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## News Roundup

- The fight for survival has brought two of India's biggest business rivals-Jet Airways owner Naresh Goyal and Kingfisher chief Vijay Mallya-together. After several rounds of "summit" talks between the two aviation czars, they announced on Monday night that they are forming an operational alliance that will command nearly $50 \%$ market share of the domestic skies-and could spell bad news for the low-fare model. (ET)
- A leading Indian business group has been approached by Citibank to buy out the Singapore-based American International Assurance Company (AIA), a whollyowned subsidiary of AIG. The once formidable US insurance and asset management giant is now $80 \%$ owned by the US government. (ET)
- In a move that may indirectly bring private companies under the scrutiny of Comptroller \& Auditor General (CAG), the statutory body has decided to bring five new sectors including public-private partnerships (PPP) into its ambit. The other four sectors are environment and climate change, e-governance, social audit and regulatory bodies. (ET)
- Real estate developer Unitech is in talks with Norwegian telecom group Telenor to sell a stake in its nascent telecoms venture. (ET)
- In what can be termed as the biggest write-off by an Indian firm, CDMA service provider Tata Teleservices (TTSL) is planning to restructure the company to offset losses of around Rs 5,141 crore by way of capital revamp. (BS)
- Three months after calling off its tie-up with Arvind Brands, Italian fashion brand Diesel today announced a joint venture with Reliance Brands, an arm of Mukesh Ambani's Reliance Retail. (BS)
- Tata Motors Ltd, which sells its Xenon brand of pickup trucks in South-East Asia and parts of Europe, plans to launch the vehicle in the domestic market next month. The 1-tonne pickup, which the company launched in Thailand in March, will be positioned in the premium lifestyle segment, with features such as power steering. (Mint)
- NComputing Inc. said on Monday it would be providing computers in 5,000 schools in Andhra Pradesh. Because of the particulars of NComputing's system, the company says 1.8 million students will have access to the machines to learn computing skills and productivity software. (Mint)

Source: $E T=$ Economic Times, $B S=$ Business Standard, $F E=$ Financial Express, BL $=$ Business Line .
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| Pharmaceuticals |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| LUPN.BO, Rs678 |  |
| Rating | BUY |
| Sector coverage view | Attractive |
| Target Price (Rs) | 950 |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) | $782-425$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 60.0 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 27.1 | 37.0 | 40.9 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.3 |
| EPS (Rs) | 49.8 | 51.3 | 59.5 |
| EPS gth | 30 | 3.0 | 16.1 |
| P/E (x) | 14 | 13.2 | 11.4 |
| EV/EBITDA ( x ) | 15.9 | 10.8 | 9.2 |
| Div yield (\%) | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 |

## Shareholding, June 2008

|  | Pattern | \% of Portfolio | Over/(under) weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Promoters | 51.1 | - |  |
| Flls | 12.4 | 0.1 | (0.1) |
| MFs | 10.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| UTI | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| LIC | 2.7 | 0.1 | (0.1) |

## Lupin: Aggressive inroads into new markets and segments

Prashant Vaishampayan : prashant.vaishampayan@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1127
Priti Arora : priti.arora@kotak.com, +91-22-6749-3596

- Beyond fermentation-based manufacturing
- Investment phase continues across markets and businesses
- Margin expansion, better use of capital over the next three years
- Key risks: Chasing too many new ideas

We think Lupin's transformation into an integrated international pharmaceuticals company with multiple drivers of growth will steadily expand its EBITDA margin. Recent acquisitions in Japan, Germany and in the contract manufacturing outsourcing (CMO) segment create a case for stable revenue growth for the next three years.

## Beyond fermentation-based manufacturing

Lupin's success in India came primarily from the anti-TB segment and APIs that required fermentation-based skills. The company expanded its range to include cephalosporins and statins as well as cardiovascular products, becoming the global leader in lisinopril. Lupin has begun to supply finished dosage products to developed markets and lifestyle-related products to developing markets.

## Investment phase continues across markets and businesses

Lupin is investing in new revenue streams, some of which will bear fruit by 2010. The company has invested in new therapeutic segments in developing markets, increased capacity for APIs and new business of contract manufacturing. R\&D efforts for NCE continue but their commercial potential is not yet visible to us.

## Margin expansion, better use of capital over the next three years

We forecast EBITDA margin expansion on the back of growth in (1) market share in finished dosages, (2) chronic portfolio in India, (3) overseas markets-Japan and Europe and (4) approvals in the US generics market. The company will also profit from India-based tax advantages. We expect RoE to decline in FY2009 in the absence of research out-licensing income (FY2008: Rs1.1 bn).

