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## News Roundup

- India's inflation rate fell below $11 \%$ for the first time since May, raising hopes for a rate cut by the central bank that left its key policy rates unchanged in the midyear review of monetary policy on 24 October. Inflation, as measured by the Wholesale Price Index (WPI), dropped to $10.68 \%$ in the week to 18 October, according to a government release. (Mint)
- The Securities \& Exchange Board of India, the country's capital market regulator, has raised the limit to which stake-holding in a company can be increased through so-called "creeping acquisition." Those holding 55\% or more can accumulate stakes in their companies by as much as $5 \%$ a year if their holding is less than 75\%, the regulator said. (Bloomberg)
- Indian companies have to redeem around $\$ 44.57$ billion of overseas debt between now and December 2009 in an economic environment where money has become scarce and costly. This debt funded domestic expansion and overseas acquisitions. The list of around 60 such firms includes leading Indian companies and commercial banks. Companies that are part of the Tata group, Aditya Birla group and Sterlite group feature in it. So do the Mukesh Ambanicontrolled Reliance Industries Ltd and Reliance Petroleum Ltd, and the Anil Ambani-run Reliance Infrastructure Ltd. India's two largest banks-State Bank of India and ICICI Bank Ltd-also appear on the list, culled from Bloomberg data. (Mint)

Source: $E T=$ Economic Times, $B S=$ Business Standard, $F E=$ Financial Express, $B L=$ Business Line.

| India | Change, \% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 29-Oct | 1-day | 1-mo | 3-mo |
| Sensex | 9,045 | 0.4 | (29.7) | (36.7) |
| Nifty | 2,697 | 0.5 | (31.2) | (37.5) |
| Global/Regional indices |  |  |  |  |
| Dow Jones | 9,181 | 2.1 | (15.4) | (20.7) |
| FTSE | 4,292 | 1.2 | (12.5) | (20.8) |
| Nikkie | 8,751 | (3.1) | (22.3) | (34.6) |
| Hang Seng | 14,330 | 12.8 | (20.5) | (37.0) |
| KOSPI | 1,086 | 0.1 | (25.0) | (31.9) |
| Value traded - India |  |  |  |  |
|  | Moving avg, Rs bn |  |  |  |
|  | 29-Oct |  | 1-mo | 3-mo |
| Cash (NSE+BSE) | 147.4 |  | 159.9 | 167.7 |
| Derivatives (NSE) | 541.9 |  | 553.5 | 648 |
| Deri. open interest | 756.6 |  | 606 | 833 |

## Forex/money market

|  | Change, basis points |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 29-Oct | 1-day | 1-mo | 3-mo |  |
| Rs/US \$ | 49.7 | $\# \# \# \# \# \#$ | 273 | 722 |  |
| 6mo fwd prem, \% | 0.7 | $(25)$ | 71 | 24 |  |
| 10yr govt bond, \% | 7.5 | (6) | $(110)$ | $(180)$ |  |


| Commodity market |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Change, \% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29-Oct | 1-day | 1-mo | 3-mo |  |  |
| Gold (US $\$ /$ OZ) | 730.8 | $(1.0)$ | $(16.1)$ | $(20.0)$ |  |  |
| Silver (US $\$ / \mathrm{OZ)}$ | 9.5 | $(2.5)$ | $(20.7)$ | $(46.2)$ |  |  |
| Crude (US $\$ / \mathrm{BBL})$ | 61.3 | $(1.0)$ | $(37.1)$ | $(50.2)$ |  |  |

Net investment (US\$mn)

|  | 27-Oct | MTD | CYTD |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Flls | $(251)$ | $(2,930)$ | $(12,156)$ |
| MFs | 3 | 197 | 3,409 |

Top movers -3mo basis

|  | Change, \% |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Best performers | 29-Oct | 1-day | 1-mo | 3-mo |
| Union Bank Of India | 128 | 0.4 | $(11.2)$ | $(3.1)$ |
| Hero Honda Motors | 769 | 5.2 | $(11.8)$ | $(4.3)$ |
| Bank Of Baroda | 242 | 3.8 | $(18.6)$ | $(5.6)$ |
| Maruti Suzuki India | 543 | $(0.8)$ | $(21.3)$ | $(5.7)$ |
| Bharat Petroleum C | 307 | 7.4 | $(14.7)$ | $(6.3)$ |
| Worst performers |  |  |  |  |
| Housing Developme | 149 | 4.7 | $(12.4)$ | $(57.4)$ |
| Suzlon Energy Limit | 46 | $(11.4)$ | $(69.7)$ | $(79.3)$ |
| Bajaj Finserv Ltd | 114 | (3.1) | $(70.5)$ | $(74.3)$ |
| Ivrcl Infrastructures | 79 | $(4.8)$ | $(66.1)$ | (73.6) |
| Aban Offshore Limi | 702 | $(0.4)$ | $(65.7)$ | $(71.9)$ |
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| Energy |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| ONGC.BO, Rs648 |  |
| Rating | BUY |
| Sector coverage view | Neutral |
| Target Price (Rs) | 1,125 |
| $52 W$ High -Low (Rs) | $1387-538$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 1,387 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 1,092 | 1,409 | 1,370 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 198.3 | 277.7 | 303.1 |
| EPS (Rs) | 92.7 | 129.8 | 141.7 |
| EPS gth | 9.1 | 40.0 | 9.2 |
| P/E (x) | 7.0 | 5.0 | 4.6 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Div yield (\%) | 4.9 | 6.2 | 6.9 |

## Shareholding, June 2008

|  | Pattern | \% of Portfolio | Over/(under) weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promoters | 74.1 | - |  |
| Flls | 6.9 | 1.8 | (3.2) |
| MFs | 1.6 | 2.3 | (2.7) |
| UTI | - | - | (5.1) |
| LIC | 2.4 | 2.9 | (2.2) |

## Oil \& Natural Gas Corporation: Weak 2QFY09 results led by higher-than-expected subsidy burden; attractively valued stock

Sanjeev Prasad : sanjeev.prasad@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1229
Gundeep Singh: gundeep.singh@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1286

- Basis of computation of subsidy burden changes every quarter; however, FY2009E earnings not at risk as we already model $33.3 \%$ share of upstream companies
- Valuations are very attractive at 2.4 X FY2010E DACF (US\$80/bbl crude price assumption)
- Fine-tuned estimates; retain BUY with 12-month target price of Rs1,125

ONGC reported 2QFY09 net income (standalone) at Rs48.1 bn (-27.5\% qoq, -5.7\% yoy), below our estimate of Rs79 bn. The negative variance was on account of (1) higher-than-expected subsidy burden at Rs126.6 bn against our expected Rs98.1 bn (we had assumed subsidy loss to be same as in 1QFY09) and (2) lower-than-expected realization of crude oil at US\$119/bbl against our expected US\$126/bbl. We have reduced our EPS (consolidated) estimates for FY2009E, FY2010E and FY2011E EPS to Rs130, Rs142 and Rs157 versus Rs150, Rs160 and Rs176 to reflect (1) lower crude price assumption, (2) lower gas price realization, (3) weaker rupee and (3) information in OVL's FY2008 annual report. We reiterate our BUY rating with revised 12-month target price of Rs1,125 (Rs1,300 previously) based on 8X normalized FCF using a low normalized crude price of US\$55/bbl. Key downside risks to our target price stem from higher-than-expected subsidy losses; however, we model subsidy share of upstream companies at 33\%.

Subsidy conundrum remains unresolved; however, not a cause of concern as long as government sticks to $\mathbf{3 3 . 3} \%$ burden on upstream companies. ONGC's 2QFY09 results did not bring much any clarity on the subsidy-sharing issue. ONGC's subsidy loss for 2QFY09 at Rs127 bn was significantly higher than 1QFY09 subsidy loss of Rs98 bn. The subsidy loss in 1QFY09 was based on share of upstream companies being restricted to Rs112 bn (1/4 of Rs450 bn fixed for FY2009). We had expected similar subsidy burden in 2QFY09 assuming the subsidy-sharing mechanism adopted in 1QFY09 would continue. However, contrary to our, street's and the company's expectations, the subsidy burden was significantly higher than 1QFY09. We believe that the subsidy burden for 2QFY09 is presumably based on $33.33 \%$ burden on upstream companies. Although 2QFY09 results do not help in bringing clarity on the subsidy-sharing, we do not see risk to our earnings estimates as we already model subsidy share of upstream companies at $33 \%$.

Valuations are very attractive and the stock offers $74 \%$ potential upside to our target price of Rs1,125. We continue to find ONGC's valuations compelling on current and normalized cash flows and earnings. ONGC stock trades at 4.6X FY2010E EPS and 2.4X FY2010E DACF based on US\$80/bbl crude price, exchange rate of Rs45/ US\$ and subsidy amount of Rs200 bn.

We use US\$55/bbl (Dated Brent basis) normalized price to arrive at our fair valuation of Rs1,125 (Rs1,300 previously) for ONGC stock. The lower target price reflects (1) lower cash balance due to lower crude price assumption, (2) 'nil' value for ONGC's discoveries in KG-DWN-98/2 block and MN-DWN-98/3 block and (3) lower volumes for OVL.

We compute that the stock price is currently discounting US\$39/bbl crude oil in perpetuity. This is well below our long-term or normalized crude oil price assumption of US\$75/bbl. The stock also offers an attractive dividend yield of $6.2 \%$ based on our expected dividend of Rs40 for FY2009E (Rs32 in FY2008).

Finally, we see potential upside to our long-term crude price assumptions. Exhibit 2 gives the range of valuation of ONGC at various levels of normalized crude price. At our usual normalized price assumption of US\$75/bbl, we compute ONGC's fair valuation at Rs1,550; we use a lower normalized crude price assumption to factor in a lower net realization due to sustenance of subsidy-sharing scheme for a long period of time.

## Key highlights of 2QFY09 results

1. Net realization on crude oil. ONGC's 2QFY09 net realized crude price was US\$46.7/bbl versus US\$69.1/bbl in 1QFY09 and US\$56.1/bbl in 2QFY08. ONGC's subsidy burden per barrel of crude was US\$72.7/bbl versus US\$56.7/bbl in 1QFY09 and US\$22.1/bbl in 2QFY08.
2. Crude and natural gas volumes. 2QFY09 crude sales declined by $4.4 \%$ qoq to 5.7 mn tons due to lower contribution from Panna-Mukta fields. The PM fields were shut down for two months in 2QFY09 versus one month in 1QFY09. Gas sales increased $0.6 \%$ qoq and $0.4 \%$ yoy to 5.2 bcm in 2QFY09.
3. Other expenditure jumped $25 \%$ qoq and $45 \%$ yoy to Rs26 bn; the management did not specify any particular reason for the sharp yoy jump. However, we note this figure is usually volatile.
4. DD\&A declined $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ qoq and the sharp decline reflects higher expenses in 1QFY09. (1) Dry wells expenses written off stood at Rs3.4 bn ( $-39 \%$ qoq and $+5.7 \%$ yoy) and (2) survey expenses at Rs3.4 bn ( $-64 \%$ qoq and $+19 \%$ yoy).

Key assumptions behind our earnings model
We discuss our key assumptions to our earnings model below. Exhibit 3 gives the major assumptions behind our earnings model and Exhibit 4 gives sensitivity of ONGC's EPS to key variables (rupee-dollar rate, crude oil price, natural gas price).

1. Subsidy amount. We model subsidy amount at Rs 380 bn, Rs200 bn and Rs 250 bn for FY2009E, FY2010E and FY2011E versus Rs425 bn, Rs300 bn and Rs350 bn previously. We continue to assume that the share of gross under-recoveries for upstream companies will be $33.33 \%$. The government had earlier announced that it will freeze the subsidy burden for upstream companies at Rs450 bn (about Rs380 bn for ONGC). However, we expect the government to review this figure in light of the recent steep decline in crude oil prices. The government's computation for gross under-recoveries and sharing among various participants had been done on a crude oil price assumption of US\$117/bbl (India basket).
2. Crude oil price assumptions. We have reduced our crude oil price (Dated Brent) forecasts for FY2009E, FY2010E and FY2011E to US\$100/bbl, US\$80/bbl and US $\$ 85 / \mathrm{bbl}$ from US $\$ 105 / \mathrm{bbl}$, US $\$ 90 / \mathrm{bbl}$ and US $\$ 95 / \mathrm{bbl}$. However, we would focus more on ONGC's net realized crude price and our long-term crude price assumption.
3. Royalty and cess. We model ONGC to bear the entire burden of royalty (Rs481/ ton) and its share ( $30 \%$ ) of cess (Rs2,575/ton) for RJ-ON-90/1 block for which Cairn India is the operator. However, ONGC's FY2011E and FY2012E earnings would be higher if the government decides that the joint venture partners will bear cess on crude oil in proportion to their ownership.

MRPL 2QFY09 results slashed by weak refining margins and inventory losses
MRPL, ONGC's 71.6\% refining subsidiary, reported 2QFY09 net income at Rs249 mn compared to 1QFY09's Rs8.5 bn and 2QFY09's Rs3.3 bn. The sharp deterioration in qoq performance reflects (1) low refining margin at US $\$ 2.4 / \mathrm{bbl}$ (without adventitious/ inventory gains) compared to US\$6.7/bbl in 1QFY09 and (2) adventitious loss of Rs6.5 bn compared to gain of Rs6.6 bn (equivalent to US\$11.3/bbl) in 1QFY09. MRPL's crude throughput stood at 3.3 mn tons of crude in 2QFY09 compared to 2.8 mn tons in 1QFY09 (affected by planned shutdown of a refinery train for 10 days) and 3.2 mn tons in 2QFY09.

We model FY2009E and FY2010E EPS at Rs8.7 (Rs15.2 bn net income) and Rs3.5 (Rs6.2 bn). The decline in net income in the next two years reflects lower refining margins and increase in taxation. We model FY2009E and FY2010E refining margin at US\$6/bbl and US\$4.2/bbl versus US\$7.4/bbl in FY2008; all figures include adventitious gains/losses.

ONGC standalone interim results, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 2009E | q09 |  |  | yoy |  |  | yoy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2Q 2009 | 1Q 2009 | \% chg | 2Q 2009 | 2Q 2008 | \% chg | 1H2009 | 1H 2008 | \% chg |
| Net sales | 695,910 | 174,996 | 200,522 | (12.7) | 174,996 | 154,139 | 13.5 | 375,518 | 291,016 | 29.0 |
| Total expenditure | $(319,054)$ | $(89,942)$ | $(82,968)$ | 8.4 | $(89,942)$ | $(69,986)$ | 28.5 | $(172,911)$ | $(127,640)$ | 35.5 |
| Change in stock in trade | - | (511) | (61) |  | (511) | 872 |  | (571) | 1,230 |  |
| Raw materials | $(3,569)$ | $(2,307)$ | $(2,468)$ | (6.5) | $(2,307)$ | $(1,222)$ | 88.7 | $(4,775)$ | $(2,193)$ | 117.7 |
| Trading purchase | $(65,439)$ | $(26,720)$ | $(25,177)$ | 6.1 | $(26,720)$ | $(15,765)$ | 69.5 | $(51,897)$ | $(28,707)$ | 80.8 |
| Staff expenditure | $(13,173)$ | $(2,761)$ | $(2,897)$ | (4.7) | $(2,761)$ | $(3,668)$ | (24.7) | $(5,657)$ | $(6,211)$ | (8.9) |
| Statutory levies | $(144,681)$ | $(31,304)$ | $(31,353)$ | (0.2) | $(31,304)$ | $(31,973)$ | (2.1) | $(62,656)$ | $(60,964)$ | 2.8 |
| Other expenditure | $(92,192)$ | $(26,341)$ | $(21,013)$ | 25.4 | $(26,341)$ | $(18,230)$ | 44.5 | $(47,355)$ | $(30,796)$ | 53.8 |
| EBITDA | 376,856 | 85,054 | 117,554 | (27.6) | 85,054 | 84,153 | 1.1 | 202,608 | 163,376 | 24.0 |
| Other income | 52,371 | 13,970 | 10,500 | 33.0 | 13,970 | 12,100 | 15.5 | 24,470 | 20,488 | 19.4 |
| Interest | (22) | (974) | (38) | 2,457.5 | (974) | (305) | 219.6 | $(1,013)$ | (352) | 187.3 |
| DD\&A | $(99,821)$ | $(21,832)$ | $(27,970)$ | (21.9) | $(21,832)$ | $(19,871)$ | 9.9 | $(49,802)$ | $(37,417)$ | 33.1 |
| Depletion |  | $(11,540)$ | $(9,760)$ | 18.2 | $(11,540)$ | $(10,430)$ | 10.6 | $(21,300)$ | $(18,990)$ | 12.2 |
| Depreciation |  | $(3,880)$ | $(3,000)$ | 29.3 | $(3,880)$ | $(3,410)$ | 13.8 | $(6,880)$ | $(6,500)$ | 5.8 |
| Dry wells written off |  | $(3,350)$ | $(5,510)$ | (39.2) | $(3,350)$ | $(3,170)$ | 5.7 | $(8,860)$ | $(4,930)$ | 79.7 |
| Survey expenses |  | $(3,430)$ | $(9,570)$ | (64.2) | $(3,430)$ | $(2,890)$ | 18.7 | $(13,000)$ | $(7,060)$ | 84.1 |
| Impairment loss and other adjustments |  | 370 | (130) | (384.6) | 370 | 30 | 1,133.3 | 240 | 60 | 300.0 |
| Pretax profits | 329,384 | 76,217 | 100,046 | (23.8) | 76,217 | 76,077 | 0.2 | 176,263 | 146,094 | 20.7 |
| Extraordinary/Prior period adjustment | - | - | 434 |  | - | 808 |  | 434 | 808 |  |
| Tax | $(105,818)$ | $(23,915)$ | $(34,441)$ | (30.6) | $(23,915)$ | $(23,073)$ | 3.7 | $(58,356)$ | $(45,465)$ | 28.4 |
| Deferred tax | $(6,140)$ | $(4,218)$ | 325 | $(1,399.1)$ | $(4,218)$ | $(2,837)$ | 48.7 | $(3,894)$ | $(4,357)$ | (10.6) |
| Net income | 217,426 | 48,084 | 66,363 | (27.5) | 48,084 | 50,975 | (5.7) | 114,447 | 97,080 | 17.9 |
| Tax rate (\%) | 34.0 | 36.9 | 34.0 |  | 36.9 | 33.7 |  | 35.2 | 33.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subsidy loss |  | 126,630 | 98,110 | 29.1 | 126,630 | 37,990 | 233.3 | 224,740 | 74,480 | 201.7 |
| Crude sales ('000 tons) |  | 5,670 | 5,930 | (4.4) | 5,670 | 6,130 | (7.5) | 11,600 | 12,030 | (3.6) |
| Gas sales (mcm) |  | 5,210 | 5,180 | 0.6 | 5,210 | 5,190 | 0.4 | 10,390 | 10,220 | 1.7 |
| LPG (000 tons) |  | 255 | 233 | 9.5 | 255 | 267 | (4.5) | 488 | 497 | (1.9) |
| Naphtha/NGL |  | 395 | 394 | 0.2 | 395 | 363 | 8.8 | 789 | 694 | 13.7 |
| C2/C3 |  | 135 | 134 | 0.8 | 135 | 142 | (4.9) | 269 | 243 | 10.7 |
| SKO |  | 41 | 37 | 9.9 | 41 | 46 | (10.9) | 78 | 86 | (9.0) |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

We value ONGC stock at Rs1,125 on US\$55/bbl normalized crude price
Estimation of fair value of ONGC stock based on normalized free cash flow (Rs mn)

|  | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normalized crude price assumption (US\$/bbl) | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 |
| Recurring operating cash flow |  |  |  |
| Operating cash flow $=$ EBIT $\mathrm{X}(1-\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{D}$ | 58,882 | 208,196 | 164,957 |
| Add: OCF after normalizing natural gas price | 44,585 | 42,678 | 36,526 |
| Add: OCF after removing subsidies | 252,236 | 134,689 | 172,313 |
| Recurring OCF | 355,704 | 385,563 | 373,796 |
| Recurring capex |  |  |  |
| Production per annum (mn bbls) | 388 | 394 | 404 |
| Replacement or F\&D costs (US\$/bbl) | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Recurring capex | 156,955 | 159,454 | 159,819 |
| Free cash flow | 198,749 | 226,109 | 213,978 |
| Free cash flow multiple (X) | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Enterprise value | 1,589,994 | 1,808,872 | 1,711,820 |
| (Net debt)/cash | 330,576 | 492,164 | 661,458 |
| Investments | 85,879 | 90,822 | 95,763 |
| Equity value | 2,006,449 | 2,391,857 | 2,469,041 |
| Total equity value per share (Rs/share) | 938 | 1,118 | 1,154 |


|  | Equity value <br>  <br> (Rs/share) | Change from base case |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Normalized crude prices |  | (\%) |
| US $\$ 90 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 1,921 | 72 |
| US $\$ 80 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 1,671 | 49 |
| US $\$ 75 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 1,546 | 38 |
| US $\$ 70 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 1,421 | 27 |
| US $\$ 60 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 1,190 | 6 |
| US $\$ 50 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 8}$ |  |
| US $\$ 40 / \mathrm{bbl}$ | 1,003 | $(10)$ |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Key assumptions, March fiscal year-ends, 2004-2012E

|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2012E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rs/US\$ rate | 46.0 | 45.0 | 44.3 | 45.3 | 40.3 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 44.0 | 43.0 |
| Subsidy share scheme loss (Rs bn) | 26.9 | 41.0 | 119.6 | 170.2 | 220.0 | 380.0 | 200.0 | 250.0 | 250.0 |
| Import tariff on crude oil (\%) | 10.0 | 9.7 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 0.9 | - | - | - |
| Crude/natural gas prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude price, WTI (US\$/bbl) |  |  |  |  | 78.9 | 102.0 | 82.0 | 87.0 | 87.0 |
| Crude price, Dated Brent (US\$/bbl) | 28.7 | 40.6 | 57.2 | 64.8 | 78.9 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 |
| Net crude price, ONGC-India (Rs/ton) | 6,255 | 9,196 | 10,390 | 10,692 | 10,313 | 12,633 | 13,204 | 12,235 | 11,654 |
| Net crude price, ONGC-India (US\$/bbl) | 18.6 | 28.0 | 32.1 | 32.4 | 35.1 | 38.5 | 40.2 | 38.1 | 37.1 |
| Natural gas price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ceiling natural gas price, India (Rs/cu m) | 2.85 | 2.85 | 3.52 | 3.20 | 3.20 | 3.20 | 3.20 | 3.75 | 4.50 |
| Ceiling natural gas price, India (US\$/mn BTU) | 1.66 | 1.69 | 2.12 | 1.89 | 2.12 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 2.28 | 2.80 |
| Net natural gas price, ONGC-India (Rs/cu m) | 2.18 | 2.18 | 3.11 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.81 | 3.32 | 4.00 |
| Net natural gas price, ONGC-India (US\$/mn BTU) | 1.27 | 1.29 | 1.88 | 1.67 | 1.87 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 2.01 | 2.48 |
| International operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net natural gas price, OVL-Vietnam (Rs/cu m) | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Net crude price, OVL-Sudan (Rs/ton) | 4,285 | 5,893 | 8,118 | 9,384 | 10,142 | 14,338 | 11,493 | 11,933 | 11,662 |
| Net crude price, OVL-Russia (Rs/ton) | - | - | 8,320 | 9,633 | 10,434 | 14,783 | 11,826 | 12,286 | 12,007 |
| Sales volumes-Domestic fields (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude oil (mn tons) | 23.9 | 24.1 | 22.5 | 24.4 | 24.1 | 25.0 | 26.5 | 27.8 | 27.7 |
| Natural gas (bcm) | 21.1 | 20.6 | 20.5 | 20.3 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 19.6 | 19.2 | 17.9 |
| Sales volumes-Overseas fields |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude oil (mn tons) | 3.3 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.2 |
| Natural gas (bcm) | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Total sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude oil (mn tons) | 27.3 | 27.8 | 27.0 | 30.2 | 30.9 | 32.5 | 33.9 | 35.1 | 34.9 |
| Natural gas (bcm) | 21.6 | 22.0 | 22.3 | 22.5 | 22.4 | 23.0 | 22.2 | 22.0 | 20.7 |
| Total sales (mn toe) | 46.6 | 47.4 | 46.9 | 50.3 | 50.9 | 53.1 | 53.7 | 54.7 | 53.4 |
| Total sales (mn boe) | 340 | 346 | 342 | 367 | 372 | 388 | 392 | 399 | 389 |
| Crude oil (\%) | 59 | 59 | 58 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 63 | 64 | 65 |
| Natural gas (\%) | 41 | 41 | 42 | 40 | 39 | 39 | 37 | 36 | 35 |

(a) Includes ONGC's share of production from joint venture fields.

