\&A expenses to Rs3.9 bn (Rs4.4 bn) and Rs4.4 bn (Rs4.8 bn).

Consolidated financial summary of ZEEL, March fiscal year-ends, 2007-2013E (Rs mn)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 E}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total revenues | $\mathbf{1 5 , 1 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 7 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 6 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 4 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 4 5 5}$ |
| EBITDA | $\mathbf{3 , 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 4 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 4 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 7 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 1 7 5}$ |
| Other income | 747 | 1,138 | 1,406 | 1,158 | 1,125 | 1,223 | 1,248 |
| Interest | $(334)$ | $(516)$ | $(1,217)$ | $(519)$ | $(375)$ | $(325)$ | $(138)$ |
| Depreciation | $(185)$ | $(232)$ | $(304)$ | $(368)$ | $(383)$ | $(403)$ | $(423)$ |
| Amortization | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pretax profits | $\mathbf{3 , 4 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 8 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 4 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 8 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 8 6 3}$ |
| Extraordinary items | - | $(26)$ | 1,651 | - | - | - | - |
| Tax | $(926)$ | $(1,794)$ | $(1,573)$ | $(2,016)$ | $(2,527)$ | $(3,140)$ | $(3,662)$ |
| Deferred tax | $(76)$ | 168 | $(15)$ | $(6)$ | $(3)$ | - | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Minority interest | $(58)$ | $(328)$ | $(23)$ | $(49)$ | $(106)$ | $(118)$ | $(193)$ |
| Net income | $\mathbf{2 , 3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 0 1 1}$ |
| Recurring net income | $\mathbf{2 , 3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 0 1 1}$ |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | $\mathbf{5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2}$ |


| Balance sheet (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total equity | 26,181 | $\mathbf{2 8 , 6 1 1}$ | 32,447 | 34,867 | 37,617 | 40,698 | 44,258 |
| Deferred tax balance | $(75)$ | $(243)$ | $(229)$ | $(223)$ | $(220)$ | $(220)$ | $(223)$ |
| Minority interest | 819 | 1,117 | 1,140 | 1,189 | 1,294 | 1,412 | 1,605 |
| Total borrowings | 3,226 | 3,866 | 6,866 | 3,755 | 3,755 | 2,755 | 0 |
| Currrent liabilities | 5,106 | 6,279 | 7,256 | 7,673 | 7,950 | 8,354 | 8,872 |
| Total capital | $\mathbf{3 5 , 2 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 , 6 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 , 4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 , 2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 3 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 , 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 , 5 1 2}$ |
| Cash | 955 | 1,652 | 2,232 | 2,342 | 4,196 | 5,167 | 4,963 |
| Current assets | 17,133 | 19,856 | 24,898 | 24,612 | 25,927 | 27,587 | 29,327 |
| Net fixed assets | 14,841 | 15,605 | 16,102 | 16,059 | 16,026 | 15,998 | 15,975 |
| Investments | 2,326 | 2,515 | 4,247 | 4,247 | 4,247 | 4,247 | 4,247 |
| Deferred expenditure | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total assets | $\mathbf{3 5 , 2 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 , 6 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 , 4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 , 2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 3 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 , 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 , 5 1 2}$ |


| Free cash flow (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operating cash flow, excl. working capital | 1,812 | 3,898 | 4,293 | 3,714 | 4,652 | 5,423 | 6,475 |
| Working capital | $(486)$ | $(1,622)$ | $(4,065)$ | 703 | $(1,037)$ | $(1,257)$ | $(1,222)$ |
| Capital expenditure | $(460)$ | $(1,019)$ | $(800)$ | $(325)$ | $(350)$ | $(375)$ | $(400)$ |
| Investments | $(4,289)$ | $(1,511)$ | $(1,732)$ | - | - | - | - |
| Other income | 469 | 876 | 1,406 | 1,158 | 1,125 | 1,223 | 1,248 |
| Free cash flow | $\mathbf{( 2 , 9 5 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 8 9 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 1 0 2}$ |


| Revenue model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Advertising revenues | 7,035 | 9,307 | 10,618 | 10,069 | 11,448 | 12,983 | 14,510 |
| Subscription-domestic | 3,113 | 3,460 | 4,524 | 5,628 | 6,593 | 7,615 | 8,692 |
| Subscription-overseas | 1,933 | 3,946 | 4,490 | 4,865 | 5,055 | 5,301 | 5,577 |
| Others | 1,078 | 1,640 | 2,098 | 2,091 | 2,298 | 2,526 | 2,676 |
| Total revenues | $\mathbf{1 5 , 1 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 7 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 6 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 4 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 4 5 5}$ |

[^2]Strong performance in 1QFY10; re-entering capex cycle. Welspun reported 1QFY10 results largely in line with our estimates with adjusted PAT of Rs 1.1 bn (up $41 \%$ yoy). The company announced capex plans of Rs5.5 bn over next 12-15 months for setting up 0.6 mn tons of new pipe capacity. We increase our target price to Rs145 but maintain our REDUCE rating due to high valuations and limited visibility for FY2011E revenues.

| Company data and valuation summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Welspun Gujarat Stahl Rohren |  |  |  | Forecasts/Valuations |  |  |  |
| Stock data |  |  |  |  | 2009 | 2010E | 2011E |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  |  | 375-45 | EPS (Rs) | 17.3 | 24.0 | 17.8 |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  |  | 37.3 | EPS growth (\%) | (15.7) | 38.7 | (25.8) |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  | P/E (X) | 11.4 | 8.2 | 11.1 |
| Promoters |  |  | 43.9 | Sales (Rs bn) | 57.4 | 71.0 | 60.0 |
| Flls |  |  | 14.0 | Net profits (Rs bn) | 3.2 | 4.5 | 3.3 |
| MFs |  |  | 9.6 | EBITDA (Rs bn) | 8.1 | 10.3 | 8.4 |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M | 12M | EV/EBITDA ( X ) | 6.7 | 4.8 | 5.6 |
| Absolute | (2.7) | 121.7 | (30.9) | ROE (\%) | 17.8 | 21.7 | 13.4 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | (0.8) | 71.5 | (36.5) | Div. Yield (\%) | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 |

1QFY10 performance on expected lines; higher margins drive PAT marginally ahead of estimate
Welspun's 1QFY10 results were largely in line with our estimates. Adjusted PAT of Rs 1.1 bn (up $41 \%$ yoy) was higher than our estimate of Rs1 bn mainly due to better margins. Revenues at Rs 18.8 bn (up $72 \%$ yoy) were in line with our estimate of Rs 17.9 bn. EBITDA margin at $14.1 \%$ was higher than our estimate of $12.9 \%$ due to the higher composition of LSAW pipes.

New capex plan for 0.6 mn tons of pipe capacity announced
Management announced new capex plan of R55.5 bn over the next 12-15 months for setting up additional pipe capacities of 0.6 mn tons in India. This will include a 0.3 mn ton LSAW plant in Anjar, which was earlier put on hold due to demand constraints and a new 0.3 mn tons of HSAW plant in South India to cater to the demand in that region. The company has not yet firmed up its funding plans and may include a mix of debt and fresh equity issuance. We currently do not include the same in our estimates as effective production would most likely begin in FY2012E. Further current order book do not provide any long-term visibility, hence, the utilization of these facilities may take time. We would wait for more certainty on the timeline and funding sources before including these projects in our estimates.

Maintain REDUCE with revised target price of Rs145
We maintain REDUCE rating with a revised target price of Rs145 (from Rs125) as we roll-over to FY2011E. We maintain our negative view primarily due to concerns relating to very low revenue visibility for FY2011E and low capacity utilization at the plate mill. Current valuations of 11.1X and 5.6X FY2011E EPS and EBITDA, respectively, are expensive considering a depleting order book and the risks to margins from new orders posed by higher competition.
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- Rs375 mn forex loss reversals treated as extra-ordinary
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## Detailed result analysis

- Revenues at Rs18.8 bn in line with estimates. Revenues were up 72\% yoy primarily led by 50\% increase in pipe volumes and lower exchange rate resulting in higher realization. Pipe volumes at 217,117 tons (144,450 tons in 1QFY09) were marginally ahead of our estimate of 198,000 tons.
- Higher LSAW volumes push up margins. EBITDA margins at $14.1 \%$ (up 150 bps qoq) were higher than our estimate of $12.9 \%$ due to higher share of LSAW pipes which earn higher margins. LSAW volumes during the quarter were 86,068 tons against our estimate of 48,000 tons. However, the EBITDA margin declined by 320 bps yoy mainly due to increase in material costs.
- Rs375 mn of forex reversals drive up reported PAT. The reported PAT of Rs1.4 bn included reversals of forex losses of Rs375 mn. These reversals are mainly on account of appreciation of Rupee and inventory realization. We had treated these losses as extraordinary in the previous year and have adjusted accordingly.

1QFY10 results largely in line with estimates
Welspun Gujarat, Interim results (stand alone), March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 1QFY10 | 1QFY10E | 1QFY09 | 4QFY09 | (\% chg.) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1QFY10E | 1QFY09 | 4QFY09 |
| Net sales | 18,798 | 17,867 | 10,904 | 18,385 | 5.2 | 72.4 | 2.2 |
| Total expenditure | $(16,144)$ | $(15,570)$ | $(9,022)$ | $(16,066)$ | 3.7 | 78.9 | 0.5 |
| Stock adjustment | (27) | - | 1,532 | 757 | - | (101.8) | (103.6) |
| Raw material | $(12,814)$ | - | $(8,405)$ | $(15,691)$ | - | 52.5 | (18.3) |
| Employee expenses | (318) | - | (277) | (277) | - | 14.9 | 14.6 |
| Other expenses | $(2,985)$ | - | $(1,872)$ | (855) | - | 59.4 | 249.2 |
| EBITDA | 2,654 | 2,297 | 1,882 | 2,319 | 15.5 | 41.1 | 14.5 |
| OPM (\%) | 14.1 | 12.9 | 17.3 | 12.6 |  |  |  |
| Other income | 40 | 25 | 64 | 26 | 60.0 | (37.2) | 55.0 |
| Depreciation | (362) | (350) | (294) | (345) | 3.4 | 23.1 | 5.0 |
| Interest | (617) | (475) | (440) | (501) | 30.0 | 40.4 | 23.1 |
| Pretax profits | 1,715 | 1,497 | 1,212 | 1,499 | 14.5 | 41.6 | 14.4 |
| Extraordinaries | 375 | - | (142) | (710) | - | - | - |
| Reported PBT | 2,091 | 1,497 | 1,069 | 789 | 39.6 | 95.5 | 165.0 |
| Tax | (708) | (500) | (358) | (270) | 41.7 | 97.7 | 162.0 |
| Reported PAT | 1,382 | 997 | 711 | 519 | 38.6 | 94.4 | 166.6 |
| Adjusted PAT | 1,134 | 997 | 805 | 985 | 13.7 | 40.8 | 15.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales volumes (tons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HSAW | 105,686 | 130,000 | 87,236 | 129,116 |  |  |  |
| LSAW | 86,068 | 48,000 | 40,077 | 29,207 |  |  |  |
| ERW | 25,363 | 20,000 | 17,137 | 28,572 |  |  |  |
| Plates - external | 27,921 | 20,000 | 12,325 | 8,138 |  |  |  |
| Plates - captive | 34,417 | 55,000 | 5,565 | 53,961 |  |  |  |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

## Revise target price to Rs145; maintain REDUCE

We revise our 12-month DCF-based target price to RS145 (from Rs125) as we roll forward to FY2011E. We maintain our REDUCE rating due to concerns of (1) slow order flow, (2) very low revenue visibility for FY2011E and (3) low utilization of the plate mill which employs majority of the invested capital. We find current valuations expensive at 11.1X and 5.6X FY2011E EPS and EBITDA, respectively, since low demand and higher competition will result in orders being bid at low margins to keep capacities utilized.

We have updated our model for year-end balance sheet details and marginally changed our working capital assumptions. Our EPS estimate for FY2010E and FY2011E is revised to Rs23.8 and Rs17.6, respectively, from Rs23.1 and Rs17.1 earlier.

Our DCF-based value for Welspun is Rs145
Welspun, DCF-based valuation, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 2010E | 2011E | 2012E | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017 E | 2018E | Terminal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EBITDA | 10,170 | 8,221 | 8,673 | 8,998 | 8,919 | 8,745 | 8,330 | 8,542 | 8,542 | 8,542 |  |
| Tax expense | $(1,535)$ | $(1,345)$ | $(1,638)$ | $(1,921)$ | $(2,098)$ | $(2,169)$ | $(2,084)$ | $(2,264)$ | $(2,369)$ | $(2,343)$ |  |
| Changes in working capital | $(1,525)$ | $(1,830)$ | (805) | (511) | $(1,339)$ | (671) | $(1,346)$ | (97) | 16 | 16 |  |
| Cash flow from operations | 7,111 | 5,046 | 6,231 | 6,566 | 5,481 | 5,904 | 4,900 | 6,180 | 6,189 | 6,215 |  |
| Capital expenditure | (697) | (828) | (633) | (857) | (874) | (891) | $(1,137)$ | $(1,398)$ | $(1,440)$ | $(1,483)$ |  |
| Free cash flow to the firm | 6,414 | 4,218 | 5,597 | 5,709 | 4,607 | 5,013 | 3,763 | 4,782 | 4,749 | 4,732 | 41,762 |
| Dicounted cash flow-now | 5,883 | 3,424 | 4,021 | 3,629 | 2,592 | 2,496 | 1,658 | 1,865 | 1,639 | 1,445 |  |
| Discounted cash flow-1 year forward |  | 3,869 | 4,544 | 4,101 | 2,929 | 2,820 | 1,873 | 2,107 | 1,852 | 1,633 |  |
| Discounted cash flow-2 year forward |  |  | 5,134 | 4,634 | 3,310 | 3,187 | 2,117 | 2,381 | 2,092 | 1,845 |  |


| Discount rate | 13.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth from 2017 to perpetuity | 1.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discount factor at WACC | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.64 | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.44 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.31 |  |
|  | + 1-year + 2-years |  |  |  |  | Sensitivity of DCF value to WACC and growth rate (Rs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total PV of free cash flow (a) |  | 25,727 | 67\% | 24,699 | 63\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PV of terminal value (b) |  | 12,751 | 33\% | 14,409 | 37\% |  |  | 12.5\% | 13.0\% | 13.5\% | 14.0\% |
| EV (a) + (b) |  | 38,478 |  | 39,108 |  |  | 0.0\% | 143 | 136 | 129 | 123 |
| Net debt |  | 11,168 |  | 8,322 |  |  | 0.5\% | 146 | 139 | 132 | 125 |
| Equity value |  | 27,310 |  | 30,786 |  |  | 1.0\% | 150 | 142 | 134 | 127 |
| No. of shares |  | 188.8 |  | 188.8 |  |  | 1.5\% | 153 | 145 | 137 | 130 |
| Implied share price (Rs) |  | 145 |  | 163 |  |  | 2.0\% | 157 | 148 | 140 | 132 |
| Exit EV/EBITDA multiple (X) |  | 4.9 |  | 4.4 |  |  | 2.5\% | 161 | 152 | 143 | 135 |
| Exit FCF multiple (X) |  | 8.8 |  |  |  |  | 3.0\% | 166 | 156 | 147 | 138 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Operating assumptions
Welspun, Operating assumptions, March fiscal year-ends, 2008-11E