## Key risks: Chasing too many new ideas

Lupin's new markets (Japan/Germany) and new businesses (CMO) pose risks of execution and of spreading management resources too thin. Conversely, portfolio concentration among markets (India accounted for 45\% of FY2008 revenues) and therapeutic segments (cephalosporin accounted for $46 \%$ of FY2008 revenues) are also risks.

## Forecasts and valuation, March fiscal year-ends, 2007-201E (Rs mn)

|  | Net sales |  | Adjusted EBITDA |  | Net Profit |  | EPS | ROCE | ROE | P/E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Rs mn) | Growth(\%) | (Rs mn) | Growth(\%) | (Rs mn) | Growth(\%) | (Rs) | (\%) | (\%) | (X) |
| 2007 | 20,137 | 18.8 | 4,281 | 28.2 | 3,086 | 78.4 | 38.2 | 14.9 | 41.2 | 19.0 |
| 2008 | 27,064 | 34.4 | 6,015 | 40.5 | 4,082 | 32.3 | 49.8 | 17.6 | 37.9 | 14.6 |
| 2009E | 36,971 | 36.6 | 8,458 | 40.6 | 4,541 | 11.2 | 51.3 | 19.9 | 27.7 | 14.2 |
| 2010E | 40,872 | 10.6 | 9,846 | 16.4 | 5,275 | 16.1 | 59.5 | 19.9 | 23.9 | 12.2 |
| 2011E | 46,243 | 13.1 | 11,281 | 14.6 | 6,005 | 13.8 | 67.8 | 20.5 | 22.7 | 10.7 |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

| Banking |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| AXBK.BO, Rs660 |  |
| Rating | REDUCE |
| Sector coverage view | Attractive |
| Target Price (Rs) | 750 |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) | $1292-535$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 236.1 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 2009E | 2010E |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 45.0 | 65.9 | 84.3 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 10.7 | 14.2 | 18.5 |
| EPS (Rs) | 32.2 | 39.7 | 51.8 |
| EPS gth | 38.8 | 23.9 | 31.4 |
| P/E (x) | 20.5 | 16.6 | 12.7 |
| P/B (x) | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| Div yield (\%) | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.5 |

## Shareholding, June 2008

|  | \% of |  | Over/(under) <br> weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pattern Portfolio | wemoters | 42.4 | - |
|  | - |  |  |
| Prols | 35.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| MFs | 8.1 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| UTI | - | - | $(0.6)$ |
| LIC | - | - | $(0.6)$ |

## Axis Bank: Reports good set of numbers, sharp growth in assets remains a concern, retain REDUCE

Ramnath Venkateswaran : ramnath.venkateswaran@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1240
Nischint Chawathe : nischint.chawathe@kotak.com, +91-22-6749-3588

- Axis Bank reported a PAT growth of $78 \%$ yoy driven largely by core income
- Stable CASA ratio, improvement in NIM and healthy fee income growth are the key positives
- Sharp growth in loan book (54\% yoy) is a concern


## - Retain REDUCE rating on rich valuations

Axis Bank reported net profit of Rs4 bn in 2QFY09, up 77\% yoy and 21\% ahead of our estimate. The core income of the company remained robust with the NII (examortization) increasing by $62 \%$ yoy and non-interest income (ex-treasury) growing by $91 \%$ yoy in 2QFY09. A key concern for the company has been the strong loan growth$54 \%$ yoy as of September 2008. We believe that rapid growth in the current segment of the credit cycle could result in margin pressures and higher NPLs in the future. Consequently, we have increased the credit provisions and reduced our NIM estimates resulting in $6-7 \%$ decline in our earnings estimates for FY2010E and FY2011E. However, we are raising our estimates for FY2009E by $10 \%$ to factor near-term buoyancy in fee income. Despite a decline in earnings estimates, we retain our price target of Rs750 as we rollover to FY2010E. Maintain REDUCE recommendation on the stock given (a) concerns regarding management succession-D. P.J. Nayak (the current Chairman) retires in July 2009, (b) rich valuations of the stock-12.7X PER and 2.2X APBR FY2010E.