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates
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## ONGC's earnings are highly leveraged to crude prices

Earnings sensitivity of ONGC to key variables

|  | 2009E |  |  | 2010E |  |  | 2011E |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Downside | Base case | Upside | Downside | Base case | Upside | Downside | Base case | Upside |
| Exchange rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rs/US\$ | 44.0 | 45.0 | 46.0 | 44.0 | 45.0 | 46.0 | 43.0 | 44.0 | 45.0 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) | 263,520 | 277,661 | 291,802 | 290,855 | 303,122 | 315,388 | 321,270 | 335,118 | 348,963 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 123.2 | 129.8 | 136.4 | 136.0 | 141.7 | 147.5 | 150.2 | 156.7 | 163.2 |
| \% upside/(downside) | (5.1) |  | 5.1 | (4.0) |  | 4.0 | (4.1) |  | 4.1 |


| Average crude prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crude price (US\$/bbl) | 98.0 | 100.0 | 102.0 | 78.0 | 80.0 | 82.0 | 83.0 | 85.0 | 87.0 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) | 265,297 | 277,661 | 290,025 | 289,778 | 303,122 | 316,465 | 321,197 | 335,118 | 349,037 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 124.0 | 129.8 | 135.6 | 135.5 | 141.7 | 148.0 | 150.2 | 156.7 | 163.2 |
| \% upside/(downside) | (4.5) |  | 4.5 | (4.4) |  | 4.4 | (4.2) |  | 4.2 |


| Cess |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cess on domestic crude (Rs/ton) | 3,090 | 2,575 | 2,060 | 3,090 | 2,575 | 2,060 | 3,090 | 2,575 | 2,060 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) | 269,859 | 277,661 | 285,463 | 295,083 | 303,122 | 311,161 | 326,877 | 335,118 | 343,359 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 126.2 | 129.8 | 133.5 | 138.0 | 141.7 | 145.5 | 152.8 | 156.7 | 160.5 |
| \% upside/(downside) | (2.8) |  | 2.8 | (2.7) |  | 2.7 | (2.5) |  | 2.5 |
| Natural gas prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural gas price ceiling (Rs/'000 cum) | 2,700 | 3,200 | 3,700 | 2,700 | 3,200 | 3,700 | 3,250 | 3,750 | 4,250 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) | 272,265 | 277,661 | 283,058 | 297,963 | 303,122 | 308,279 | 330,021 | 335,118 | 340,212 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 127.3 | 129.8 | 132.3 | 139.3 | 141.7 | 144.1 | 154.3 | 156.7 | 159.1 |
| \% upside/(downside) | (1.9) |  | 1.9 | (1.7) |  | 1.7 | (1.5) |  | 1.5 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Consolidated profit model, balance sheet, cash model of ONGC, March fiscal year-ends, 2004-2012E (Rs mn)

|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2012E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 467,124 | 707,083 | 807,603 | 966,542 | 1,091,644 | 1,409,108 | 1,370,457 | 1,427,793 | 1,444,463 |
| EBITDA | 196,494 | 281,195 | 310,054 | 358,001 | 407,790 | 505,175 | 501,154 | 521,169 | 504,413 |
| Other income | 23,752 | 17,595 | 27,350 | 45,378 | 53,565 | 54,739 | 65,879 | 75,088 | 90,162 |
| Interest | $(5,028)$ | $(2,512)$ | (537) | 394 | $(12,027)$ | $(6,511)$ | $(5,015)$ | $(5,749)$ | $(6,980)$ |
| Depreciation and depletion | $(65,525)$ | $(73,465)$ | $(97,726)$ | $(119,550)$ | $(138,624)$ | $(128,580)$ | $(109,294)$ | $(103,511)$ | $(102,572)$ |
| Pretax profits | 149,693 | 222,813 | 239,141 | 284,222 | 310,705 | 424,823 | 452,725 | 486,996 | 485,023 |
| Tax | $(46,101)$ | $(74,690)$ | $(71,196)$ | $(88,986)$ | $(102,908)$ | $(137,353)$ | $(143,526)$ | $(145,159)$ | $(145,968)$ |
| Deferred tax | $(7,779)$ | $(4,744)$ | $(13,612)$ | $(9,264)$ | $(6,471)$ | $(5,481)$ | $(4,313)$ | $(6,175)$ | $(4,401)$ |
| Net profits | 95,523 | 143,175 | 154,596 | 178,414 | 203,076 | 281,989 | 304,886 | 335,662 | 334,654 |
| Net profits after minority interests | 94,219 | 140,670 | 153,542 | 176,922 | 199,466 | 277,661 | 303,122 | 335,118 | 334,417 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 44.1 | 65.8 | 71.8 | 82.7 | 93.3 | 129.8 | 141.7 | 156.7 | 156.4 |


| Balance sheet (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total equity | 415,582 | 488,912 | 578,830 | 670,137 | 786,657 | 964,735 | 1,153,960 | 1,361,621 | 1,568,714 |
| Deferred tax liability | 54,250 | 57,911 | 71,557 | 80,976 | 87,227 | 92,708 | 97,021 | 103,197 | 107,598 |
| Liability for abandonment cost | 80,292 | 80,941 | 128,675 | 151,857 | 129,325 | 129,325 | 129,325 | 129,325 | 129,325 |
| Total borrowings | 60,961 | 39,028 | 28,767 | 21,826 | 22,039 | 33,962 | 59,589 | 93,489 | 88,389 |
| Currrent liabilities | 85,376 | 128,346 | 142,435 | 187,051 | 251,797 | 159,024 | 159,086 | 161,251 | 165,403 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 696,461 | 795,138 | 950,264 | 1,111,847 | 1,277,045 | 1,379,754 | 1,598,982 | 1,848,883 | 2,059,429 |
| Cash | 95,721 | 101,843 | 90,743 | 206,262 | 249,807 | 364,538 | 551,753 | 754,948 | 993,651 |
| Current assets | 133,039 | 178,421 | 240,210 | 192,652 | 257,384 | 232,881 | 231,217 | 261,180 | 265,662 |
| Total fixed assets | 419,213 | 471,543 | 565,722 | 643,219 | 695,227 | 707,709 | 736,446 | 748,249 | 715,611 |
| Goodwill | 11,661 | 10,753 | 14,172 | 27,686 | 22,847 | 22,847 | 22,847 | 22,847 | 22,847 |
| Investments | 30,811 | 26,961 | 35,753 | 36,888 | 45,041 | 45,041 | 49,981 | 54,922 | 54,923 |
| Deferred expenditure | 6,017 | 5,617 | 3,663 | 5,141 | 6,739 | 6,739 | 6,739 | 6,739 | 6,739 |
| Total assets | 696,461 | 795,138 | 950,264 | 1,111,848 | 1,277,045 | 1,379,754 | 1,598,982 | 1,848,884 | 2,059,432 |


| Free cash flow (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operating cash flow, excl. working capital | 133,261 | 187,001 | 216,736 | 252,772 | 284,517 | 293,836 | 306,889 |
| Working capital changes | 25,630 | 18,787 | 46,461 | $(4,990)$ | $(24,929)$ | $(133,145)$ | 32,646 |
| Capital expenditure | $(56,301)$ | $(103,418)$ | $(113,738)$ | $(135,049)$ | $(166,427)$ | $(74,700)$ | $(93,821)$ |
| Investments | $(10,608)$ | $(9,887)$ | $(28,912)$ | $53,80)$ | $(81,369)$ | $(38,938)$ | $(330)$ |
| Other income | 9,767 | 13,049 | 14,537 | 20,422 | 22,822 | 55,113 | 65,879 |
| Free cash flow | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 7 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 5 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 5 , 0 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 , 9 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 , 6 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1 , 1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 6 , 6 5 2}$ |


| Ratios (\%) | 14.7 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 6.9 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Debt/equity | $(8.4)$ | $(12.8)$ | $(10.7)$ | $(27.5)$ | $(29.0)$ | $(34.3)$ | $(42.6)$ | $(48.6)$ |
| Net debt/equity | 21.6 | 28.0 | 25.9 | 25.5 | 24.8 | 29.6 | 26.6 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0}$ |
| RoAE | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 9}$ |  |  |
| RoACE |  |  | $\mathbf{2 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0}$ |  |  |


| Key assumptions | 46.0 | 45.0 | 44.3 | 45.3 | 40.3 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 44.0 | 43.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rs/dollar rate | 28.7 | 40.6 | 57.2 | 64.8 | 78.9 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 |
| Crude fob price (US $\$ / \mathrm{bbl})$ | 2,850 | 2,850 | 3,515 | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,750 | 4,500 |
| Ceiling/actual natural gas price (Rs/'000 cm) | 26.9 | 41.0 | 119.6 | 170.2 | 220.0 | 380.0 | 200.0 | 250.0 | 250.0 |
| Subsidy loss (Rs bn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]| Energy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAIR.BO, Rs115 |  |  |  |
| Rating |  |  | BUY |
| Sector coverage view |  |  | Neutral |
| Target Price (Rs) |  |  | 240 |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) |  |  | 343-88 |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) |  |  | 214.7 |
| Financials |  |  |  |
| December y/e | 2007 | 2008E | 2009E |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 16.6 | 29.5 | 62.1 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 1.9 | 6.9 | 31.6 |
| EPS (Rs) | (0.1) | 3.9 | 16.7 |
| EPS gth | (108.2) | - | 343.6 |
| P/E (x) | (964.4) | 29.8 | 6.9 |
| EV/EBITDA ( x ) | 26.9 | 14.6 | 4.7 |
| Div yield (\%) | - | - | - |

## Shareholding, June 2008

|  | \% of <br> Pottern |  | Over/(under) <br> weight |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promoters | 64.8 | - | - |
| Flls | 10.5 | 0.8 | $(0.7)$ |
| MFs | 1.7 | 0.7 | $(0.8)$ |
| UTI | - | - | $(1.5)$ |
| LIC | 2.0 | 0.7 | $(0.8)$ |

## Cairn India: Weak 3QCY08 results due to lower realizations and volumes; stock discounting very low crude price in perpetuity

Sanjeev Prasad : sanjeev.prasad@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1229
Gundeep Singh: gundeep.singh@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1286

- Weak 3QCY08 results led by (1) lower realizations and (2) lower production volumes
- Stock price is discounting US\$51/bbl in perpetuity
- Upgrade to BUY (ADD previously) with revised 12-month DCF-based target price of Rs240

Cairn India reported 3QCY08 consolidated net revenues at Rs3.2 bn (-21\% qoq and $+21 \%$ yoy) and EBITDA at Rs2.4 bn ( $-11 \%$ qoq and $+33 \%$ yoy). Net revenues decreased qoq due to (1) lower production (-9\% qoq), (2) lower crude price realization at US\$116.3/bbl (US\$125.9/bbl in 2QCY08) and (3) lower gas realization at US\$4.1/ mcf (US\$4.3/mcf in 2QCY08). However, we note that CY2008E-2009E results are not that relevant because the bulk of Cairn's valuation resides in its upcoming Rajasthan block (production from 2HCY09). We reiterate our positive view on the stock given attractive valuations; the stock price is now (1) discounting crude price of US\$51/bbl in perpetuity, which seems very low, in our view and (2) no accretion to reserves. We have revised our EPS estimates for CY2008E, CY2009E and CY2010E EPS to Rs3.9, Rs16.7 and Rs65 from Rs3.9, Rs20.3 and Rs65.2 to reflect (1) lower crude price for CY2009-12E, (2) weaker rupee and (3) lower volumes from producing fields. We have upgraded the stock to BUY (ADD previously) with a revised 12-month DCF-based target price of Rs240 (Rs245 previously). Key downside potential stems from lower-than-expected crude price.

Stock trading at a significant discount to worse-case scenario. We believe Cairn's current stock is reflecting all concerns related to the stock and much more. Our 12-month fair value for Cairn stock comes to Rs150 (+30\% upside from current levels) even if we assume (1) current level of crude prices (US\$60/bbl) prevail in perpetuity,
(2) no accretion to reserves and (3) Cairn bears cess on its share of production from the Rajasthan block at the current prevailing rate (Rs2,575/ton).

We would buy Cairn shares aggressively at current levels since the stock appears to be discounting a 'low' crude oil price (US\$51/bbl) in perpetuity. We note that Cairn's stock price has high positive correlation with crude oil prices (see Exhibit 3) although its valuation does not vary significantly with crude oil prices. The stock has underperformed the BSE-30 Index recently (see Exhibit 4) primarily on account of the market's concerns about impact of lower crude prices on Cairn's earnings and valuations.

Weak results due to lower production and realization. Cairn India reported 3QCY08 consolidated net revenues at Rs3.2 bn ( $-21 \%$ qoq and $+21 \%$ yoy) and EBITDA at Rs2.4 bn ( $-11 \%$ qoq and $+30 \%$ yoy). The qoq decline in EBITDA reflects (1) lower production (-9\% qoq), (2) lower crude price realization at US\$116.3/bbl (US\$125.9/bbl in 2QCY08) and (3) lower gas realization at US\$4.1/mcf (US\$4.3/mcf in 2QCY08).

Cairn's 3QCY08 production declined to 17,111 boe/d (working interest-basis) versus 18,764 boe/d in 2QCY08. At CB-OS-2, gas production declined $22 \%$ qoq and oil production declined $7 \%$ qoq. Gas production has declined by $30 \%$ yoy in 9MCY08 due to the declining phase of the fields past plateau production. At Ravva, oil production declined by $7 \%$ qoq and gas production declined by $2 \%$ qoq.

Employee costs declined qoq due to change in valuation methodology of stock options granted to employees, which resulted in lower provision of Rs51 mn in the current quarter. Exploration costs declined significantly to Rs79 mn (versus Rs 428 mn in 2QCY08) as most of the exploration activity for the current year is scheduled for 4QCY08. However, Cairn has deferred plans to drill three wells in KG-DWN-98/2 block and two wells in Rajasthan block to CY2009E.

Exceptional items. Cairn reported a forex gain of Rs873 mn on account of (1) options settled or marked-to-market as on September $30^{\text {th }}, 2008$ and (2) translation gain on US Dollar-denominated deposits held by foreign subsidiaries for use for future capital imports due to depreciation in the rupee versus the US Dollar in 3QCY08 (Rs43.8/US\$ compared to Rs41.6/US\$ in 2QCY08).

The company has charged share issue expenses of Rs240 mn to the profit and loss account instead of adjusting these expenses against the securities premium account. These expenses relate to the private placement of 113 mn shares to Petronas and Orient Global Tamarind Fund Pte Limited in March 2008.

Earnings revision. We have revised our EPS estimates for CY2008E, CY2009E and CY2010E EPS to Rs3.9, Rs16.7 and Rs65 from Rs3.9, Rs20.3 and Rs 65.2 to reflect the following changes.

- Lower crude price for CY2008-12E. We have revised our crude oil price assumptions to US\$102/bbl for CY2008, US\$80/bbl for CY2009E and US\$85/bbl fro CY2101-12E from US\$110/bbl, US\$95/bbl and US\$90/bbl. The downward revision in our crude price assumptions reflects (1) downward revision in global demand growth led by lower global GDP growth estimates and (2) decline in crude oil prices over the past few months. The yoy increase in CY2010E forecast for crude prices reflects tightening of demand-supply balance led by mediocre growth in non-OPEC supply. We retain our long-term crude price assumption of US $\$ 75 / \mathrm{bbl}$ (post CY2012E).
- Weaker rupee. We have revised our rupee-dollar exchange rate assumption to Rs45/US\$ for CY2009E, Rs44/US\$ for CY2010E and US\$43/US\$ for CY2011E versus Rs42.8, Rs42.1 and Rs41.1 previously. We highlight that Rs1/US\$ change in exchange rate results in 2-3\% impact on Cairn's earnings.

Interim results of Cairn India, calendar year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 2008E |  | q09 |  | yoy |  |  | yoy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3Q 2008 | 2Q 2008 | (\% chg) | 3Q 2008 | 3Q 2007 | (\% chg) | 9M 2008 | 9M 2007 | (\% chg) |
| Income from operations | 29,510 | 3,206 | 4,036 | (20.6) | 3,206 | 2,658 | 20.6 | 10,401 | 7,455 | 39.5 |
| Total expenditure | $(18,423)$ | (775) | $(1,315)$ | (41) | (775) | (793) | (2) | $(3,017)$ | $(2,223)$ | 36 |
| Inc/(Dec) in stock | - | 56 | (180) | - | - | - | - | (92) | 61 | - |
| Operating expenses | $(2,591)$ | (448) | (501) | (11) | (448) | (508) | (12) | $(1,415)$ | $(1,322)$ | 7 |
| Staff cost | $(1,250)$ | (211) | (292) | (28) | (211) | (238) | (11) | (823) | (834) | (1) |
| Government taxes/share of profit | $(13,274)$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other expenditure | $(1,308)$ | (172) | (341) | (50) | (172) | (47) | 269 | (687) | (127) | 440 |
| EBITDA | 11,087 | 2,431 | 2,721 | (11) | 2,431 | 1,865 | 30 | 7,384 | 5,232 | 41 |
| Other income | 3,039 | 2,055 | 325 | 532 | 2,055 | 343 | 499 | 2,598 | 1,056 | 146 |
| Interest | (7) | (5) | (29) | (84) | (5) | (1) | 357 | (37) | (10) | 258 |
| Exploration costs written off | $(1,100)$ | (79) | (428) | (81) | (79) | (720) | (89) | (682) | $(1,935)$ | (65) |
| DD\&A | $(3,072)$ | (650) | (647) | 0 | (650) | (512) | 27 | $(1,929)$ | $(1,444)$ | 34 |
| Pretax profits | 9,946 | 3,753 | 1,943 | 93 | 3,753 | 975 | 285 | 7,334 | 2,898 | 153 |
| Extraordinaries/sales tax benefit | 286 | (153) | 253 | - | (153) | (300) | - | 286 | $(1,844)$ | - |
| Tax | $(2,963)$ | (97) | (342) | (72) | (97) | (125) | (23) | (544) | (363) | 50 |
| Deferred taxation | (74) | (570) | (468) | 22 | (570) | (316) | 80 | $(1,593)$ | (798) | 100 |
| Net income | 7,195 | 2,933 | 1,386 | 112 | 2,933 | 232 | 1,162 | 5,483 | (106) | $(5,254)$ |
| Minority interest | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net income after minority interest | 7,195 | 2,933 | 1,386 | 112 | 2,933 | 232 | 1,162 | 5,483 | (106) | $(5,254)$ |
| Income tax rate (\%) | 29.7 | 18.5 | 36.9 |  | 18.5 | 65.5 |  | 28.0 | 110.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production, selling price data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production volume, gross ('000 boepd) |  | 65.6 | 71.1 | (8) | 65.6 | 75.3 | (13) | 68.2 | 75.8 | (10) |
| Production volume, net ('000 boepd) |  | 17.1 | 18.8 | (9) | 17.1 | 18.9 | (9) | 17.8 | 19.5 | (9) |
| CB-OS-2 |  | 5.4 | 6.3 | (15) | 5.4 | 4.4 | 22 | 5.5 | 5.5 | (1) |
| Oil |  | 2.8 | 3.0 | (7) | 2.8 | 1.8 | 54 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 68 |
| Gas (mn cf/d) |  | 15.5 | 19.8 | (22) | 15.5 | 15.6 | (1) | 16.3 | 23.3 | (30) |
| Ravva |  | 11.7 | 12.4 | (6) | 11.7 | 14.5 | (19) | 12.3 | 13.9 | (12) |
| Oil |  | 9.0 | 9.7 | (7) | 9.0 | 11.6 | (22) | 9.6 | 11.2 | (15) |
| Gas (mn cf/d) |  | 16.2 | 16.5 | (2) | 16.2 | 16.9 | (4) | 16.3 | 16.5 | (1) |
| Selling price, oil (US\$/bbl) |  | 116.3 | 125.9 | (8) | 116.3 | 77.2 | 51 | 114.6 | 69.8 | 64 |
| Selling price, gas (US\$/mcf) |  | 4.1 | 4.3 | (5) | 4.1 | 4.1 | (1) | 4.1 | 4.2 | (1) |
| Exchange rate (Rs/US\$) |  | 43.8 | 41.6 | 5 | 43.8 | 40.5 | 8 | 42.2 | 42.0 | 1 |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

We value Cairn India stock at Rs240
EV and equity value of Cairn (US\$ mn)

|  | Now | + 1-year | + 2-years |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RJ-ON-90/1 | 8,363 | 9,625 | 10,596 |
| CB-OS-2 | 125 | 75 | 52 |
| Ravva | 463 | 375 | 316 |
| Upside potential (KG-DWN-98/2) | 100 | 112 | 125 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 , 0 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 9 0}$ |
| Net debt | 39 | $(210)$ | 74 |
| Equity value | $\mathbf{9 , 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 3 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 1 6}$ |
| Equity shares (mn) | 1,891 | 1,891 | 1,891 |
| Equity value per share (Rs/share) | $\mathbf{1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 2}$ |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Cairn's stock price has high positive correlation with crude oil prices
Movement in crude oil prices and Cairn' stock price (\%)


Source: Bloomberg, Kotak Institutional Equities

Cairn's stock has under-performed the Index recently
BSE-30 Index and Cairn' stock price movement (\%)
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Cairn's Rajasthan field's enterprise value has moderate leverage to crude prices but high leverage to regulations
Enterprise value sensitivity of Cairn to key variables (US\$ bn)


Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

## Cairn's earnings are highly leveraged to crude prices

Earnings sensitivity of Cairn to key variables

|  | 2009E |  |  | 2010E |  |  | 2011E |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Downside | Base case | Upside | Downside | Base case | Upside | Downside | Base case | Upside |
| Average crude prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude price (US\$/bbl) | 78.0 | 80.0 | 82.0 | 83.0 | 85.0 | 87.0 | 83.0 | 85.0 | 87.0 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) | 30,432 | 31,590 | 32,748 | 119,581 | 122,505 | 125,428 | 89,196 | 91,889 | 94,582 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 16.1 | 16.7 | 17.3 | 63.2 | 64.8 | 66.3 | 47.2 | 48.6 | 50.0 |
| \% upside/(downside) | (3.7) |  | 3.7 | (2.4) |  | 2.4 | (2.9) |  | 2.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exchange rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rs/US\$ | 44.0 | 45.0 | 46.0 | 43.0 | 44.0 | 45.0 | 42.0 | 43.0 | 44.0 |
| Net profits (Rs mn) | 30,676 | 31,590 | 32,505 | 119,762 | 122,505 | 125,247 | 89,582 | 91,889 | 94,197 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 16.2 | 16.7 | 17.2 | 63.3 | 64.8 | 66.2 | 47.4 | 48.6 | 49.8 |
| \% upside/(downside) | (2.9) |  | 2.9 | (2.2) |  | 2.2 | (2.5) |  | 2.5 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