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 E}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sales (tons) | 331,113 | 453,768 | 422,500 | 375,000 |
| HSAW | 51,071 | 79,537 | 87,500 | 100,000 |
| ERW | 259,300 | 156,853 | 227,500 | 175,000 |
| LSAW | - | 3,500 | 135,000 | 135,000 |
| HSAW- USA | - | 42,073 | 136,125 | 191,250 |
| Plate (external sales) |  |  |  |  |
| Realisation (US\$/ton) | 1,351 | 1,486 | 1,454 | 1,309 |
| HSAW | 1,105 | 1,306 | 1,221 | 1,099 |
| ERW | 1,650 | 1,898 | 1,632 | 1,501 |
| LSAW | - | 1,486 | 1,454 | 1,309 |
| HSAW- USA | - | 1,256 | 1,044 | 939 |
| Plate |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA (US\$/ton) | 317 | 241 | 200 | 165 |
| HSAW | 180 | 80 | 68 | 73 |
| ERW | 131 | 235 | 181 | 157 |
| LSAW | - | - | 171 | 150 |
| HSAW- USA | - | 130 | 130 | 130 |
| Plate - external | - | 130 | 130 | 130 |
| Plates - captive |  |  |  |  |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Profit model, balance sheet model, cash model for Welspun Gujarat, March fiscal year-ends, 2007-11E (Rs mn)

|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010E | 2011E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net revenues | 26,785 | 39,945 | 57,395 | 70,952 | 59,986 |
| EBITDA | 3,332 | 6,476 | 7,930 | 10,170 | 8,221 |
| Other income | 19 | 107 | 187 | 150 | 150 |
| Interest (expense)/income | (708) | (818) | $(1,766)$ | $(1,906)$ | $(1,559)$ |
| Depreciation | (476) | (609) | $(1,433)$ | $(1,791)$ | $(1,964)$ |
| Adjusted pretax profits | 2,167 | 5,157 | 4,919 | 6,623 | 4,848 |
| Tax | (672) | (884) | (451) | $(1,212)$ | $(1,038)$ |
| Deferred taxation | (93) | (944) | (750) | (927) | (485) |
| Adjusted consolidated net income | 1,411 | 3,356 | 3,158 | 4,484 | 3,325 |
| Diluted Earnings per share (Rs) | 8.6 | 18.0 | 16.7 | 23.8 | 17.6 |
| Balance sheet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total equity | 6,535 | 15,672 | 15,597 | 19,753 | 22,630 |
| Deferred taxation liability | 794 | 1,738 | 2,488 | 3,415 | 3,900 |
| Total borrowings | 15,146 | 25,274 | 26,538 | 25,871 | 20,500 |
| Current liabilities | 10,558 | 17,061 | 39,555 | 43,279 | 35,070 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 33,103 | 59,745 | 84,178 | 92,319 | 82,099 |
| Cash | 3,574 | 2,703 | 9,470 | 13,635 | 11,110 |
| Other current assets | 12,781 | 23,418 | 36,733 | 41,804 | 35,246 |
| Total fixed assets | 16,492 | 26,807 | 36,835 | 35,741 | 34,604 |
| Investments | 256 | 6,817 | 1,140 | 1,140 | 1,140 |
| Total assets | 33,103 | 59,745 | 84,178 | 92,319 | 82,099 |
| Free cash flow |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating cash flow, excl working capital | 2,002 | 5,061 | 7,148 | 7,230 | 5,802 |
| Working capital changes | $(2,601)$ | $(2,993)$ | 4,267 | $(1,525)$ | $(1,830)$ |
| Capital expenditure | $(6,294)$ | $(12,400)$ | $(11,469)$ | (697) | (828) |
| Investments | (256) | $(6,525)$ | 5,677 | - | - |
| Other income | 46 | 360 | 187 | 150 | 150 |
| Free cash flow | $(7,102)$ | $(16,497)$ | 5,809 | 5,158 | 3,294 |
| Ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 12.4 | 16.2 | 13.8 | 14.3 | 13.7 |
| Debt/equity | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| Net debt/equity | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| RoAE | 22.0 | 27.1 | 17.8 | 21.7 | 13.4 |
| RoACE | 10.3 | 11.9 | 9.8 | 12.3 | 9.1 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

## Technology

Results in line; we see challenges ahead. Maintain SELL. Polaris reported an in-line quarter on top-line (US\$66 mn) as well as net income (Rs318 mn). A sharp increase in forex losses negated any flow-through benefit from better-than-expected OPM performance. We continue to see pressures on Polaris' top-line given its BFSI concentration, dependence on a few clients, and risks of vendor consolidation. The company's inability to retain senior management also remains a concern. Maintain SELL.

| Company data and valuation summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Polaris Software Lab |  |  |  | Forecasts/Valuations |  |  |  |
| Stock data |  |  |  |  | 2009 | 2010E | 2011E |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  |  | 115-25 | EPS (Rs) | 13.1 | 13.8 | 12.9 |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  |  | 10.0 | EPS growth (\%) | 76.0 | 5.4 | (6.4) |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  | P/E (X) | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.9 |
| Promoters |  |  | 25.1 | Sales (Rs bn) | 13.8 | 13.2 | 13.9 |
| Flls |  |  | 45.2 | Net profits (Rs bn) | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| MFs |  |  | 9.6 | EBITDA (Rs bn) | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M | 12M | EV/EBITDA (X) | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| Absolute | 5.2 | 69.5 | 29.1 | ROE (\%) | 18.1 | 16.4 | 13.6 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | 7.0 | 31.1 | 18.8 | Div. Yield (\%) | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 |

1QFY10 results-headline numbers in line with expectations
Polaris reported an in line quarter with revenues of US\$66.6 mn (our expectation was US\$66.5 mn) and net income of Rs318 mn (Rs322 mn estimated). Higher-than-expected EBITDA margin (17\% versus $15.8 \%$ estimated) flow-through to the net income level was prevented by higher-thanexpected forex losses (Rs133 mn versus our expectation of Rs60 mn).

Revenue challenge coming to the fore, as expected
Polaris reported second quarter of sequential revenue decline in a row, with US\$ revenues now down $12 \%$ on a yoy basis. After defying the expected revenue pressure (given the company's heavy dependence on the BFSI sector) creditably for the first three quarters of FY2009, Polaris has reported two consecutive quarters of sequential revenue decline; more importantly, the yoy revenue trajectory is getting worse (see Exhibit 3 on page 3).

We have long highlighted our concerns on the fundamental weakness of Polaris' revenue model the company's smaller size and weak positioning with clients makes it more vulnerable than peers to (1) the ongoing weakness in discretionary BFSI IT spending and (2) instances of vendor consolidation in its client base; Polaris has several large BFSI accounts in its portfolio and we expect the company to be a net loser in the vendor consolidation exercise being undertaken by some of these clients. In addition, the sharp headcount reduction over the past four quarters (absolute decline of 1,205 on a base of $\sim 10,400$ ) does little to enhance confidence on the company's revenue visibility. Polaris' marginal presence across a number of its clients also reflected in its ex top-10 revenue performance; revenues from clients outside the top-10 declined $15 \%$ sequentially in 1QFY10. We see further pressure ahead. Also, intellect revenues also declined $7.6 \%$ qoq.

Inability to retain senior management remains a concern
Arup Gupta, who joined Polaris from TCS and served as its Chief Operating Officer since October 2005, resigned recently to head another mid-sized IT company in India. We continue to flag Polaris' inability to hold on to key members of the senior management as one of our fundamental concerns about the company.
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- Revenues (US\$66 mn ) and net income (Rs318 mn) in line with expectations
- Deceleration in revenue growth trajectory continues; US\$ revenues down 12\% yoy
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Revising estimates; maintain SELL
We have revised our FY2010E and FY2011E US\$ revenue estimates for Polaris downwards by $1 \%$ and $2 \%$ to US $\$ 276 \mathrm{mn}$ and US\$291 mn, respectively. However, we revise our EPS estimates upwards to factor in higher EBITDA margin assumptions (driven by company's massive cost rationalization initiatives; G\&A has now remained flat for the past 11 quarters), lower depreciation, and lower tax rates (mainly for FY2011E post the recent STPI sunset clause extension). Our revised EPS estimates for FY2010E and FY2011E stand at Rs13.8 (Rs12.8 earlier) and Rs12.9 (Rs11.6 earlier), respectively. Maintain SELL; retain target price at Rs80/share.

Polaris Software interim results (Rs mn )

|  |  |  |  | QoQ | YoY | Kotak | \% Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1QFY09 | 4QFY09 | 1QFY10 | \% chg. | \% chg. | Estimates |  |
| Revenues | 3,170 | 3,372 | 3,255 | (3.5) | 2.7 | 3,238 | 0.5 |
| Cost of revenues | $(2,147)$ | $(2,092)$ | $(2,079)$ | (0.6) | (3.2) | $(2,088)$ | (0.5) |
| Gross profit | 1,023 | 1,280 | 1,177 | (8.1) | 15.0 | 1,149 | 2.4 |
| Sales \& marketing expenses | (318) | (356) | (347) | (2.3) | 9.2 | (343) | 1.2 |
| General administration expenses | (317) | (307) | (277) | (9.7) | (12.6) | (295) | (6.0) |
| SG\&A expenses | (635) | (662) | (624) | (5.7) | (1.6) | (638) | (2.1) |
| Operating Profits | 388 | 618 | 552 | (10.6) | 42.3 | 512 | 8.0 |
| Non-operating Income | 13 | (154) | (90) | (41.5) | (807.7) | (20) | 350.7 |
| EBIDTA | 401 | 464 | 462 | (0.4) | 15.3 | 492 | (6.0) |
| Financial expenses | (2) | (2) | (2) | (7.1) | (16.8) | (2) | (6.3) |
| EBDT | 399 | 462 | 460 | (0.4) | 15.4 | 490 | (6.0) |
| Depreciation | (106) | (86) | (84) | (1.3) | (20.6) | (92) | (8.4) |
| Pretax profits | 293 | 377 | 376 | (0.2) | 28.5 | 398 | (5.4) |
| Tax | (37) | (56) | (58) | 3.8 | 55.8 | (76) | (23.6) |
| Net profit | 256 | 321 | 318 | (0.8) | 24.6 | 322 | (1.1) |
| Extraordinaries | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |
| PAT-Reported | 256 | 321 | 318 | (0.8) | 24.6 | 322 | (1.1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period EPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPS - recurring (Rs) | 2.6 | 3.3 | 3.2 | (0.8) | 24.6 | 3.3 |  |
| EPS - reported (Rs) | 2.6 | 3.3 | 3.2 | (0.8) | 24.6 | 3.3 |  |
| Margins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross margin (\%) | 32.3 | 38.0 | 36.1 |  |  | 35.5 |  |
| SG\&A expenses (\%) | 20.0 | 19.6 | 19.2 |  |  | 19.7 |  |
| Operating profit margin (\%) | 12.2 | 18.3 | 17.0 |  |  | 15.8 |  |
| Net profit margin (\%) | 8.1 | 9.5 | 9.8 |  |  | 9.9 |  |

[^3]Key changes to estimates, FY2010E-11E

|  | Revised |  | Earlier |  | Change (\%) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | FY2010E | FY2011E | FY2010E | FY2011E | FY2010E | FY2011E |
| Revenues (US\$ mn) | 276 | 291 | 278 | 297 | $(1.0)$ | $(2.0)$ |
| Revenues (Rs mn) | 13,228 | 13,890 | 13,366 | 14,168 | $(1.0)$ | $(2.0)$ |
| Revenue growth (\%) | $(8.0)$ | 5.5 | $(7.0)$ | 6.6 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA (Rs mn) | 1,878 | 1,778 | 1,838 | 1,814 | 2.2 | $(2.0)$ |
| EBIT (Rs mn) | 1,503 | 1,354 | 1,437 | 1,375 | 4.6 | $(1.5)$ |
| Net profit (Rs mn) | 1,364 | 1,278 | 1,262 | 1,143 | 8.1 | 11.8 |
| EPS (Rs) | 13.8 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 11.6 | 8.1 | 11.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA margin $(\%)$ | 14.2 | 12.8 | 13.8 | 12.8 |  |  |
| EBIT margin (\%) | 11.4 | 9.8 | 10.8 | 9.7 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Re/US\$ rate | 48.0 | 47.8 | 48.0 | 47.8 | - | - |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Sustained decline in yoy revenue growth trajectory; we expect further pressure ahead


[^4]
## Telecom

Thoughts on proposed MTN transaction and competitive dynamics. We see the proposed deal structure (part cash, part Bharti GDR issuance to MTN shareholders) as a key challenge to deal completion. We believe the deal can go through if converted into an all-cash transaction or at moderately higher-than-proposed price for MTN. Bharti continues to be our preferred pick in the Indian wireless space. The absence of aggressive pricing competition poses upside risks to FY2010 earnings.

| Company data and valuation summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bharti Airtel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock data |  |  |  | Forecasts/Valuations | 2009 | 2010E | 2011E |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  |  | 1,036-483 | EPS (Rs) | 44.6 | 52.2 | 59.8 |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  |  | 1,490.0 | EPS growth (\%) | 26.4 | 17.0 | 14.6 |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  | P/E (X) | 17.6 | 15.0 | 13.1 |
| Promoters |  |  | 67.2 | Sales (Rs bn) | 369.6 | 432.6 | 501.3 |
| Flls |  |  | 20.7 | Net profits (Rs bn) | 84.7 | 99.1 | 113.6 |
| MFs |  |  | 3.1 | EBITDA (Rs bn) | 151.6 | 175.4 | 195.9 |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M | 12M | EV/EBITDA (X) | 10.3 | 8.8 | 7.6 |
| Absolute | (3.0) | 17.5 | 7.4 | ROE (\%) | 31.4 | 27.0 | 23.8 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | 1.6 | (9.7) | (5.2) | Div. Yield (\%) | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 |

Proposed MTN deal structure a key challenge to deal completion
We see the proposed deal structure as a key challenge to deal completion. Bharti has proposed to pay ZaR $86 /$ share in cash and issue 0.5 share of Bharti per share of MTN to buy $36 \%$ of MTN's current outstanding equity from its shareholders. More important, the new shares in Bharti would be issued in the form of Global Depository Receipts (GDRs) and listed on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (JSE). We believe that MTN shareholders may not be comfortable with the GDR issuance as (1) it would be counted towards their limited overseas investment allowance; the deal would convert their domestic shareholding in MTN to a foreign company shareholding and force them to rebalance their overseas portfolio, and (2) liquidity of the GDRs is a question mark; we highlight that there are no GDRs listed on the JSE currently.

Marginal deal sweetener or an all-cash offer should see the deal through, valuation a lesser issue
Contrary to popular perception, we see the deal valuation as a lesser challenge to deal completion. A combination of marginal upward revision in the offer to MTN shareholders and conversion of the deal to an all-cash one should see the deal through, in our view. An all-cash offer for the 673 mn MTN shares (that Bharti has proposed to buy from MTN shareholders) at the deal-reference valuation of ZaR161/share would mean a cash outgo of US\$12.9 bn for Bharti (US\$10 bn net of US\$2.9 bn to be received from MTN), versus the current proposed US\$6.9 bn (US\$4 bn net). A $100 \%$ cash offer can be financed fully financed through debt (net debt/ EBITDA may get stretched though) or a combination of equity and debt.

MTN deal - an unjustified overhang on the Bharti stock
We believe MTN's deal-implied valuation of 13.3X CY2010E earnings and 5.4X EV/EBITDA (CY2010E) is not expensive. We believe the acquisition price will remain attractive even if the deal is sweetened by $10 \%$; Bharti stock already builds in such a probability, in our view. MTN is led by a solid management team, is among the top 2-3 players in most of the market it operates in and has strong cash generation profile and return ratios. This may well affect its ability to derive meaningful synergy gains upon the merger, but the deal appears financially sound. We maintain our ADD rating on the stock and reiterate our positive bias on Bharti among the Indian wireless stocks.
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Change in deal structure can facilitate deal completion; deal valuation already implies substantial premium for control

As discussed above, we see the proposed GDR issuance as the major challenge to the Bharti-MTN deal completion. We have discussed the potential discomforting aspect of the deal for the large MTN shareholders earlier in the note. We now take a closer look at the implied valuation (or reference stock prices for MTN and Bharti shares).

Assume $M$ to be the deal-implied share price of MTN and $B$ to be the deal-implied share price of Bharti (both $M$ and $B$ in US\$ for convenience sake). There are two transactions that Bharti has proposed to enter into:

- With MTN - MTN pays US\$2.9 bn in cash and issues 467.5 mn fresh MTN shares to Bharti for a $25 \%$ post-deal stake (or 745.4 mn shares) in Bharti. This implies the equation: $2,900+467.5$ * $\mathrm{M}=745.4$ * B . Lets call this equation (A).
- With MTN shareholders - Bharti is acquiring 36\% of current outstanding shares of MTN ( 673.2 mn shares) from MTN shareholders for a consideration of US $\$ 6.9$ bn in cash and 0.5 equity shares of Bharti per share of MTN. This transaction implies the equation: 673.2 * $M=6,900+336.6$ * $B$. Lets call this equation (B).
Solving the simultaneous equations (A) and (B), the deal-implied share price of MTN works out to ZaR149/share while that of Bharti works out to Rs721/share. Deal-implied MTN share price implies a premium of $25.2 \%$ over the closing price on May 22, 2009 (ZaR 119/share), a day before the deal intention announcement. If we were to use Bharti's share price a day before the deal announcement (Rs859/share), Bharti's ZaR86/share +0.5 share of Bharti implies a payout of ZaR161/share for MTN shareholders, a premium of $35.3 \%$ over the closing price on May 22, 2009; this would be the deal-reference price, for all practical purposes if Bharti proposes to convert its offer to an all-cash deal. Thus, we do not agree to the premium being insignificant, as believed by some sections of the Street. Nevertheless, we do not rule out Bharti raising its bid a little to clinch the deal, if required.

Financing options for Bharti in case of an all-cash deal
As discussed on page 1 of this report, conversion of transaction with MTN shareholders to an all-cash one from the present part-cash, part-share deal at ZaR161/share for MTN (we use ZaR161/share instead of ZaR149/share as this is the price, we believe, the MTN shareholders would consider as their reference price) would mean a cash outgo of US $\$ 12.9$ bn for Bharti (US $\$ 10$ bn, net of US $\$ 2.9$ bn Bharti receives from MTN under the other leg of the transaction, as proposed currently). A $10 \%$ increase in the MTN price to ZaR177/share would imply a net cash requirement of US\$11.3 bn. Exhibit 1 depicts the current deal structure and the likely all-cash deal structure.

