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## Strong topline growth overcome cost pressures

| Company Details |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Cap: Rs 223,879m <br> 52-Week High/ Low: Rs $2,908 / 1,462$  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Bloomberg Code: <br> Reuters Code: <br> Shares 0/s: <br> Average Volume (3 months): |  | SIM@IN |
|  | GR | / NS |
|  |  | 92m |
|  | me | 0.2m |
| Price Performance |  |  |
| (\%) 1m | 1 m 3 m | 12m |
| Absolute 17.9 | 17.9 (14.7) | 2.7 |
| Relative to the |  |  |
| Sensex 9.5 | 9.5 (14.3) | (16.4) |

## Result Snapshot

Grasim's Q4 FY07 results matched our expectations, with recurring earnings, at Rs 4.37 bn , up $69 \%$ yoy (our estimate, Rs 4.4 bn ). Sales rose $37 \%$ yoy to Rs 24.9 bn (our estimate, Rs 22.8 bn ), mainly driven by higher-than-expected volumes in VSF and sponge iron. Robust realisations in VSF, cement and sponge iron helped overcome cost pressures (power, freight, pulp, naphtha and propane), resulting in a 544-bp expansion in the overall EBITDA margin, to $27.8 \%$ While pulp prices are expected to be high, given the global supply tightness, we believe that strong VSF demand would aid in passing on cost pressures. However, with cement prices now under a freeze, the cement division is likely to post muted growth.

At a P/E of 10.8x FY08 consolidated earnings, valuations are inexpensive. However, with the lack of specific growth triggers in the cement divisionconstituting $52 \%$ (standalone) and $70 \%$ (consolidated) of sales-we feel the stock is fairly valued. We maintain an MARKET PERFORMER rating.

## Quarterly Table

| Y/e March | Q4 FY07 | Q4 FY06 | YoY Gr. (\%) | Q3 PY07 | PY06 | PY07E | YoY Gr. (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Sales | 24,938 | 18,151 | 37.4 | 22,794 | 66,226 | 84,537 | 27.6 |
| Raw Materials | 7,614 | 5,495 | 38.6 | 6,672 | 18,665 | 21,552 | 15.5 |
| Staff Costs | 1,121 | 1,038 | 8.0 | 1,113 | 4,067 | 4,596 | 13.0 |
| Power \& Fuel | 3,397 | 2,872 | 18.3 | 3,114 | 10,597 | 11,673 | 10.2 |
| Freight | 2,873 | 2,172 | 32.2 | 2,611 | 7,442 | 9,893 | 32.9 |
| Other Expenses | 2,991 | 2,509 | 19.2 | 2,624 | 11,188 | 12,770 | 14.1 |
| Total Expenditure | 17,995 | 14,086 | 27.8 | 16,133 | 51,959 | 60,484 | 16.4 |
| EBITDA | 6,942 | 4,065 | 70.8 | 6,661 | 14,267 | 24,052 | 68.6 |
| EBITDA Margin (\%) | 27.8 | 22.4 | 544.2bp | 29.2 | 21.5 | 28.5 | 690.9bp |
| Depreciation | 876 | 759 | 15.3 | 807 | 2,965 | 3,104 | 4.7 |
| Other Income | 776 | 575 | 34.9 | 444 | 1,563 | 1,809 | 15.8 |
| EBIT | 6,843 | 3,881 | 76.3 | 6,298 | 12,865 | 22,757 | 76.9 |
| Interest | 366 | 236 | 55.5 | 240 | 1,152 | 987 | (14.4) |
| PBT | 6,476 | 3,645 | 77.7 | 6,058 | 11,713 | 21,771 | 85.9 |
| Extraordinary Income/ (Expense) | 371 | 41 | 798.3 | - | 495 | - | - |
| PBT (After EO) | 6,847 | 3,687 | 85.7 | 6,058 | 12,208 | 21,771 | 78.3 |
| Tax | 2,102 | 1,059 | 98.5 | 1,942 | 3,572 | 6,792 | 90.1 |
| Tax Rate (\%) | 30.7 | 28.7 |  | 32.1 | 29.3 | 31.2 |  |
| Reported PAT | 4,745 | 2,627 | 80.6 | 4,116 | 8,635 | 14,978 | 73.5 |
| Adjusted PAT | 4,374 | 2,586 | 69.1 | 4,116 | 8,140 | 14,978 | 84.0 |

(Stock price as on April 25, 2007)