Profit model, balance sheet, cash model of Cairn 2006-2013E, calendar year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008E | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2012E | 2013N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 18,417 | 16,561 | 29,510 | 62,089 | 186,382 | 181,283 | 172,884 | 145,004 |
| EBITDA | 5,332 | 6,705 | 11,087 | 45,715 | 148,072 | 110,297 | 76,007 | 62,621 |
| Other income | 1,100 | 1,324 | 3,039 | 301 | 1,185 | 2,990 | 4,496 | 5,616 |
| Interest | (201) | (27) | (7) | 188- | 375- | - | - | - |
| Depreciation | (497) | $(4,589)$ | $(4,172)$ | $(6,944)$ | $(8,792)$ | $(8,304)$ | $(8,431)$ | $(8,414)$ |
| Pretax profits | 5,734 | 3,413 | 9,946 | 38,884 | 140,090 | 104,984 | 72,072 | 59,823 |
| Extraordinary items | - | $(2,120)$ | 286 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tax | $(1,580)$ | (740) | $(2,963)$ | $(6,046)$ | $(16,480)$ | $(12,534)$ | $(8,852)$ | $(7,189)$ |
| Deferred taxation | (22) | (764) | (74) | $(1,248)$ | $(1,106)$ | (560) | (130) | 132 |
| Net profits | 4,132 | (212) | 7,195 | 31,590 | 122,505 | 91,889 | 63,090 | 52,766 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 2.3 | (0.1) | 3.9 | 16.7 | 64.8 | 48.6 | 33.4 | 27.9 |


| Balance sheet (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total equity | 292,804 | 294,358 | 326,899 | 358,489 | 414,608 | 451,177 | 476,284 | 497,283 |
| Deferred tax liability | 4,258 | 4,916 | 4,991 | 6,239 | 7,344 | 7,904 | 8,034 | 7,902 |
| Total borrowings | 5,122 | 3,124 | - | 7,500 | - | - | - | - |
| Currrent liabilities | 39,716 | 8,372 | 2,447 | 2,304 | 5,607 | 10,538 | 14,445 | 12,268 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 341,900 | 310,771 | 334,336 | 374,531 | 427,560 | 469,619 | 498,764 | 517,453 |
| Cash | 61,348 | 1,504 | 9,150 | 4,153 | 49,211 | 96,207 | 130,771 | 156,770 |
| Current assets | 6,470 | 19,029 | 2,830 | 5,954 | 17,872 | 17,383 | 16,578 | 13,905 |
| Total fixed assets | 17,609 | 25,157 | 57,465 | 73,205 | 26,048 | 23,722 | 21,292 | 18,924 |
| Net producing properties | 2,354 | 4,390 | 4,200 | 30,528 | 73,737 | 71,615 | 69,431 | 67,163 |
| Investments | 4 | 7,129 | 7,129 | 7,129 | 7,129 | 7,129 | 7,129 | 7,129 |
| Goodwill | 254,115 | 253,193 | 253,193 | 253,193 | 253,193 | 253,193 | 253,193 | 253,193 |
| Deferred expenditure | - | 370 | 370 | 370 | 370 | 370 | 370 | 370 |
| Total assets | 341,900 | 310,771 | 334,336 | 374,531 | 427,560 | 469,619 | 498,764 | 517,453 |
| Free cash flow (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating cash flow, excl. working capital | 2,990 | 6,387 | 7,236 | 37,144 | 129,067 | 96,313 | 65,705 | 53,982 |
| Working capital changes | 34,256 | (908) | 10,274 | $(3,267)$ | $(8,615)$ | 5,420 | 4,712 | 496 |
| Capital expenditure | $(5,619)$ | $(11,739)$ | $(35,125)$ | $(46,675)$ | $(2,694)$ | $(2,406)$ | $(2,367)$ | $(2,329)$ |
| Investments/Goodwill | $(252,717)$ | $(53,863)$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other income | 1,100 | 1,298 | 3,039 | 301 | 1,185 | 2,990 | 4,496 | 5,616 |
| Free cash flow | $(219,990)$ | $(58,824)$ | $(14,576)$ | $(12,497)$ | 118,943 | 102,318 | 72,547 | 57,766 |
| Key assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross production ('000 boe/d) | 91.0 | 78.4 | 74.2 | 112.0 | 243.4 | 238.5 | 230.3 | 223.0 |
| Net production ('000 boe/d) | 25.1 | 21.5 | 21.7 | 51.5 | 146.2 | 145.2 | 141.5 | 138.7 |
| Dated Brent (US \$/bbl) | 65.3 | 70.3 | 102.0 | 80.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 75.0 |
| Discount of Rajasthan crude to Dated Brent (US \$/bbl) | 2.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 |

[^2]| Automobiles |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| MAHM.BO, Rs302 |  |
| Rating | ADD |
| Sector coverage view | Cautious |
| Target Price (Rs) | 410 |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) | $874-236$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 78.0 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 115.6 | 129.4 | 140.2 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 9.5 | 7.1 | 6.5 |
| EPS (Rs) | 38.1 | 27.5 | 25.3 |
| EPS gth | $(2.0)$ | $(27.7)$ | $(8.0)$ |
| P/E (x) | 7.9 | 11.0 | 11.9 |
| EV/EBITDA ( x ) | 6.3 | 9.2 | 8.2 |
| Div yield (\%) | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.2 |

## Shareholding, June 2008

|  | \% of <br> Pattern |  | Over/(under) <br> weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promoters | 22.6 | - | - |
| Flls | 32.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| MFs | 4.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| UTI | - | - | $(0.3)$ |
| LIC | 17.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 |

## Mahindra \& Mahindra: Lower-than-expected 2Q earnings; higher raw material costs cut operating margins by 500 bps yoy

Jairam Nathan : jairam.nathan@kotak.com, 66341327
Amit Agarwal : agarwal.amit@kotak.com, +91-22-6749-3390

- 2QFY09 net profit of Rs1.8 bn down 46\% yoy led by higher raw material costs and forex losses
- Adjusted EBITDA margin at 8.5\%, down 500 bps yoy on high raw material prices
- We lower our UV volume growth assumption to $7.5 \%$ and 5\% for FY2009E and FY2010E, respectively, from $12.5 \%$ for both years previously
- Lower EPS estimates to Rs33.3 and Rs31.1 for FY2009E and FY2010E, respectively
- Cut TP to Rs410 from Rs640 to reflect lower multiple, lower earnings and lower valuation of subsidiaries. Maintain ADD

Mahindra \& Mahindra reported lower-than-expected 2QFY09 net profit at Rs1.8 bn compared to our estimate of Rs2.3 bn. Adjusted for forex losses and one-time octroi refund, 2QFY09 EBITDA margins at $8.5 \%$ declined 500 bps on a yoy basis. We lower our volume growth estimate to $7.5 \%$ and $5 \%$ for FY2009E and FY2010E from 12.5\%. We believe given the tight fiscal conditions, high interest rates and general sluggishness in the industry, volumes might fail to pick up in 2HFY09. We cut our earnings estimates to Rs33.3 and Rs31.1 from Rs40.8 and Rs41, respectively, following revision in volume growth assumptions. We don't expect M\&M to get any significant benefit of lower commodity prices in the current fiscal. We believe M\&M would have to raise large amount of debt or raise money through sale of stake in subsidiaries given the large capex plan outlined by the company. We believe this will put further pressure on earnings. We lower our target price to Rs410/share to reflect lower multiple, lower earnings and fall in the value of subsidiaries. We maintain our ADD rating on the stock.

2QFY09 net income at Rs1.8 bn declined 46\% yoy led by higher raw material costs and forex losses. M\&M reported net income at Rs1.8 bn lower than our estimate of Rs2.3 bn mainly on account of higher-than-expected raw material costs. 2Q average realizations declined 5\% led mainly by an adverse product-mix. 2Q EBITDA margin—adjusted for the forex losses and octroi benefit-at 8.5\% (versus our estimate of $10.2 \%$ ) declined 500 bps on a yoy basis, primarily driven by a 250 bps increase in raw material costs.

Lower input costs might start helping soon. High commodity prices in 2QFY09 as compared to the previous year resulted in a large impact on operating margin. We believe the recent decline in commodity prices will likely help M\&M from 4QFY09.

We expect demand to be sluggish after the festive season. We expect 2HFY09 sales to be up only $2 \%$ yoy compared to the $18 \%$ increase we saw in 1 HFY09. Credit crunch, higher interest rates and 1HFY09 inventory build-up would limit sales growth in 2HFY09. We expect tractor sales as well as UV sales to slow down on account of sluggish industry conditions.

We cut our EPS estimates by 20\% for FY2009E and FY2010E to reflect lower volume growth. We expect M\&M's total volumes to grow 9\% and 4\% in FY2009E and FY2010E, respectively. We expect bulk of the growth to come from 3-wheelers. We expect UV volumes to grow 7.5\% and 5\% for FY2009E and FY2010E, respectively, versus our earlier assumption of $12.5 \%$ growth in both years. We expect the tractor segment volumes to grow at 2\% for FY2009E and FY2010E respectively. We cut our earnings estimates to Rs33.3/share and Rs31.1/share from Rs40.8/share and Rs41/ share, respectively, following revision in volume growth assumptions. Lower realizations on account of price cuts/discounts could pose further downside risks to our earnings estimates.

Reduce TP to Rs410/share from Rs640/share, maintain ADD. We lower our target price to Rs410/share to factor in (1) lower earnings estimates (Rs80/share), (2) lower multiple (Rs60/share) and (3) reduced subsidiary valuations (Rs90/share). We lower our EV/EBITDA multiple for M\&M's auto business to 5X FY2010E EBITDA (versus 6X previously) to factor in lower earnings growth and reduced volume-growth visibility. Exhibit 1 shows valuation multiples versus the $10 y r-1 y r$ interest rate spread. The yield spread is a good proxy for expected growth in the economy and credit availability and as illustrated has been a leading indicator for valuation multiples. The chart would indicate that multiples have corrected to a large extent since April 2008 and could be seen as providing some support to valuations.

We now value M\&M's standalone auto business at Rs145/share based on 5X FY2010E EBITDA (versus 6X previously). We remain at the lower end of the valuation range as we see further risks to our earnings estimates. We value the stake in its various subsidiaries and other investments at Rs267/share. We reduce our SOTP-based target price for M\&M to Rs410/share. We maintain our ADD rating on the stock.

The 10-1 year spread is a lead indicator for P/E multiples
Interest rate spread versus 4 -wheeler P/E multiple


Source: Bloomberg, Kotak Institutional Equities.

M\&M, quarterly results, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | qoq |  |  | yoy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2Q 2009 | 1Q 2009 | Change (\%) | 2Q 2009 | 2Q 2008 | Change (\%) |
| Net Sales | 31,380 | 32,934 | (4.7) | 31,380 | 28,111 | 11.6 |
| Expenditure | $(29,629)$ | $(30,465)$ | (2.7) | $(29,629)$ | $(24,189)$ | 22.5 |
| (Increase)/decrease in stocks | 2,486 | 207 | 1,100.4 | 2,486 | 1,845 | 34.7 |
| Consumption of Raw materials | $(24,574)$ | $(23,175)$ | 6.0 | $(24,574)$ | $(19,700)$ | 24.7 |
| Staff cost | $(2,575)$ | $(2,221)$ | 15.9 | $(2,575)$ | $(2,362)$ | 9.0 |
| Other expenditure | $(4,965)$ | $(5,276)$ | (5.9) | $(4,965)$ | $(3,972)$ | 25.0 |
| EBITDA | 1,751 | 2,469 | (29.1) | 1,751 | 3,922 | (55.4) |
| Other income | 1,143 | 384 | 198.0 | 1,143 | 605 | 89.1 |
| Interest (net) | (153) | (97) | 56.7 | (153) | (82) | 84.9 |
| Depreciation | (639) | (621) | 2.8 | (639) | (577) | 10.8 |
| Profit before extra-ordinary items | 2,102 | 2,134 | (1.5) | 2,102 | 3,867 | (45.6) |
| Extra-ordinary items | 103 | - |  | 103 | - |  |
| Profit before tax | 2,205 | 2,134 | 3.3 | 2,205 | 3,867 | (43.0) |
| Tax | (348) | (541) | (35.7) | (348) | $(1,008)$ | (65.4) |
| Profit after tax | 1,857 | 1,593 | 16.6 | 1,857 | 2,859 | (35.1) |
| Volumes | 78,636 | 82,378 | (4.5) | 78,636 | 68,479 | 14.8 |
| Average realisation | 400,142 | 399,797 | 0.1 | 400,142 | 410,505 | (2.5) |
| Margins (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA margin | 5.6 | 7.5 | (1.92) | 5.6 | 14.0 | (8.37) |
| Net profit margin | 5.9 | 4.8 | 1.08 | 5.9 | 10.2 | (4.25) |
| Key ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RM costs (\% of net sales) | 78.3 | 70.4 |  | 78.3 | 70.1 |  |
| Staff costs (\% of net sales) | 8.2 | 6.7 |  | 8.2 | 8.4 |  |
| Effective tax rate (\%) | 15.8 | 25.4 |  | 15.8 | 26.1 |  |
| EPS (Rs) | 7.5 | 6.4 |  | 7.5 | 11.5 |  |

Segmental revenues

| Automotive Segment | 19,478 | 18,732 | 4.0 | 19,478 | 18,063 | 7.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Farm Equipment Segment | 11,785 | 13,245 | $(11.0)$ | 11,785 | 9,206 | 28.0 |
| Other segments | 203 | 2,016 | $(89.9)$ | 203 | 1,651 | $(87.7)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 1 , 4 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 . 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 4 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 8}$ |
| Less: Intersegment revenues | 86 | 1,059 | $(91.9)$ | 86 | 809 | $(89.4)$ |
| Net Sales/Income from Operations | $\mathbf{3 1 , 3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 9 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 . 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6}$ |

## PBIT

| Automotive Segment | 1,326 | 1,501 | $(11.6)$ | 1,326 | 2,554 | $(48.1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Farm Equipment Segment | 1,280 | 1,561 | $(18.0)$ | 1,280 | 1,148 | 11.5 |
| Other segments | 35 | 34 | 2.0 | 35 | 23 | 51.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 6 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 4 . 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 9 . 1 )}$ |


| Capital employed | 17,056 | 14,183 | 20.3 | 17,056 | 15,192 | 12.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Automotive Segment | 10,546 | 8,715 | 21.0 | 10,546 | 9,355 | 12.7 |
| Farm Equipment Segment | 95 | 572 | $(83.5)$ | 95 | 434 | $(78.2)$ |
| Other segments | $\mathbf{2 7 , 6 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 4 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 6 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

M\&M, Adjusted EBITDA calculation, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 2Q FY2008 | 2Q FY2009 | \% change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net sales-reported | 28,111 | 31,380 | 11.6 |
| EBITDA | 3,922 | 1,751 | $(55.4)$ |
| Octroi refund | - | 270 |  |
| Forex losses | 109 | $(1,178)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted EBITDA | 3,812 | 2,659 | $(30.3)$ |
| Adjusted EBITDA margin (\%) | 13.6 | 8.5 | 5.0 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities

M\&M, SOTP-based valuation, FY2010E basis

|  | EBITDA <br> (Rs mn) | Multiple (X) | Value (Rs mn) | Value per share (Rs) | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M\&M standalone business | 11,195 | 5.0 | 55,974 | 217 | Based on 5X EV/EBITDA FY2010E earnings |
| Less: Net debt |  |  | 18,520 | 72 |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 145 |  |
| Subsidiaries |  |  |  | 193 |  |
| Tech Mahindra |  |  |  | 117 | Based on KIE target price of Rs700/share |
| Mahindra Lifespace Developers Ltd |  |  |  | 24 | Based on KIE target price of Rs500/share |
| Punjab Tractors |  |  |  | 18 | Based on current market price |
| Mahindra \& Mahindra Financial Services Ltd |  |  |  | 34 | Based on KIE target price of Rs190/share |
| Other investments |  |  |  | 73 |  |
| SOTP-based value |  |  |  | 412 |  |
| Target price |  |  |  | 410 |  |

Note
(1) The subsidiaries have been valued at a holding company discount of $20 \%$.

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates
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M\&M, profit model, balance sheet and cash flow model, March fiscal year-ends, 2006-2010E (Rs mn)

|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008E | 2009E | 2010E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 82,513 | 100,682 | 115,625 | 129,407 | 140,240 |
| EBITDA | 9,958 | 13,048 | 14,034 | 9,554 | 11,195 |
| Other income | 753 | 1,530 | 1,092 | 2,548 | 3,168 |
| Interest | 184 | 675 | (242) | (887) | $(2,638)$ |
| Depreciaiton | $(2,000)$ | $(2,096)$ | $(2,387)$ | $(2,594)$ | $(3,774)$ |
| Profit before tax | 8,895 | 13,157 | 12,497 | 8,620 | 7,951 |
| Current tax | $(2,854)$ | $(3,657)$ | $(2,788)$ | (506) | (473) |
| Deferred tax | 430 | 157 | (247) | $(1,012)$ | (945) |
| Net profit | 8,571 | 10,684 | 11,034 | 8,602 | 8,033 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 34.8 | 43.0 | 44.4 | 33.3 | 31.1 |
| Balance sheet (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity | 30,556 | 35,727 | 44,068 | 50,966 | 64,121 |
| Total Borrowings | 8,834 | 16,360 | 25,871 | 38,627 | 44,627 |
| Current liabilities | 20,516 | 26,656 | 32,510 | 36,473 | 38,223 |
| Total liabilities | 59,906 | 78,743 | 102,449 | 126,067 | 146,971 |
| Net fixed assets | 15,544 | 18,712 | 23,609 | 42,015 | 59,241 |
| Investments | 16,691 | 22,375 | 42,151 | 46,151 | 48,151 |
| Cash | 7,303 | 13,261 | 8,612 | 5,813 | 4,976 |
| Other current assets | 20,188 | 24,221 | 27,941 | 31,952 | 34,468 |
| Miscellaneous expenditure | 181 | 176 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| Total assets | 59,906 | 78,743 | 102,449 | 126,067 | 146,971 |
| Free cash flow (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating cash flow excl. working capital | 6,919 | 9,712 | 10,730 | 10,548 | 12,222 |
| Working capital changes | (50) | 1,978 | $(2,472)$ | (48) | (766) |
| Capital expenditure | $(2,747)$ | $(4,819)$ | $(7,171)$ | $(21,000)$ | $(21,000)$ |
| Free cash flow | 4,122 | 6,870 | 1,087 | $(10,500)$ | $(9,544)$ |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating margin (\%) | 12.1 | 13.0 | 12.1 | 7.4 | 8.0 |
| PAT margin (\%) | 10.4 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 6.6 | 5.7 |
| Debt/equity ( X ) | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Net debt/equity (X) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Book Value (Rs/share) | 123.3 | 142.9 | 176.6 | 196.9 | 247.9 |
| RoAE (\%) | 32.9 | 32.4 | 27.8 | 18.2 | 14.0 |
| RoACE (\%) | 23.6 | 22.4 | 18.4 | 11.7 | 10.3 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

| Pharmaceuticals |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| GLEN.BO, Rs258 |  |
| Rating | BUY |
| Sector coverage view | Attractive |
| Target Price (Rs) | 725 |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) | $736-211$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 68.6 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 2009E | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 16.9 | 26.2 | 37.7 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 6.3 | 9.4 | 11.8 |
| EPS (Rs) | 25.8 | 36.5 | 44.3 |
| EPS gth | 98.4 | 41.3 | 21.2 |
| P/E (x) | 10.0 | 7.1 | 5.8 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 9.1 | 5.4 | 4.4 |
| Div yield (\%) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

## Shareholding, June 2008

|  | \% of |  | Over/(under) <br> weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pattern | Portfolio | wemoters | \#N/A |
| Pros | - | - |  |
| Flls | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| MFs | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| UTI | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| LIC | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |

## Glenmark Pharmaceuticals: Revenues and EBITDA margin beat estimates

Prashant Vaishampayan : prashant.vaishampayan@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1127
Priti Arora : priti.arora@kotak.com, +91-22-6749-3596

## - 2QFY09 revenues were Rs5.6 bn, 7\% above our estimates

- Adjusted EBITDA margins at 35\%, 100 bps higher than our estimates
- PAT at Rs1.1 bn, 19\% higher than our estimates due to forex income
- PAT guidance for FY2009E reiterated at US\$210 mn and for FY2010E at US\$282 mn
- Decline in the past two days following suspension of product under development with Lilly is an overreaction
- Maintain BUY with price target of Rs725. Expect a sharp rally in the short term as fundamental concerns are addressed

Glenmark revenues at Rs5.6 bn beat our estimates. India, US sales in line while Latin America, Asia/Africa/CIS were better than forecast. Adjusted margin was higher than our estimates by 100 bps at $35 \%$. Materials cost at $29.4 \%$ of sales was higher than our estimates by 40 bps, however, all other costs were lower than our estimates. We fine-tune FY2009-10E PAT estimates to incorporate 1HFY09 performance and exchange rate of Rs45/US\$. This leads to FY2009E PAT estimate increasing 6\%. FY2010E PAT is unchanged as we reduce margin forecast for several business segment. We continue to rate the stock BUY with revised price target of Rs725 (Rs770 earlier) as we use lower multiples. We think current share price is a great opportunity for investors to build long-term position. Management maintained guidance for FY2009 and FY2010 and allayed fears relating to impact of credit squeeze in Latin America. We expect this to lead to a sharp relief rally.

## 2QFY09 revenues were Rs5.6 bn, 7\% above KIE. Revenues were driven by

1. US revenues were US $\$ 41 \mathrm{mn}$ vs KIE US $\$ 42 \mathrm{mn}$. During the quarter the company launched three-four products and filed six ANDAs. Qoq revenues have declined from US $\$ 46 \mathrm{mn}$ in 1QFY09 as generic Trileptal has now become a truly generic product. The company expects to launch at least five products in 3QFY09E. The company maintains its guidance of launching 20 products in the US in FY2009E and filing 30 ANDAs. Some of these products to be launched in FY2009E are likely to be controlled release finished dosages. These will have lower competition and higher and stable margins. Till date, it has a portfolio of 35 generics products in the US.
2. India finished dosages were broadly in line with KIE growing at 17\% yoy. Glenmark launched three products this quarter and its key brands in dermatology, respiratory and CVS segments continued to show good growth. Some investors were worried about Glenmark's performance following 12\% growth in the previous quarter. However, things are clearly improving and management mentioned that growth rate in October was even higher.
3. Sales in Latin America picked up this quarter to US\$16.5 mn vs KIE US\$12 mn. In 1QFY09, the company witnessed a slowdown in this business with revenues declining yoy to US $\$ 9 \mathrm{mn}$. Glenmark clarified that it has not witnessed any credit receivables issues from this market due to tight controls on trade receivables.
4. Sales from RoW markets (Asia/Africa/CIS) at US\$16.5 mn beat KIE U\$12 mn. The company is maintaining a cautious stance and supplying to the top-6 distributors (accounting for $80 \%$ of sales) in the CIS markets.
5. European revenues at US $\$ 7 \mathrm{mn}$ were in line with KIE driven mostly by the seven products acquired from Actavis in 1QFY09. The company also started its generics operations in the UK this quarter.

Adjusted EBITDA margins at 35\%, 100 bps higher than our estimates. Materials cost was at $29.4 \%$ of sales vs $29 \%$ KIE and $28 \%$ in 1QFY09. We think this may be due to pricing pressure witnessed in products under exclusivity. On all other cost items, the company reported lower costs than KIE.

PAT at Rs1.1 bn, 19\% higher than KIE due to forex income of Rs420 mn. PAT was $19 \%$ higher due to (1) better operating margins (2) net forex income of Rs420 mn which were not included in our forecasts. This was despite a higher tax rate at $32 \%$ vs KIE 12\%.

## Management maintains research income guidance of US\$69 mn. News flow relating to research outlicensing is likely for both Melogliptin and Oglemilast

 by end FY2009E. The management mentioned that it expects to find European partner for Oglemilast shortly. Diabetes molecule will complete phase II trials by April 2009 and here too Glenmark expects to find a partner for development. We now exclude the value of pain control molecule GRC 6211 in arriving the target price. This has reduced valuation of research pipeline to Rs 42 . We include Rs 82 per share in share price target in a year's time.What is the market price implying? Our SOTP-based target price of Rs725 includes Rs82 as the probability-adjusted NPV of the 2 NCEs in the clinics with, zero value from GRC 6211. We think the current market capitalization is indicating that the company's entire research pipeline is treated at zero value.

PAT guidance for FY2009E unchanged at US\$210 mn and for FY2010E at US\$282 mn. Management guidance for FY2009E and FY2010E has been retained after results for 2QFY09. Glenmark expects PAT of US $\$ 210 \mathrm{mn}$ for FY2009E and US $\$ 282 \mathrm{mn}$ for FY2010E. KIE forecasts PAT of $\$ 211$ mn for FY2009E and $\$ 267$ for FY2010E. The difference is due to our assumption of exchange rate of Rs45 per US\$.

Excluding post-tax research income of US\$63 mn for FY2009-10E Glenmark is guiding for PAT of US $\$ 147 \mathrm{mn}$ for FY2009E and US $\$ 219 \mathrm{mn}$ for FY2010E. This is based on exchange rate of Rs40/US\$.

Our price target of Rs725 includes value of research pipeline of Rs82. Glenmark at a price of Rs259 is trading at 6X FY2010E and 5X FY2011E estimated earnings including research milestones. We have always believed that the best time to start owning Glenmark is when market is assigning zero value to its research pipeline. We value Glenmark's business excluding research at Rs598 in a year's time. We forecast EPS excluding research income of Rs34 for FY2010E rising to Rs44.5 in FY2011E. Thus, at current share price Glenmark is trading at 7.5X FY2010E and 6X FY2011E.