Exhibit 1: Bharti may have to convert the deal structure to an all-cash one for MTN shareholders

| Current structure: legs of the currently proposed deal structure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Between Bharti and MTN |  |  |
| Fresh MTN shares issued to Bharti (mn) | 467.5 |  |
| Cash paid by MTN to Bharti (US\$ mn) | 2,900 |  |
| for a |  |  |
| 25\% stake in Bharti (post-deal); number of fresh Bharti shares issued to MTN | 745.4 |  |
| 2. Between Bharti and MTN shareholders |  |  |
| Bharti pays to MTN shareholders |  |  |
| Cash (US\$ mn) (@ZaR 86/share for 673.2 mn MTN shares) | 6,900 |  |
| and |  | Change in deal structure for MTN shareholders at |
| 0.5 share of Bharti per MTN share; total fresh shares of Bharti issued to MTN shareholders | 336.6 |  |
| for a |  |  |
| 36\% pre-deal outstanding equity of MTN; \# of MTN shares acquired by Bharti from MTN shareholders | 673.2 |  |
| All-cash scenario: likely all-cash deal (for MTN shareholders) structure |  |  |
| 1. Between Bharti and MTN - remains the same |  |  |
| Fresh MTN shares issued to Bharti (mn) | 467.5 | 10\% premium to |
| Cash paid by MTN to Bharti (US\$ mn) | 2,900 | the deal-reference |
| for a |  | MTN price of |
| 25\% stake in Bharti (post-deal); number of fresh Bharti shares issued to MTN | 745.4 | ZaR161/share |
| 2. Between Bharti and MTN shareholders - changes to all-cash for MTN shareholders |  |  |
| Bharti pays to MTN shareholders |  |  |
| Cash (US\$ mn) (@ZaR 177/share for 673.2 mn shares) | 14,200 |  |
| for a |  |  |
| 36\% pre-deal outstanding equity of MTN; \# of MTN shares acquired by Bharti from MTN shareholders | 673.2 |  |

[^5]Exhibit 2 illustrates the impact on financial metrics for Bharti assuming the company debt-finances the all-cash deal completely while Exhibit 3 depicts the EPS impact; we estimate the deal to be EPS accretive for Bharti, even in the revised scenario. We continue to assume that the new shares issued to MTN (for its $25 \%$ post-deal stake in Bharti) will be listed as GDRs on the JSE; issue of local shares to MTN would trigger an open offer. We do not include proportionate MTN financials for the purpose of this exercise given lack of clarity on the extent of Bharti's control over MTN's cash flows.

Exhibit 2: An all-cash offer for MTN shareholders could stretch Bharti's financials a little, but not to worrying proportions

|  | FY2011E |
| :--- | :---: |
| Current estimates | FY2012E |
| Net debt year-end (US\$ mn) | 1,067 |
| EBITDA (US\$ mn) | 3,693 |
| Net debt/EBITDA (X) | 0.3 |
| Deal impact (assuming all-cash deal for MTN shareholders @ZaR177/share) | 4,214 |
| Incremental debt (US\$ mn) | 11,300 |
| Net debt (US\$ mn) | 12,367 |
| Net debt/EBITDA (X) | 3.3 |
| Impact including 3G investments | 1,09 |
| Cumulative 3G investments (US\$ mn) | 13,867 |
| Net debt including 3G investments (US\$ mn) | 3.8 |
| Net debt/EBITDA (X) | 3,300 |
| Impact including 3G investments and also consolidating proportionate EBITDA of MTN | 13,091 |
| 49\% of MTN's EBITDA (US\$ mn) | 2,091 |
| Revised EBITDA (US\$ mn) | 6,974 |
| Net debt/EBITDA (X) | 2.0 |

Note:
(a) Re/US\$ assumption: 47.5 for FY2011E and 46.5 for FY2012E
(b) Zar/US\$ assumption: 8.34 for all years

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 3: MTN acquisition appears to be marginally EPS accretive even in the all-cash deal scenario

|  | FY2011E | FY2012E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Bharti net profit estimate (Rs mn) | 113,562 | 125,410 |
| Current share count (mn) | 1,898 | 1,898 |
| Current EPS estimate (Rs/share) | 59.8 | 66.1 |
| Add: |  |  |
| 49\% of MTN's estimates profits (US\$ mn) | 1,159 | 1,238 |
| 49\% of MTN's estimates profits (Rs mn) | 55,035 | 57,584 |
| Less: |  |  |
| Interest expense on US\$11.3 bn of net cash paid | $(34,352)$ | $(33,629)$ |
| Net impact (Rs mn) | 20,683 | 23,955 |
| Revised net income (Rs mn) | 134,245 | 149,365 |
| New shares issued (to MTN) | 633 | 633 |
| Less: Bharti's indirect ownership in itself (\# of shares, mn) | 310 | 310 |
| Net new shares issued | 323 | 323 |
| New share count used for revised EPS computation | 2,221 | 2,221 |
| Revised EPS (Rs/share) | 60.5 | 67.3 |
| EPS accretion/(dilution) | 1.0 | 1.8 |

Note:
(a) Re/US\$ rate of 48 used for FY2010E, 47.5 for FY2011E, and 46.5 for FY2012E.
(b) Assuming post-tax cost of debt of $6.4 \%$ for Bharti and $4 \%$ for MTN.
(c) Assuming MTN net profits of US $\$ 2.7$ bn for FY2011E, and US $\$ 3$ bn for FY2012E.
(d) Excluding MTN's share of Bharti profits from its financials and Bharti's indirect ownership in itself from revised share count.
(e) MTN net profits adjusted for post-tax interest cost on US\$2.9 bn payment to Bharti

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

We highlight that a 100\% debt financing of the revised all-cash deal takes Bharti's endFY2011E net-debt/EBITDA to a slightly uncomfortable 3.3X; this increases further to 3.8X if we assume US $\$ 1.5$ bn of cumulative 3G investments by FY2011E with little corresponding incremental EBITDA. We, thus believe that Bharti may choose to finance the deal with a part-equity, part-debt structure.

Fresh equity (~US\$4-5 bn, assuming the deal is 60-70\% debt-financed) can be raised either through placement of shares to Singtel or through a QIP route. Press reports indicate that Singtel is keen to be a part of the deal and raise equity stake in Bharti if required. Press reports also indicate that Singtel has set aside US\$4 bn for this deal though it is not clear whether it is for equity or debt. We note that incremental equity issuance to Singtel can be done only through the GDR route; preferential allotment of local shares to the promoters is prohibited by SEBI in case the promoter holding is above 55\%. Promoter holding in Bharti is $65 \%$ at present. The alternate option for Bharti is to raise equity through QIP route, though the ability to absorb large equity issuance (US\$4-5 bn) in the Indian markets remains untested. The maximum permissible limit for funds that can be raised through a QIP is 5 X net worth at the end of previous fiscal. Bharti's net worth at end-FY2009 was Rs304 bn (US\$6.3 bn).

## Proposed MTN transaction is not expensive

Exhibit 4 details the key consensus financial estimates of MTN. MTN operates in 22 countries and has a sub base of over 100 mn . The company derives $63 \%$ of revenues and $44 \%$ of subs from South Africa and Nigeria markets. With the exception of South Africa, the rest of MTN's markets present meaningful headroom for growth given the low teledensity in these markets (see Exhibit 5). Local currency growth of at least 15\% for MTN appears possible. Based on the proposed deal structure, implied MTN valuations work out to 15.5X CY2009E and 13.3XCY2010E earnings and 6.1XCY2009E and 5.4X CY2010E EV/ EBITDA. This, in our view, is at a marginal premium to emerging market companies but fair noting MTN's superior growth profile. A 10\% increase in the offer price to MTN shareholders will not materially alter the financial viability of the MTN transaction, as discussed earlier in the note.

Exhibit 4: Select financials for MTN, December fiscal year-ends, 2006-2011E (ZaR mn)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 E}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenues | 51,595 | 73,145 | 102,526 | 121,139 | 134,508 | $\mathbf{1 4 9 , 1 4 0}$ |
| EBITDA | 22,413 | 32,057 | 43,166 | 50,841 | 56,422 | 60,251 |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 43.4 | 43.8 | 42.1 | 42.0 | 41.9 | 40.4 |
| EBIT | 16,094 | 22,872 | 30,407 | 35,843 | 41,461 | 45,175 |
| Net income | 10,791 | 10,427 | 15,315 | 19,435 | 22,628 | 25,320 |
| EPS (ZaR/share) | 5.8 | 5.6 | 8.2 | 10.4 | 12.1 | 13.5 |
| Net debt | 22,888 | 16,050 | 12,851 | 10,322 | 5,232 | $(3,052)$ |

[^6]Exhibit 5: MTN is among the top 2 players in all its markets
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Subscribers } \\ \text { ('000) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{rl}\text { \% of MTN's total } \\ \text { subs }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Country } \\ \text { rank }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Market wireless } \\ \text { penetration (\%) }\end{array} \\ \text { Ownership (\%) }\end{array}\right)$

Note:
(a) Subscriber base as on March 31, 2009

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

Benign pricing competition in the Indian wireless market in FY2010E YTD poses upside risks to Bharti's earnings estimates

The broad tariff discipline seen in the Indian wireless market over the past three months has surprised us. We expect the downward trend in pricing to continue and even accelerate for a brief period as expected new launches intensify competition further. However, the timing and extent of the same remains uncertain and could pose upside risk to our pricing estimates for FY2010E to that extent; we build in a $15 \%$ yoy decline in RPM for Bharti in FY2010E.

Bharti remains our preferred pick in the Indian wireless sector
We maintain our ADD rating on the stock and reiterate our positive bias on Bharti among the Indian wireless stocks. Bharti remains our preferred pick in the sector on the back of (1) valuations (see Exhibit 6)—Bharti is trading at 8.8X FY2010E and 7.6X FY2011E EV/EBITDA; RCOM at 8.3X FY2010E EV/EBITDA and Idea at 8.3X FY2010E EV/EBITDA are trading close to Bharti (adjusted for Indus EBITDA), unjustified in our view, and (2) inherent business strength—Bharti's superior execution, best-in-class cost management and capital productivity (reflected in industry-leading return ratios) will keep the company in good stead even as we expect the industry dynamics to remain under stress over the near-to-medium term. We see the current weakness in Bharti's stock price (on account of the open MTN bid) as an opportunity to accumulate the stock.

Exhibit 6: Indian telecom companies valuation analysis, March fiscal year-ends, 2008-2012E


[^7]Exhibit 7: Consolidated profit and loss for Bharti Airtel, March fiscal year-ends, 2008-2013E (Rs mn)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010E | 2011E | 2012E | 2013E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wireless | 217,861 | 303,601 | 362,786 | 420,430 | 461,623 | 490,000 |
| Fixed line | 28,484 | 33,517 | 37,306 | 42,271 | 46,501 | 50,115 |
| DLD | 22,103 | 41,835 | 47,375 | 52,579 | 56,888 | 60,480 |
| ILD | 21,067 | 26,102 | 28,787 | 31,564 | 34,371 | 37,087 |
| Enterprise services | 13,217 | 16,945 | 18,640 | 20,131 | 21,238 | 22,300 |
| Others | 2,431 | 3,611 | 5,055 | 6,572 | 7,886 | 8,675 |
| Less: Intersegment eliminations | $(40,936)$ | $(98,485)$ | $(98,862)$ | $(107,001)$ | $(113,182)$ | $(117,897)$ |
| Consolidated revenues | 270,250 | 369,615 | 432,610 | 501,320 | 555,865 | 595,581 |
| Interconnection costs | $(41,110)$ | $(52,903)$ | $(52,185)$ | $(62,714)$ | $(67,832)$ | $(71,204)$ |
| License fees and spectrum charges | $(26,900)$ | $(38,266)$ | $(49,614)$ | $(57,004)$ | $(62,707)$ | $(66,756)$ |
| Network operating costs | $(33,002)$ | $(59,355)$ | $(75,254)$ | $(95,026)$ | $(107,392)$ | $(114,863)$ |
| Sales and marketing expenses | $(19,058)$ | $(26,760)$ | $(32,584)$ | $(36,696)$ | $(40,974)$ | $(43,851)$ |
| Employee costs | $(14,768)$ | $(16,992)$ | $(20,573)$ | $(24,525)$ | $(27,477)$ | $(29,692)$ |
| G\&A costs | $(22,187)$ | $(23,776)$ | $(26,981)$ | $(29,413)$ | $(31,536)$ | $(33,045)$ |
| Consolidated EBITDA | 113,225 | 151,563 | 175,418 | 195,943 | 217,946 | 236,169 |
| Other income incl. Interest income | 4,136 | 645 | 3,540 | 3,767 | 5,747 | 7,434 |
| Interest expense | $(4,054)$ | $(11,613)$ | $(5,385)$ | $(2,104)$ | (600) | - |
| Amortization of entry fee | $(1,829)$ | $(2,122)$ | $(1,148)$ | $(1,148)$ | $(1,148)$ | $(1,148)$ |
| Depreciation | $(34,942)$ | $(45,344)$ | $(56,111)$ | $(62,807)$ | $(69,454)$ | $(74,533)$ |
| Pretax profits | 76,536 | 93,129 | 116,313 | 133,651 | 152,491 | 167,922 |
| Extraordinary income/(charges) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Prior period adjustments | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Current tax expense | $(8,414)$ | $(7,371)$ | $(17,301)$ | $(23,483)$ | $(35,804)$ | $(45,025)$ |
| Deferred tax (liability)/asset | 36 | 756 | 38 | 501 | 3,534 | 5,261 |
| Minority interest expense | $(1,151)$ | $(1,759)$ | $(1,687)$ | $(1,360)$ | $(1,613)$ | $(1,826)$ |
| Equity in earnings of affiliates |  | (56) | 1,701 | 4,254 | 6,802 | 7,147 |
| Reported net profits | 67,007 | 84,699 | 99,063 | 113,562 | 125,410 | 133,479 |
| Adjusted net profits | 67,007 | 84,699 | 99,063 | 113,562 | 125,410 | 133,479 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted EPS (Rs) | 35.3 | 44.6 | 52.2 | 59.8 | 66.1 | 70.3 |
| Shares outstanding (mn) | 1,898 | 1,899 | 1,899 | 1,899 | 1,899 | 1,899 |
| Current tax rate (\%) | 11.0 | 7.9 | 14.9 | 17.6 | 23.5 | 26.8 |
| Effective tax rate (\%) | 10.9 | 7.1 | 14.8 | 17.2 | 21.2 | 23.7 |
| Growth (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA | 52.3 | 33.9 | 15.7 | 11.7 | 11.2 | 8.4 |
| Net profits | 65.0 | 26.4 | 17.0 | 14.6 | 10.4 | 6.4 |
| EPS | 65.0 | 26.4 | 17.0 | 14.6 | 10.4 | 6.4 |
| Margin (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA | 41.9 | 41.0 | 40.5 | 39.1 | 39.2 | 39.7 |
| Net profits | 24.8 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.7 | 22.6 | 22.4 |
| EBIT | 28.3 | 28.2 | 27.3 | 26.3 | 26.5 | 26.9 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 8: Consolidated balance sheet for Bharti Airtel, March fiscal year-ends, 2008-2013E (Rs mn)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010E | 2011E | 2012E | 2013E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Share capital | 18,979 | 18,985 | 18,985 | 18,985 | 18,985 | 18,985 |
| Warrants | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Reserves/surplus | 203,606 | 284,960 | 386,804 | 502,410 | 615,222 | 713,103 |
| Total equity | 222,585 | 303,945 | 405,789 | 521,395 | 634,207 | 732,088 |
| Minority shareholding | 3,013 | 10,704 | 12,391 | 13,751 | 15,364 | 17,190 |
| Deferred tax liability | 1,940 | 7,556 | $(1,854)$ | $(2,355)$ | $(5,890)$ | $(11,150)$ |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured loans | 90,969 | 118,801 | 99,151 | 43,836 | - | - |
| Unsecured loans | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total borrowings | 90,970 | 118,801 | 99,151 | 43,836 | - | - |
| Currrent liabilities | 154,135 | 162,941 | 173,090 | 177,768 | 181,683 | 183,540 |
| Total capital | 472,643 | 603,947 | 688,567 | 754,395 | 825,365 | 921,668 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | 55,006 | 49,070 | 48,449 | 53,547 | 90,706 | 169,349 |
| Current assets | 58,776 | 95,009 | 101,620 | 110,861 | 120,851 | 128,603 |
| Gross block | 408,408 | 532,177 | 666,364 | 777,555 | 865,175 | 943,617 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | 102,406 | 147,750 | 203,861 | 266,668 | 336,122 | 410,655 |
| Net fixed assets | 306,002 | 384,427 | 462,503 | 510,887 | 529,053 | 532,963 |
| Capital work-in-progress | 24,709 | 24,709 | 24,709 | 24,709 | 24,709 | 24,709 |
| Total fixed assets | 330,711 | 409,136 | 487,212 | 535,596 | 553,762 | 557,671 |
| Entry fees unamortized | 11,698 | 40,364 | 39,216 | 38,068 | 36,920 | 35,772 |
| Investments | 16,425 | 10,341 | 12,043 | 16,297 | 23,098 | 30,245 |
| Deferred expenditure | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| Deferred tax asset | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total assets | 472,643 | 603,947 | 688,567 | 754,395 | 825,364 | 921,667 |


| Leverage ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Debt/equity | 40.9 | 39.1 | 24.4 | 8.4 | - |
| Debt/capitalization | 29.0 | 28.1 | 19.6 | 7.8 | - |
| Net debt/equity | 16.2 | 22.9 | 12.5 | $(1.9)$ | $(14.3)$ |
| Net debt/capitalization | 13.9 | 18.7 | 11.1 | $(1.9)$ | $(16.7)$ |
| RoAE | 39.7 | 32.2 | 27.9 | 24.5 | 21.7 |
| RoAE (excl cash and interest income) | $\mathbf{4 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 9}$ |
| ROACE | 29.2 | 25.4 | $\mathbf{2 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5}$ |
| RoACE (excl cash and interest income) | $\mathbf{3 1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5}$ | 19.2 |