Segment-wise break-up

| Y/e March | Q4 FY07 | Q4 FY06 | YoY Gr. (\%) | Q3 FY07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VSF |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Sales ( t ) | 68,588 | 60,636 | 13.1 | 67,061 |
| Realisation (Rs/t) | 95,002 | 82,575 | 15.0 | 96,479 |
| EBITDA Margin (\%) | 30.8 | 31.3 |  | 34.5 |
| Grey Cement |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Sales (mt) | 3.9 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 3.7 |
| Realisation (Rs/t) | 3,210 | 2,323 | 38.2 | 3,160 |
| White Cement |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Sales ( t ) | 102,200 | 95,598 | 6.9 | 93,571 |
| Realisation (Rs/t) | 6,518 | 6,117 | 6.6 | 6,456 |
| Cement EBITDA Margin (\%) | 34.3 | 24.6 |  | 33.0 |
| Sponge Iron |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Sales ( t ) | 171,942 | 95,949 | 79.2 | 147,339 |
| Realisation (Rs/t) | 13,518 | 10,885 | 24 | 12,344 |
| EBITDA Margin (\%) | 15.5 | (4.6) |  | 12.8 |

## Result Highlights

## Cement division (52\% of sales)

Revenue from the cement division rose $40 \%$ yoy, fuelled by a $38 \%$ yoy improvement in average net realisations. However, volume growth, at $1.3 \%$ yoy, remained muted, constrained by installed capacity as the company has already been operating at $118 \%$ during the quarter. Higher cement prices helped overcome the rise in freight and fuel costs, significantly improving the EBITDA by $94 \%$ to Rs 4.7 bn and expanding the EBITDA margin by 970 bp to $34.3 \%$

## VSF division (27\% of sales)

Revenue in VSF was up $30.1 \%$ yoy, aided by both volumes and realisations. Higher VSF prices were fuelled partly by strong demand and by a rise in pulp prices, which grew $19 \%$ yoy. However qoq, prices declined by about $2 \%$ due to discounts and rebates along with a higher share of export volumes (which have lower realisations). Consequently, volume growth also rose by an impressive $13.1 \%$ yoy. Mounting pulp costs along with an increase in employee costs resulted in a 50-bp fall yoy in the EBITDA margin despite higher VSF prices.

## Sponge Iron division ( $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ of sales)

Revenue from the sponge iron division rose an impressive $117 \%$ chiefly due to the lower base. Sales volumes were up $79 \%$ yoy due to higher production and strong demand. While the restriction on gas supply continued, higher prices of sponge iron allowed the company to use more expensive substitutes (such as naphtha) to increase operating rates. Higher realisations (up $24 \%$ yoy) along with greater productivity helped better operating margins -- from $-4.6 \%$ to $15.5 \%$

## Valuations and Outlook

We expect VSF demand to remain robust from the shift of textile hubs to India, Pakistan and Bangladesh and a general preference for cellulose fibres. While pulp costs would remain a cause for concern, robust demand would allow the company to pass on the incremental costs to consumers. We expect VSF margins to be stable -at $30-31 \%$ levels. However, the cement division is likely to see muted volume growth and flat realisations in FY08. Further, input cost for the cement division is expected to be absorbed by the company, given the absence of any price upside in FY08. We feel that the lack of triggers for cement would hamper the stock performance in the future. It currently trades at a PER of $10.8 x$ FY08 estimates and at an EV/E of $6 x$. We maintain a MARKET PERFORMER rating.

Key Figures

| Y/ e March | FY05 | FY06 | FY07E | FY08E |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenues (Rs m) | 93,880 | 102,652 | 136,277 | 144,140 |  |
| EBITDA (Rs m) | 20,317 | 20,579 | 39,461 | 41,543 |  |
| Margins (\%) |  | 21.6 | 20.0 | 29.0 | 28.8 |
| PAT (Rs m) | 10,081 | 9,311 | 19,571 | 20,765 |  |
| EPS (Rs) | 109.9 | 101.6 | 213.4 | 226.5 |  |
| PER (x) | 22.2 | 24.0 | 11.4 | 10.8 |  |
| EV / E (x) | 12.9 | 12.6 | 6.5 | 6.0 |  |
| EV Sales (x) | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 |  |
| RoCE (\%) |  | 21.3 | 17.4 | 32.8 | 30.5 |
| RoE (\%) | 27.4 | 19.4 | 30.8 | 26.2 |  |
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