Eli Lilly has suspended further clinical development for GRC 6211 in Osteoarthritis pain. Glenmark has announced that its partner Eli Lilly has suspended further clinical development for GRC 6211 in Osteoarthritis pain. The NCE was outlicensed in October 2007 and was currently in phase llb trials for Osteoarthritis pain. Following this announcement, Glenmark share has fallen $20 \%$ (maximum allowed) each on Thursday and Friday. This is clearly an overreaction in our view. Firstly, market was effectively not building any value for entire research portfolio before the announcement. Secondly, we think per share value of GRC 6211 was much lower than the losses suffered in the two days. Thirdly, management mentioned that they are still reviewing the data jointly and further decision will be made shortly. They believe GRC 6211 could still progress forward or they would move one of the backup compounds. Thus, the research income guidance for FY2009E and FY2010E was unchanged.

Asthma molecule oglemilast is currently in phase 2 B with trials ongoing in both asthma as well as COPD (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disorder) in the US and multiple locations. Diabetes molecule Melogliptan is in Phase llb and Glenmark expects to have a licensing partner in the next six-nine months.

Changes to FY2009E and FY2010E our revised estimates are based on exchange rate assumption of Rs45/US\$. In addition, we have included forex related gains reported by Glenmark till September 2008. As a result, we are raising PAT forecast to Rs9.3 bn from Rs7.8 bn. For FY2010E, PAT forecast is raised by $6 \%$ and for FY2011E it is raised by $8 \%$. This is lower than the impact of changes in currency assumptions due to lower margin assumptions across various business segments.

Changes in target multiples. We have lowered the target multiples used in valuation across various business segment in response to current uncertain business environment. Indian finished dosage is valued at 18 X , US generic at 16.5 X , rest of the world business at 15X and API business at 10X. They may appear high compared to where Indian market is trading at but we think that branded generic businesses across world will continue to get a premium valuation due to sticky demand and stable profits. Glenmark's product selection in developed market will ensure high margin and we value it at a $10 \%$ premium to US generics business.

## Interim results—Glenmark, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 2QFY08 | 1QFY09 | 2QFY09 | 2QFY09 KiE | Growth (\%, yoy) | Growth (\%, q0q) | Chg (\% vs KiE) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | 3,749 | 4,608 | 5,597 | 5,211 | 49 | 21 | 7 |
| Consumption of raw materials | 1,519 | 1,219 | 1,991 | 1,511 | 31 | 63 | 32 |
| (Inc)/ in stock in trade | (491) | 52 | (346) | - | NM | NM | NM |
| Personnel cost | 503 | 729 | 820 | 782 | 63 | 13 | 5 |
| Other expenses | 874 | 1,019 | 1,180 | 1,146 | 35 | 16 | 3 |
| R\&D | 160 | 180 | 270 | 313 | 69 | 50 | (14) |
| Total Expenditure | 2,565 | 3,199 | 3,915 | 3,752 | 53 | 22 | 4 |
| EBITDA | 1,184 | 1,410 | 1,682 | 1,459 | 42 | 19 | 15 |
| Other income | 53 | 109 | 445 | 50 | 745 | 308 | 790 |
| Research income | - | - | - | - | NM | NM | NM |
| Interest | 158 | 155 | 187 | 160 | 18 | 21 | 17 |
| Depreciation | 162 | 215 | 225 | 225 | 39 | 5 | 0 |
| PBT | 916 | 1,148 | 1,715 | 1,124 | 87 | 49 | 53 |
| Current Tax | 110 | 678 | 319 | 59 | 190 | (53) | 445 |
| Deferred Tax | 55 | (684) | 222 | 75 | 303 | NM | 195 |
| PAT | 751 | 1,154 | 1,174 | 990 | 56 | 2 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Finished dosage | 3,323 | 4,238 | 5,058 | 4,801 | 52 | 19 | 5 |
| India | 1,329 | 1,429 | 1,559 | 1,603 | 17 | 9 | (3) |
| International | 1,994 | 2,810 | 3,499 | 3,198 | 75 | 25 | 9 |
| USA | 811 | 1,909 | 1,761 | 1,840 | 117 | (8) | (4) |
| Latin America | 570 | 383 | 705 | 526 | 24 | 84 | 34 |
| Europe | 68 | 124 | 328 | 307 | 381 | 165 | 7 |
| RoW | 545 | 394 | 705 | 526 | 29 | 79 | 34 |
| API | 426 | 426 | 539 | 475 | 27 | 27 | 13 |
| India | 295 | 215 | 265 | 263 | (10) | 23 | 1 |
| International | 131 | 211 | 274 | 213 | 109 | 30 | 29 |
| Research income | - | - | - | - | NM | NM | NM |
| Total Revenues | 3,749 | 4,665 | 5,597 | 5,276 | 49 | 20 | 6 |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities.

## Forecasts and valuation, March fiscal year-ends, 2007-2011E

|  | Net sales |  | Adjusted EBITDA |  | Net Profit |  | EPS | ROCE | ROE | P/E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Rs mn) | Growth (\%) | (Rs mn) | Growth (\%) | (Rs mn) | Growth (\%) | (Rs) | (\%) | (\%) | (X) |
| 2007 | 10,442 | 62.4 | 3,300 | 140.9 | 3,093 | 258.0 | 13.0 | 17.8 | 58.5 | 19.8 |
| 2008 | 16,937 | 62.2 | 6,360 | 92.7 | 6,321 | 104.4 | 25.8 | 23.6 | 57.4 | 10.0 |
| 2009E | 26,164 | 54.5 | 10,556 | 66.0 | 9,397 | 48.7 | 36.5 | 26.6 | 44.1 | 7.1 |
| 2010E | 37,692 | 44.1 | 14,259 | 35.1 | 11,768 | 25.2 | 44.3 | 24.9 | 35.5 | 5.8 |
| 2011E | 47,221 | 25.3 | 17,862 | 25.3 | 14,484 | 23.1 | 54.5 | 24.3 | 31.5 | 4.7 |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities.

SOTP-based price target, FY2010-FY2011E

|  | PAT (Rs mn) |  | $\frac{P / E}{(X)}$ | Valuation (Rs mn) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010E | 2011E |  | 2010E | 2011 E |
| Generics |  |  |  |  |  |
| International API | 442 | 476 | 10.0 | 4,422 | 4,762 |
| Oncology | 150 | 225 | 16.5 | 2,483 | 3,712 |
| US | 4,749 | 6,176 | 16.5 | 78,357 | 101,906 |
| Europe generics | 60 | 96 | 15.0 | 903 | 1,446 |
| Total | 5,609 | 7,250 |  | 88,234 | 114,587 |
| Specialty |  |  |  |  |  |
| India | 1,415 | 1,700 | 18.0 | 25,472 | 30,594 |
| Africa, Asia, Russia | 1,113 | 1,628 | 15.0 | 16,695 | 24,423 |
| Latin America | 636 | 835 | 15.0 | 9,540 | 12,525 |
| Europe branded | 331 | 418 | 15.0 | 4,966 | 6,266 |
| Total | 3,495 | 4,581 |  | 56,672 | 73,809 |


| Value per share (Rs) | 332 | 431 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Generics | 295 | 360 |
| Specialty (incl NCE) | 5 | 35 |
| Cash per share | $\mathbf{7 2 8}$ |  |
| Combined share price target |  |  |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities

| Pharmaceuticals |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| DIVI.BO, Rs975 | BUY |
| Rating | Attractive |
| Sector coverage view | 1,980 |
| Target Price (Rs) | $1930-890$ |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) | 62.9 |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) |  |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 2009E | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 10.4 | 14.3 | 18.8 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 3.5 | 5.2 | 6.6 |
| EPS (Rs) | 53.2 | 80.1 | 101.4 |
| EPS gth | 85.8 | 50.5 | 26.7 |
| P/E (x) | 18.3 | 12.2 | 9.6 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 14.9 | 9.6 | 7.2 |
| Div yield (\%) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

## Shareholding, June 2008

|  | $\%$ of <br> Pattern |  | Over/(under) <br> weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promoters | 53.5 | - | - |
| FIls | 15.5 | 0.2 | $(0.0)$ |
| MFs | 14.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| UTI | - | - | $(0.3)$ |
| LIC | - | - | $(0.3)$ |

$\underline{\text { Divis Laboratories: Another quarter of strong margin improvement }}$
Prashant Vaishampayan : prashant.vaishampayan@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1127
Priti Arora : priti.arora@kotak.com, +91-22-6749-3596

- 2QFY09 revenues at Rs3.3bn, 2\% above KIE
- EBITDA margin before forex loss at $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ vs KIE $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$
- PAT at Rs1.3 bn, 20\% above KIE despite higher tax rate
- Maintain BUY with SOTP-based target price reduced to Rs1,980 from Rs Rs2,330 due to lower valuation multiples used
- Build position with 18-24 months investment horizon. Top pick among CMO companies due to best ROE and ROCE

Divis Labs (DLL) reported revenues of Rs3.3 bn, up 36\% yoy and 2\% above our estimates. However, in US\$ terms, the yoy growth at $25 \%$ was in line with our estimates. EBITDA margin before forex loss at $50 \%$, was the highest ever reported by company. All costs were lower than our estimates except other expenses. We think forex loss of at least Rs90 mn (same as in 1QFY09) is included in other expenses. PAT at Rs 1.3 bn, 20\% higher than our estimates due to (1) strong operating performance (2) higher other income (3) lower interest cost than our estimates despite higher effective tax rate. FY2009 PAT estimate revised up by 5\% due to revised US\$/Rs rate at 45 for FY2009-10E and FY2010E PAT estimate revised down by $2 \%$ due to lower EBITDA margin assumptions. The stock trades at 10X FY2010E and 8X FY2011E which is very attractive looking at earnings growth, ROCE and ROE over the next two years. Maintain BUY rating with SOTP-based target price reduced to Rs1,980 (from Rs2,330). We lower target price multiples used in our SOTP-based valuation to reflect current economic environment.

2QFY09 revenues Rs3.3 bn, 2\% above our estimates. YoY revenue growth was $36 \%$, higher than KIE's $33 \%$. However, in dollar terms, yoy growth at $26 \%$ was broadly in line with KIE. We think Divis has benefitted from (1) higher US\$ rate agreed with clients in 1QFY09 following Rupee appreciation and now it's gaining from these higher billing rates at higher Rs/US\$ rate. (2) prices in generics segment ( $50 \%$ of sales) boosted by weaker Indian Rupee and supply constraints for Chinese supplies.

EBITDA margins at $\mathbf{4 8} \%$ vs KIE $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$. Due to the presence of forex loss this quarter, we look at EBITDA margin, excluding forex, to make comparisons meaningful. Due to substantial export business ( $94 \%$ of sales), DLL hedges some of its export exposure and had also undertaken some forex derivative transactions.

EBITDA margin before forex at 50\% was higher than KIE's $41 \%$ and the highest reported by the company. All cost items were lower than our estimates except other expenses, which includes forex loss, according to us. (1) Material cost at $32 \%$ vs KIE $36 \%$. (2) manufacturing expenses was $5.5 \%$ vs KIE $7.5 \%$. (3) Personnel costs at $5 \%$ in line with our estimates. Employee cost includes cost related to stock option charged every quarter on a pro-rata basis (Rs30 mn in FY2009E from Rs86 mn charged in FY2008) charged as expense this quarter on account of stock options. (4) We think other expenses include forex loss and assuming forex loss was Rs90 mn (same as 1QFY09), other expenses were $6.7 \%$ vs KIE $7.5 \%$.

We think margin expansion seen in 1HFY09 from is coming from the generics business of Divis. While we continue to see high margin in the custom synthesis business, we think that in older generic products Divis is getting opportunities to increase prices faster than input-cost increase. Thus, we think the drivers of margin expansion are the generic products which are normally seen as steady or declining margin business.

PAT at Rs1.3 bn, 20\% above KIE despite higher tax rate. PAT was higher than our estimates due to (1) strong operating performance, (2) higher other income and (3) lower interest cost than KIE despite higher effective tax rate. Interest cost declined qoq to Rs18 mn from Rs20 mn. Effective tax rate was at 9\% this quarter vs KIE 8\%. Tax rate last quarter was $5.5 \%$ while tax rate for FY2008 was $8 \%$.

FY2009 PAT estimate revised up by 5\% and FY2010E PAT estimate revised down by $2 \%$. Our forecasts for FY2009-10E are based on US\$ rate of Rs45 now. We revise our PAT estimates as follows (see Exhibit 3):

1) FY2009E up by $5 \%$ to reflect 1 HFY09 performance and due to higher rupee rate. Our assumptions for 2HFY09E are conservative as we assume lower margins at 42\% for the remaining quarters driven by higher material cost. Yoy net sales growth in dollar terms is maintained at $16 \%$ and $36 \%$ for the remaining two quarters. In 4QFY09E Divis will be launching generic levitracetam of UCB. This could get into a large generic product and we think it could become one of the top-five products in the next three years. Its existing products carbidopa, levidopa, ipomidol, Dextromethorphan can increase further. Its largest product naproxen has seen reduction in prices over the past few years but volume expansion and cost reduction has helped Divis expand margin even in this product.
2) FY2010E PAT estimate revised down by $2 \%$ due to lower EBITDA margin assumption (41.5\% vs 43\% earlier) and stable exchange rate at Rs45 for FY200910E whereby Divis will not gain from Rupee depreciation. We do not build in any further currency loss in FY2010E estimates and include YTD currency loss seen till date in FY2009E PAT forecasts. We believe our FY2010E estimates are conservative.

Maintain BUY with SOTP-based target price reduced to Rs1,980 (from Rs2,330).
We revise target price multiples used in our SOTP-based valuation. We continue to use SOTP method for valuation. We revise the target price multiples downwards that we have used for valuing custom manufacturing and caretenoids business of Divis. This is more to do with the current economic environment than with Divis business (see Exhibit 4). Due to the (1) decrease in FY2010E KIE PAT by $2 \%$ versus earlier estimate, (2) rolling forward price target to September and (3) revision of target price multiples, we revise price target downwards to Rs1,980(from Rs2,330).

Divis remains the top pick among the CMO segment as we see nearly $100 \%$ returns in the next 12 months and company will likely continue to show the best ROE and ROCE among the peer group. We believe current valuation of about 10x FY2010E is not reflecting the fundamentals but current selling panic in the market in general. We recommend investors build sizable positions in the stock with an investment horizon of 18-24 months as we expect returns coming from earnings growth and PE multiple expansion over this period.

Interim results—Divis, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | 2QFY08 | 1QFY09 | 2QFY09 | 2QFY09 KIE | Growth (\%, yoy) | Growth (\%, qoq) | Chg (\% vs. KIE) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net sales | 2,438 | 2,636 | 3,305 | 3,242 | 36 | 25 | 2 |
| Change in stock | (222) | (336) | (428) | - | NM | NM | NM |
| Consumption of raw materials | 1,098 | 1,236 | 1,490 | 1,167 | 36 | 21 | 28 |
| Personnel cost | 134 | 158 | 170 | 170 | 27 | 7 | (0) |
| Manufacturing exp | 177 | 201 | 181 | 243 | 2 | (10) | (26) |
| Other expenses | 214 | 303 | 313 | 243 | 46 | 3 | 29 |
| Total Expenditure | 1,400 | 1,561 | 1,726 | 1,923 | 23 | 11 | (10) |
| EBITDA | 1,038 | 1,074 | 1,579 | 1,318 | 52 | 47 | 20 |
| Other income | 14 | 51 | 50 | 30 | 252 | (1) | 67 |
| Interest | 32 | 20 | 18 | 25 | (42) | (6) | (26) |
| Depreciation | 78 | 107 | 119 | 110 | 53 | 11 | 9 |
| PBT | 942 | 998 | 1,491 | 1,213 | 58 | 49 | 23 |
| Current tax | 1 | 55 | 111 | 65 | 22,060 | 103 | 72 |
| Deferred tax | 32 | - | 26 | 31 | (18) | NM | (16) |
| FBT | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 0 | NM | (60) |
| PAT | 910 | 943 | 1,354 | 1,116 | 49 | 44 | 21 |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities.

## Forecasts and valuation, March fiscal year-ends, 2007-2011E

|  | Net Revenue |  | EBITDA |  | Net Profit |  | EPS | ROCE | ROE | P/E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Rs mn) | Growth (\%) | (Rs mn) | Growth (\%) | (Rs mn) | Growth (\%) | (Rs) | (\%) | (\%) | (X) |
| 2007 | 7,273 | 89.1 | 2,414 | 103.0 | 1,859 | 167.3 | 28.6 | 37.1 | 42.5 | 34.0 |
| 2008 | 10,365 | 42.5 | 4,133 | 71.2 | 3,476 | 87.0 | 53.2 | 46.1 | 49.8 | 18.3 |
| 2009E | 14,325 | 38.2 | 6,183 | 49.6 | 5,230 | 50.5 | 80.1 | 47.7 | 47.4 | 12.2 |
| 2010E | 18,801 | 31.3 | 7,779 | 25.8 | 6,624 | 26.7 | 101.4 | 41.5 | 40.0 | 9.6 |
| 2011E | 24,148 | 28.4 | 9,672 | 24.3 | 8,187 | 23.6 | 125.4 | 37.2 | 34.9 | 7.8 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

## Change in estimates, March fiscal year-ends, (Rs mn)

|  | Current estimates |  | Earlier estimates |  | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009E | 2010E | 2009E | 2010E | 2009E | 2010E |
| Net sales | 14,325 | 18,801 | 14,020 | 18,215 | 2 | 3 |
| EBITDA | 6,183 | 7,779 | 5,884 | 7,827 | 5 | (1) |
| EBITDA margin | 43 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 1 | (2) |
| Net profit | 5,230 | 6,624 | 4,995 | 6,764 | 5 | (2) |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities.

## SOTP based price target, FY2010-FY2011E

|  | PAT (Rs mn) |  |  | P/E |  |  | Valuation (Rs mn) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | FY2010E | FY2011E |  | (X) |  | FY2010E | FY2011E |  |
| Generics | 2,537 | 3,130 |  | 15.0 |  | 38,055 | 46,946 |  |
| Custom manufacturing | 3,863 | 4,778 |  | 17.0 |  | 65,668 | 81,224 |  |
| Carotenoids | 224 | 280 |  | 15.0 |  | 3,360 | 4,196 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 , 6 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 1 8 7}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 7 , 0 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 , 3 6 6}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value per share (Rs) |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 6 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 4 9}$ |  |  |  |
| Cash per share (Rs) |  |  |  |  | 88 | 162 |  |  |
| Share price target |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 9 7 8}$ |  |  |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities.

| Media |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUTV.BO, Rs135 |  |  |  |
| Rating |  |  | BUY |
| Sector coverage view |  |  | ttractive |
| Target Price (Rs) |  |  | 205 |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) |  |  | 43-125 |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) |  |  | 53.2 |
| Financials |  |  |  |
| March y/e | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 8.7 | 10.7 | 12.7 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.4 |
| EPS (Rs) | 8.3 | 9.4 | 11.1 |
| EPS gth | 30.7 | 13.1 | 18.9 |
| P/E (x) | 16.3 | 14.4 | 12.1 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 8.2 | 7.2 | 6.1 |
| Div yield (\%) | 1.9 | 3.0 | 4.1 |

## Shareholding, June 2008

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { \% of }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Over/(under) } \\ \text { Pattern } \\ \text { Portfolio }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| weight |  |  |  |$]$

## Sun TV Network: 2QFY09 results in line with expectations; upgrade stock to BUY on inexpensive valuations

Amit Kumar : amit.ckumar@kotak.com, +91-22-6749-3392
Sanjeev Prasad : sanjeev.prasad@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1229

## - In-line 2QFY09 results driven by strong growth in ad and broadcast revenues

- Competition, uncertainly on cable subscription revenues key concerns but already factored into inexpensive valuations
- Upgrade to BUY; revised 12-month DCF-based TP to Rs205 (Rs245 previously)

Sun TV Network reported 19\% yoy increase in standalone 2QFY09 net income to Rs952 mn (excluding one-off post-tax gains of about Rs132 mn), modestly below our Rs1 bn estimate; Sun TV telecast a large number of big-budget blockbuster movies during the quarter, which resulted in higher amortization expenses. Sun's 2QFY09 revenues grew $22 \%$ yoy to Rs2.4 bn reflecting strong growth in advertisement, broadcast (slot sales) and international revenues but subscription revenues continued to disappoint; 2QFY09 subscription revenues came in at Rs504 mn ( $-2 \%$ yoy, $+19 \%$ qoq) including DTH contribution of about Rs126 mn (Rs110 mn in 1QFY09). Nonetheless, valuations already discount likely downside risks (competition and uncertainty on cable subscription revenues) and we upgrade the stock to BUY (ADD previously) with revised 12-month DCF based target price of Rs205 (Rs245 previously). Our revised FY2009E, FY2010E and FY2011E earnings estimates are Rs9.4 (Rs9.5 previously), Rs11.1 (Rs11.6) and Rs13.0 (Rs14.0) largely due to reduced cable subscription revenues.

## 2QFY09 results analysis

Revenue growth is back on track. Sun TV reported robust $22 \%$ yoy growth in 2QFY09 revenues at Rs2.4 bn, marginally above our Rs 2.35 bn estimate. The company has not provided a precise break-up of revenues, which makes it difficult to analyze the results; the management has provided some guidance on the break-up, which we have used to draw conclusions. Robust 30\% yoy growth in advertisement and broadcast (slot sale) revenues and strong 45\% yoy growth in international income (rupee depreciation) helped negated disappointing growth in subscription revenues. We note that 2QFY09 advertisement revenues at Rs1.36 bn were higher than our Rs1. 25 bn estimate as Sun TV telecast a large number of blockbuster movies during the quarter, which helped retain its market share despite strong competition.

Subscription revenues remain a challenge. Sun TV reported 2QFY09 subscription revenues at about Rs504mn ( $-2 \%$ yoy, $+19 \%$ qoq), below our Rs600 mn estimate. We believe recovering Sun's fair share of subscription revenues from cable operators remains a challenge given (1) entry of several new MSOs (Arasu Cable, Royal Cable) in competition with SCV (the group's MSO venture) and (2) success of Sun Direct (the group's DTH venture) driven by aggressive pricing to undercut cable operators. Revenue contribution from DTH increased to Rs126 mn versus Rs110 mn in 1QFY09 driven by strong subscriber addition; Sun TV management noted 2.0 mn DTH subscribers by end-2QYF09, which does not include contribution from recentlylaunched DTH platforms (Reliance Big TV, Airtel Digital TV).

2QFY09 EBITDA in line with expectations. Sun TV reported 2QFY09 EBITDA at Rs1.8 bn, a robust 5\% qoq (despite 2QFY09 being a seasonally weak quarter) and $26 \%$ yoy growth in line with our expectations. Sun TV 2QFY09 direct operating costs (including programming and distribution) at Rs219 mn was marginally higher than our expected Rs200 mn but was balanced by higher-than-expected ad revenues. Sun TV reported employee expenses and overheads in line with our expectations.

Big-budget films' telecast impacts net income. We note that Sun TV clubs a portion of its programming costs (amortization of films) in depreciation and amortization. Sun TV reported FY2008 amortization and depreciation at Rs464 mn, a strong $67 \%$ qoq and $87 \%$ yoy growth and much above our Rs350 mn estimate. We expect some variability in Sun TV's amortization expenses since it is a function of the films telecast in any quarter; Sun TV telecast a large number of blockbuster movies in 2QFY09 as a competitive response to emerging competition, which also resulted in higher-than-expected ad revenues.

## Earnings revisions

1. TV ad revenues to grow at 15\% CAGR over FY2008-11E. We model TV ad revenues to grow at 15\% CAGR over FY2008-11E taking into account (1) 18-20\% ad rate increase affected by Sun TV in February 2008 (for FY2009E), (2) loss in market share given rising competitive intensity in key markets and (3) potential slowdown in ad revenue market in FY2010E. We see the greatest risk to our estimates from the second assumption with competition starting to emerge in the Telugu and Kannada markets.
2. Domestic subscription revenues to grow at 15\% CAGR over FY2008-11E. We model FY2009E, FY2010E and FY2011E subscription revenues at Rs 2.5 bn (Rs 2.7 bn previously), Rs 2.8 bn (Rs3.2 bn) and Rs3.5 bn (Rs3.9 bn). We expect the strong growth in DTH revenues to be partially negated by reduced realizations from local cable operators for reasons outlined previously. Sun TV is working towards sorting out issues with cable operators groups and improving its collection mechanisms, which will take time to become effective. (1) Increase in subscription rates and (2) conversion of currently free-to-air (FTA) channels to pay channels are potential upside risks to our estimates.
3. Direct operating costs (standalone) likely to grow at 21\% CAGR over FY2008-10E. We model Sun TV Network's standalone FY2009E, FY2010E and FY2011E direct operating costs at Rs1.7 bn (Rs1.7 bn previously), Rs2.1 bn (Rs2.1 bn) and Rs2.5 bn (Rs2.4 bn). We model film amortization costs as part of direct operating expenses and not depreciation and amortization expenses. We expect significant investments by Sun TV in its content and film library in order to compete effectively against emerging competition.