[^8]Sterlite Industries (stLT)

## Metals

Creating liquidity for growth. Sterlite has announced that is issuing equity worth US $\$ 1.65$ bn, which would dilute its existing equity by $19 \%$, to fund its future expansions, acquisitions etc. After the issue, Sterlite would have net cash of US\$3.2 bn in standalone books and US\$4.3 bn in consolidated books. It would dilute near-term earnings with cash utilization staggered over the next few years. We lower our FY2010E and FY2011E EPS by $10.2 \%$ and $9.2 \%$, respectively.

| Company data and valuation summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sterlite Industries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock data |  |  |  | Forecasts/Valuations | 2009 | 2010E | 2011E |
| 52-week range (Rs) (high,low) |  |  | 740-165 | EPS (Rs) | 49.2 | 29.8 | 38.0 |
| Market Cap. (Rs bn) |  |  | 496.0 | EPS growth (\%) | (23.6) | (39.3) | 27.2 |
| Shareholding pattern (\%) |  |  |  | P/E (X) | 12.0 | 19.8 | 15.5 |
| Promoters |  |  | 61.2 | Sales (Rs bn) | 214.5 | 203.8 | 223.1 |
| Flls |  |  | 19.3 | Net profits (Rs bn) | 34.8 | 25.1 | 31.9 |
| MFs |  |  | 3.5 | EBITDA (Rs bn) | 50.1 | 42.1 | 54.3 |
| Price performance (\%) | 1M | 3M | 12M | EV/EBITDA (X) | 8.5 | 8.1 | 6.0 |
| Absolute | (2.2) | 52.0 | (3.1) | ROE (\%) | 14.3 | 8.1 | 8.4 |
| Rel. to BSE-30 | (0.3) | 17.6 | (10.8) | Div. Yield (\%) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intent to raise US $\$ 1.5-1.65$ bn through ADS
Sterlite announced that it is raising US $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{bn}$ with a green shoe option of US $\$ 150 \mathrm{mn}$. The issue is intended for (1) the development of power generation business India, (2) planned capital expenditure, (3) planned and potential acquisitions, (4) general corporate purposes. The issue has been priced at U\$12.15 (Rs590) per share, which is a discount of 6-7\% to the current price.

17-19\% dilution—even when sitting on US\$3.7 bn cash (US\$1.59 standalone)
The fresh equity issue would dilute earnings by 17.2-19.4\% and be negative for minority shareholders to that extent. Also, Vedanta Resources (parent company) intends to subscribe US $\$ 500 \mathrm{mn}$ towards the issue. The equity issue has been in the offing for a while, but its size is bigger than expected.

Growth prospects good—but still a few years away
Sterlite is undergoing a huge volume ramp-up across its various businesses such as:

1) Zinc smelting capacities at HZL from 0.75 mn tons to 1.06 mn tons-these are fully funded
2) Aluminium smelting expansion at BALCO from 0.35 mn to 0.7 mn tons-this will need a cash infusion of US\$1.6 bn
3) Alumina refining expansion from 1.4 mn tons to 5 mn tons aluminium smelting expansion at VAL from 0.5 mn tons to 1.75 mn tons-this will need a cash infusion of more than US $\$ 2 \mathrm{bn}$ of which $29.5 \%$ would be funded by Sterlite
4) Greenfield power plant in Sterlite Energy-this is fully funded and would be ready for commissioning in phases over the next year
5) Bidding for ASARCO—if successful, this would require a US\$1.1bn initial upfront payment
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## Pecking order for investment priority—US\$3.2 may not be enough

We believe Sterlite would utilize the US\$1.65 bn in the following order:

1) ASARCO acquisition: Towards $50 \%$ of the US $\$ 1.1$ bn payment for ASARCO (if bid is successful) amounting to US $\$ 0.55$ bn, the rest could be in the form of debt. The final court hearing for ASARCO is set to begin from August 10, 2009.
2) HZL Government stake acquisition: Towards acquisition of the government's 29.5\% stake in HZL—this is contingent upon the government's acquiescence and would amount to more than US $\$ 2.2$ bn at current market prices. The new government, which does not include Left parties, is more inclined towards disinvestment.
3) BALCO Government stake acquisition: The earlier valuation of US $\$ 200 \mathrm{mn}$ for the remaining 49\% has not been accepted by the government and is currently under arbitration. We believe the revised settlement price would be higher.
4) Sterlite Energy expansion: With the current 2400 MW power plant slated to begin in the next few quarters, the next round of expansion would call for funding.
5) VAL Expansion: The expansion at VAL from 0.5 mn tons to 1.75 mn tons would cost upwards of US\$2 bn. Sterlite holds 29.5\% in VAL.
6) BALCO Expansion: At current aluminium prices, BALCO is barely breaking even. Sterlite therefore may have infuse cash to fund the aluminium expansion from 0.35 mn tons to 0.7 mn tons, which would cost US $\$ 1.6 \mathrm{bn}$.

## Cut FY2010 and FY2011 estimates by 10.2\% and 9.2\%

Following the 19\% equity dilution on account of fresh equity issue of US\$1.5-1.65 bn, we have cut our FY2010 and FY2011 estimates by $10.2 \%$ and $9.2 \%$, respectively. We have factored equity dilution of US\$1.65 bn. Our revised EPS for FY2010E and FY2011E stands at Rs29.8 and Rs38, respectively, from Rs33.2 and Rs41.8 earlier. We maintain our REDUCE rating with a revised target price of Rs550 (Rs540 earlier).

SOTP-based target price of Sterlite Industries is Rs550/share
SOTP-based target price of Sterlite, March fiscal year-ends, 2011E basis (Rs mn)

|  | EBITDA | Multiple | EV | Sterlite's stake | Attributable EV | EV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Rs bn) | (X) | (Rs bn) | (\%) | (Rs bn) | (Rs/ share) |
| Aluminium business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BALCO | 8 | 6.0 | 50 | 51.0 | 25 | 24 |
| Vedanta Aluminium (VAL) |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| Copper business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper smelting business | 10 | 6.0 | 58 | 100.0 | 58 | 69 |
| Copper mining |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Zinc business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindustan Zinc | 36 | 6.0 | 218 | 64.9 | 141 | 135 |
| Power business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sterlite Energy (a) |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |
| Total enterprise value |  |  |  |  | 225 | 308 |
| Less: net debt |  |  |  |  | (206) | (245) |
| Attibutable market capitalization |  |  |  |  | 431 | 553 |
| Target price (Rs/share) |  |  |  |  |  | 550 |

Notes:
(a) We have valued investments in the power business (Sterlite Energy) on DCF-to-equity implying a P/BV of 2X
(b) We have applied $20 \%$ holding company discount in case of stakes in Hindustan Zinc, BALCO, Sterlite Energy and CMT.

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities

Sterlite Industries, Change in estimates, March fiscal year-ends, 2010E-11E (Rs mn)

|  | Revised estimates |  | Old estimates |  | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010E | 2011E | 2010E | 2011E | 2010E | 2011E |
| Net sales | 203,779 | 223,138 | 203,779 | 223,138 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| EBITDA | 42,133 | 54,339 | 42,133 | 54,339 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PAT | 25,086 | 31,908 | 23,513 | 29,643 | 6.7 | 7.6 |
| EPS (Rs) | 29.8 | 38.0 | 33.2 | 41.8 | (10.1) | (9.2) |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities

Sterlite Industries, Key assumptions, March fiscal year-ends, 2007-11E

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 E}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Prices (US\$/ton) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminium | 2,548 | 2,675 | 2,200 | 1,700 | 1,900 |
| Zinc | 3,580 | 2,900 | 1,550 | 1,400 | 1,500 |
| Copper | 6,861 | 6,695 | 5,800 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Lead | 1,426 | 2,700 | 1,500 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes (tons) | 315,002 | 358,328 | 343,000 | 297,500 | 346,500 |
| Aluminium | 349,615 | 425,532 | 651,272 | 696,600 | 791,100 |
| Zinc | 133,402 | 112,411 | 143,550 | 151,710 | 151,710 |
| Copper | 50,187 | 63,566 | 83,886 | 114,400 | 154,400 |
| Lead |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities

Sterlite Industries (consolidated), Profit model, balance sheet and cash flow model, March fiscal year-ends, 2006-2011E (Rs mn)

|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 131,272 | 243,868 | 247,054 | 214,484 | 203,779 | 223,138 |
| EBITDA | 36,899 | 94,589 | 78,682 | 50,083 | 42,133 | 54,339 |
| Other income | 3,343 | 6,817 | 15,661 | 18,501 | 17,603 | 20,223 |
| Interest | $(2,353)$ | $(3,791)$ | $(3,186)$ | $(3,973)$ | $(4,700)$ | $(4,238)$ |
| Depreciaiton | $(5,269)$ | $(8,039)$ | $(5,950)$ | $(7,007)$ | $(9,498)$ | $(10,885)$ |
| Profit before tax | 32,518 | 88,004 | 84,679 | 58,158 | 45,538 | 59,440 |
| Taxes | $(10,165)$ | $(24,118)$ | $(21,027)$ | $(8,550)$ | $(6,728)$ | $(9,490)$ |
| Less: Minority interest | $(5,568)$ | $(19,045)$ | $(18,591)$ | $(12,671)$ | $(8,101)$ | $(12,419)$ |
| Add: share in associates | (4) | - | - | $(1,536)$ | $(5,623)$ | $(5,623)$ |
| Net profit | 16,781 | 44,842 | 45,061 | 35,400 | 25,086 | 31,908 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 60.1 | 80.3 | 63.6 | 50.0 | 29.8 | 38.0 |
| Balance sheet (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity | 60,530 | 99,815 | 223,024 | 246,210 | 349,920 | 380,774 |
| Deferred tax liability | 7,511 | 9,174 | 13,537 | 12,540 | 13,917 | 15,807 |
| Total Borrowings | 68,822 | 82,365 | 106,981 | 134,212 | 145,382 | 161,083 |
| Current liabilities | 34,113 | 48,636 | 50,401 | 31,859 | 31,131 | 31,697 |
| Total liabilities | 170,976 | 239,990 | 393,942 | 424,821 | 540,350 | 589,362 |
| Net fixed assets | 85,497 | 97,176 | 124,367 | 132,044 | 156,995 | 173,860 |
| Investments | 24,952 | 52,219 | 162,941 | 170,758 | 275,758 | 315,758 |
| Cash | 11,153 | 11,134 | 24,536 | 34,865 | 23,995 | 13,551 |
| Other current assets | 49,269 | 79,460 | 82,099 | 87,155 | 83,603 | 86,193 |
| Miscellaneous expenditure | 105 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Total assets | 170,976 | 239,990 | 393,942 | 424,821 | 540,350 | 589,362 |
| Cash flow model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating cash flow excl. working capital | 28,131 | 75,568 | 61,736 | 53,343 | 48,368 | 61,143 |
| Working capital changes | $(8,339)$ | $(18,647)$ | 2,032 | 4,266 | 4,325 | $(1,974)$ |
| Capital expenditure | $(11,783)$ | $(20,871)$ | $(30,119)$ | $(23,975)$ | $(32,950)$ | $(27,700)$ |
| Free cash flow | 8,009 | 36,049 | 33,648 | 33,634 | 19,742 | 31,469 |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt/equity (X) | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Net debt/equity (X) | 0.2 | (0.2) | (0.6) | (0.5) | (0.6) | (0.6) |
| RoAE (\%) | 28.9 | 50.7 | 26.1 | 14.3 | 8.1 | 8.4 |
| RoACE (\%) | 14.7 | 29.0 | 17.7 | 10.5 | 6.4 | 6.6 |

[^9]Institutional Equities
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Monsoon concerns continue. We reiterate our concerns regarding deficient rainfall even as cumulative rainfall deficiency drops to $27 \%$ from $46 \%$ in June. The possibility of drought cannot be ruled out yet. However, if normal rains occur in the rest of the monsoon season, deficiency could drop to below 10\% and the Indian economy may still grow at over 6\% and inflation contained at under double digits by end-FY2010.

Monsoon deficiency drops to $27 \%$ from $46 \%$ in June; but drought fears still loom
Risks of a drought in 2009 remain despite good rainfall in the first fortnight of July, which has reduced the cumulative rainfall deficiency to $27 \%$ from $46 \%$ in June and $36 \%$ a week ago. The number of sub-divisions receiving deficient/scanty rainfall has now dropped to 22 from 30 at the end-June and 27 a week ago.

Northern belt produces 50\% of country's foodgrain and sugarcane output-deficiency worrying
Rainfall deficiency in the meteorological sub-divisions of Northern/Indo-Gangetic Plain is in the range of $47-63 \%$. We consider this worrying as these regions account for $50 \%$ of the country's foodgrain and sugarcane output.

Economy can still record 6.0\% plus growth and single-digit inflation with mild drought
In our view, if overall rainfall deficiency falls to below 10\%, India can still record a real GDP growth of over 6\% in FY2010E. Inflation in this case is likely to end-up below 10\% at end-FY2010E. With the monsoon improving and reservoir levels increasing, we could still have a near-normal crop, especially as near-term monsoon forecasts are favorable. However, the odds are still stacked towards a mild drought as a base case.

## QUICK NUMBERS

- Rainfall deficiency drops to 27\% from 46\% in June
- Deficient/ scanty subdivisions drop to 22 from 30 in June
- Deficient North produces 50\% of national foodgrain and sugarcane output
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## Rainfall deficiency drops but drought risks remain

Rainfall was good last week in most parts of the country, raising hopes that a drought can be averted.

- Cumulative rainfall deficiency has dropped to $27 \%$ in mid-July from $46 \%$ in June.
- Of a total of 36 , the number of sub-divisions receiving deficient/scanty rainfall on a cumulative basis has dropped to 22 from 30 in June (see Exhibit 1).

The monsoon has improved considerably since our first note on this issue dated June 22. However, the odds continue to favor some measure of a drought. The monsoon appeared in the first week of July and continued to hold in the second week of July as well; the northern States of Punjab, Haryana and Western Uttar Pradesh continue to be deficient. As such, dark clouds still loom over the Indian economy and the risks of a drought in 2009 have not abated.

## Monsoon plays truant in June; recovery begins in July but a long way to go

Rainfall till date specified, CY2004-CY2009

|  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rainfall till date | 30-Jun | 14-Jul | 30-Sep | 13-Jul | 6-Jul | 30-Sep | 12-Jul | 30-Sep | 18-Jul | 30-Sep | 16-Jul | 30-Sep | 8-Jul | 15-Jul |
| Normal/Excess | 28 | 20 | 230 | 23 | 28 | 320 | 27 | 260 | 29 | 300 | 22 | 320 | 11 | 14 |
| Excess | 9 | 5 | - | 9 | 11 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Normal | 19 | 15 | 23 | 14 | 17 | 23 | 24 | 20 | 13 | 17 | 8 | 30 | 9 | 12 |
| Deficient/Scanty | 8 | 16 | 130 | 13 | 8 | 40 | 9 | 100 | 7 | 60 | 14 | 40 | 25 | 22 |
| Deficient | 8 | 16 | 13 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 19 | 20 |
| Sacnty/no rain | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 6 | 2 |
| Total | 36 | 36 | 360 | 36 | 36 | 360 | 36 | 360 | 36 | 360 | 36 | 360 | 36 | 36 |
| Rainfall deviation from normal (\%) |  |  | -13 |  |  | -1 |  | -1 |  | 5 |  | -2 | -36 | -27 |
| Foodgrains output (in mt) |  |  | 198.4 |  |  | 208.6 |  | 217.3 |  | 230.8 |  | 229.9 |  |  |
| Kharif foodgrains (in mt) |  |  | 103.3 |  |  | 109.9 |  | 110.6 |  | 121.0 |  | 118.8 |  |  |
| Foodgrains growth (\%) |  |  | -7.1 |  |  | 5.2 |  | 4.2 |  | 6.2 |  | -0.4 |  |  |
| All crops index growth (\%) |  |  | -1.6 |  |  | 12.2 |  | 13.9 |  | 0.9 |  | 3.0 E |  |  |
| Growth in GDP in agriculture \& allied(\%) |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 5.8 |  | 4.0 |  | 4.9 |  | 1.6 |  |  |
| Growth in GDP(\%) |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 9.5 |  | 9.7 |  | 9.0 |  | 6.7 |  |  |

Note:
(1) output/growth data relates to corresponding agricultural year (July-June). As such, for CY2004 monsoon, relate to FY2005 and so on.