NII growth remains high aided by asset growth and an improvement in NIM

- Axis Bank reported NII (ex-amortization) of Rs9.1 bn-10\% ahead of our expectations.
- NIM (reported by company) improved to 3.5\% in 2QFY09 compared to the 3.3\% reported in 2QFY08. This was also higher than the 3.4\% reported in 1QFY09. Increase in PLR in 2QFY09 along with a stable CASA ratio of around $40 \%$ are the main reasons for this development.
- Despite the stable CASA ratio, the cost of funds (company calculations) increased to $6.23 \%$ in 2QFY09 compared to the $6.11 \%$ reported in 1QFY09.
- The company's advances growth continues to remain strong and increased by $54 \%$ yoy as of September 2008. Axis Bank had an outstanding loan book of Rs16.7 bn, which was given as short-term lending to individuals for home loan bookings for Delhi Development Authority (DDA). Management guidance suggests that the entire amount is secure and will likely be repaid by 3QFY09. This loan has been categorized as a personal loan category by the company.
- The company has an exposure of Rs61.9 bn ( $9 \%$ of loan book) to the real estate sector and of which the exposure to the developer segment was Rs14.3 bn as of September 2008.


## Other income remained robust

- Axis Bank booked non-interest income of Rs6.9 bn in 2QFY09—growth of $81 \%$ yoy. The key drivers of fee income in the current quarter were: (1) treasury income of Rs362 mn, (2) fee income of Rs6.3 bn—a growth of $91 \%$ yoy. It is to be noted that the company has reclassified the commission income on foreign exchange products as fee income starting this quarter, hitherto, the company used to report this as treasury income.
- The growth in fee income continued to remain robust, with corporate and retail banking activities contributing the maximum. The fee from sale of third-party products in the retail segment was Rs660 mn in 2QFY09 up from Rs300 mn in 2QFY08.
- The company booked Rs1.1 bn in 2QFY09 as fees from the capital market operations, which was higher than the run-rate of around of Rs 400 mn observed in FY2008. This is the second consecutive quarter of higher revenues from this stream. Management indicated that this income was driven by higher loan syndication and advisory fees. We model a growth in fees of around $30 \%$ yoy for the remainder of the year as the capital markets are likely to remain volatile and impact the overall volumes for the company.


## Loan growth continues to remain strong-remains an area of concern

- The asset growth of over $50 \%$ yoy at Axis Bank continues to be an area of concern given the current macro-environment. The high loan growth has also resulted in the company's Tier I ratio reducing to $9.2 \%$ as of September 2008 from $13.2 \%$ as of September 2007. Management has indicated that the company is now looking to reduce that the loan growth to around 40\% by March 2009.
- Axis Bank's gross NPLs were Rs7.1 bn as of 2QFY09, which were an increase of $11 \%$ on a sequential basis. The company has indicated that the incremental NPLs were across sectors and not specific to segments unlike 1QFY09 where a large part of increase was due to credit card defaults. Asset quality of the company remains reasonably healthy with net NPL ratio of $0.4 \%$ and gross NPL ratio of $0.9 \%$ as of September 2008.
- Axis Bank has simultaneously increased the exposure to the better rated corporates to improve its credit standards, which is a positive in our view. The company has increased the proportion of loans to the AAA category to $8 \%$ of loans from $1 \%$ as of March 2008 and reduced exposure to BBB and below rated corporates. The company also disclosed that $78 \%$ of its SME exposure (19\% of overall book) was to corporates with a rating above 'A' category. We note that this rating is based on internal calculations of the company.
- We note that Axis Bank had restructured Rs6.2 bn of standard loans in FY2008, which was 1.3 X the gross NPLs as of March 2008—likely indicating stress on its credit portfolio.
- Axis Bank made NPL provisions of Rs1.4 bn in 2QFY09 against Rs667 mn made in 1QFY09 and Rs640 mn made in 2QFY09. The standard asset provisions were also higher at Rs708 mn against provisions of Rs91 mn made in 1QFY09. We do not have details on the reason for this sharp jump in standard asset provisions for the company in the current quarter.