## Sun TV Network consolidated interim results (Rs mn)

|  | FY2009E | qoq |  |  | yoy |  |  | yoy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2QFY09 | 1QFY09 | \% chg. | 2QFY09 | 2QFY08 | \% chg. | 1HFY09 | 1HFY08 | \% chg. |
| Net sales | 10,699 | 2,379 | 2,236 | 6 | 2,379 | 1,945 | 22 | 4,615 | 3,968 | 16 |
| Advertising income (incl radio) | 6,183 | 1,360 | 1,322 | 3 | 1,360 | 1,043 | 30 | 2,682 | 2,145 | 25 |
| Broadcast fee | 1,530 | 390 | 368 | 6 | 390 | 300 | 30 | 758 | 607 | 25 |
| Program licensing income/International revenues | 500 | 125 | 123 | 1 | 125 | 85 | 47 | 248 | 173 | 43 |
| Income from pay channels | 2,459 | 504 | 422 | 19 | 504 | 514 | (2) | 926 | 1,036 | (11) |
| Others | 27 | - | - |  | - | 3 |  | - | 6 |  |
| Total expenditure | $(4,513)$ | (616) | (553) | 11 | (616) | (546) | 13 | $(1,168)$ | $(1,040)$ | 12 |
| Transmission and programming cost | $(2,321)$ | (219) | (198) | 11 | (219) | (152) | 44 | (416) | (283) | 47 |
| Staff cost | $(1,208)$ | (256) | (245) | 5 | (256) | (175) | 46 | (501) | (400) | 25 |
| Administrative \& other costs | (985) | (141) | (110) | 28 | (141) | (219) | (36) | (251) | (358) | (30) |
| EBITDA | 6,186 | 1,763 | 1,683 | 5 | 1,763 | 1,398 | 26 | 3,446 | 2,927 | 18 |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 57.8 | 74.1 | 75.3 |  | 74.1 | 71.9 |  | 75 | 73.8 |  |
| Other income | 527 | 172 | 164 | 5 | 172 | 143 | 20 | 335 | 292 | 15 |
| Interest | (144) | (21) | (0) |  | (21) | (15) | 41 | (21) | (20) | 8 |
| Depreciation and amortisation | (875) | (464) | (278) | 67 | (464) | (248) | 87 | (742) | (491) | 51 |
| Pretax profits | 5,694 | 1,450 | 1,568 | (8) | 1,450 | 1,279 | 13 | 3,019 | 2,709 | 11 |
| Current tax | $(2,154)$ | (567) | (535) | 6 | (567) | (440) | 29 | $(1,102)$ | (925) | 19 |
| Fringe benefit tax | - | - | (2) |  | - | (9) |  | (2) | (17) |  |
| Deferred tax | (131) | - | (6) |  | - | (27) |  | (6) | (34) |  |
| Minority interest | 214 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Net income | 3,822 | 1,083 | 1,025 | 6 | 1,083 | 802 | 35 | 2,109 | 1,732 | 22 |
| Tax rate (\%) | 40.1 | 34.4 | 34.6 |  | 34.4 | 37.3 |  | 36.8 | 36.1 |  |

Notes:
(a) Sun TV shows film amoritzation in depreciation and amortization; we show it under transmission and programming costs.
(b) Three radio channels are in Sun TV parent company and quarterly results include the three channels.
(c) FY2009E data is consolidated for radio subsidiaries.

Source: Company, Kotak Insitutional Equities estimates

DCF analysis of SunTV Network (Rs mn)

|  | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2012E | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E | 2018E | 2019E | 2020E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EBITDA | 6,186 | 7,232 | 8,378 | 9,525 | 10,748 | 11,968 | 13,268 | 14,640 | 16,026 | 16,073 | - | - |
| Tax expense | $(2,014)$ | $(2,098)$ | $(2,515)$ | (2,932) | $(3,354)$ | $(3,770)$ | $(4,209)$ | $(4,671)$ | $(5,135)$ | $(5,194)$ | - | - |
| Changes in working capital | $(1,688)$ | $(1,050)$ | $(1,108)$ | $(1,013)$ | (995) | $(1,042)$ | $(1,111)$ | $(1,190)$ | $(1,289)$ | (363) | - | - |
| Cash flow from operations | 2,483 | 4,084 | 4,755 | 5,580 | 6,399 | 7,156 | 7,948 | 8,779 | 9,601 | 10,516 | - | - |
| Capital expenditure | $(1,250)$ | (600) | (650) | (700) | (750) | (800) | (850) | (900) | (950) | (975) | - | - |
| Cash flow to minority shareholders | 214 | 89 | 9 | (43) | (64) | (88) | (110) | (143) | (178) | 20 | - | - |
| Free cash flow | 1,447 | 3,573 | 4,114 | 4,838 | 5,585 | 6,268 | 6,987 | 7,736 | 8,473 | 9,562 | 10,088 | 10,642 |
| PV of free cash flow | 1,376 | 3,007 | 3,064 | 3,187 | 3,257 | 3,234 | 3,191 | 3,125 | 3,029 | 3,025 | - | - |
| Discounted cash flow-1 year forward |  | 3,398 | 3,463 | 3,603 | 3,680 | 3,654 | 3,606 | 3,533 | 3,423 | 3,418 | 3,191 | - |
| Discounted cash flow-2 year forward |  |  | 3,913 | 4,071 | 4,160 | 4,130 | 4,074 | 3,992 | 3,869 | 3,863 | 3,606 | 3,367 |
|  | Now |  | + 1-year |  | 2-years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total PV of free cash flow (a) | 29,495 |  | 34,968 |  | 39,045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FCF in terminal year | 9,562 |  | 10,088 |  | 10,642 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted FCF in terminal year | 9,581 |  | 10,088 |  | 10,642 | Adjusting for FM radio business, which will end in FY2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal value in terminal year | 127,742 |  | 134,502 |  | 141,899 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PV of terminal value (b) | 40,413 |  | 42,552 |  | 44,892 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total company value (a) + (b) | 69,909 |  | 77,520 |  | 83,937 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value per share of Sun TV (Rs) | 177 |  | 197 |  | 213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net debt/(cash) | $(5,627)$ |  | $(3,602)$ |  | $(3,195)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value to equity holders | 75,535 |  | 81,123 |  | 87,132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value to equity holders (Rs/Sun TV share) | 192 |  | 206 |  | 221 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates


Source: TAM Media Research, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities


Prime-time (7:30-11:30 PM) ratings of major Kannada channels (\%)


[^3]

Source: TAM Media Research, compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities

Derivation of revenues of Sun TV Network, March fiscal year-ends, 2006-2012E (Rs mn)

|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2012E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advertisement revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sun TV | 1,085 | 1,491 | 1,902 | 2,268 | 2,589 | 2,902 | 3,248 |
| K TV | 123 | 141 | 162 | 186 | 210 | 233 | 258 |
| Sun News | 39 | 47 | 55 | 63 | 71 | 80 | 89 |
| Sun Music | 94 | 122 | 163 | 188 | 211 | 237 | 263 |
| Sun Kids | - | - | 101 | 128 | 150 | 175 | 204 |
| Sun Documentary | - | - | 64 | 150 | 176 | 206 | 240 |
| Sun Sports | - | 9 | 103 | 118 | 133 | 147 | 161 |
| Surya TV | 386 | 476 | 574 | 663 | 747 | 848 | 960 |
| Kiran TV | 28 | 40 | 59 | 70 | 83 | 97 | 113 |
| Gemini TV | - | 467 | 625 | 736 | 843 | 953 | 1,076 |
| Teja TV | - | 44 | 51 | 58 | 65 | 73 | 81 |
| Gemini News | - | 35 | 41 | 47 | 53 | 59 | 66 |
| Gemini Music | - | 75 | 100 | 115 | 129 | 146 | 162 |
| Udaya TV | - | 428 | 538 | 618 | 708 | 800 | 904 |
| Udaya Movies | - | 43 | 49 | 56 | 63 | 70 | 78 |
| Udaya Varthegulu (News) | - | 25 | 29 | 34 | 38 | 42 | 47 |
| Udaya TV 2 | - | 30 | 40 | 46 | 52 | 58 | 65 |
| Total TV ad revenues | 1,755 | 3,472 | 4,655 | 5,543 | 6,320 | 7,125 | 8,015 |
| Radio | 158 | 135 | 89 | 639 | 1,280 | 1,530 | 1,828 |
| Total advertisement revenues | 1,913 | 3,608 | 4,745 | 6,183 | 7,600 | 8,655 | 9,844 |
| Broadcast revenues (or slot sales) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sun TV | 531 | 607 | 721 | 865 | 995 | 1,115 | 1,249 |
| Surya TV | 60 | 60 | 73 | 80 | 88 | 97 | 107 |
| Gemini TV | - | 332 | 385 | 452 | 520 | 582 | 652 |
| Udaya TV | - | 86 | 117 | 132 | 149 | 164 | 180 |
| Total broadcast revenues | 591 | 1,085 | 1,296 | 1,530 | 1,752 | 1,958 | 2,187 |
| Total ad and broadcast revenues | 2,504 | 4,692 | 6,041 | 7,713 | 9,353 | 10,612 | 12,031 |
| Pay-TV revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sun TV | 447 | 667 | 1,106 | 1,230 | 1,453 | 1,781 | 2,117 |
| Surya TV | - | - | - | 47 | 78 | 154 | 212 |
| Gemini TV | - | 693 | 816 | 810 | 876 | 1,042 | 1,203 |
| Udaya TV | - | 310 | 393 | 373 | 414 | 507 | 604 |
| Total pay-TV revenues | 447 | 1,669 | 2,315 | 2,459 | 2,822 | 3,484 | 4,135 |
| International revenues | 183 | 339 | 370 | 500 | 515 | 519 | 516 |
| Others | 18 | 42 | 12 | 27 | 42 | 54 | 65 |
| Sumangali Cable Vision (SCV) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total revenues | 3,152 | 6,742 | 8,738 | 10,699 | 12,732 | 14,669 | 16,748 |
| Growth (\%) | 9 | 114 | 30 | 22 | 19 | 15 | 14 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates
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Consolidated profit model, balance sheet, cash model of Sun TV Network, March fiscal year-ends, 2006-2012E (Rs mn)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ | 2011E | 2012E |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 3,219 | 6,780 | 8,699 | 10,699 | 12,732 | 14,669 | 16,748 |
| EBITDA | $\mathbf{2 , 0 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 1 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 2 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 3 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 5 2 5}$ |
| Other income | 172 | 411 | 556 | 527 | 534 | 540 | 611 |
| Interest (expense)/income | $(65)$ | $(64)$ | $(159)$ | $(144)$ | $(109)$ | $(57)$ | $(5)$ |
| Depreciation | $(147)$ | $(294)$ | $(377)$ | $(641)$ | $(840)$ | $(802)$ | $(774)$ |
| Amortization | - | $(56)$ | $(148)$ | $(235)$ | $(235)$ | $(235)$ | $(195)$ |
| Pretax profits | $\mathbf{1 , 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 6 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 5 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 8 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 6 1}$ |
| Tax-Cash | $(709)$ | $(1,509)$ | $(1,947)$ | $(2,154)$ | $(2,243)$ | $(2,681)$ | $(3,140)$ |
| Tax-deferred | 16 | 108 | $(67)$ | $(131)$ | $(36)$ | $(21)$ | $(16)$ |
| Minority interest | - | $(9)$ | 148 | 214 | 89 | 9 | $(43)$ |
| Net profits after minority interests | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 9 6 3}$ |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ |


| Balance sheet (Rs mn) | 3,071 | 11,932 | 14,485 | 16,464 | 18,321 | 19,995 | $\mathbf{2 1 , 5 7 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total equity | 32 | $(56)$ | 11 | 142 | 179 | 200 | 216 |
| Deferred Tax | 2,333 | 867 | 695 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Total borrowings | 741 | 1,693 | 2,516 | 2,611 | 2,746 | 2,855 | 2,969 |
| Currrent liabilities | $\mathbf{6 , 2 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 4 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 3 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 6 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 5 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 1 4 7}$ |
| Total capital | 732 | 6,494 | 4,297 | 3,246 | 4,435 | 5,310 | 6,203 |
| Cash | 2,440 | 3,221 | 4,542 | 6,326 | 7,511 | 8,728 | 9,854 |
| Current assets | 2,830 | 3,543 | 5,048 | 5,864 | 5,625 | 5,473 | 5,399 |
| Total fixed assets | 206 | 1,220 | 2,620 | 2,219 | 2,024 | 1,829 | 1,633 |
| Intangible assets | $\mathbf{6 , 2 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 4 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 3 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 4 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 8 9 2}$ |
| Total assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Free cash flow (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating cash flow, excl. working capital | 1,722 | 3,239 | 4,091 | 4,960 | 6,053 | 7,103 | 8,134 |
| Working capital | (251) | $(1,992)$ | $(1,235)$ | $(1,688)$ | $(1,050)$ | $(1,108)$ | $(1,013)$ |
| Capital expenditure | $(2,091)$ | (433) | $(1,811)$ | $(1,250)$ | (600) | (650) | (700) |
| Investments | (326) | (849) | $(3,837)$ | (872) | $(1,172)$ | $(1,462)$ | $(1,753)$ |
| Other income | 80 | 402 | 523 | 527 | 534 | 540 | 611 |
| Free cash flow | (619) | 814 | 1,046 | 2,021 | 4,402 | 5,345 | 6,421 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt/equity | 76.0 | 7.3 | 4.8 | - | - | - | - |
| Net debt/equity | 52.1 | (47.2) | (24.9) | (19.4) | (23.9) | (26.3) | (28.5) |
| RoAE | 36.1 | 32.9 | 24.8 | 23.8 | 25.0 | 26.5 | 28.4 |
| RoACE | 26.6 | 26.8 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 25.9 | 27.5 | 29.2 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

| Others |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| ABAN.BO, Rs702 | BUY |
| Rating | 0 |
| Sector coverage view | 1,700 |
| Target Price (Rs) | $5555-610$ |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) | 27.2 |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) |  |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 2009E | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 20.2 | 38.0 | 54.8 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 1.2 | 9.8 | 17.9 |
| EPS (Rs) | 72.3 | 211.1 | 456.6 |
| EPS gth | - | 192.1 | 116.3 |
| P/E (x) | 9.7 | 3.3 | 1.5 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 11.9 | 6.4 | 3.6 |
| Div yield (\%) | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.1 |

## Shareholding, June 2008

|  | $\%$ of <br> Pattern |  | Over/(under) <br> weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promoters | 60.9 | - | - |
| FIls | 16.3 | 0.3 | $(0.0)$ |
| MFs | 4.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| UTI | - | - | $(0.3)$ |
| LIC | - | - | $(0.3)$ |

Aban Offshore: Idle rigs push down margins in 2QFY09. Reduce TP to 1,700

Augustya Somani : augustya.somani@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1328

- Revenues in line at Rs8.2 bn; however, idle rigs keep margins low
- Revise estimates for lower margins and reduced dayrate estimates
- Reduce TP to Rs1,700 to factor in lower earnings and higher WACC
- Reiterate BUY on attractive valuations after $37 \%$ correction in stock price over past week

Aban's 2QFY09 results were below our estimates on account of lower margins. Consolidated revenue of Rs8.2 bn (up 73\% yoy) was in line with our estimate of Rs8.3 bn. However, EBITDA margin at 56\% was below our estimated 65\%. Margins were lower on account of costs incurred on the two idle rigs—Aban VII and Murmanskaya which remained uncontracted throughout the quarter. Reported PAT of Rs2.7 bn was higher than our estimate on account of Rs1.4 bn of translation gain on NOK denominated loans. We reduce our EPS estimate for FY2009E by $20 \%$ to factor in higher costs for the non-operational rigs and delay in deployment of the deepwater rigs-Aban Abraham and Aban Pearl. We reduce our jackup dayrate assumptions by $5 \%$ to factor in softening demand due to the global macroeconomic conditions. We reduce our target price to Rs1,700 (from Rs 2,400 ) to factor in reduced dayrates, lower margins and higher WACC (11.5\%).

## 2QFY09 consolidated results-key highlights

- Revenues in line with estimates. Aban reported consolidated revenues of Rs8.2 bn (up 73\% yoy) versus our estimate of Rs 8.3 bn. Revenues for 1HFY09 were Rs15.7 bn (up 99\% yoy). Increase in revenue was primarily driven by new rig addition and weakening exchange rate.
- Idle rigs pull down EBITDA margins. EBITDA margin for the quarter was at 56\% versus our estimated $65 \%$. Aban's two idle rigs, Aban VII and Murmanskaya, which remained uncontracted throughout the quarter pulled down the EBITDA margins as these rigs continued to incur fixed expenditure without generating any revenues.
- PAT boosted by forex gain of Rs1.4 bn. Adjusted PAT for the quarter was at Rs1,282 mn (versus Rs90 mn in 2QFY08). Reported PAT of Rs 2.7 bn was largely boosted by forex translation gain of Rs1.4 bn on the outstanding NOK-denominated loans. We consider this as extraordinary and adjust it accordingly. We highlight that Aban had reported Rs1.9 bn of forex translation loss on these loans in FY2008. Adjusted PAT for 1 HFY09 was at Rs2,520 mn versus Rs 116 mn in 1 HFY 08.


## Reduce estimates for lower margins and lower dayrate assumptions

We reduce our FY2009E EPS estimate by $20 \%$ to factor in lower margins. Our FY2010E EPS estimate does not change significantly due to depreciation in exchange rate. We lower our EBITDA margin estimate for FY2009E and FY2010E to 59\% and $62.7 \%$ from $66 \%$ and $67 \%$, respectively (see Exhibit 2). We reduce our dayrate assumptions further by $5 \%$ based on recent fixtures and expected demand slowdown due to the global liquidity and funding concerns for the operators. Our revenue estimates for FY2010E and FY2011E is increased marginally on account of sharp depreciation in rupee exchange rate. We also estimate Rs2.1 bn of extraordinary income in FY2009E from the translation gain on the NOK-denominated loans. Aban has hedged $60 \%$ of its NOK 1.4 bn bond loans at an exchange rate of NOK7.06/US\$.

## Valuation becomes attractive as stock price factors in most of the concerns

We believe the $37 \%$ correction in the stock price over the past week was an overreaction to global slowdown concerns. We find current valuations attractive as it prices in most of the concerns on the stock-

1. Revenue estimates already build in a decline in dayrates. We have modeled in a $25-30 \%$ reduction in jackup dayrates by FY2011E from the peak rates of >US $\$ 200,000$ per day. We model a further declining trend with a normalized dayrate of US\$136,000 to factor in possible demand slowdown and increased supply pressures. Increased liquidity constraints for the operators may lead to cancellation of few of the projects where funding has not been tied up. However, we highlight that due to funding issues some of the newbuild orders may also get cancelled resulting in lower-than-estimated supply.
2. Oil price correction to impact demand for deepwater assets first. We highlight that the correction in oil price will mainly impact the marginal exploration programs which were less likely to succeed. These are generally the deepwater projects which require drillships and semi-submersible rigs. Jackup rigs are mainly used in the shallow and mid waters where the projects are viable even at the current oil prices.
3. High debt leverage. Aban's high gearing and ability to meet repayment obligations has been a major concern with investors. However, we highlight that Aban's contracted EBITDA/interest ratio of 3X for FY2010E and FY2011E, assure of its interest servicing ability on its large outstanding loan of US\$3 bn and its cash flows are sufficient to meet repayment obligations (see Exhibit 3).
4. Revenue visibility assures of near-term earnings. We believe Aban's strong revenue visibility with 69\% and 59\% of FY2010E and FY2011E revenues already contracted provides support to our revenue estimates (see Exhibit 4). Large contract backlog insures the near-term earnings from any sudden decline in dayrates.

## Reduce target price to Rs1,700; reiterate BUY on attractive valuations

We reduce our 12-month DCF-based target price to Rs1,700 from Rs2,400 to factor in lower dayrates and reduced margins. We believe possible slowdown in exploration activity and upcoming supply of newbuilds will continue to keep jackup dayrates under pressure. We also increase our WACC assumption to $11.5 \%$ from $11 \%$ to factor in higher market risk premium and increased cost of debt. We believe the $37 \%$ correction in stock price over the past week was an over-reaction to the near-term concerns (see Exhibit 5). We reiterate our BUY rating on the stock as current valuations (1.5X and 3.5X FY2010E EPS and EBITDA) appear attractive.

Exhibit 1: Aban Offshore, interim results (Consolidated), March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | qoq |  |  | yoy |  | Half Yearly |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1QFY09 | Chng. (\%) | 2Q 2008 | Chng. (\%) | -1' $\overline{\text { FY }} \mathbf{0} 9$ | 1H FY08 | Chng. (\%) |
| Income from Operations | 8,243 | 7,494 | 10.0 | 4,761 | 73.1 | 15,737 | 7,897 | 99.3 |
| Total expenditure | 3,638 | 3,479 | 4.6 | 2,252 | 61.5 | 7,117 | 3,967 | 79.4 |
| Consumption of stores and spares | 526 | 436 | 20.7 | 294 | 78.9 | 962 | 549 | 75.2 |
| Staff cost | 838 | 757 | 10.7 | 422 | 98.5 | 1,596 | 778 | 105.2 |
| Machinery rental | 462 | 153 | 202.6 | 130 | 254.7 | 615 | 219 | 180.6 |
| Repairs to machinery | 50 | 135 | (62.7) | 46 | 10.1 | 185 | 71 | 161.8 |
| Insurance | 169 | 134 | 26.4 | 149 | 13.6 | 303 | 285 | 6.6 |
| Other expenses | 1,592 | 1,864 | (14.6) | 1,211 | 31.5 | 3,456 | 2,066 | 67.3 |
| EBITDA | 4,605 | 4,016 | 14.7 | 2,509 | 83.5 | 8,620 | 3,931 | 119.3 |
| Margins (\%) | 55.9\% | 53.6\% |  | 52.7\% |  | 54.8\% | 49.8\% |  |
| Other income | 204 | 208 | (2.0) | 398 | (48.9) | 411 | 868 | (52.6) |
| Depreciation | 1,133 | 932 | 21.5 | 450 | 151.8 | 2,065 | 946 | 118.2 |
| EBIT | 3,676 | 3,291 | 11.7 | 2,458 | 49.6 | 6,967 | 3,852 | 80.8 |
| Margins (\%) | 44.6\% | 43.9\% |  | 51.6\% |  | 44.3\% | 48.8\% |  |
| Net Interest | 2,027 | 1,590 | 27.5 | 2,283 | (11.2) | 3,618 | 3,466 | 4.4 |
| PBT | 1,648 | 1,701 | (3.1) | 175 | 843.4 | 3,349 | 387 | 766.1 |
| Tax | 671 | 608 | 10.3 | 319 | 110.5 | 1,278 | 610 | 109.5 |
| Profit after tax | 978 | 1,093 | (10.6) | (144) | (779.7) | 2,071 | (224) | NM |
| add: share in joint venture | 306 | 145 | 110.3 | 234 | 30.8 | 451 | 339 | 33.0 |
| less: minority interest | (2) | (1) | - | - |  | (2) | - |  |
| Adjusted PAT | 1,282 | 1,238 | 3.5 | 90 | NM | 2,520 | 116 | NM |
| Extra-ordinary items (1) | 1,395 | - |  | - |  | 1,395 | - |  |
| Reported PAT | 2,677 | 1,238 | 116.2 | 90 | NM | 3,915 | 116 | NM |

Note: (1) Represents translation gain on NOK denominated bonds.

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities.