Source: India Meteorological Department; Ministry of Agriculture; Kotak Institutional Equities

## High rainfall deficiency in Northern/Indo-Gangetic Plains

Spatially, the Northern/Indo-Gangetic Plains food belt has received very little rains (see Exhibit 2). Deficiency levels are close to scanty (i.e. 60\% or more):

- Rainfall deficiency in the above belt ranges from $47 \%$ in case of Gangetic West Bengal to $63 \%$ in case of Bihar
- Rainfall in this belt has improved over the past week, except in the case of Bihar and West Uttar Pradesh
- Rainfall has also been highly deficient (-50\%) in cotton and oilseed producing Gujarat, but normal in Suarashtra and Kutch, which account for the larger share of Gujarat's oilseeds
- Rainfall has been excess in two sub-divisions, viz. Orissa and Lakshadweep.
- It has been normal in 12-subdivisions, viz., Andaman \& Nicobar islands, Chhattisgarh, Konkan and Goa, Coastal Karnataka, North Interior Karnataka, South Interior Karnataka, Kerala, West Rajasthan, 'Suarashtra, Kutch and Diu', , Madhya Maharashtra, 'Tamil Nadu and Pondicherry' and Vidarbha,

Drought likely in Northern/Indo-Gangetic Plain food belt
Rainfall deficiency in sub-divisions of Northern/Indo-Gangetic Plain (\%)

| as on: | July 1, <br> 2009 | July 8, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | July 15, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bihar | -52 | -54 | -63 |
| East Uttar Pradesh | -66 | -89 | -56 |
| Haryana, Chandigarh \& Delhi | -42 | -62 | -59 |
| Himachal Pradesh | -55 | -69 | -59 |
| Punjab | -38 | -71 | -56 |
| Uttranchal | -58 | -65 | -57 |
| Gangetic West Bengal | -62 | -50 | -47 |
| West Uttar Pradesh | -74 | -50 | -60 |

Source: India Meteorological Department; Kotak Institutional Equities

## Deficient North accounts for half of foodgrain and sugarcane output

The Northern/Indo-Gangetic Plains regions that have so far received almost scanty rainfall accounts for $50 \%$ of the country's foodgrain and sugarcane crop (see Exhibit 3).

Share of Rainfall deficient Northern/Indo-Gangetic Plain States in major crops

Share in country's output for major crops, FY2007 and FY2008 average, (\%)

| as on: | Foodgrains | Rice | Pulses | Oilseeds | Cotton | Sugarcane |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bihar | 4.6 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 0.6 | - | 1.6 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 19.2 | 12.0 | 15.3 | 4.0 | - | 41.2 |
| Haryana | 6.5 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 8.1 | 2.8 |
| Punjab | 11.9 | 11.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 12.4 | 1.7 |
| Uttranchal | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | 1.9 |
| West Bengal | 7.4 | 15.8 | 1.2 | 2.4 | - | 0.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 6}$ |

Source: Ministry of Agriculture; Kotak Institutional Equities

- Rice crop can be affected as these regions account for $47 \%$ of its national output
- The impact in case of pulses, oilseeds and cotton is likely to be limited.


## Sowing operations hampered by poor rainfal

The Ministry of Agriculture has not updated the sowing operations data beyond June. Area sown till June 26 has dropped by $17 \%$ in relation to corresponding period last year (see Exhibit 4).

Area sown drops $17 \%$ so far, but can improve ahead if monsoon recovers
Area sown under main Kharif (summer) crops, March fiscal year-ends, (mn hectares)

| Crop | normal area | till June 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | increase | increase(\%) | \% of normal |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2008 | 2009 |
| 1 Rice | 39.2 | 2.5 | 1.8 | (0.7) | (27.5) | 6.4 | 4.7 |
| 2 Jowar | 3.9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 5.8 | 6.6 |
| 3 Bajra | 9.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | (0.5) | (65.4) | 7.7 | 2.7 |
| 4 Maize | 6.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 47.9 | 12.1 | 17.9 |
| 5 Other cereals | 2.5 | 0.2 | (0.2) | (0.4) | (191.6) | 8.8 | (8.1) |
| 6 Total coarse cereals (2 to 5) | 23.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | (0.5) | (24.4) | 8.8 | 6.7 |
| 7 Total cereals (1+6) | 62.2 | 4.5 | 3.4 | (1.2) | (26.1) | 7.3 | 5.4 |
| 8 Arhar (tur) | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 180.0 | 1.1 | 3.1 |
| 9 Urad | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 141.2 | 0.7 | 1.7 |
| 10 Moong | 2.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 31.6 | 5.6 | 7.3 |
| 11 Other pulses | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| 12 Total pulses (8 to 11) | 11.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 51.4 | 2.6 | 4.0 |
| 13 TOTAL FOODGRAINS (7+10) | 73.3 | 4.8 | 3.8 | (1.0) | (21.4) | 6.6 | 5.2 |
| 14 Groundnut | 5.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 | (0.7) | (79.2) | 16.9 | 3.5 |
| 15 Soyabean | 7.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | (0.6) | (79.9) | 10.4 | 2.1 |
| 16 Sunflower | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 67.8 | 11.2 | 18.8 |
| 17 Sesamum | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | (0.0) | (30.2) | 6.0 | 4.2 |
| 18 Nigerseed | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | (0.0) | (80.0) | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| 19 Castorseed | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1128.6 | 0.9 | 11.5 |
| 20 Total nine oilseeds (14 to 19) | 16.9 | 1.9 | 0.7 | (1.3) | (65.5) | 11.4 | 3.9 |
| 21 Cotton | 8.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 8.2 | 20.0 | 21.6 |
| 22 Sugarcane | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.2 | (0.2) | (3.6) | 98.8 | 95.2 |
| 23 Jute | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | (0.0) | (1.9) | 87.7 | 86.1 |
| 24 TOTAL NON-FOODGRAINS (20 to 24) | 30.8 | 8.7 | 7.4 | (1.3) | (14.8) | 28.3 | 24.1 |
| 25 TOTAL ALL CROPS (13+24) | 104.2 | 13.6 | 11.2 | (2.3) | (17.1) | 13.0 | 10.8 |

Source: Ministry of Agriculture, Kotak Institutional Equities

- We expect sowing to pick up in July, especially in the Northern States if these States receive good rainfall over next two-weeks $28 \%$ drop in area under paddy (rice)
- We expect an improvement in area under oilseeds which had fallen by $67 \%$ in June


## Crop output likely to fall in FY2010

In our assessment, it is reasonable to predict that agricultural output would contract in the current agricultural year (July-June) that corresponds to FY2010. The magnitude of this fall is difficult to assess at this point as sowing operations could still pick up over the next three weeks. However, given the near certainty that rainfall would be deficient this year, the Kharif foodgrain output is likely to drop. Moreover, reservoir levels are still running precariously low, though they improved last week.

Reservoir levels improve over the week, but still precariously low Reservoir levels (for 81 large reservoirs), billion cubic meters (BCM)

| as on: | July 9, 2009 | July 16, 2009 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Current live storage (BCM) | 16.0 | 20.7 |
| Live capacity at FRL (BCM) | 151.8 | 151.8 |
| Corresponding storage last year | 37.3 | 42.8 |
| Corresponding storage last 10-year average | 33.0 | 37.8 |
| \% storage as FRL capacity | 10.5 | 13.7 |
| corresponding \% last year | 24.6 | 28.2 |
| corresponding \% (last 10-year average) | 21.7 | 24.9 |
| current years's storage as \% of last year | 42.9 | 48.4 |
| current years's storage as \% of last 10-yr average | 48.5 | 54.8 |

[^10]On a current assessment, we see the following implications:

- If monsoon deficiency drop to under 10\%, it is still possible for the Indian economy to record GDP growth of over 6\% in FY2010E
- In such a case, inflation should stay in single-digits at the end of FY2010E
- However, if severe drought occurs with overall rainfall deficiency of say 20-25\%, growth could be pared to sub-5\% while inflation is likely to be in double-digits by end-FY2010E

Kotak Institutional Equities: Valuation summary of key Indian companies

| Company |  | Rating | mke cap. |  | $\frac{\substack{0 / 1 \\ \text { shares } \\(m m)}}{(m)}$ | EPS (RS) |  |  | EPS growth (\%) |  |  | PER(X) |  |  | Ev/Eatra $(x) \quad$ Pricesv ( $x$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | Dividend yield (\%) |  |  | $\begin{array}{rr}  & \text { RoE (\%) } \\ \hline 2009 & 2010 \mathrm{E} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $2011{ }^{\text {2 }}$ |  | arget | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADVT- } \\ 3 \mathrm{mo} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automobiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashok telana | 32 | ADD | 42,105 | 866 | 1,330 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.4 | (57.8) | 18.5 | 32.7 | 20.7 | 17.5 | 13.2 | 14.2 | 92 | 7.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 83 | 32 | 1.1 |  |
| Baij Auto | 1,147 | ADD | 165,995 | 3.413 | 145 | 45.2 | 85.0 | 100.9 | (13.4) | 87.9 | 18.7 | 25.4 | 13.5 | 11.4 | 14.9 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 6.1 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 37.7 | 53.1 | 44.0 | 1,260 | 9.8 |  |
| Heer Hond | 1.538 | Reduce | 307,109 | ${ }_{6,314}$ | 200 | 64.2 | 83.8 | 91.2 | 32.4 | 30.5 | 88 | 240 | 18.4 | 16.9 | 15.9 | 12.4 | 11.0 | 7.8 | 6.1 | 48 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 36.6 | 37.4 | 319 | 1,000 | (35.) |  |
| Mahinda \& Mahinda | 721 | ADD | 191,128 | 3,929 | 265 | 21.7 | 40.4 | 43.6 | (42.9) | 86.0 | 8.0 | 33.2 | 17.8 | 16.5 | 29.2 | 13.5 | 12.1 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 12.3 | 19.3 | 17.0 | 670 | (7.0) | 19.3 |
| Manti Suruki | 1,142 | stul | 330,038 | ${ }_{6}, 785$ | 289 | 42.2 | 624 | 673 | (29.6) | 47.9 | 7.9 | 27.1 | 183 | 17.0 | 233 | 11.5 | 10.0 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 25 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 13.4 | 17.2 | 15.9 | 900 | (212) |  |
| Tata Motos | 297 | stu | 165,056 | 3,393 | 556 | 20.8 | 15.2 | 20.0 | (58.3) | (27.0) | 32.2 | 14.3 | 19.6 | 14.8 | 20.0 | 13.1 | 11.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 9.0 | 6.1 |  | 235 | (20.8) | 39.0 |
| Banksflinancial Institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andhra Bank | 82 | RELCE | 39.988 | 822 | 485 | 13.5 | 11.1 | 12.6 | 140 | (17.9) | 13.6 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 6.5 |  |  |  | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 19.0 | 14.0 | 144 | S | 9.2 | . 7 |
| Axs Bank | 799 | Bur | 286,719 | 5,895 | 359 | 50.6 | 59.1 | 68.2 | 56.9 | 16.8 | 15.6 | 15.8 | 13.5 | 11.7 |  |  |  | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 850 | 6.4 |  |
| Bank of Baroda | 402 | Reduce | 146,778 | 3.018 | 366 | 60.9 | 54.9 | 58.5 | 55.1 | (9.8) | 6.5 | 6.6 | 7.3 | 6.9 |  |  |  | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 18.7 | 149 | 14.2 | 440 | 9.6 | ${ }^{11.3}$ |
| Bank of India | 330 | ADD | 173,368 | 3,564 | 526 | 57.2 | 46.3 | 53.2 | 40.7 | (19.1) | 14.9 | 58 | 7.1 | 6.2 |  |  |  | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 24 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 29.2 | 19.0 | 18.7 | 360 | 9.2 |  |
| Canara Bank | 254 | Reluce | 104,181 | ${ }^{2,142}$ | 410 | 50.5 | 38.6 | 41.4 | 32.4 | (23.6) | 7.1 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 6.1 |  |  |  | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 183 | 12.4 | 12.2 | 260 | 2.3 |  |
| Corporation Bak | 307 | bur | 44,028 | 905 | 143 | 62.2 | 51.5 | 55.3 | 21.4 | (17.3) | 7.4 | 4.9 | 6.0 | 5.6 |  |  |  | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 19.6 | 14.3 | 13.8 | 395 | 28.7 | 1.0 |
| Fedeal Bank | 230 | bur | 39,36 | 809 | 171 | 27.8 | 31.4 | 38.2 | (19.2) | 13.1 | 21.5 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 6.0 |  |  |  | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 13.0 | 320 | 39.1 |  |
| Future Captal Holings | 277 | bur | 17,516 | 360 | 63 | 4.5 | 28.8 |  | (198.6) | 546.1 | (100.0) | 62.2 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  | 23 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  | 3.8 | 21.4 |  | 440 | 58.8 |  |
| HDFC | 2,378 | Reduce | 676,436 | ${ }^{13,907}$ | 284 | 80.2 | 91.5 | 103.6 | (6.5) | 14.0 | 13.3 | 29.6 | 26.0 | 23.0 |  |  |  | 5.1 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 183 | 2.025 | (14.8) |  |
| Hofe Bank | 1.330 | Reduce | 612,360 | 12,590 | 440 | 55.4 | 63.2 | 75.1 | 20.4 | 14.2 | 18.8 | 25.1 | 22.0 | 18.5 |  |  |  | 4.2 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 16.9 | 15.4 | 15.0 | 1,430 | 2.8 | 50.7 |
| ICICI Bank | 694 | Reduce | 772,25 | 15.877 | 1,113 | 33.8 | 32.3 | 38.5 | (15.4) | (4.4) | 19.2 | 20.5 | 21.5 | 18.0 |  |  |  | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 7.8 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 685 | (1.3) | 188.3 |
| IDFC | 139 | ADD | 179,584 | ${ }_{3,692}$ | 1.294 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 23 | 21.0 | 13.3 | 23.9 | 19.7 | 17.4 |  |  |  | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 12.9 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 85 | (38.7) | 46.8 |
| India hfoline | 135 | ADD | 41,94 | 863 | 311 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 6.5 | (10.0) | 15.5 | 12.3 | 26.8 | 23.2 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 11.2 | 10.3 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 28 | 11.7 | 13.5 | 14.6 | 90 | (33) | 12.5 |
| Indian Bank | 128 | bur | 55,011 | 1,131 | 430 | 28.1 | 26.6 | 30.6 | 24.7 | (5.3) | 15.0 | 4.6 | 48 | 4.2 |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 22.7 | 18.3 | 18.1 | 185 | 4.5 | 3.2 |
| Indian Oversess Bank | 84 | bur | 45,581 | 939 | 545 | 24.3 | 16.6 | 22.2 | 10.3 | (31.9) | 33.8 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 3.8 |  |  |  | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | ${ }_{6} 3$ | 4.5 | 4.9 | 24.7 | 14.5 | 17.0 | 130 | 55.0 |  |
|  | 428 | bur | 20,72 | 426 | 48 | 84.5 | 86.6 | 96.3 | 13.8 | 2.4 | 11.2 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.4 |  |  |  | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 16.7 | 15.1 | 14.9 | 550 | 28.6 |  |
| LC Housing finace | 610 | NR | 51,843 | 1,066 | 85 | 62.5 | 68.8 | 80.3 | 37.3 | 10.0 | 16.8 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 7.6 |  |  |  | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 26.2 | 23.9 | 23.4 |  | (100.0) |  |
| Mahinda \& Mabindara Financial | 256 | ADD | ${ }^{24,466}$ | 503 | ${ }_{96}$ | 22.4 | 27.5 | 30.0 | 7.5 | 22.9 | 8.7 | 11.4 | 93 | 8.5 |  |  |  | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 29 | 15.4 | 169 | 16.3 | 240 | (6.1) |  |
| Orienat Eank of Commere | 165 | Reduce | 41.251 | 848 | 251 | 36.1 | 27.3 | 34.6 | 51.4 | (24.5) | 26.7 | 4.6 | 6.0 | 4.8 |  |  |  | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 44 | 33 | 4.2 | 148 | 10.2 | 11.8 | 190 | 15.4 | 29 |
| Pric | 216 | Stul | 247,83 | 5.095 | 1,148 | 13.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 14.3 | 38.6 | 11.1 | 16.6 | 12.0 | 10.8 |  |  |  | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 13.8 | 17.3 | 17.2 | 185 | (14.3) | 7.2 |
| Puniab National Bank | 676 | bur | 213,192 | 4,383 | 315 | 98.0 | 98.5 | 115.1 | 50.9 | 0.5 | 16.8 | 69 | 6.9 | 5.9 |  |  |  | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 34 | 23.0 | 199 | 20.1 | 800 | 183 | 17.7 |
| Reliance Capital | 812 | ADD | 199,783 | 4,107 | 246 | 39.3 | 29.0 | 28.9 | (5.6) | (26.2) | (0.5) | 20.6 | 28.0 | 28.1 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 15.3 | 10.2 | ${ }^{9} 3$ | 875 | 7.8 |  |
| Rural lectrificioio Cop. | 175 | bur | 149,826 | 3,080 | 859 | 16.5 | 175 | 20.8 | 50.7 | 6.5 | 18.8 | 10.6 | 10.0 | 8.4 |  |  |  | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 21.2 | 196 | 20.3 | 155 | (11.2) |  |
| Shriam Tensport | 305 | ADD | 64,562 | ${ }^{1,327}$ | 212 | 30.1 | 32.5 | 36.9 | 5.8 | 7.9 | 13.7 | 10.1 | 9.4 | 8.3 |  |  |  | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 29.6 | 27.0 | 25.8 | 300 | (1.7) |  |
| SREI |  | ADD | 6,710 | 138 | 116 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 8.2 | ${ }^{(32.8)}$ | (10.1) | 18.6 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 14.0 | 11.5 | 13.0 |  | 64.6 |  |
| State eank of ndia | 1.604 | bur | 1,018,667 | 20,943 | ${ }_{5}^{635}$ | 143.6 | 121.1 | 1392 | 34.8 | (15.7) | 14.9 | 11.2 | 13.2 | 11.5 |  |  |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 17.1 | 12.7 | 13.3 | ${ }^{1.870}$ | 16.6 |  |
| Union Bank | 232 | bur | 117,339 | 2.412 | 505 | 34.2 | 296 | 35.5 | 24.5 | (13.5) | 19.9 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 6.6 |  |  |  | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 23 | 27.2 | 19.5 | 19.9 | 280 | 20.5 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Ald }}^{\text {Acbuia Cemens }}$ | ${ }_{98}^{795}$ |  | 149,299 148888 | 3,069 | ${ }_{1.582}^{188}$ | ${ }_{7.2}^{56.3}$ | 55.2 7.0 | 42.2 5.8 | ${ }_{\substack{112.2) \\(50)}}$ | ${ }_{(1.1)}^{(1.9)}$ | ${ }_{\text {cen }}^{(123.6)}$ | ${ }_{13,6}^{14.1}$ | 14.4 14.0 | 18.8 16.8 | 7.1 7.3 | 7.1 7.4 | ${ }_{8.5}^{8.3}$ | 2.8 2.5 | 2.5 2.2 | 2.3 2.0 | ${ }_{3.1}^{2.9}$ | 2.9 1.9 | 2.9 | 24.7 197 | 21.3 169 | 15.1 12.8 | 725 80 | ${ }_{(18,2)}^{(8.8)}$ | ${ }_{6.3}^{12.7}$ |
| Grasim Industries | 2.609 | Reduce | 239,183 | 4.917 | 92 | 238.5 | 235.7 | 239.1 | (16.2) | (1.2) | 1.4 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 21.7 | 18.2 | 16.0 | 2.300 | (11.8) | 10.8 |
| India Cements | 142 | ADD | 39,69 | 822 | 282 | 17.8 | 197 | 16.6 | (27.2) | 10.8 | (15.8) | 8.0 | 7.2 | 8.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 14.9 | 14.8 | 11.2 | 155 | 9.3 |  |
| Shree Cement | 1.303 | bur | 45,395 | 933 | 35 | 174.7 | 91.6 | 86.2 | 93.7 | (47.6) | (5.9) | 7.5 | 14.2 | 15.1 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 65.7 | 24.0 | 18.9 | 1.150 | (11.7) |  |
| Ultareen Cement | 741 | ADD | 928.818 | 1.908 | 125 | 78.0 | 70.5 | 55.6 | (4.1) | (9,7) | (21.0) | 9.5 | 10.5 | ${ }^{13,3}$ | 6.1 | ${ }_{3}^{57}$ | 6.5 | 22 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.1 | ${ }^{1.1}$ | 1.1 | 3172 | ${ }^{22} 12$ | 15.0 | 725 | (2.2) | 5.4 |
| cement |  | Neutral | 715,551 | 14,711 |  |  |  |  | 29.0 | (52.6) | (88.1) | 63.6 | 71.4 | 83.5 | 36.8 | 35.8 | 39.5 | 14.5 | 12.4 | 11.1 | 10.7 | 9.6 | 10.6 | 177.8 | 117.5 | 89.1 |  |  |  |
| Constructions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated Construcion 0. | 267 | ADD | 9,865 | ${ }^{203}$ | 37 | 197 | 26.5 | 31.4 | (18.1) | 34.6 | 18.3 | 13.6 | 10.1 | 8.5 | 8.6 | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 5.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 15.0 | 17.6 | 17.9 | 190 | (288) |  |
| wRCL | 326 | bur | 44,166 | 908 | 135 | 16.7 | 179 | 22.1 | 74 | 7.4 | 23.1 | 19.5 | 18.2 | 14.8 | 13.2 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 13.2 | 12.6 | 13.6 | 350 | 7.3 |  |
| Nagafiuna Construction Co. | 125 | bur | 28,648 | 589 | 229 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 8.5 | (6.4) | 11.9 | 13.3 | 18.6 | 16.7 | 14.7 | 11.8 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 9.4 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 145 | 16.0 |  |
| Punillyy | ${ }_{670}^{213}$ | ${ }_{\text {buy }}$ | ${ }^{66,379}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.362}$ | ${ }^{311}$ | ${ }_{5}^{\text {(72) }}$ | 17.6 55 | ${ }_{779}^{20.6}$ | ${ }_{(172.4)}^{(150)}$ | ${ }^{(343.2)}$ | 17.2 | (1295) | 12.1 | ${ }^{10.3}$ | 21.3 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 22 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | ${ }^{(8.6)}$ | 19.9 | 19.4 | 300 830 | ${ }_{208}^{408}$ |  |
| Sadhav f finneeing Constuctions | 670 | ${ }_{\text {Attrative }}^{\text {Bu }}$ | 8,378 157.296 | $\begin{array}{r}1722 \\ 3.234 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 13 | 50.6 | 5.6 | 77.9 | (1664.5) | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{\text {(27.4) }}$ | ${ }_{1}^{40.2}$ | ${ }_{35.5}^{13.2}$ | ${ }_{69.1}^{12.1}$ | 8.6 56.9 | ${ }_{64.4}^{9.5}$ | 7.2 39.9 | 6.0 33.6 | 2.4 11.1 | 2.0 9.6 | ${ }_{8.3}^{1.7}$ | ${ }_{3.1}^{0.7}$ | ${ }_{4.2}^{0.9}$ | ${ }_{4.9}^{1.0}$ | 18.0 47.0 | ${ }_{76.7}^{16.8}$ | 19.4 80.7 |  |  |  |
| Consumer Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian Pains | 1,191 | ADD | 114,236 | 2.349 | 96 | 38.4 | 491 | 57.5 | (22) | 27.9 | 17.1 | 31.0 | 24.3 | 20.7 | 18.0 | 14.1 | 11.9 | 10.0 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 36.3 | 38.5 | 36.8 | 1.200 | 0.8 |  |
| Colgate:Palmolive (ndia) | 629 | ADD | 85,533 | 1,759 | 136 | 21.6 | 25.5 | 27.3 | 26.3 | 18.2 | 7.0 | 29.2 | 24.7 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 20.8 | 18.0 | 39.6 | 35.0 | 30.4 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 155.1 | 150.4 | 140.9 | 550 | (12.6) |  |
| Glaxosmithkine Consumer | 972 | ADD | ${ }^{40,888}$ | 841 | 42 | 44.8 | 56.1 | 63.6 | 15.8 | 25.2 | 13.5 | 21.7 | 17.3 | 15.3 | 12.2 | 9.9 | 87 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 40 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 26.8 | 28.5 | 28.0 | 1.050 | 8.0 |  |
| Godete Consumer Products | 172 | ADD | 44.988 | 915 | 258 | 6.8 | 87 | 9.8 | (3.9) | 27.8 | 12.8 | 254 | 19.9 | 17.6 | 22.3 | 16.4 | 13.8 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 42.7 | 427 | 33.1 | 180 | 4.4 |  |
| Hindustan Uniever | ${ }_{266} 26$ | Buy | 580,173 | ${ }^{11,928}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2,79 \\ 3 \\ \hline 179\end{array}$ | 9.5 | ${ }^{10.6}$ | 12.2 | 190 | 11.1 | 15.0 | 280 281 | 25.2 | 21.9 187 | 21.4 153 | 18.8 | 16.3 | ${ }_{5}^{281}$ | 25.2 | 225 | ${ }^{3.3}$ | ${ }^{3.6}$ | 4. | 1224 <br> 25 <br> 105 | 1059 | 1089 <br> 157 | ${ }^{320}$ | 20.2 |  |
|  | 217 | bur | 819,107 | 16,840 | 3,769 | ${ }_{8} 8$ | 10.2 | 11.6 |  |  |  | 25.1 | 21.3 |  | 15.3 | 13.1 |  | 5.6 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 25.3 | 26.0 | 25.7 | 235 |  |  |
| Jyohy laboratores |  | ADD | 7,192 |  | ${ }^{73}$ | 5.6 | 10.1 | 12.6 | (11.2) | 78.5 | 25.0 | 17.6 |  | 7.9 | 11.2 | 6.7 | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.7 | 19.4 |  | 46.3 |  |
| Nestel India | 1.978 | ADD | 190,710 | ${ }^{3} .921$ | ${ }_{96}$ | 58.6 | ${ }^{70.5}$ | 82.4 | 31.0 | 20.4 | 16.8 | 33.8 | 28.1 | 24.0 | 21.5 | 18.4 | 15.9 | 40.3 |  | 27.4 | 2.1 |  | 3.0 |  | 129.6 | 124.8 | 2.100 | 6.2 |  |
| Tata Tea | 754 | bur | 46,621 |  | 62 | 57.0 | 628 | 69.2 | ${ }_{5}^{53}$ | 10.1 | 10.2 | 13.2 | 12.0 | 10.9 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | ${ }^{2.3}$ | 2.5 | 27 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 900 | 19.4 |  |
| Consumer Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 131.3 | 225.0 | 182.6 | 160.1 | 152.9 | ${ }^{124.4}$ | 106.4 | 136.7 | 117.7 | 101.2 | 17.1 | 19.8 | 22.5 | 545.8 | 588.3 | 528.0 |  |  |  |
| $\underbrace{\substack{\text { Eharat Petoloum }}}_{\text {Energy }}$ | 459 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.1 | 22.6 | 14.3 | 11.0 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 7.7 | 9.4 | 475 | 3.4 | ${ }^{10.3}$ |
| Caim India | 228 | Reduce | 433.251 | 8.887 | 1,897 | 4.3 | 92 | 31.2 | 3,703.0) | 115.1 | 238.0 | 53.1 | 24.7 | 7.3 | 34.8 | 12.7 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |  | 11.0 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 16.5 | 225 | (1.3) | 35.5 |
| Castrol ndia | 387 | bur | 47,818 | 983 |  | 21.3 | 25.5 | 26.6 | 20.8 | 19.5 | 4.3 | 18.2 | 15.2 | 14.6 | 10.3 | ${ }^{8.8}$ | 8.4 | 10.7 | 9.6 | 9.0 | 3.9 | ${ }^{4.7}$ | 5.2 | 61.2 | 66.5 | 63.7 | 390 | 0.8 |  |
| Gall (ndia) | 339 | ADD | 429,422 | ${ }_{8}^{8,829}$ | 1,268 | 23.4 | 20.6 | 21.8 | 14.7 | (11.8) |  | 14.5 | 16.4 | 15.5 | 8.0 | ${ }^{9} 3$ | 9.6 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 |  | 18.4 |  | 14.0 | 300 | (11.4) |  |
| GSPL | 59 | Reduce | 33,36 |  | 563 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 21.7 | 8.1 | 38.0 | 26.8 | 24.8 | 18.0 | 9.8 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 5.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 12.7 | 45 | (23.3) |  |
| Hindusan Petroum | 322 <br> 540 | ${ }_{\text {sell }}^{\text {sel }}$ | ${ }_{\text {cke }}^{\text {109,178 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,245 \\ 13220}}$ | $\begin{array}{r}339 \\ 1929 \\ \hline 129\end{array}$ | 170 | 16.7 | 349 431 | (1993) | ${ }^{11388}$ | 1092 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 928 | 7.0 | 74 56 | 58 54 54 | 0.9 | 0.8 <br> 13 | ${ }^{0.8}$ | 1.6 <br> 14 | ${ }_{3}^{3.7}$ | 78 32 | 44 | 3.9 | 78 93 | 350 550 | 8.7 |  |
| Indian Oil Corporation |  | Reduce | 643,999 | 13,240 | 1,192 | 18.9 | 44.3 | 43.1 | (69.1) | 133.8 | (2.6) | 28.5 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 10.4 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 1.4 | ${ }^{1.3}$ | 1.2 | 1.4 | ${ }^{3.3}$ | 3.2 | 4.6 | 10.2 | 9.3 | 550 | 1.8 |  |
| Oil 8 Natural Gas Copopation | 1.023 | Bur | 2,188,081 | 44,985 | 2,139 | 91.4 | 100.3 | 1199 | (1.5) | ${ }^{9} 8$ | 19.5 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 8.5 | 4.0 | ${ }^{3.6}$ | 3.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | ${ }^{31}$ | 3.5 | 4.1 | 17.3 | 169 | 18.2 | 1,100 | 7.5 | 57.2 |
| Petronet LNG | 65 | ReDuce | 49,50 | 1,008 | 750 | 6.9 | ${ }^{7} 3$ | 8.5 | 9.2 | 5.9 | 16.8 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 27 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 23.9 | 21.1 | 20.5 | 57 | (12.8) | 372 |
| Reliance lndustries | 1.935 | sell | 3,045,139 | 62.006 | ${ }^{1.573}$ | 1034 | 112.5 | 1447 | (1.5) |  | 28.6 | 18.7 | 17.2 | 13.4 | 13.5 | 9.1 | 7.1 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 15.1 | 14.1 | 16.2 | 1,600 | (17.3) | 237.2 |
| Relance Perotoum |  |  |  |  | 4,500 | 0.2 | ${ }^{8.3}$ | 13.8 |  | 4,351.0 | 65.6 | 644.5 | 14.5 | 8.7 | 3019 | 10.8 | 7.2 | 4.0 | ${ }^{3.3}$ | 2.5 |  | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 25.1 | 33.0 |  |  |  |
| Energy |  | Cautious | 7,685,383 | 158,005 |  |  |  |  | (3,808.8) | 4.696.4 | 553.1 | 866.4 | 17.6 | 126.4 | 414.9 | ${ }_{88.1}$ | 68.6 | 31.2 | 28.0 | 25.4 | 18.3 | 26.6 | 48.2 | 162.8 | 194.7 | 221.3 |  |  |  |