Exhibit 2: Aban, change in estimates, March fiscal year-ends, (Rs mn)

|  | Revised estimates |  |  | Old estimates |  |  | Change (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E |
| Revenue | 38,038 | 54,783 | 50,090 | 38,766 | 51,171 | 48,581 | (1.9) | 7.1 | 3.1 |
| EBITDA | 22,410 | 34,360 | 29,902 | 25,613 | 34,269 | 31,016 | (12.5) | 0.3 | (3.6) |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 58.9 | 62.7 | 59.7 | 66.1 | 67.0 | 63.8 | - | - | - |
| Adjusted net profit | 8,295 | 17,896 | 17,010 | 10,332 | 17,792 | 17,771 | (19.7) | 0.6 | (4.3) |
| Diluted EPS (Rs) | 207.5 | 455.7 | 432.7 | 260.2 | 453.0 | 452.4 | (20.3) | 0.6 | (4.4) |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

Exhibit 3: Strong free cash flow to support debt repayment
Summary cash flow statement of Aban Offshore, March fiscal year-ends, 2009-12E (Rs mn)

|  | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2012E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Free cash flow | $\mathbf{5 , 7 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 9 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 2 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 3 8 3}$ |
| less: debt repayment | 2,968 | $(23,830)$ | $(26,604)$ | $(17,849)$ |
| Free cash flow less debt repayment | $\mathbf{8 , 7 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 , 9 2 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 , 3 0 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 3 4}$ |
| Dividend payout | $(464)$ | $(770)$ | $(1,003)$ | $(1,455)$ |
| Preference capital | 200 | - | - | $(2,010)$ |
| Net cash flow | $\mathbf{8 , 4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 , 6 9 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 , 3 1 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ |
| Opening cash balance | 6,453 | 14,940 | 9,241 | 3,930 |
| Closing cash balance | $\mathbf{1 4 , 9 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 9 9}$ |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 4: Aban, revenue visibility and percentage of rig days uncommitted, March fiscal year-ends 2010-2011E

|  | 2010E | 2011E |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Revenue visibility (\%) |  |  |
| Jackups | 57 | 42 |
| Semi-submersible | 79 | 76 |
| Drillship | 100 | 95 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ |
| Uncommitted days (\%) | 46 |  |
| Jackups | 47 | 62 |
| Semi-submersible | - | 50 |
| Drilship | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 5 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

Exhibit 5: Comparative share price performance of global rig companies versus crude oil (\%)

|  | $\mathbf{5 D}$ | $\mathbf{1 M}$ | $\mathbf{3 M}$ | $\mathbf{6 M}$ | $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{~ Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ Y r}$ | $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{~ Y r}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Brent Crude | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| Aban Offshore | $\mathbf{( 3 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 8 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ |
| Noble Corp | 13 | $(34)$ | $(47)$ | $(48)$ | $(43)$ | $(23)$ | $(9)$ |
| Diamond Offshore Drilling | 32 | $(18)$ | $(31)$ | $(32)$ | $(23)$ | $(0)$ | 50 |
| Ensco International Inc. | 10 | $(36)$ | $(49)$ | $(42)$ | $(32)$ | $(21)$ | $(3)$ |
| Atwood Oceanics Inc. | 5 | $(34)$ | $(50)$ | $(52)$ | $(41)$ | $(53)$ | $(27)$ |
| Pride International Inc. | 6 | $(45)$ | $(60)$ | $(62)$ | $(55)$ | $(49)$ | $(39)$ |
| Transocean Inc. | 6 | $(34)$ | $(49)$ | $(50)$ | $(40)$ | $(16)$ | 21 |
| China Oilfield | 3 | $(39)$ | $(63)$ | $(70)$ | $(77)$ | 12 | 54 |

[^4]Exhibit 6: Comparative valuation of drilling services companies

| Company | $\begin{gathered} 29-O c t-08 \\ \text { Price (local) } \end{gathered}$ | Currency | Year-end | Mkt Cap. (US\$ mn) | EV/EBITDA (X) |  |  | PER (X) |  |  | CAGR (2 yr) (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LFY | FY1 | FY2 | LFY | FY1 | FY2 | EPS | Revenue | EBITDA |
| Aban Offshore | 702.0 | INR | Mar | 543 | 11.6 | 6.3 | 3.6 | 10.1 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 155.7 | 64.6 | 64.5 |
| China Oilfield Services-H | 4.3 | HKD | Dec | 4,920 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 24.7 | 29.4 | 30.0 |
| Atwood Oceanics | 24.0 | USD | Sep | 1,534 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 10.8 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 51.9 | 32.5 | 48.2 |
| Diamond Offshore | 85.0 | USD | Dec | 11,812 | 8.1 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 13.8 | 8.7 | 7.3 | 37.5 | 25.9 | 33.0 |
| Ensco International | 37.2 | USD | Dec | 5,269 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 9.5 |
| Noble Corp. | 29.0 | USD | Dec | 7,810 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 26.5 | 18.6 | 24.6 |
| Pride International | 16.2 | USD | Dec | 2,797 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 21.9 | 10.6 | 11.6 |
| Transocean | 73.0 | USD | Dec | 23,289 | 11.2 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 47.4 | 51.6 |

Source: Bloomberg, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates for Aban Offshore

## Exhibit 7: Aban Offshore, fleet details

|  | Contract period |  | Day rates |  | Status | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Start date | End date | Currency | Amount |  |  |
| Fleet- Aban Offshore Ltd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aban-II | 26-May-07 | 25-May-10 | INR | 3,680,000 | Operational |  |
| Aban-III | 1-Mar-08 | 28-Feb-11 | USD | 156,600 | Operational |  |
| Aban-IV | 30-Dec-07 | 29-Dec-10 | USD | 156,600 | Operational |  |
| Aban-V | 1-Mar-08 | 28-Feb-11 | USD | 156,600 | Operational |  |
| Aban-VI | 1-Jan-08 | 1-Jan-14 | EUR | 62,450 | Operational | Current dayrate for first 3 yrs, next 3 yrs to be decided over the course of contract |
| FPU Tahara | 27-Jul-07 | 27-Jul-09 | USD | 87,500 | Operational |  |
| Drillship Frontier Ice | 1-Apr-08 | 30-Sep-08 | USD | 43,000 | Operational | Contracted with ONGC at Rs6.2 mn / day from Jan '09-Dec '11 |
| Fleet- Aban Singapore Pte Ltd |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aban-VII |  |  |  |  | Non-operational | Uncontracted |
| Aban-VIII | 1-Jun-08 | 30-May-12 | USD | 199,500 | Operational |  |
| Aban Abraham |  |  |  |  | Under refurbishment | Delivery extended to Oct 2008; contracted for 3 yrs at US $\$ 325,000 /$ day for first year and \$410,000 thereafter |
| Aban Pearl | 1-Nov-08 | 30-Oct-13 | USD | 286,000 | Under refurbishment | Delivery by end-Oct 2008,3\% annual increase in day rates |
| Fleet-Sinvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Murmanskaya |  |  |  |  | Non-operational | Currently under minor repairs and uncontracted |
| Deep Driller 1 | 8-May-07 | 7-May-09 | USD | 194,000 | Operational |  |
| Deep Driller 2 | 29-Dec-07 | 30-Jul-08 | USD | 185,500 | Operational | Post July 2008, contracted for 90 days for US $\$ 17 \mathrm{mn}$ and 210 days for US $\$ 38 \mathrm{mn}$ in Malaysia (~US\$185,000/day) |
| Deep Driller 3 | 15-Oct-08 | 30-Oct-12 | USD | 172,000 | Operational |  |
| Deep Driller 4 | 22-Oct-07 | 31-Oct-08 | USD | 197,000 | Operational |  |
| Deep Driller 5 | 1-May-08 | 31-Dec-08 | USD | 190,000 | Operational | Contracted with Husky Oil at \$215,000 for 6 months with 6 months option after current contract |
| Deep Driller 6 |  |  |  |  | Non-operational | Delivered in mid-October 2008 |
| Deep Driller 7 | 1-Oct-08 | 31-Dec-08 | USD | 200,000 | Operational | 3 months extension option |
| Deep Driller 8 |  |  | USD | 200,000 | Under construction | Delivery expected in January 2009, contracted at US $\$ 200,000$ for 150 days with HOEC |
| Deep Venture | 30-Jun-07 | 31-Jul-09 | USD | 450,000 | Operational | 18 month contract with Maersk Oil Angola at US\$495,000 / day to begin from July 2009 after completion of current contract |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutioanl Equities.

## Exhibit 8: Aban, dayrate assumptions, March fiscal year-ends, 2008-2012E (US\$/day)

|  | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2012E | Contract status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Day rates |  | End date |
| Aban India |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jackups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aban-II | 91,702 | 83,211 | 80,000 | 82,697 | 79,455 | US\$ | 90,864 | 25-May-10 |
| Aban-III | 66,217 | 156,600 | 156,600 | 156,600 | 153,468 | US\$ | 156,600 | 28-Feb-11 |
| Aban-IV | 82,650 | 156,600 | 156,600 | 155,353 | 149,139 | US\$ | 156,600 | 29-Dec-10 |
| Aban-V | 66,217 | 156,600 | 156,600 | 155,996 | 155,996 | US\$ | 156,600 | 28-Feb-11 |
| Aban-VI | 51,836 | 98,359 | 93,675 | 92,114 | 87,891 | US\$ | 93,051 | 1-Jan-14 |
| FPU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tahara | 67,667 | 87,500 | 89,164 | 90,417 | 93,129 | US\$ | 87,500 | 27-Jul-09 |
| Drillship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frontier Ice | 42,500 | 77,390 | 134,457 | 138,989 | 147,597 | INR | 6,185,000 | 31-Dec-11 |
| Aban Singapore |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jackups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aban-VII | 169,200 | 165,000 | 156,750 | 153,615 | 153,615 | - | - | - |
| Aban-VIII | - | 199,500 | 199,500 | 199,500 | 199,500 | US\$ | 214,286 | 30-May-12 |
| Drillship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aban Abraham | - | 325,000 | 388,629 | 410,000 | 410,000 | US\$ | 410,000 | (1) |
| Semi-submersible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aban Pearl | - | 286,000 | 286,000 | 286,000 | 286,000 | US\$ | 286,000 | 31-Oct-13 |
| Sinvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jackups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Murmanskaya (2) | 111,667 | 178,085 | 161,500 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Deep Driller 1 | 194,000 | 194,000 | 176,651 | 171,108 | 162,553 | US\$ | 194,000 | 7-May-09 |
| Deep Driller 2 | 125,000 | 185,160 | 176,029 | 169,673 | 156,099 | US\$ | 185,500 | 31-May-08 |
| Deep Driller 3 | 225,000 | 198,281 | 172,000 | 172,000 | 172,000 | US\$ | 172,000 | 30-Oct-12 |
| Deep Driller 4 | 197,000 | 188,667 | 168,452 | 157,206 | 152,490 | US\$ | 197,000 | 31-Oct-08 |
| Deep Driller 5 | 205,000 | 197,456 | 205,171 | 173,260 | 159,399 | US\$ | 215,000 | 31-Dec-09 |
| Deep Driller 6 | - | 185,000 | 166,500 | 158,175 | 154,221 | - | - | - |
| Deep Driller 7 | - | 192,092 | 165,600 | 160,632 | 155,813 | US\$ | 200,000 | 31-Dec-08 |
| Deep Driller 8 | - | - | 183,097 | 161,020 | 156,995 | US\$ | 200,000 | 1QFY10E |
| Deep Venture | 450,000 | 443,857 | 471,533 | 495,000 | 495,000 | US\$ | 450,000 | 31-Jul-09 |

Note:
(1) 3 yrs contract after delivery, first year at $\$ 325,000$ and then $\$ 410,000$ thereafter.
(2) Bareboat charter expiring in Nov ' 09 ; we do not expect a renewal.

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

Exhibit 9: Profit model, balance sheet, cash model (consolidated) for Aban Offshore, 2006-2011E, March fiscal yearends (Rs mn)

|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total income | 4,902 | 7,187 | 20,211 | 38,038 | 54,783 | 50,090 |
| EBITDA | 2,847 | 3,842 | 12,691 | 22,410 | 34,360 | 29,902 |
| Interest (expense)/income | (456) | $(2,836)$ | $(6,533)$ | $(9,066)$ | $(8,000)$ | $(6,222)$ |
| Depreciation | $(1,014)$ | $(1,266)$ | $(2,549)$ | $(4,295)$ | $(5,706)$ | $(4,951)$ |
| Other income | 125 | 662 | 733 | 1,056 | 1,474 | 1,357 |
| Pretax profits | 1,502 | 403 | 4,342 | 10,104 | 22,129 | 20,086 |
| Extra ordinary items | - | - | $(2,536)$ | 2,142 | - | - |
| Reported PBT | 1,502 | 403 | 1,807 | 12,246 | 22,129 | 20,086 |
| Tax | (587) | (665) | $(1,514)$ | $(3,721)$ | $(5,595)$ | $(4,655)$ |
| Deferred taxation | (91) | (81) | 84 | 96 | - | - |
| Profit after tax | 823 | (344) | 377 | 8,622 | 16,535 | 15,430 |
| Joint venture/ minority | - | 204 | 853 | 1,173 | 1,361 | 1,579 |
| Reported consolidated net profit | 823 | (140) | 1,230 | 9,794 | 17,896 | 17,010 |
| Adjusted net profit | 823 | (140) | 2,954 | 8,295 | 17,896 | 17,010 |
| Diluted earnings per share (Rs) | 19.8 | (7.5) | 69.7 | 207.5 | 455.7 | 432.7 |
| Balance sheet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total equity | 2,804 | 2,248 | 5,063 | 16,271 | 33,163 | 48,718 |
| Preference capital | 1,500 | 3,060 | 3,060 | 3,260 | 3,260 | 3,260 |
| Deferred taxation liability | 656 | 737 | 654 | 558 | 558 | 558 |
| Total borrowings | 11,098 | 108,525 | 130,434 | 131,218 | 107,388 | 80,784 |
| Current liabilities | 1,105 | 6,949 | 7,517 | 10,122 | 10,958 | 11,150 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 17,163 | 121,520 | 146,727 | 161,428 | 155,327 | 144,469 |
| Cash | 135 | 13,264 | 6,453 | 14,940 | 9,241 | 3,930 |
| Other current assets | 1,369 | 5,926 | 7,637 | 10,588 | 15,249 | 13,861 |
| Goodwill | 126 | 48,063 | 44,289 | 44,289 | 44,289 | 44,289 |
| Tangible fixed assets | 15,340 | 49,584 | 81,958 | 85,219 | 80,156 | 75,998 |
| Investments | 192 | 4,683 | 6,391 | 6,391 | 6,391 | 6,391 |
| Total assets | 17,163 | 121,520 | 146,727 | 161,428 | 155,327 | 144,469 |
| Free cash flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating cash flow, excl. working capital | 1,866 | 2,111 | 3,146 | 12,435 | 21,569 | 20,266 |
| Working capital changes | 211 | (198) | $(1,654)$ | (653) | $(4,058)$ | 1,129 |
| Capital expenditure | $(8,404)$ | $(35,697)$ | $(32,638)$ | $(7,557)$ | (643) | (793) |
| Investment changes | 84 | $(52,157)$ | (386) | - | - | - |
| Other income | 65 | 119 | 458 | 1,558 | 2,033 | 1,695 |
| Free cash flow | $(6,177)$ | $(85,822)$ | $(31,074)$ | 5,784 | 18,902 | 22,297 |
| Ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA margin | 58.1 | 53.5 | 62.8 | 58.9 | 62.7 | 59.7 |
| Debt/equity | 364.1 | 3,737.3 | 2,335.1 | 799.1 | 328.1 | 170.6 |
| Net debt/equity | 358.9 | 3,100.3 | 2,104.8 | 683.4 | 287.3 | 153.4 |
| RoAE | 23.8 | (4.7) | 51.7 | 49.3 | 53.1 | 34.5 |
| RoACE | 8.3 | (3.8) | 3.4 | 10.1 | 16.2 | 15.7 |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

| Utilities |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| CESC.BO, Rs174 |  |
| Rating | BUY |
| Sector coverage view | Neutral |
| Target Price (Rs) | 450 |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) | $715-165$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 21.8 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 2009E | 2010E |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 27.7 | 29.1 | 32.3 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.9 |
| EPS (Rs) | 27.8 | 28.2 | 31.1 |
| EPS gth | $(24.0)$ | 1.5 | 10.8 |
| P/E (x) | 6.3 | 6.2 | 5.6 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 3.3 | 4.0 | 5.2 |
| Div yield (\%) | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.8 |

## Shareholding, June 2008

|  | $\%$ of <br> Pattern |  | Over/(under) <br> weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promoters | 52.5 | - | - |
| FIls | 23.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| MFs | 8.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| UTI | - | - | $(0.1)$ |
| LIC | 3.8 | 0.1 | $(0.0)$ |

CESC: 2QFY09: Benefit from tariff hike aids strong operating performance, reiterate BUY

Aman Batra : aman.batra@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1231
Murtuza Arsiwalla : murtuza.arsiwalla@kotak.com, +91-22-66341-125

- CESC's operating performance earning RoE of more than $20 \%$
- Reported PAT for 2QFY09 builds in benefit of new tariff order for Q1 as well
- Core regulated business is insulated from interest rate and forex rate fluctuations
- Retain BUY rating with SOTP-based target price of Rs450/share (Rs500/share previously)

CESC reported sales of Rs7.6 bn (our estimate Rs7.7 bn), EBITDA of Rs 1.87 bn (our estimate Rs 1.2 bn) and PAT of Rs1.24 bn (our estimate Rs0.65 bn) for 2QFY09. CESC has reduced other expenditure for the quarter by Rs 460 mn as adjustment pertaining to the tariff and costs as per the new tariff order. We note the adjustment pertains cumulatively for 1QFY09 as well as 2QFY09. The new order has increased the average tariffs by about Rs0.05/unit which amounts for an estimated additional revenue of Rs202 mn for 1HFY09. We retain our EPS estimates of Rs28.2 for FY2009E and Rs31.1 for FY2010E. We like CESC's regulated power business with high degree of visibility in growth and retain our BUY rating with a revised SOTP-based target price of Rs450/ share (Rs500/share previously). In view of the slow progress in land acquisition and clearances, we have removed the proposed power project in Jharkhand from our valuation. However, our SOTP-based target price includes Rs73/share for Haldia project (regulated) where all clearances and 65\% land has been acquired and we expect the financial closure and EPC order to take place in a couple of months.

CESC's operating performance earning effective RoE of more than $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$. While number of units generated by CESC declined $1.6 \%$ yoy during the quarter, cumulative PLF for the three base load power stations (except New Cossipore) remained above $100 \%$. We estimate the T\&D losses to have declined to $14 \%$ in 2QFY09 compared to $14.4 \%$ in 2QFY08 and $14.2 \%$ in 1QFY09. As per the multi-year tariff order for FY200911E, CESC now gets to keep part of the savings made by operating better than the stipulated benchmarks. Higher sales of 2,041 MU (7.2\% yoy growth) necessitated increased purchase of power ( $25 \%$ yoy growth) and lower export of power. While we estimate number of units exported to have declined $23 \%$ yoy during 2QFY09, we believe CESC to have benefited from higher export realizations. CESC benefits from export sales by keeping $40 \%$ of profits made from exports sales.

2Q reported profits include gains from new tariff order for 1Q as well. CESC has reduced other expenditure for the 2QFY09 by Rs 460 mn as adjustment pertaining to the tariff and costs as per the new tariff order, resulting in a higher-than-estimated EBITDA and net profits. We note the reported sales for 2QFY09 are accounted using the old tariffs as the billing to consumers as per new tariff order will commence from October 2008. The new tariff order has increased the average tariffs by about Rs0.05/ unit. The benefit of higher tariffs alone amounts to estimated Rs202 mn for 1HFY09.

## Core regulated business is insulated from interest rate and forex rate

fluctuations. We value CESC's core power generation-distribution business in Kolkata using DCF-equity at Rs237/share-implied P/B of 2X. We note CESC's regulated power business is insulated from uncertainties inherent in the IPP or the merchant power model-variations in interest rate and forex rates. The ability to sell surplus power from generation assets after meeting the requirements of the distribution business gives CESC the benefit from high merchant rates as well. CESC's regulated power business also offers high growth visibility-reinvestments in upgrading and strengthening the T\&D network and periodic generation capacity addition (Budge Budge 3 is under construction and Haldia 1 has most clearances in place). We have not currently built in any incentives or benefit of sale of the surplus generation (export) after commissioning of Budge Budge 3.

Investments in retail business likely to be restricted. We use 1 X EV/Sales on FY2008 revenues for valuing CESC's 95\% stake in the Spencer retail business at Rs7.3 bn (Rs58/share). Management has indicated a slower rollout plan and limited capexto reach 1.7 mn sq . ft from the current 1.3 mn sq . ft. It plans to improve profitability by (1) focusing on large formats for growth and (2) closing down unviable and lossmaking outlets. During FY2008, Spencer Retail incurred an EBIT loss of Rs1.49 bn on sales of Rs 7.68 bn (our estimate of Rs10.4 bn). We do not expect the retail business to report positive EBITDA for another two years.

Reiterate BUY rating with SOTP-based target price of Rs450/share. Our SOTPbased target price of Rs450/share offers 175\% upside from the current market price. We note that the stock is currently trading below its FY2008 book value of Rs205/share (net of revaluation reserves). Our value of the stable (and growing) core power business at Rs237/share (book invested Rs119/share) and estimated cash of Rs38/share (Rs4.7 bn) implies the market is giving a negative value for expansion projects (Budge Budge 3 and Haldia 1) and retail business. At the current market price of RS175/share, the stock also offers $2.3 \%$ dividend yield.

- We value the core power generation, transmission and distribution business in Kolkata at Rs237/share using DCF-equity implying ~2.0X P/B FY2008. We use a cost of equity of $12 \%$ and terminal year growth of $2 \%$. We estimate a steady increase in regulated equity base that will drive steady earnings growth apart from step-improvement due to generation capacity addition. CESC has planned a capex of Rs3.5 bn on a transmission capacity of 700 MW that will give an additional interface to the national grid to meet the peak demand of power in Kolkata. CESC plans to spend Rs2 bn per annum for maintenance capex on upgradation and upkeep of its distribution network.
- New generation projects to provide upside. We note good visibility in new generation projects for CESC. These include two projects under regulated returnsthe under-construction 250 MW at Budge-Budge and 600 MW thermal power project at Haldia. DCF-equity value for these projects contributes Rs102/share to our target price. We note the Budge-Budge expansion and Haldia phase 1 projects have been funded with the equity raised by the company. CESC has also been allocated a coal block in Jharkhand for setting up a 800-1,000 MW power project. We are not including the project for our valuation as the land acquisition and other clearances for the project are taking more time and delaying the project's implementation as compared to our expectations.
- Stake in Spencer Retail valued at Rs58/share. We value the retail business at 1 X Sales (FY2008) or Rs7.7 bn. For CESC's 95\% holding, this translates to Rs58/share. We note management has scaled down its growth capex and is currently focusing on limiting losses by closing down unviable outlets.
- We value the accretion (net of investments) from real estate projects at Rs1.6 bn (Rs13/share). The company plans to develop a 0.5 mn sq . ft mall near Park Street, which will be given out on lease. CESC has additional real estate of $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{mn}$ sq. ft at Mulajore (site of its now defunct power plant). We note that CESC has to share $33 \%$ gains from real estate with the consumers as a reduction in its Annual Revenue Requirement.

Exhibit 1: Quarterly results for CESC, March year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | yoy |  |  | Our est. |  | qoq |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sep-08 | Sep-07 | (\% chg) | Sep-08 | (\% chg) | Jun-08 | (\% chg) |
| Net sales | 7,550 | 7,300 | 3.4 | 7,696 | 5.4 | 7,830 | (3.6) |
| Operating costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of electrical energy purchased | $(1,540)$ | $(1,160)$ | 32.8 | $(1,738)$ |  | $(1,250)$ | 23.2 |
| Cost of fuel | $(2,480)$ | $(2,240)$ | 10.7 | $(2,461)$ |  | $(2,440)$ | 1.6 |
| Personnel costs | (830) | (740) | 12.2 | (800) |  | (780) | 6.4 |
| Other expenses and provisions | (830) | $(1,750)$ | (52.6) | $(1,500)$ |  | $(2,140)$ | (61.2) |
| Total operating expense | $(5,680)$ | $(5,890)$ | (3.6) | $(6,499)$ |  | $(6,610)$ | (14.1) |
| EBITDA | 1,870 | 1,410 | 32.6 | 1,197 | (15.1) | 1,220 | 53.3 |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 24.8 | 19.3 |  | 15.5 |  | 15.6 |  |
| Depreciation | (430) | (400) |  | (430) |  | (420) |  |
| EBIT | 1,440 | 1,010 | 42.6 | 767 | (24.1) | 800 | 80.0 |
| Other income | 310 | 260 |  | 300 |  | 475 |  |
| Interest | (350) | (340) |  | (320) |  | (320) |  |
| PBT | 1,400 | 930 | 50.5 | 747 | (19.7) | 955 | 46.6 |
| Tax | (160) | (120) |  | (94) |  | (130) |  |
| Net profit | 1,240 | 810 | 53.1 | 653 | (19.4) | 825 | 50.3 |
| Extraordinary income/ (expenses) | - | 120 |  | - |  | 115 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key operating parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units generated - gross (MU) | 2,049 | 2,082 | (1.6) | 2,092 | 0.5 | 2,057 | (0.4) |
| Units purchased (MU) | 506 | 404 | 25.2 | 572 | 41.5 | 445 | 13.7 |
| Units sold-domestic (MU) | 2,041 | 1,905 | 7.2 | 1,981 | 4.0 | 1,993 | 2.4 |
| Units exported (MU) -est. | 33 | 43 | (23.4) | 43 | - | 30 | 9.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel cost per unit generated (net) | 1.3 | 1.2 | 12.5 | 1.3 | 9.4 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| Per unit cost of power purchased | 3.0 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 8.3 |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities.

## Exhibit 2: CESC Sum-of-the-parts valuation



Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.
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Exhibit 3: CESC: Profit model, balance sheet, cash model 2007-2011E, March fiscal year-ends (Rs mn)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 E}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) | 24,843 | 27,750 | 29,129 | 32,295 | 36,059 |
| Net sales | $\mathbf{6 , 3 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 6 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 9 6 5}$ |
| EBITDA | 927 | 1,285 | 1,516 | 1,873 | 2,185 |
| Other income | $(2,305)$ | $(1,885)$ | $(1,699)$ | $(1,818)$ | $(2,720)$ |
| Interest | $(1,579)$ | $(1,685)$ | $(1,773)$ | $(2,156)$ | $(2,540)$ |
| Depreciation | 3,407 | 3,760 | 4,027 | 4,511 | 4,889 |
| Pretax profits | $(400)$ | $(476)$ | $(506)$ | $(623)$ | $(821)$ |
| Tax | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deferred taxation | $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 6 8}$ |
| Net profits | 0 | 269 | 115 | 0 | 0 |
| Extraordinary items | $\mathbf{3 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 6}$ |
| Earnings per share (Rs) |  |  |  |  |  |


| Balance sheet (Rs mn) | $\mathbf{1 6 , 5 2 6}$ | 25,713 | 28,756 | 31,923 | 35,149 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total equity | 3,408 | 4,008 | 3,408 | 3,408 | 3,408 |
| Capital contribution from consumers | 1,005 | 1,980 | 2,759 | 3,494 | 3,767 |
| AAD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deferred taxation liability | 17,983 | 16,288 | 21,064 | 32,533 | 46,095 |
| Total borrowings | 16,350 | 19,559 | 18,842 | 20,114 | 21,542 |
| Currrent liabilities | $\mathbf{5 5 , 2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 , 5 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 , 8 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 , 4 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9 , 9 6 1}$ |
| Total liabilities and equity | 7,314 | 9,864 | 11,009 | 9,659 | $\mathbf{7 , 9 7 4}$ |
| Cash | 8,110 | 9,752 | 7,759 | 8,448 | 9,237 |
| Current assets | 37,340 | 42,148 | 50,285 | 67,596 | 86,989 |
| Total fixed assets | 2,414 | 5,697 | 5,697 | 5,697 | 5,697 |
| Investments | 93 | 86 | 79 | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 665 |
| Deferred expenditure | $\mathbf{5 5 , 2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 , 5 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 , 8 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 , 4 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9 , 9 6 1}$ |
| Total assets |  |  |  |  |  |


| Free cash flow (Rs mn) | 4,529 | 5,296 | 6,189 | 6,779 | 6,882 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operating cash flow, excl. working capital | 4,297 | 1,509 | 1,276 | 583 | 639 |
| Working capital | $(3,645)$ | $(6,493)$ | $(9,910)$ | $(19,467)$ | $(21,934)$ |
| Capital expenditure | $(2,093)$ | $(2,301)$ | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Investments | $\mathbf{3 , 0 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 , 9 8 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 , 4 3 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 2 , 0 9 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 4 , 4 0 6 )}$ |
| Free cash flow |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

| Property |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| SOBH.BO, Rs109 |  |
| Rating | REDUCE |
| Sector coverage view | Neutral |
| Target Price (Rs) | 250 |
| 52 W High -Low (Rs) | $1050-100$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 8.0 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 2009E | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 14.3 | 17.7 | 22.0 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| EPS (Rs) | 31.7 | 30.2 | 33.2 |
| EPS gth | 42.9 | $(4.7)$ | 9.8 |
| P/E (x) | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 6.9 | 6.0 | 5.8 |
| Div yield (\%) | 5.9 | 3.7 | 3.7 |

## Shareholding, June 2008

|  | $\%$ <br> Pof |  | Over/(under) <br> weight |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promoters | 87.0 | - | - |
| Flls | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| MFs | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| UTI | - | - | - |
| LIC | - | - | - |

Sobha Developers: 2QFY09 results disappointing; maintain REDUCE
Puneet Jain : puneet.j@kotak.com, +91-22-6634 1255
Sandeep Reddy : sandeep.reddy@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1216

- 2QFY09 revenues and PAT were $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ and $14 \%$ below estimates respectively
- 2QFY09 revenues down $14 \%$ qoq and $9 \%$ yoy
- Maintain our REDUCE rating with a target price of Rs250/share; will revisit assumptions post availability of further details/conference call

Sobha Developers reported operating revenues of Rs3 bn (versus our expectation of Rs3. 8 bn ) and PAT of Rs490 mn (versus our expectation of Rs571 mn) for 2QFY09. We highlight that the operational performance has been affected by lower demand arising due to price increases in 1QFY09. Sobha further attracted an additional FDI investment of US $\$ 10 \mathrm{mn}$ for its Bangalore project for from M/s Pan Atlantic LLC. Sobha launched two new projects-Sobha City (Thrissur, Kerala) and Sobha Scarlet (Mysore)—in 2QFY09. We believe the demand scenario will continue to be weak in Bangalore in the current scenario and prices have to come down for sales to pick up. We maintain our REDUCE rating on the stock with a target price of Rs250/share. We will revisit our assumption post availability of further details/conference call.

## Disappointing results; reflects weak demand in Bangalore

Sobha announced revenues of Rs3 bn (versus our expectation of Rs3.8 bn) and PAT of Rs490 mn (versus our expectation of Rs571 mn). We note that revenues were down $14 \%$ qoq and down $9 \%$ yoy reflecting that demand has considerably weakened post the price increase in the last quarter. We note that Sobha had increased prices in Bangalore by $7-10 \%$ for its projects as decided by Karnataka chapter of the Confederation of Real Estate Developers' Associations of India (Credai). We continue to be concerned about the lower affordability on account of higher interest rates and selling prices and expect further disappointment in sales volumes.

On the operational front, Sobha had two new launches in 2QFY09-(1) 40 premium villas in the second phase of Sobha City in Thrissur, Kerala and (2) Sobha Scarlet in Mysore to be developed over 14.2 acres. We note that there were no new launches in Bangalore.

## Balance sheet leverage of Sobha is a matter of concern

Sobha reported EBIDTA of Rs0.9 bn for 2QFY09 and Rs2 bn for 1HFY09. This results in annualized debt/EBIDTA of 4.5 which is stretched, in our view. We would positively view any steps taken by Sobha to deleverage its balance sheet. Sobha's board has approved the rights issue of Rs3.5 bn The pricing for the issue has not yet been declared by the board. Sobha also announced another FDI of US\$10 mn by M/s Pan Atlantic LLC, Dubai for its 1.7 mn sq. ft residential township project at Hosahalli, Bangalore South. M/s Pan Atlantic LLC had invested US\$10 mn in the same project for a 40\% stake in 1QFY09.

We would highlight that ROCE of Sobha has been on a declining trend for the past two years with ROCE dipping significantly to $13.3 \%$ in FY2008. We note that rights issue is unlikely to change the capital efficiency of Sobha. It can achieve better capital efficiency in case it starts monetizing the large accumulated land bank.

## We maintain our REDUCE rating with target price of Rs250/share

Our target price of Rs250/share is based on a $50 \%$ discount to our March 2009-based NAV of Rs502/share. We have a discount to $50 \%$ on account of (1) high leverage of 1.9 X as of June 2008 compared to 0.7 X as of March 2007 in a tight liquidity environment, (2) cost pressures in contractual business, (3) lower capital efficiency ratios, (4) possibility of further disappointment in sale volumes and (5) decreasing affordability in Bangalore. We would revisit our assumptions post company's presentation and conference call.

## Sobha Developers :2QFY2009 results

| (in Rs mn) | 2QFY2008 | 1QFY2009 | 2QFY2009 | \% change |  | Kotak estimates |  | FY08A | FY09E | $\frac{\text { Change (\%) }}{\text { FY09/FY08 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | q09 | yoy | 2QFY09 | deviation |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 3,309 | 3,481 | 3,002 | (13.8) | (9.3) | 3,785 | (20.7) | 14,226 | 17,769 | 24.9 |
| Operating costs | $(2,429)$ | $(2,452)$ | $(2,066)$ | (15.7) | (14.9) | $(2,679)$ | (22.9) | $(10,689)$ | $(13,533)$ | 26.6 |
| (Increase)/Decrease intock in inventories | 1,840 | 514 | 237 | (53.9) | (87.1) |  |  | 4,063 |  |  |
| Land cost expenses | $(1,561)$ | (748) | (53) | (92.9) | (96.6) |  |  | $(3,900)$ | $(1,226)$ |  |
| Construction expenses \& raw materials | $(2,065)$ | $(1,370)$ | $(1,633)$ | 19.2 | (20.9) |  |  | $(7,887)$ | $(9,082)$ |  |
| Staff cost | (247) | (331) | (188) | (43.2) | (23.9) |  |  | $(1,025)$ | $(1,181)$ |  |
| Other administrative expenses | (396) | (517) | (429) | (17.0) | 8.3 |  |  | $(1,940)$ | $(2,043)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA | 880 | 1,029 | 936 | (9.0) | 6.4 | 1,105 | (15.3) | 3,537 | 4,237 | 19.8 |
| Other income | 21 | 7 | 19 | 171.4 | (9.5) | 12 |  | 119 | 62 | (48.0) |
| Interest costs | (133) | (267) | (285) | 6.7 | 114.3 | (281) |  | (597) | $(1,040)$ | 74.2 |
| Depreciation | (88) | (89) | (89) | 0.0 | 1.1 | (102) |  | (350) | (407) | 16.2 |
| PBT | 680 | 680 | 581 | (14.6) | (14.6) | 735 |  | 2,709 | 2,852 | 5.3 |
| Taxes | (118) | (175) | (91) | (48.0) | (22.9) | (164) |  | (426) | (608) | 42.6 |
| PAT | 562 | 505 | 490 | (3.0) | (12.8) | 571 | (14.2) | 2,283 | 2,244 | (1.7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Key ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA margin (\%) | 26.6 | 29.6 | 31.2 |  |  | 29.2 |  | 24.9 | 23.8 |  |
| PAT margin (\%) | 17.0 | 14.5 | 16.3 |  |  | 15.1 |  | 16.0 | 12.6 |  |
| Effective tax rate (\%) | 17.4 | 25.7 | 15.7 |  |  | 22.3 |  | 15.7 | 21.3 |  |

Source: Company data, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

EBITDA margins have been volatile


[^5]
## We estimate March 2009-based NAV at Rs502/share

|  | March '09 based NAV <br> Growth rate in selling prices |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |
| Valuation of land reserves | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ |
| Residential projects | $\mathbf{2 8 . 2}$ | 34.1 | 38.4 | 50.3 |
| Commercial projects | $(1.9)$ | 2.9 | 6.4 | 16.9 |
| Retail projects | $(0.2)$ | 2.2 | 3.9 | 8.8 |
| Less: Land cost to be paid | $(7)$ | $(7)$ | $(7)$ | $(7)$ |
| Less: Net debt | $(19)$ | $(19)$ | $\mathbf{( 1 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 9 )}$ |
| Add: Contractual business | 5 | 5 | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Add: Balance 60 mn sq. ft (at avg. cost of Rs150/sq. ft$)$ | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| NAV | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ |
| Total no. of shares (mn) |  |  |  | $\mathbf{7 3}$ |
| NAV/share |  |  |  | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ |
| Target price @50\% discount to NAV |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

| Property |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| UNTE.BO, Rs51 |  |
| Rating | REDUCE |
| Sector coverage view | Neutral |
| Target Price (Rs) | 190 |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) | $547-27$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 82.1 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 2009E | 2010E |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 43.7 | 55.1 | 72.1 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 15.7 | 19.5 | 24.4 |
| EPS (Rs) | 9.7 | 12.0 | 15.0 |
| EPS gth | 20.3 | 24.5 | 24.7 |
| P/E (x) | 5.2 | 4.2 | 3.4 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 5.6 | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| Div yield (\%) | 2.0 | 4.0 | 7.9 |

## Shareholding, June 2008

|  | $\%$ of <br> Pattern |  | Over/(under) <br> weight |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promoters | 74.6 | - | - |
| FIls | 5.4 | 0.2 | $(0.6)$ |
| MFs | 0.5 | 0.1 | $(0.7)$ |
| UTI | - | - | $(0.8)$ |
| LIC | 1.3 | 0.3 | $(0.5)$ |

Unitech: Telenor to acquire $60 \%$ stake in Unitech Wireless; will revisit valuations post quarterly results

Puneet Jain : puneet.j@kotak.com, +91-22-6634 1255
Kawaljeet Saluja : kawaljeet.saluja@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1243
Sandeep Reddy : sandeep.reddy@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1216

- Telenor to invest Rs61.2 bn for a $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ stake in Unitech Wireless
- Telenor management indicated that Unitech Wireless would incur a capex of US\$2 bn for the first 3 years
- Unitech management indicated it would get back shareholder's loan of Rs7.8 bn from Unitech Wireless; we would revisit our assumptions post the quarterly results

Telenor will invest Rs61.2 bn for a 60\% stake in Unitech Wireless, the telecom subsidiary of Unitech, subject to regulatory approvals. Unitech Wireless has pan-Indian telecommunications licenses in all 22 telecom circles, and plans to launch its services by mid-2009. Telenor is a US\$8.5 bn market cap. company with CY2008E revenues of US $\$ 13.6$ bn and EBITDA of US $\$ 4.2$ bn. Our telecom analyst sees a tough environment for the new operators and believes intensified competition will likely lead to further deterioration in industry dynamics. Unitech had invested Rs1.4 bn as paid-up capital and Rs7.8 bn as shareholder's loan in Unitech Wireless. Unitech management has indicated that shareholder's loan given to Unitech Wireless would flow back to Unitech. We would revisit our valuations/rating post the 2QFY09 results today.

## Key highlights of the deal

Norwegian telecom operator, Telenor, has entered into a definitive agreement to subscribe to new shares in Unitech Wireless for Rs61.2 bn to acquire a 60\% stake in Unitech Wireless. The transaction values (pre-money) Unitech Wireless at an EV of US $\$ 1.1 \mathrm{bn}$ and equity value of US $\$ 860 \mathrm{mn}$ (Rs26/share of Unitech). Implied equity valuation of Rs26/share for Unitech is in line with our estimates. Unitech Wireless is a greenfield telecom operator, which has pan-Indian Unified Access Services (UAS) licenses in all the 22 telecom circles (see Exhibit 1).

The initial equity injection in Unitech Wireless will be made in four tranches to be completed before the end of September 2009. Telenor will invest US $\$ 250 \mathrm{mn}$ in the first tranche of equity upon closing of the deal which is expected by end-2008 post all regulatory approvals. Telenor intends to secure funding by raising approximately NOK12 bn (US\$1.7 bn) through a rights issue in 1QCY09. We highlight that the Norway government holds $53 \%$ stake in Telenor and hence the Norwegian government will have to put forward a parliamentary proposition to participate for its pro-rata share of the rights issue.

## Business case for Telenor-highlights from conference call, views from our telecom analyst

Telenor is a Norwegian telecom operator with more than 150 mn mobile subscribers worldwide and has mobile operations in Norway, Denmark, Sweden, Ukraine, Hungary, Montenegro, Thailand, Malaysia, Bangladesh and Pakistan. Telenor management indicated that it intends to have a mix of telecom markets that are in different stages of evolution-cashflow generation, revenue generation and subscriber growth. Indian market will contribute to subscriber growth and will slowly move up the hierarchy over the next five years (see Exhibit 2).

## Key highlights from Telenor presentation

- Unitech Wireless plans to launch its services in mid-CY2009. The company would lease passive infrastructure from third-party providers.
- Telenor indicated it would incur a capex of US\$2 bn for the first three years. Assuming very little capex on passive infrastructure, we believe Unitech Wireless can create capacity for 35 mn subs with US $\$ 2$ bn capex.
- We find the EBITDA break-even target of three years from the date of launch aggressive. We highlight that Idea has struggled to achieve EBITDA break-even in circles in which it launched operations two years back and without sharing passive infrastructure (rentals get included above the EBITDA line instead of depreciation). Higher penetration, increased competition and poor quality of incremental subs will make this assumption challenging.
- The management also indicated it would focus on 2G services and does not intend to participate in the forthcoming 3G auction process.
- The board of Unitech Wireless would be restructured with four members from Telenor and three from Unitech.
- Long-term ambition-8\% subscriber market share, 30\% EBITDA margins and 20\% operating cash flow margin

Views from our telecom analyst. We continue to believe that the business case for new players is extremely weak. We expect incumbents like Bharti to respond aggressively through tariff cuts. Exhibit 3 gives our estimate of the minimum RPM required by a new operator to earn its cost of capital—note that we make some generous assumptions in computing this number (capex per sub of US\$60 effectively giving a new operator the benefit of scale from day one, high MOUs of 500/sub/ month, etc.). Even with this set of assumptions, we believe that a new operator will need an RPM of Rs0.67/min to break even (earn an ROIC of 15\%, our assumed WACC for a new player). We highlight that Bharti reported an RPM of Rs 0.66 for 1QFY09. We therefore do not see a new operator creating value by competing on price/tariffs to gain subs market share. In addition, we highlight that even some of the existing operators have found break-even difficult. Idea has struggled to achieve its stated break-even targets in the three new circles it entered in 2006; even TTSL has not been able to break even at the net income level several years after launching nation-wide operations.
...however, new players can (and likely will) spoil the party further for incumbents. We do not see a viable business case for a majority of the likely new entrants but their entry will deteriorate the industry dynamics further. We emphasize again that the new players need not be successful to be disruptive. The price-sensitivity of the Indian wireless customer will likely lead to further tariff competition once the new players roll out. Price competition could come in the form of introduction of attractive bucket plans (unlimited on-net calls, and fixed off-net minutes for a fixed commitment) at low price-points as new operators look to leverage their empty network to gain subscribers. We, therefore, continue to remain cautious on our as well as street's ARPU/ EPM estimates for the telecom players.

## Unitech to benefit from return of Rs7.8 bn; revisit rating and target price post results

Unitech had invested Rs1.4 bn as paid-up capital and Rs7.8 bn as shareholder's loan in Unitech Wireless. Unitech management has indicated that the shareholder's loan given to Unitech Wireless would flow back to Unitech and we believe this would improve the leverage position of Unitech. We would revisit our target price and rating for Unitech post the 2QFY09 earnings announcement today.

| Details of LOIs issued by DOT to various players |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unitech | Shyam Telelink | Datacom | Loop Telecom <br> (a) | S Tel | Idea Cellular | Swan Telecom | TTSL | Total | Existing \# of players (b) | Potential total number of players (c) | Status of spectrum for Unitech |
| Metro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calcutta | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Existing | - | 6 | 6 | Awaited |
| Chennai | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Existing | - | 6 | 6 | Received |
| Delhi | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | Existing | $\checkmark$ | Existing | 1 | 6 | 7 | Awaited |
| Mumbai | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Existing |  | Spectrum | $\checkmark$ | Existing | - | 7 | 7 | Received |
| Circle A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | Existing | $\checkmark$ | Existing | 1 | 6 | 7 | Received |
| Gujarat | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | Existing | $\checkmark$ | Existing | - | 6 | 6 | Received |
| Karnataka | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Existing | - | 6 | 6 | Received |
| Maharashtra | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | Existing | $\checkmark$ | Existing | 1 | 6 | 7 | Received |
| Tamil Nadu | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Existing | - | 6 | 6 | Received |
| Circle B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haryana | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | Existing | $\checkmark$ | Existing | 1 | 6 | 7 | Awaited |
| Kerala | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | Existing | $\checkmark$ | Existing | - | 6 | 6 | Received |
| Madhya Pradesh | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | Existing |  | Existing | - | 6 | 6 | Received |
| Punjab | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Existing | - | 7 | 7 | Received |
| Rajasthan | $\checkmark$ | Existing | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | Existing | $\checkmark$ | Existing | - | 7 | 7 | Awaited |
| Uttar Pradesh (east) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | Existing | $\checkmark$ | Existing | - | 6 | 6 | Received |
| Uttar Pradesh (west) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | Existing | $\checkmark$ | Existing | - | 6 | 6 | Received |
| West Bengal and A\&N islands | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Existing | - | 7 | 7 | Awaited |
| Circle C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assam | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | - | 4 | 4 | Awaited |
| Bihar | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Spectrum |  | Existing | - | 7 | 7 | Received |
| Himachal Pradesh | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Existing |  | Existing | - | 7 | 7 | Awaited |
| North East | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | - | 4 | 4 | Awaited |
| Orissa | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | Existing | - | 6 | 6 | Received |
| J\&K | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | - | 3 | 3 | Awaited |
| Total \# of LOIs - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Current presence + already | - | 1 |  | 1 | - | 13 | - | 20 |  |  |  |  |

Note
(a) Earlier Shippingstop.com, a part of the Essar group, per press reports.
(b) Treating RCOM GSM and CDMA as separate players.
(c) Factoring in only the LOIs issued thus far.