Kotak Institutional Equities: Valuation summary of key Indian companies

|  | 16.511 .09 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { o/s } \\ \text { shares } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{EPS}_{\text {(RS) }}$ |  |  | EPS growh (\%) |  |  | PER (X) |  |  |  |  |  | Priceliv ( X ) |  |  | Dividend yield (\%) |  |  | RoE (\%) |  |  | Target <br> price Upside |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADVT- } \\ & \text { Bmo } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | Price (RS) | Rating | (RS mm) | (USS mn) | (mn) | 2009 |  | 2011 E | 2009 |  | 2011 E | 2009 | $2010{ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 2011 | 2009 |  | 2011 E | 2009 |  | 201 E |  |  | 201 E | 2009 | 20105 | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| Industrais |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABB | 701 | Reduce | 188.601 | 3,055 | 212 | 25.0 | 29.6 |  | (3.4) | 18.7 | (100.0) | ${ }^{28.1}$ | 23.7 |  | 17.7 | 14.5 |  | 5.8 | 4.8 |  | ${ }^{0.4}$ | 0.4 |  | 22.6 | ${ }^{22.2}$ |  |  | 500 | (28.7) | 8.3 |
| BGER Eneqy Sysiems |  | Reduce | 23,32 | 480 | 72 | 15.6 | 23.1 | 26.4 | 29.0 | 47.8 | 14.2 | 20.7 | 14.0 | 12.3 | 11.5 | 8.5 | 7.2 | 4.1 | ${ }^{3} 3$ | 2.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 21.6 | 26.0 | 24.3 |  | 315 | (2.8) |  |
| Bharat lectronics | 1,404 | Reluce | 112,324 | 2,309 | 80 | 1019 | 111.1 | 119.0 | (0.0) | 9.0 | 7.1 | 13.8 | 12.6 | 11.8 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 22.4 | 20.9 | 19.2 |  | 025 | (27.0) |  |
| Bhatat Hexy lectrials | 2,200 | Reduce | 1.07, 1.64 | 22,146 | 490 | ${ }_{6} 4.1$ | 93.1 | 106.8 | 9.8 | 45.1 | 14.8 | 34.3 | 23.6 | 20.6 | 25.7 | 16.1 | 13.4 | 8.3 | 6.6 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 26.4 | 31.0 | 28.5 |  | 900 | (13.7) |  |
| Cromplon Greaves | 282 | ADD | 103,326 | 2,124 | 367 | 15.3 | 18.4 | 21.3 | 37.3 | 20.0 | 15.8 | 18.4 | 15.3 | 13.2 | 10.4 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 5.6 | ${ }_{4} 4$ | ${ }_{3} 3$ | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 35.9 | 31.8 | 28.4 |  | 315 | 11.8 |  |
| Lasen 8 Toubio | 1.379 | ADD | 822,909 | 16.918 | 597 | 52.6 | 60.1 | 71.7 | 38.7 | 14.3 | 19.3 | 26.2 | 22.9 | 19.2 | 17.6 | 15.4 | 13.2 |  |  |  | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 22.5 | 19.7 | 19.0 |  | 425 | 3.3 |  |
| Maharashra Semmess | 245 | bur | 17,252 | 355 | 71 | 35.9 | 33.0 | 39.6 | 22.2 | (8.1) | 20.3 | 68 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 22 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 20.3 | 16.0 | 16.8 |  |  | (8.0) | 1.3 |
| Siemens | 424 | Reduce | 142,804 | 2.936 | 337 | 19.8 | 21.1 |  | 39.7 | 6.4 | (100.0) | 21.4 | 20.1 |  | 12.0 | 11.4 |  | 5.4 | 4.4 |  | 1.6 | 1.0 |  | 27.1 | 24.2 |  |  |  | (15.0) |  |
| Sulzon Enegy | 93 | ADD | 1455,761 | ${ }^{2}$ 2,997 | 1.571 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 5.5 | 37.2 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 8.9 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 7.5 | 1.6 | ${ }_{13}$ | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 12.3 | 11.7 | 13.7 |  | 140 | 50.9 | 49.9 |
| Industrias |  | Neutral | 2,593,472 | 53,320 |  |  |  |  | 183.1 | 158.7 | (71.4) | 182.5 | 151.9 | 92.2 | 15.2 | 94.9 | 58.1 | 34.9 | 28.3 | 15.6 | 9.5 | ${ }_{9} .4$ | 9.9 | 211.2 | 203.5 | 149.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 RESIf nfastucture | 172 | Bur | 57,266 | 1.177 | 332 | 5.8 | 11.3 | 12.7 | 69.2 | 95.7 | 11.7 | 29.7 | 15.2 | 13.6 | 15.9 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 11.0 | 18.1 | 16.5 |  | 160 | (7.1) | 10.5 |
| ${ }^{\text {Infasaturcture }}$ |  | Attrative | 57,266 | 1.177 |  |  |  |  | 69.2 | 95.7 | 11.7 | 29.7 | 15.2 | 13.6 | 15.9 | ${ }^{8.6}$ | 7.4 | ${ }^{3.1}$ | 2.5 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 11.0 | 18.1 | 16.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Mefia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dishiv | 36 | Reduce | 34,306 | 705 | 946 | (73) | (4.1) | (3.2) | (239) | (44.4) | (22.6) | (49) | (8.9) | (11.5) | (22.1) | (133.6) | 42.9 | 5.3 | 16.9 | ${ }_{6}^{6.8}$ |  |  |  | 86.1 | 91.1 | 848 |  | 32 | (11.7) |  |
| нт Media | 95 | ADD | 22,312 | 459 | 234 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 6.3 | (80.4) | 399.4 | 49.2 | 112.1 | 22.5 | 15.0 | 23.1 | 9.6 | 7.5 | 2.6 | 24 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 23 | 11.3 | 15.6 |  |  | 26.0 |  |
| Jagan Prakstan | 80 | bur | 24,214 | 498 | 301 | 2.9 | 42 | 5.5 | (12.1) | 47.2 | 29.6 | 28.1 | 19.1 | 14.7 | 15.8 | 10.6 | 8.4 | 44 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 37 | 15.8 | 22.1 | 26.5 |  |  | 14.4 |  |
| Sun TV Nemork | 232 | reduce | 91,525 | ${ }_{1}^{1.882}$ | 394 | 9.1 | 11.1 | 13.0 | 9.3 | 22.9 | 16.5 | 25.6 | 20.9 | 17.9 | 13.4 | 11.5 | 9.7 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 4.1 | ${ }_{1.1}$ | 1.7 | 2.6 | 22.5 | 23.8 | 24.4 |  | 215 | (7.4) |  |
| Zee eneratiment Enteprises | 180 | Reduce | ${ }^{78,333}$ | 1.610 | ${ }^{435}$ | 8.3 | 10.0 | 12.0 | (6.5) | 20.6 | 19.5 | 21.7 | 18.0 | 15.1 | 15.6 | 13.0 | 10.4 | 23 | 22 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 11.9 | 13.0 | 14.4 |  | 160 | (11.2) | 7.9 |
| zee News | 39 | ADD | 9,375 | 193 | 240 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 20.4 | 11.1 | 18.8 | 21.0 | 18.9 | 15.9 | 10.9 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 3.8 | ${ }^{3.3}$ | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 20.0 | 19.0 | 9.5 |  | 40 | 2.3 |  |
| Media |  | Neutral | 260,064 | ${ }_{5,347}$ |  |  |  |  | (933) | 456.9 | 111.1 | 203.6 | 90.4 | 67.2 | 56.7 | (79.8) | 87.1 | 13.1 | ${ }^{-0.3}$ | 8.1 | 6.3 | ${ }_{8.2}$ | 12.3 | 158.6 | 180.3 | 185.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Meals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindato Industres | 79 | BuY | ${ }^{138,807}$ | 2.854 | , 753 | 77 | 2.0 | 10.0 | (44,4) | (133) | 3860 | 10.3 | 38.6 | 79 | ${ }^{67}$ | ${ }^{88}$ | 6.7 | 0.4 | ${ }^{0.4}$ | ${ }^{0.4}$ |  |  |  | 10.3 | 5.2 | ${ }^{67}$ |  |  | 70.5 | 23.9 |
| Hindustan Zinc | 615 | Bur | 259,677 | ${ }^{5}, 339$ | ${ }^{423}$ | 64.6 | 62.9 | 80.9 | (38.0) | (2.6) | 28.7 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 7.6 | 5.7 | 5.1 | ${ }^{3} 1$ | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | ${ }^{0} 8$ | 20.1 | 16.5 | 17.9 |  |  | 34.2 |  |
| Jindal steel and Power | 2.588 | Reduce | 398,444 | 8,192 | 154 | 198.0 | 172.4 | 196.2 | 1393 | (12.9) | 13.8 | 13.1 | 15.0 | 13.2 | 88 | 9.0 | 7.6 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 53.1 | 31.0 | 26.4 |  |  | (22.7) |  |
| Isw Steel | 580 | selu | 108,423 | ${ }_{2}^{2} 229$ | 187 | 13.1 | 24.1 | 53.5 | (84,7) | 833 | 121.8 | 44.1 | 24.0 | 10.8 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 11.0 | 4.3 | 83 |  |  | (32.7) |  |
| National Auminium $\mathrm{Co}_{0}$ | 282 | Stul | 181,005 | 3,730 | 644 | 19.7 | 13.7 | 20.4 | (22.0) | (30.6) | 48.8 | 14.3 | 20.5 | 13.8 | 8.7 | 89 | 5.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 12.7 | 8.1 | 11.1 |  | 290 | 3.0 | 3.9 |
| Sesa Goa | 211 | Bur | 166,068 | 3,414 | 787 | 24.8 | 25.5 | 34.2 | 30.8 | 3.0 | 34.0 | 85 | 8.3 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 49 | ${ }^{3} .0$ | 3.7 | 27 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 52.8 | 37.1 | 36.0 |  | 240 | 13.8 | 44.1 |
| Sterlite noustries | 590 | Reduce | 499,018 | 10,198 | 840 | 49.2 | 29.8 | 38.0 | (23.6) | (39.3) | 27.2 | 12.0 | 19.8 | 15.5 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.3 |  |  |  | 14.3 | 8.1 | 8.4 |  | 550 | (6.8) |  |
|  | 384 | $\stackrel{\text { bur }}{\text { Neutral }}$ | 315.509 2.06,641 | ${ }_{42,497}^{6,493}$ | 822 | 110.0 | 46.2 | ${ }^{84} 2$ | ${ }_{25}^{4.3}$ | ${ }_{(150.4)}^{(150.4)}$ | ${ }_{7}^{822} 2$ | 3.5 115.3 | $\stackrel{8.3}{14.4}$ | ${ }_{79}^{4.6}$ | 45 | 7.3 | 5.5 | ${ }_{108} 0$ | 0.7 132 | 0.7 108 | 4.0 80 | 4.2 | 42 88 | 36.3 | ${ }^{16,1} 1$ | 25.1 |  | 505 | 31.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aban Offshore | 844 | stul | 31,988 | 658 | 38 | 87.8 | 125.3 | 214.6 | 21.5 | 42.7 | 71.3 | 9.6 | 6.7 | 3.9 | 89 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 33.7 | 33.3 | 36.7 |  |  | (56.8) |  |
| Havell India | 276 | Reduce | 16,715 | 344 | 61 | 4.5 | 12.2 | 190 | (830) | 170.4 | 55.5 | 61.1 | 22.6 | 14.5 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 26 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 12.5 | 19.3 |  | 175 | (36.6) |  |
| Jaiparash Assocrites | 199 | ADD | 278,657 | 5.729 | 1.403 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 9.9 | (38.7) | 126.3 | 45.5 | 66.1 | 29.2 | 20.1 | 22.5 | 15.1 | 14.2 | 50 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 16.1 | 20.0 |  | 190 | (4.3) |  |
| Jindal Saw | 371 | bur | 20,339 | 418 | 55 | 64.3 | 478 | 41.7 | (0.8) | (25.6) | (12.8) | 5.8 | 7.8 | 8.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 10.8 | 74 | 6.2 |  | 300 | (19.1) |  |
| PsL | 114 | bur | 4.951 | 102 |  | 22.0 | 36.8 | 30.0 | 43 | 67.4 | (18.5) | 5.2 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 47 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 10.2 | 134 | 11.1 |  | 160 | 40.8 |  |
| Sintex | 188 | Bur | 25,627 | 527 | 136 | 23.8 | 25.2 | 27.6 | 21.9 | 5.6 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | ${ }^{0.6}$ | 0.6 | 0.6 | 16.6 | 15.0 | 14.2 |  | 275 | 46.5 |  |
| Tata Chemicas | ${ }_{2}^{205}$ | ADD | 48,186 | 991 | ${ }_{235}^{235}$ | ${ }^{27} 17$ | ${ }_{135}^{231}$ | 27.2 | ${ }^{(304)}$ | ${ }^{(165)}$ | 179 | 74 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 5.2 | ${ }^{4} 1$ | 3.5 53 | 1.0 | 0.9 | ${ }^{0.8}$ | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 179 | 129 | 13.7 |  | 200 | (2.4) |  |
| United dhosphous | 153 | bur | 70.501 | 1.449 | ${ }^{462}$ | 107 | 13.5 | 18.0 | 27.8 | 25.7 | 33.9 | 14.3 | 11.3 | 8.5 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 5.3 | ${ }^{23}$ | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 18.1 | 18.2 | 20.5 |  | 140 | (8.3) |  |
| Welspun Gujarat Stah Rohten | 197 | reduce | 37,255 | ${ }^{766}$ | 189 | 173 | 240 | 178 | (15.7) | 38.7 | ${ }^{(258,8)}$ | 11.4 | 8.2 | ${ }^{1151}$ | ${ }_{7}^{67}$ | 48 | ${ }_{5}^{5.6}$ | 21 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 | -0.80 | $\stackrel{0.9}{ }$ | 178 | 21.7 | ${ }_{1}^{13,4}$ |  | 145 | (26.5) | 23.3 |
| ${ }_{\text {O }}^{\text {Others }}$ Pharmaeutials |  |  | 534,218 | ${ }^{10,983}$ |  |  |  |  | (93.1) | 434.8 | 176.5 | 188.8 | 105.3 | 85.1 | 77.4 | 59.2 | 53.3 | 18.0 | 16.1 | 13.5 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 15.5 | 137.3 | 150.4 | 155.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 215 | BuY | 43,880 | 886 | 200 | 4.7 | 13.6 | 18.3 | (80.0) | 192.6 | 34.2 | 46.3 | 15.8 | 11.8 | 14.1 | 10.7 | 8.1 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 6.2 | 16.9 | 19.8 |  |  | 25.3 |  |
| Cipla | 276 | ADD | 214,804 | 4.416 | 777 | 9.9 | 13.9 | 15.5 | 9.5 | 40.6 | 11.9 | 28.0 | 19.9 | 17.8 | 21.2 | 14.9 | 13.1 | 5.0 | 4.2 | ${ }_{3.6}$ | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 19.1 | 23.0 | 21.8 |  | 250 | (9.5) |  |
| Distman Phama chenicals | 188 | Bur | 15,254 | 314 | 81 | 18.0 | 21.2 | 27.3 | 22.1 | 17.9 | 28.7 | 10.4 | 8.9 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.8 | 21.9 | 22.9 |  | 280 | 49.3 | 0.5 |
| Divi's laboraties | 1.058 | Bur | 69,085 | ${ }^{1.420}$ | 65 | 63.8 | 74.6 | 86.5 | 199 | 169 | 160 | 16.6 | 14.2 | 12.2 | 14.1 | 11.3 | 9.0 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 32 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 398 | 33.5 | 293 |  | 375 | 30.0 |  |
| Dr Reddy's Laboratores | 755 | Bur | 127,798 | 2.627 | 169 | 32.4 | 44.8 | 48.4 | 24.5 | 38.2 |  | ${ }^{23,3}$ | 16.9 | 15.6 | 10.9 |  | 7.4 | 3.7 | ${ }^{3.1}$ | 2.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 13.7 | 19.8 | 18.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Glenmark Phamaceuticas | 222 | Bur | 59,126 | 1.216 | 266 | 11.1 | 12.1 | 16.2 | (57.0) | 8.7 | 33.6 | 20.0 | 18.4 | 13.8 | 12.2 | 10.8 | 9.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 14.4 | 16.6 |  |  | 41.6 |  |
| Jublant OIganoss/ | 161 | bur | 27,512 | 566 | 171 | 16.6 | 24.5 | 32.9 | (26.1) | 48.2 | 34.2 | 9.7 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 11.6 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 16.2 | 28.7 | 30.8 |  |  | 55.4 |  |
| Lupin | 891 | Bur | ${ }^{78,888}$ | ${ }^{1.622}$ | 89 | 60.7 | 66.0 | 71.3 | 21.9 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 147 | 13.5 | 12.5 | 14.4 | 12.0 | 10.2 | ${ }^{46}$ | ${ }^{3.6}$ | 3.0 | ${ }^{1.3}$ | 1.2 | 1.5 | ${ }^{33.7}$ | ${ }^{30.3}$ | 26.1 |  |  | 20.7 |  |
| Primal Heatherere | 313 | BuY | ${ }^{65,354}$ | 1,344 | 209 | 17.3 | 22.4 | 28.2 | (2.7) | 29.8 | 26.0 | 18.1 | 14.0 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 9.3 | 7.7 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 26.3 | 31.4 | 30.8 |  |  | 8.7 |  |
| Ranbaxy laborateries | 259 | Reduce | 110,398 | 2.270 | 427 | (8.1) | (5.7) | 5.1 | (134,7) | (29.5) | (189.8) | (32.0) | (453) | 50.5 | (882) | (189.5) | 21.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.8 | (8.8) | (4.7) | 4.1 |  | 150 | (42.0) |  |
| Sun Pammectuticals | 1.221 | ADD | 25,883 | 5.199 | 207 | 87.8 | 78.5 | 82.5 | 17.6 | (10.6) | 5.2 | 13.9 | 15.6 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 10.0 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 2.6 |  | 1.1 | 1.1 | 31.6 | 22.6 | 199 |  | . 665 | 36.4 | 25.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Propery }}$ | 317 | RELUCE | 543,479 | 11,173 | 1,716 | 29.3 | 16.3 | 17.5 | (36.6) | (44.4) | 7.2 | 10.8 | 19.5 | 18.1 | 11.3 | 16.2 | 13.7 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0. | 1.3 | 22.5 | 10.8 | 10.6 |  | 320 | 1.0 | 163.4 |
| Housing Development 8 infastuctur | 218 | NR | 60,140 | ${ }^{1,236}$ | 275 | 30.6 | 198 | 24.8 | (40.1) | (35.3) |  |  | 11.0 |  | 10.1 | 11.5 |  | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 23 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 21.2 | 12.1 | 13.9 |  |  | 100.0) |  |
| Indiabuls Rel Esate | 221 | ADD | 88,624 | ${ }^{1.822}$ | 401 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 7.5 | (81.8) | 3.8 | 14.1 | ${ }^{7} 3.7$ | 70.9 | 29.4 | (227.7) | 56.4 | 13.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Mahindara Lfie Space Developer | 267 | bur | ${ }^{11,208}$ | ${ }^{230}$ | ${ }^{42}$ | 10.2 | 10.8 | 15.4 | (392) | 5.3 | 42.5 | 26.1 | 24.7 | 17.4 | 40.2 | 23.2 | ${ }^{11.8}$ | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 49 | ${ }_{77} 7$ |  |  | 53.8 |  |
| Phoenix Mils | 95 | bur | 13,782 | 283 | 145 | 5.2 | 71 | 8.7 | 63.1 | 38.3 | 21.4 | 18.4 | 13.3 | 11.0 | 20.7 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 5.1 | 6.7 | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Puranakara Projects | 71 | Reduce | 15,42 | 311 | 213 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 7.4 | (39.8) | 2.8 | 6.9 | 10.5 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 16.9 | 13.8 | 11.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 |  | 28 | 2.8 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 10.7 |  | 55 | (22.5) |  |
| Sobha | 186 | ADD | 18.25 | 375 | ${ }^{98}$ | 15.1 | 143 | 16.1 | (52.3) | (5.6) | 12.5 | 12.3 | 13.0 | 11.6 | 134 | 10.3 | 9.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 8.8 |  | 215 | 15.7 | 1.7 |
| Unitech | 75 | sell | 168,906 |  | 2,261 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 4.6 | (25.8) | (41.0) |  |  | 16.5 |  |  |  |  | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 29.4 | 13.5 | 10.1 |  | 60 (1) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tita industries | 1,199 | Reduce | 53.205 | 1.094 | 44 | 45.9 | 50.1 | 56.9 | 30.8 | 9.2 |  | 26.1 | 23.9 | 21.1 | 16.8 | 14.6 | 12.6 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 38.9 | 33.6 | 29.9 |  | 850 | (29.1) |  |
| Retail |  | Neutral | 53,205 | 1.094 |  |  |  |  | 30.8 | 9.2 | 13.7 | 26.1 | 23.9 | 21.1 | 16.8 | 14.6 | 12.6 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 38.9 | 33.6 | 29.9 |  |  |  |  |