Source: DoT, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Telenor's business model-country portfolio

|  | 1998 |  | 2004 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flow generation |  | Norway |  | Norway | Ukraine Serbia | Norway <br> Hungary <br> Malaysia |
| Revenue generation |  |  | Ukraine | Hungary | Thailand <br> Bangladesh |  |
| Subscriber growth | Ukraine <br> Bangladesh | Hungary | Thailand <br> Bangladesh | Malaysia <br> Pakistan | India | Pakistan |

Source: Company

Scale efficiencies critical to generate returns in the Indian wireless space

| Item |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capex per subscriber (US\$) | 60 | Only active capex; assuming passive infra leasing model |
| Target ROIC (\%) | 15 | Close to a new operator's cost of capital |
| Target EBIT*(1-tax rate) (US\$) | 9.0 |  |
| Tax rate (\%) | 20.0 |  |
| Target EBIT (US\$) | 11.3 |  |
| Depreciation (US\$) | 6.0 | Assuming depreciation over 10 years |
| Target EBITDA (US\$) | 17.3 |  |
| Target EBITDA/month (US\$) | 1.4 |  |
| Target EBITDA/month (Rs) | 61.8 |  |
| Assumed MOU (min/month) | 500 | Bharti's MOU for 1QFY09-534 |
| Target EBITDA per min (Rs) | 0.12 |  |
| Bharti's cost per min 1QFY09 (Rs/min) | 0.44 |  |
| Minimum RPM required (Rs/min) | 0.57 | Assuming a new operator' CPM same as Bharti's |
| Realistic RPM required (Rs/min) | 0.66 | Assuming a new operator' CPM 20\% higher than Bharti |
| Bhartis RPM 1QFY09 (Rs/min) | 0.66 |  |
| Bharti's incremental RPM 1QFY09 (Rs/min) | 0.31 |  |
| Idea's incremental RPM 1QFY09 (Rs/min) | 0.36 |  |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities

Wireless operators in India

(a) Shyam Group is left with the CDMA mobile business in Rajasthan after it sold off the GSM business to Bharti in May 2004

Source: COAI, AUSPI, Compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities.
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Indian telecom companies valuation analysis, March fiscal year-ends, 2006-2010E


|  | Net income (Rs bn) |  |  |  |  | EPS (Rs) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E |
| Bharti | 20 | 41 | 66 | 88 | 107 | 10.7 | 21.4 | 35.3 | 47.0 | 57.0 |
| Idea | 2 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| MTNL | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 7.0 |
| RCOM | 5 | 31 | 54 | 62 | 75 | 2.2 | 14.2 | 25.0 | 28.6 | 34.8 |
| TCOM | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 18.6 | 17.2 | 10.9 | 13.6 | 14.0 |

Source: Bloomberg, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Summary financials of Telenor, December fiscal year-ends, 2005-09E, US\$ mn

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 E}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | 15,018 | 16,808 | 13,636 | 14,153 |
| EBITDA | 5,421 | 5,311 | 4,212 | 4,456 |
| EBIT | 3,046 | 2,924 | 2,263 | 2,444 |
| Net income | 2,281 | 2,640 | 2,183 | 2,194 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Net debt | 6,945 | 7,200 | 5,767 | 5,502 |
| Market cap | 8,461 |  |  |  |

Source: Bloomberg

## Our March 2009-based NAV for Unitech is Rs190/share

|  | March '09 based NAV <br> Growth rate in selling prices per annum |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0\% | 3\% | 10\% |
| Valuation of land reserves | 233.8 | 286.9 | 440.2 |
| Residential projects | 117 | 153 | 261 |
| Commercial projects | 61 | 68 | 86 |
| Retail projects | 55 | 65 | 93 |
| Add: Hotel business | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Add: Construction business | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Add: Investments as on March 31, 2008 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Add: Consultancy fees received from Unitech Corporate Parks, JVs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Less: Customer advances | (20) | (20) | (20) |
| Less: Net debt as on March 31, 2008 | (55) | (55) | (55) |
| Less: Land cost to be paid as on March 31, 2008 | (30) | (30) | (30) |
| NAV (Rs bn) | 178 | 231 | 385 |
| NAV/share (Rs) | 110 | 143 | 237 |
| Option value for telecom business of Rs25/share | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Total no. of shares (mn) |  |  | 1,624 |
| Valuation/share (Rs) |  |  | 192 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.
Kotak Institutional Equities：Valuation Summary of Key Indian Companies
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Kotak Institutional Equities: Valuation Summary of Key Indian Companies

| Company | $\frac{29-O c t-08}{\text { Price(Rs) }}$ | Rating | Mkt cap. |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 5 \\ \text { shares } \\ (m n) \end{gathered}$ | EPS (Rs) |  |  | EPS growth (\%) |  |  | PER ( X ) |  |  | ev/ebitda ( X ) |  |  | Price/BV ( X ) |  |  | Dividend yield (\%) |  |  | RoE (\%) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & \text { price } \end{aligned}$ | Upside | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADVT- } \\ & 3 \mathrm{mo} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bharat Petroleum | 307 | Reduce | 100,756 | 2,035 |  | 328 | 39.8 | 40.7 | 38.3 | (24.0) | 2.1 | (5.9) | 7.7 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 11.4 | 10.4 | 8.9 | 360 | 17.1 | 7.1 |
| Cairn india | 115 | BUY | 214,719 | 4,337 | 1,868 | (0.1) | 3.9 | 16.7 | (105) | $(3,332)$ | 334 | (964) | 30 | 6.9 | 26.9 | 14.6 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | - | - | (0.1) | 2.3 | 9.1 | 240 | 108.8 | 20.4 |
| Castrol India (a) | 285 | ADD | 35,256 | 712 | 124 | 20.1 | 23.6 | 24.1 | 64.6 | 17.5 | 2.1 | 14.2 | 12.1 | 11.8 | 8.1 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 59.5 | 68.5 | 65.2 | 350 | 22.7 | 0.5 |
| GAIL (India) | 208 | Reduce | 263,526 | 5,323 | 1,268 | 20.4 | 27.5 | 27.9 | 21.0 | 35.1 | 1.4 | 10.2 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 18.1 | 21.4 | 18.5 | 240 | 15.5 | 15.1 |
| GSPL | 30 | BUY | 16,912 | 342 | 563 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 10.1 | 47.6 | 37.7 | 16.7 | 11.3 | 8.2 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 8.8 | 11.5 | 14.2 | 60 | 99.7 | 2.2 |
| Hindustan Petroleum | 192 | Reduce | 64,977 | 1,313 | 339 | 33.5 | 26.1 | 33.4 | (16.4) | (21.9) | 27.7 | 5.7 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 9.6 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 260 | 35.6 | 5.9 |
| Indian Oil Corporation | 347 | Reduce | 409,460 | 8,271 | 1,179 | 60.5 | 40.9 | 61.8 | 29.2 | (32.5) | 51.2 | 5.7 | 8.5 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 17.2 | 10.1 | 13.5 | 500 | 44.0 | 3.9 |
| Oil \& Natural Gas Corporation | 648 | BUY | 1,386,747 | 28,012 | 2,139 | 92.7 | 129.8 | 141.7 | 9.1 | 40.0 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 19.6 | 24.0 | 22.3 | 1,125 | 73.5 | 48.3 |
| Petronet LNG | 35 | ADD | 26,025 | 526 | 750 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 7.1 | - | (5.7) | 18.7 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 26.7 | 20.6 | 20.3 | 60 | 72.9 | 1.4 |
| Reliance Industries | 1,202 | ADD | 1,579,568 | 31,907 | 1,314 | 101.7 | 99.7 | 150.6 | 23.0 | (2.0) | 51.0 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 18.5 | 14.7 | 19.1 | 1,325 | 10.3 | 231.8 |
| Reliance Petroleum | 81 | REDUCE | 362,700 | 7,327 | 4,500 | (1.1) | 2.4 | 17.0 | n/a | n/a | 596.6 | n/a | 33.0 | 4.7 | n/a | 18.9 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.7 | - | - | 2.5 | (3.5) | 7.8 | 42.9 | 100 | 24.1 | 50.4 |
| Energy |  | Neutral | 4,460,646 | 90,105 |  |  |  |  | 11.1 | 20.6 | 40.9 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 18.0 |  |  |  |
| Industrials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABB | 544 | Reduce | 115,236 | 2,328 | 212 | 23.2 | 25.9 | 31.1 | 44.5 | 11.5 | 20.2 | 23.4 | 21.0 | 17.5 | 13.6 | 12.0 | 9.7 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 34.8 | 29.5 | 27.8 | 550 | 1.1 | 8.1 |
| BGR Energy Systems | 152 | REDUCE | 10,926 | 221 | 72 | 12.3 | 18.3 | 23.2 | (67.1) | 49.5 | 26.7 | 12.4 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 30.1 | 23.5 | 24.1 | 325 | 114.2 | 0.8 |
| Bharat Electronics | 566 | ADD | 45,308 | 915 | 80 | 102.0 | 105.5 | 111.8 | 11.2 | 3.4 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 27.7 | 23.1 | 20.8 | 950 | 67.7 | 1.4 |
| Bharat Heary Electricals | 1,176 | BUY | 575,847 | 11,632 | 490 | 58.4 | 72.2 | 98.0 | 22.9 | 23.7 | 35.6 | 20.1 | 16.3 | 12.0 | 10.3 | 8.0 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 31.5 | 1,475 | 25.4 | 75.3 |
| Larsen \& Toubro | 760 | BUY | 450,333 | 9,097 | 593 | 37.9 | 54.3 | 68.9 | 20.8 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 20.0 | 14.0 | 11.0 | 13.5 | 8.8 | 7.2 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 22.5 | 1,225 | 61.2 | 99.2 |
| Maharashtra Seamless | 150 | BUY | 10,587 | 214 | 71 | 29.4 | 37.4 | 37.9 | (23.5) | 27.6 | 1.2 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 19.7 | 21.1 | 18.0 | 250 | 66.6 | 0.8 |
| Siemens | 257 | REDUCE | 86,515 | 1,748 | 337 | 18.2 | 18.8 | 25.9 | 60.4 | 3.1 | 38.0 | 14.1 | 13.7 | 9.9 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 39.9 | 30.2 | 32.0 | 570 | 122.1 | 6.3 |
| Suzlon Energy | 46 | BUY | 72,315 | 1,461 | 1,567 | 6.6 | 10.9 | 16.1 | 9.5 | 65.9 | 47.8 | 7.0 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 16.3 | 17.0 | 20.5 | 225 | 387.5 | 37.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IRB Infrastructure | 85 | BUY | 28,184 | 569 | 332 | 3.4 | 5.8 | 12.5 | 150.9 | 68.9 | 116.3 | 24.7 | 14.6 | 6.8 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 4.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | - | - | - | 10.7 | 10.9 | 19.6 | 145 | 71.0 | 0.5 |
| Media |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DishTV | 14 | REDUCE | 8,985 | 182 | 644 | (9.6) | (7.3) | (3.9) | n/a | (23.9) | (47.3) | (1.4) | (1.9) | (3.6) | (6.1) | (3.4) | (14.9) | (2.0) | 4.2 | (5.9) | - | - | - | 167.9 | 396.7 | \#\#\#\# | 18 | 29.0 | 3.9 |
| HT Media | 74 | BUY | 17,311 | 350 | 234 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 6.0 | 4.7 | (28.8) | 94.1 | 17.1 | 24.0 | 12.4 | 9.5 | 10.6 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 12.2 | 8.1 | 14.4 | 130 | 75.9 | 0.2 |
| Jagran Prakashan | 51 | BUY | 15,405 | 311 | 301 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 33.5 | (2.8) | 45.2 | 15.7 | 16.2 | 11.1 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 18.7 | 17.2 | 23.2 | 84 | 64.2 | 0.3 |
| Sun TV Network | 135 | BUY | 53,240 | 1,075 | 394 | 8.3 | 9.4 | 11.1 | 30.7 | 13.1 | 18.9 | 16.3 | 14.4 | 12.1 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 24.8 | 23.8 | 25.0 | 205 | 51.7 | 0.9 |
| Zee Entertainment Enterprises | 140 | BUY | 60,678 | 1,226 | 434 | 8.9 | 9.7 | 12.0 | 62.6 | 9.1 | 24.0 | 15.8 | 14.5 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 9.6 | 7.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 15.3 | 205 | 46.5 | 6.3 |
| Media |  | Attractive | 155,619 | 3,144 |  |  |  |  | 24.0 | (4.3) | 83.0 | 31.2 | 32.6 | 17.8 | 12.4 | 10.7 | 7.6 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 12.4 |  |  |  |
| Metals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindalko Industries | 53 | SELL | 93,151 | 1,882 | 1,753 | 13.8 | 8.9 | 7.0 | (10.0) | (35.7) | (21.2) | 3.9 | 6.0 | 7.6 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 14.4 | 10.0 | 6.7 | 65 | 22.3 | 12.2 |
| National Aluminium Co. | 147 | Reduce | 94,907 | 1,917 | 644 | 25.2 | 30.3 | 33.5 | (31.8) | 20.0 | 10.6 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 18.4 | 19.4 | 18.7 | 370 | 151.2 | 4.7 |
| Jindal Steel and Power | 690 | BUY | 106,295 | 2,147 | 154 | 91.1 | 126.1 | 125.9 | 101.5 | 38.4 | (0.1) | 7.6 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 43.8 | 37.3 | 27.3 | 1.800 | 160.7 | 21.4 |
| JSW Steel | 230 | ADD | 42,655 | 862 | 186 | 92.0 | 103.1 | 146.8 | 35.7 | 12.0 | 42.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 21.2 | 18.1 | 21.0 | 1.040 | 353.0 | 11.9 |
| Hindustan Zinc | 319 | ADD | 134,724 | 2,721 | 423 | 104.0 | 77.2 | 55.9 | (1.0) | (25.8) | (27.6) | 3.1 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 43.6 | 23.5 | 14.3 | 400 | 25.5 | 2.4 |
| Sesa Goa | 81 | ADD | 63,570 | 1,284 | 787 | 18.9 | 22.0 | 13.3 | 145.9 | 16.3 | (39.8) | 4.3 | 3.7 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 67.7 | 50.5 | 24.4 | 100 | 23.8 | 29.0 |
| Sterite industries | 245 | BUY | 173,546 | 3,506 | 708 | 64.3 | 45.7 | 38.1 | (22.6) | (28.9) | (16.7) | 3.8 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | - | - | - | 26.1 | 13.3 | 10.3 | 415 | 69.4 | 33.5 |
| Tata Steel | 187 | ADD | 153,589 | 3,102 | 822 | 75.7 | 89.7 | 74.6 | 43.8 | 18.5 | (16.9) | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 46.3 | 29.9 | 22.6 | 285 | 52.5 | 55.9 |
| Metals |  | Cautious | 862,436 | 17,421 |  |  |  |  | 13.2 | (3.6) | (11.7) | 3.6 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 19.9 | 16.0 | 12.6 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bicoon | 93 | BuY | 18,580 | 375 | 200 | 23.3 | 9.5 | 16.4 | 126.0 | (59.0) | 71.7 | 4.0 | 9.7 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 17.6 | 15.7 | 19.6 | 260 | 179.9 | 0.7 |
| Cipla | 168 | REDUCE | 130,935 | 2,645 | 777 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 12.7 | 4.9 | 13.8 | 23.3 | 18.7 | 16.4 | 13.3 | 13.8 | 12.2 | 10.2 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 20.1 | 19.7 | 21.0 | 220 | 30.6 | 7.3 |
| Dishman Pharma \& chemicals | 138 | BuY | 11,248 | 227 | 81 | 14.7 | 15.4 | 28.1 | 30.5 | 4.6 | 82.8 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 4.9 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 5.1 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.8 | 19.9 | 29.0 | 400 | 189.2 | 0.4 |
| Divi's Laboratories | 975 | BUY | 62,939 | 1,271 | 65 | 53.2 | 80.1 | 101.4 | 85.8 | 50.5 | 26.7 | 18.3 | 12.2 | 9.6 | 14.9 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 49.8 | 47.4 | 40.0 | 1,980 | 103.1 | 4.5 |
| Dr Reddy's Laboratories | 416 | BuY | 70,417 | 1,422 | 169 | 26.1 | 26.4 | 36.6 | (57.2) | 1.2 | 38.7 | 16.0 | 15.8 | 11.4 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 10.3 | 9.5 | 12.0 | 675 | 62.2 | 6.0 |
| Glenmark Pharmaceuticals | 258 | BUY | 68,604 | 1,386 | 266 | 25.8 | 36.5 | 44.3 | 98.4 | 41.3 | 21.2 | 10.0 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 9.1 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 57.4 | 44.1 | 35.5 | 725 | 181.0 | 6.5 |
| Jubilant Organosys | 162 | BuY | 29,373 | 593 | 181 | 22.1 | 11.0 | 29.6 | 69.9 | (50.4) | 170.2 | 7.3 | 14.8 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 11.9 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 37.0 | 14.9 | 32.2 | 475 | 193.0 | 0.3 |
| Lupin | 665 | BUY | 58,872 | 1,189 | 89 | 49.8 | 48.9 | 59.7 | 30.2 | (1.9) | 22.2 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 11.1 | 15.6 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 37.9 | 28.4 | 24.2 | 950 | 42.9 | 2.9 |
| Priamal Healthcare | 210 | BUY | 43,932 | 887 | 209 | 17.7 | 18.3 | 25.9 | 66.8 | 3.3 | 41.1 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 30.9 | 30.0 | 34.1 | 410 | 95.1 | 1.3 |
| Ranbaxy Laboratories | 171 | REDUCE | 71,556 | 1,445 | 419 | 23.3 | 9.8 | 15.5 | 70.4 | (58.1) | 58.7 | 7.3 | 17.5 | 11.0 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 7.8 | 29.8 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 420 | 146.0 | 34.9 |
| Sun Pharmaceuticals | 1,136 | BUY | 235,191 | 4,751 | 207 | 74.7 | 90.9 | 86.4 | 78.9 | 21.7 | (5.0) | 15.2 | 12.5 | 13.2 | 12.7 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 38.3 | 32.3 | 24.2 | 1,870 | 64.7 | 22.6 |
| Pharmaceuticals |  | Attractive | 801,647 | 16,193 |  |  |  |  | 34.1 | 6.3 | 27.7 | 13.4 | 12.6 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 8.7 | 6.6 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 24.5 | 18.9 | 18.4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DLF | 203 | BUY | 345,332 | 6,976 | 1,705 | 43.8 | 49.8 | 55.1 | 24.6 | 13.9 | 10.5 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 63.2 | 36.6 | 30.8 | 660 | 225.8 | 62.3 |
| Housing Development \& Infrastruc | 149 | BUY | 41,062 | 829 | 275 | 51.2 | 56.6 | 63.6 | 118.6 | 10.6 | 12.3 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 64.5 | 36.3 | 30.7 | 610 | 309.3 | 41.1 |
| Indiabulls Real Estate | 124 | BUY | 33,779 | 682 | 273 | 16.4 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 2,383.9 | (58.6) | 10.4 | 7.5 | 18.2 | 16.5 | $(1,061.6)$ | 12.0 | 8.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 275 | 122.0 | 34.7 |
| NR Prime Urban Developers | 37 | BuY | 2,399 | 48 | 64 | 27.0 | 15.5 | 17.1 | 552.0 | (42.6) | 10.8 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 10.7 | 13.4 | 18.7 | 31.9 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 360 | 862.6 | 0.1 |
| Mahindra Life Space Developer | 189 | BUY | 7,942 | 160 | 42 | 16.8 | 13.1 | 17.1 | 307.7 | (22.2) | 30.9 | 11.2 | 14.4 | 11.0 | 20.5 | 14.2 | 7.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 8.4 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 500 | 164.8 | 0.4 |
| Phoenix Mils | 51 | BUY | 7,344 | 148 | 145 | 12.4 | 5.5 | 12.0 | 89.5 | (55.9) | 119.9 | 4.1 | 9.3 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 7.7 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | - | - | - | 22.1 | 5.4 | 10.9 | 280 | 452.3 | 0.8 |
| Puravankara Projects | 51 | REDUCE | 10,917 | 221 | 213 | 11.3 | 14.0 | 16.7 | 67.4 | 24.8 | 19.1 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 7.8 | 11.7 | 32.9 | 22.4 | 23.1 | 220 | 330.1 | 0.4 |
| Sobha | 109 | REDUCE | 7,975 | 161 | 73 | 31.7 | 30.2 | 33.2 | 42.9 | (4.7) | 9.8 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 6.9 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 5.9 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 25.3 | 20.0 | 18.6 | 250 | 128.5 | 0.9 |
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Kotak Institutional Equities Research coverage universe
Distribution of ratings/investment banking relationships


Percentage of companies covered by Kotak Institutional Equities, within the specified category.

Percentage of companies within each category for which Kotak Institutional Equities and or its affiliates has provided investment banking services within the previous 12 months.

* The above categories are defined as follows: Buy = OP; Hold $=\mathrm{IL}$; Sell $=\mathrm{U}$. Buy, Hold and Sell are not defined Kotak Institutional Equities ratings and should not be constructed as investment opinions. Rather, these ratings are used illustratively to comply with applicable regulations. As of 30/09/2008 Kotak Institutional Equities Investment Research had investment ratings on 143 equity securities.

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities

## Ratings and other definitions/identifiers

Rating system
Definitions of ratings
BUY. We expect this stock to outperform the BSE Sensex by $10 \%$ over the next 12 months.
ADD. We expect this stock to outperform the BSE Sensex by $0-10 \%$ over the next 12 months.
REDUCE: We expect this stock to underperform the BSE Sensex by $0-10 \%$ over the next 12 months.
SELL: We expect this stock to underperform the BSE Sensexby more than $10 \%$ over the next 12 months.

Our target price are also on 12-month horizon basis.
Other definitions
Coverage view. The coverage view represents each analyst's overall fundamental outlook on the Sector. The coverage view will consist of one of the following designations: Attractive (A), Neutral (N), Cautious (C).

Other ratings/identifiers
NR = Not Rated. The investment rating and target price, if any, have been suspended temporarily. Such suspension is in compliance with applicable regulation(s) and/or Kotak Securities policies in circumstances when Kotak Securities or its affiliates is acting in an advisory capacity in a merger or strategic transaction involving this company and in certain other circumstances.
CS = Coverage Suspended. Kotak Securities has suspended coverage of this company.
NC = Not Covered. Kotak Securities does not cover this company.
$\mathbf{R S}=$ Rating Suspended. Kotak Securities Research has suspended the investment rating and price target, if any, for this stock, because there is not a sufficient fundamental basis for determining an investment rating or target. The previous investment rating and price target, if any, are no longer in effect for this stock and should not be relied upon.
NA = Not Available or Not Applicable. The information is not available for display or is not applicable.
$\mathbf{N M}=$ Not Meaningful. The information is not meaningful and is therefore excluded.

## Corporate Office Kotak Securities Ltd.

Bakhtawar, 1st Floor
229, Nariman Point
Mumbai 400 021, India
Tel: +91-22-6634-1100

## Overseas Offices

Kotak Mahindra (UK) Ltd.
6th Floor, Portsoken House
155-157 The Minories
London EC 3N 1 LS
Tel: +44-20-7977-6900 / 6940

## Kotak Mahindra Inc.

50 Main Street, Suite No. 310
Westchester Financial Centre
White Plains, New York 10606
Tel: +1-914-997-6120
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Kotak Securities Limited and its affiliates are a full-service, integrated investment banking, investment management, brokerage and financing group. We along with our affiliates are leading underwriter of securities and participants in virtually all securities trading markets in India. We and our affiliates have investment banking and other business relationships with a significant percentage of the companies covered by our Investment Research Department. Our research professionals provide important input into our investment banking and other business selection processes. Investors should assume that Kotak Securities Limited and/or its affiliates are seeking or will seek investment banking or other business from the company or companies that are the subject of this material and that the research professionals who were involved in preparing this material may participate in the solicitation of such business. Our research professionals are paid in part based on the profitability of Kotak Securities Limited, which include earnings from investment banking and other business. Kotak Securities Limited generally prohibits its analysts, persons reporting to analysts, and members of their households from maintaining a financial interest in the securities or derivatives of any companies that the analysts cover. Additionally, Kotak Securities Limited generally prohibits its analysts and persons reporting to analysts from serving as an officer, director, or advisory board member of any companies that the analysts cover. Our salespeople, traders, and other professionals may provide oral or written market commentary or trading strategies to our clients that reflect opinions that are contrary to the opinions expressed herein, and our proprietary trading and investing businesses may make investment decisions that are inconsistent with the recommendations expressed herein. In reviewing these materials, you should be aware that any or all of the foregoing, among other things, may give rise to real or potential conflicts of interest. Additionally, other important information regarding our relationships with the company or companies that are the subject of this material is provided herein.

This material should not be construed as an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security in any jurisdiction where such an offer or solicitation would be illegal. We are not soliciting any action based on this material. It is for the general information of clients of Kotak Securities Limited. It does not constitute a personal recommendation or take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situations, or needs of individual clients. Before acting on any advice or recommendation in this material, clients should consider whether it is suitable for their particular circumstances and, if necessary, seek professional advice. The price and value of the investments referred to in this material and the income from them may go down as well as up, and investors may realize losses on any investments. Past performance is not a guide for future performance, future returns are not guaranteed and a loss of original capital may occur. Kotak Securities Limited does not provide tax advise to its clients, and all investors are strongly advised to consult with their tax advisers regarding any potential investment.
Certain transactions -including those involving futures, options, and other derivatives as well as non-investment-grade securities - give rise to substantial risk and are not suitable for all investors. The material is based on information that we consider reliable, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete, and it should not be relied on as such. Opinions expressed are our current opinions as of the date appearing on this material only. We endeavor to update on a reasonable basis the information discussed in this material but regulatory, compliance, or other reasons may prevent us from doing so. We and our affiliates, officers, directors, and employees, including persons involved in the preparation or issuance of this material, may from time to time have "long" or "short" positions in, act as principal in, and buy or sell the securities or derivatives thereof of companies mentioned herein. For the purpose of calculating whether Kotak Securities Limited and its affiliates holds beneficially owns or controls, including the right to vote for directors, $1 \%$ of more of the equity shares of the subject issuer of a research report, the holdings does not include accounts managed by Kotak Mahindra Mutual Fund.Kotak Securities Limited and its non US affiliates may, to the extent permissible under applicable laws, have acted on or used this research to the extent that it relates to non US issuers, prior to or immediately following its publication. Foreign currency denominated securities are subject to fluctuations in exchange rates that could have an adverse effect on the value or price of or income derived from the investment. In addition, investors in securities such as ADRs, the value of which are influenced by foreign currencies affectively assume currency risk. In addition options involve risks and are not suitable for all investors. Please ensure that you have read and understood the current derivatives risk disclosure document before entering into any derivative transactions.
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