Source: Company, Bloomberg, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

| Kotak Institutional Equities: Valuation summary of key Indian companies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mkt |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { o/s } \\ \text { shares } \end{gathered}$ | EpS (RS) |  |  | EPS growth (\%) |  |  | PER(X) |  |  | ev/Eerta ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Pricelvv (x) |  |  | Dividend yield (\%) |  |  | RoE \%) |  |  | Target <br> price Upsid |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADVT- } \\ 3 \mathrm{mo} \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HC Technologies | 194 | Reduce | 135,04 | ${ }^{2,776}$ | 695 | 16.2 | 13.1 | 17.0 | ${ }^{6} 10$ | (19.0) | 29.5 | 12.0 | 14.8 | 11.4 | ${ }_{6}^{68}$ | 6.7 | $6_{6} 3$ | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | ${ }_{6} 6$ | ${ }^{6.2}$ | 6.2 | 18.6 | ${ }^{14.4}$ | 17.7 | ${ }_{135}^{135}$ | (130.5) | 6.0 |
| Hexaware Technologes | ${ }^{43}$ | Stur | 6.119 | 126 | 144 | 3.6 | 4.0 |  | (12.7) | 11.5 | (100.0) | 11.9 | 10.7 |  | 2.7 | 2.5 |  | 0.9 | 0.8 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 7.6 | 8.0 |  | 35 | (17.8) | 1.4 |
| Intosss Tectrologies | . 795 | Bur | 1.03, 387 | 21,184 | 574 | 102.4 | 1025 | 1128 | 29.6 | 0.1 | 10.1 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 15.9 | 12.8 | 12.1 | 10.2 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 36.7 | 28.9 | 26.3 | 1.900 | 5.8 | 63.0 |
| Mindtre | 484 | bur | ${ }_{19,932}$ | 410 | 41 | 13.2 | 46.4 | 51.7 | (50.5) | 2503 | 11.6 | 36.6 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 6.3 | 68 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 0.4 |  | 1.1 | 5.5 | 22.1 | 18.7 | 575 | 18.7 | 4.2 |
| Mphasis fll | 366 | ReUuCE | 76,297 | 1.569 | 208 | 14.2 | 38.9 | 33.5 | 15.7 | 174.5 | (13.9) | 25.8 | 9.4 | 10.9 | 19.6 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 28 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 22.8 | 45.4 | 28.8 | 335 | (8.5) | 3.6 |
| Pataicompuer Ssisems | 283 | Reduce | 36,34 | 748 | 129 | 24.7 | 26.8 |  | (7.8) | 8.5 | (100.0) | 11.5 | 10.6 |  | 42 | 4.0 |  | 1.2 | 1.1 |  | 1.7 | 1.9 |  | 11.0 | 11.2 |  | 220 | (22.3) | 2.0 |
| Polaís sofurare lab | 102 | selu | 10,038 | 206 | 99 | 13.1 | 13.8 | 129 | 76.0 | 5.4 | (6.4) | 7.8 | 74 | 7.9 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 18.1 | 16.4 | 13.6 | 80 | (21.3) | 4.1 |
| Tes | 420 | RELUCE | ${ }^{821,633}$ | ${ }^{16,892}$ | 1,957 | 26.4 | 26.9 | 29.6 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 10.0 | 15.9 | 15.6 | 14.2 | 11.1 | 10.7 | ${ }^{9.3}$ | 5.2 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 36.9 | ${ }^{30.4}$ | 28.1 | ${ }^{350}$ | (16.6) |  |
| Wpro | 417 | ADD | 609,069 | ${ }^{12,522}$ | 1.462 | 25.7 | 26.4 | 29.2 | ${ }^{158.8}$ | 2.6 | 10.3 | 16.2 | 15.8 | 14.3 | 11.9 | 10.9 | 9.1 | 4.1 | ${ }^{33} 3$ | 2.9 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 26.9 | ${ }^{23.3}$ | 21.6 | 400 | (4.) | 15.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IDEA | 72 | Reduce | 222,081 | 4.566 | 3,104 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.2 | (26.5) | (0.1) | 10.9 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 22.2 | 92 | 83 | 7.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 |  |  |  | 10.4 | 6.4 | ${ }_{6} 8$ | 65 | (92) | 22.0 |
| MTML | 93 | selu | 55,874 | 1,210 | ${ }^{63}$ | 5.1 | 5.5 | 6.5 | (28.4) | 7.6 | 17.7 | 18.3 | 17.0 | 14.4 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 6.4 | ${ }_{6} .4$ | 6.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 50 | (46.5) | 4.6 |
| ${ }_{\text {Reliance Communications }}$ | 270 | selu | 575.780 | ${ }^{11,838}$ | 2,133 | ${ }^{27.7}$ | 20.3 | 21.1 | 4.7 | (26.6) | 3.9 | 97 | 13.3 | 12.8 | 8.8 | 8.4 | ${ }_{6}^{6.5}$ | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.3 |  |  | 18.6 | ${ }^{11.7}$ | 10.9 | 180 | (33.3) | 78.4 |
| Tata Communications | 474 | Reouce | 135,900 | 2,777 | 285 | 13.6 | 14.0 | 15.2 | 24.0 | 3.2 | 82 | 34.9 | 338 | 31.3 | 149 | 13.5 | 12.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 400 | (15.6) | 8.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transporation Uutities |  | Cautious | 122,831 | 2.525 |  |  |  |  | 11.6 | 10.8 | 16.6 | 14.7 | 13.2 | ${ }^{11.3}$ | 10.4 | ${ }^{8 .} 8$ | 7.4 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 24.0 | 22.5 | 22.2 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Unitities }}$ | 281 | ADD | 35,882 | 721 | 125 | 31.2 | 38.0 | 42.1 | 12.3 | 21.8 | 10.8 | 9.0 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 5.8 | ${ }_{6} .3$ | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 11.9 | 345 | 22.9 |  |
| Lanco infateeh | 369 | ADD | 82,940 | 1,687 | 222 | 14.5 | 20.2 | 35.1 | (2.5) | 39.7 | 73.7 | 25.5 | 18.3 | 10.5 | 23.0 | 16.4 | 8.0 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 23 |  |  |  | 16.1 | 18.6 | 25.3 | 335 | 4.3 | 26.3 |
| NTPC | 205 | Stul | 1,69,206 | 34,811 | 8.245 | 9.4 | 10.8 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 14.7 | 12.6 | 21.8 | 19.0 | 16.9 | 16.5 | 14.0 | 13.3 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 15.0 | 180 | (123) | 42.0 |
| Reliance infastucture | 1.060 | bur | 240,128 | 4,937 | 226 | 64.1 | 58.8 | 62.9 | 70.5 | (82) | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 16.5 | 18.0 | 16.9 | 17.7 | 18.2 | ${ }^{14.2}$ | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 7.0 | 9.0 | 1.250 | 17.9 | ${ }^{120.0}$ |
| Reliance Power |  | ReUuce | 393,906 | 8.998 | 2,397 | . 0 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 168.2 | 140.3 | 25.3 | 161.1 | 67.0 | 53.5 | 129.6 | 1.044 | 85.5 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.6 |  |  |  | 1.8 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 160 | (2.6) |  |
| Tala Power | 1.092 | ADD | 243,120 | 4.998 | ${ }^{223}$ | 56.2 | 76.6 | 86.5 | ${ }^{76,6}$ | ${ }^{36,2}$ | 12.9 | 19.4 | 14.3 | ${ }^{12.6}$ | 10.8 | 11.3 | 10.6 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | ${ }^{1.3}$ | 13.4 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 1,100 | 0.7 | 13.8 <br> 1.4 |
| Tata Power | 1,171 | ADD | 260,649 | 5,435 | ${ }^{223}$ | 56.2 | 76.6 | 86.5 | 76.6 | 36.2 | 12.9 | 20.8 | 15.3 | 13.5 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 11.0 | 2.6 | ${ }^{2} 3$ | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | ${ }^{13,4}$ | 15.8 | 15.7 | 1,100 | (6.1) |  |




Source: Company, Bloomberg, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates
"Each of the analysts named below hereby certifies that, with respect to each subject company and its securities for which the analyst is responsible in this report, (1) all of the views expressed in this report accurately reflect his or her personal views about the subject companies and securities, and (2) no part of his or her compensation was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed in this report: Mridul Saggar, Jairam Nathan, Rahul Jain, Lokesh Garg, Augustya Somani "
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## Ratings and other definitions/identifiers

Rating system
Definitions of ratings

BUY. We expect this stock to outperform the BSE Sensex by $10 \%$ over the next 12 months.
ADD. We expect this stock to outperform the BSE Sensex by $0-10 \%$ over the next 12 months.
REDUCE. We expect this stock to underperform the BSE Sensex by $0-10 \%$ over the next 12 months.
SELL. We expect this stock to underperform the BSE Sensexby more than $10 \%$ over the next 12 months.
Our target price are also on 12-month horizon basis.

Other definitions
Coverage view. The coverage view represents each analyst's overall fundamental outlook on the Sector. The coverage view will consist of one of the following designations: Attractive (A), Neutral (N), Cautious (C).

## Other ratings/identifiers

$\mathbf{N R}=$ Not Rated. The investment rating and target price, if any, have been suspended temporarily. Such suspension is in compliance with applicable regulation(s) and/or Kotak Securities policies in circumstances when Kotak Securities or its affiliates is acting in an advisory capacity in a merger or strategic transaction involving this company and in certain other circumstances.
$\mathbf{C S}=$ Coverage Suspended. Kotak Securities has suspended coverage of this company.
NC = Not Covered. Kotak Securities does not cover this company.
RS = Rating Suspended. Kotak Securities Research has suspended the investment rating and price target, if any, for this stock, because there is not a sufficient fundamental basis for determining an investment rating or target. The previous investment rating and price target, if any, are no longer in effect for this stock and should not be relied upon.
NA = Not Available or Not Applicable. The information is not available for display or is not applicable.
$\mathbf{N M}=$ Not Meaningful. The information is not meaningful and is therefore excluded.

## Corporate Office
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Bakhtawar, 1st Floor
229, Nariman Point
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Tel: +91-22-6634-1100

## Overseas Offices

Kotak Mahindra (UK) Ltd<br>6th Floor, Portsoken House<br>155-157 The Minories<br>London EC 3N 1 LS<br>Tel: +44-20-7977-6900 / 6940

## Kotak Mahindra Inc

50 Main Street, Suite No. 310
Westchester Financial Centre
White Plains, New York 10606
Tel:+1-914-997-6120

## Copyright 2009 Kotak Institutional Equities (Kotak Securities Limited). All rights reserved.

Kotak Securities Limited and its affiliates are a full-service, integrated investment banking, investment management, brokerage and financing group. We along with our affiliates are leading underwriter of securities and participants in virtually all securities trading markets in India. We and our affiliates have investment banking and other business relationships with a significant percentage of the companies covered by our Investment Research Department. Our research professionals provide important input into our investment banking and other business selection processes. Investors should assume that Kotak Securities Limited and/or its affiliates are seeking or will seek investment banking or other business from the company or companies that are the subject of this material and that the research professionals who were involved in preparing this material may participate in the solicitation of such business. Our research professionals are paid in part based on the profitability of Kotak Securities Limited, which include earnings from investment banking and other business. Kotak Securities Limited generally prohibits its analysts, persons reporting to analysts, and members of their households from maintaining a financial interest in the securities or derivatives of any companies that the analysts cover. Additionally, Kotak Securities Limited generally prohibits its analysts and persons reporting to analysts from serving as an officer, director, or advisory board member of any companies that the analysts cover. Our salespeople, traders, and other professionals may provide oral or written market commentary or trading strategies to our clients that reflect opinions that are contrary to the opinions expressed herein, and our proprietary trading and investing businesses may make investment decisions that are inconsistent with the recommendations expressed herein. In reviewing these materials, you should be aware that any or all of the foregoing, among other things, may give rise to real or potential conflicts of interest. Additionally, other important information regarding our relationships with the company or companies that are the subject of this material is provided herein.

This material should not be construed as an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security in any jurisdiction where such an offer or solicitation would be illegal. We are not soliciting any action based on this material. It is for the general information of clients of Kotak Securities Limited. It does not constitute a personal recommendation or take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situations, or needs of individual clients. Before acting on any advice or recommendation in this material, clients should consider whether it is suitable for their particular circumstances and, if necessary, seek professional advice. The price and value of the investments referred to in this material and the income from them may go down as well as up, and investors may realize losses on any investments. Past performance is not a guide for future performance, future returns are not guaranteed and a loss of original capital may occur. Kotak Securities Limited does not provide tax advise to its clients, and all investors are strongly advised to consult with their tax advisers regarding any potential investment.

Certain transactions -including those involving futures, options, and other derivatives as well as non-investment-grade securities - give rise to substantial risk and are not suitable for all investors. The material is based on information that we consider reliable, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete, and it should not be relied on as such. Opinions expressed are our current opinions as of the date appearing on this material only. We endeavor to update on a reasonable basis the information discussed in this material, but regulatory, compliance, or other reasons may prevent us from doing so. We and our affiliates, officers, directors, and employees, including persons involved in the preparation or issuance of this material, may from time to time have "long" or "short" positions in, act as principal in, and buy or sell the securities or derivatives thereof of companies mentioned herein. For the purpose of calculating whether Kotak Securities Limited and its affiliates holds beneficially owns or controls, including the right to vote for directors, $1 \%$ of more of the equity shares of the subject issuer of a research report, the holdings does not include accounts managed by Kotak Mahindra Mutual Fund.Kotak Securities Limited and its non US affiliates may, to the extent permissible under applicable laws, have acted on or used this research to the extent that it relates to non US issuers, prior to or immediately following its publication. Foreign currency denominated securities are subject to fluctuations in exchange rates that could have an adverse effect on the value or price of or income derived from the investment. In addition, investors in securities such as ADRs, the value of which are influenced by foreign currencies affectively assume currency risk. In addition options involve risks and are not suitable for all investors. Please ensure that you have read and understood the current derivatives risk disclosure document before entering into any derivative transactions.

This report has not been prepared by Kotak Mahindra Inc. (KMInc). However KMInc has reviewed the report and, in so far as it includes current or historical information, it is believed to be reliable, although its accuracy and completeness cannot be guaranteed. Any reference to Kotak Securities Limited shall also be deemed to mean and include Kotak Mahindra Inc.
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