## Axis Bank quarterly results (Rs mn)



Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

## Axis Bank quarterly results (Rs mn)

|  | 2QFY08 | 3QFY08 | 4QFY08 | 1QFY09 | 2QFY09 | \% growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yield management measures (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of funds | 6.2 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.2 |  |
| NIM | 3.3 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.5 |  |
| Asset quality details |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross NPLs (Rs mn) | 4,872 | 4,476 | 4,946 | 6,383 | 7,102 | 45.8 |
| Gross NPL (\% of customer assets) | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |
| Gross NPLs including w/off | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Provisions including w/off | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| Net NPLs (Rs mn) | 2,809 | 2,343 | 2,483 | 3,257 | 3,367 | 19.9 |
| Net NPAs (\% of customer assets) | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital adequacy details (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAR | 17.6 | 16.9 | 13.7 | 13.3 | 12.2 |  |
| Tier I | 13.0 | 12.6 | 10.2 | 9.9 | 9.2 |  |
| Tier II | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other key details |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Branches | 594 | 608 | 671 | 693 | 729 |  |
| ATM | 2,500 | 2,595 | 2,764 | 2,904 | 3,082 |  |
| Centres | 352 | 363 | 405 | 433 | 442 |  |
| CMS clients | 2,592 | 2,951 | 3,193 | 3,459 | 4,032 |  |
| CMS TO (Rs bn) | 1,635 | 2,303 | 2,124 | 2,401 | 2,216 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fee income composition (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate banking/credit | 570 | 1,050 | 1,190 | 1,250 | 1,070 | 87.7 |
| Business Banking | 480 | 550 | 610 | 680 | 880 | 83.3 |
| Capital markets | 220 | 410 | 410 | 920 | 1,110 | 404.5 |
| Retail banking | 1,210 | 1,470 | 1,830 | 1,620 | 2,000 | 65.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Break up credit as per rating |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAA | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 |  |
| AA | 21.0 | 21.0 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 18.0 |  |
| A | 56.0 | 56.0 | 58.0 | 55.0 | 58.0 |  |
| BBB | 17.0 | 18.0 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 13.0 |  |
| $<\mathrm{BBB}$ and unrated | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |  |

Source: Company.
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## Axis Bank (Old and new estimates)

March fiscal year-ends, 2009-2011E (Rs mn)

|  | Old estimates |  |  | Actual / New estimates |  |  | \% change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E |
| Net interest income | 39,466 | 52,470 | 67,233 | 39,422 | 48,701 | 63,476 | (0.1) | (7.2) | (5.6) |
| NIM (\%) | 3.09 | 3.06 | 3.08 | 3.09 | 2.88 | 3.01 |  |  |  |
| Customer assets | 947,691 | 1,238,288 | 1,548,906 | 925,792 | 1,208,808 | 1,511,322 | (2.3) | (2.4) | (2.4) |
| Loan loss provisions | 8,258 | 11,325 | 14,782 | 8,132 | 13,427 | 18,778 | (1.5) | 18.6 | 27.0 |
| Other income | 24,826 | 32,342 | 39,988 | 26,458 | 35,621 | 44,068 | 6.6 | 10.1 | 10.2 |
| Fee income | 19,811 | 27,735 | 34,668 | 21,131 | 30,640 | 38,300 | 6.7 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| Treasury income | 2,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 2,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |  |  |  |
| Operating expenses | 31,147 | 41,385 | 54,124 | 30,812 | 40,857 | 52,675 | (1.1) | (1.3) | (2.7) |
| Employee expenses | 9,446 | 12,407 | 16,075 | 9,875 | 12,947 | 16,075 | 4.5 | 4.3 | - |
| Investment depreciation | 3,350 | - | - | 3,350 | - | - |  |  |  |
| Investment amortization | 4,350 | 800 | - | 4,350 | 800 | - | - | - |  |
| PBT | 19,783 | 30,548 | 37,561 | 21,832 | 28,483 | 35,338 | 10.4 | (6.8) | (5.9) |
| Tax | 6,915 | 10,677 | 13,128 | 7,631 | 9,955 | 12,351 | 10.4 | (6.8) | (5.9) |
| Net profit | 12,869 | 19,871 | 24,433 | 14,201 | 18,528 | 22,987 | 10.4 | (6.8) | (5.9) |
| PBTtreasury+provisions | 26,041 | 40,873 | 51,343 | 27,964 | 40,910 | 53,116 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 3.5 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities.

| Metals |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| STRL.BO, Rs320 |  |
| Rating | BUY |
| Sector coverage view | Cautious |
| Target Price (Rs) | 480 |
| 52W High -Low (Rs) | $1150-252$ |
| Market Cap (Rs bn) | 227 |


| Financials |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March y/e | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 E}$ |
| Sales (Rs bn) | 247 | 236 | 246 |
| Net Profit (Rs bn) | 45.6 | 33.5 | 36.0 |
| EPS (Rs) | 64.3 | 47.3 | 50.9 |
| EPS gth | $(22.6)$ | $(26.5)$ | 7.6 |
| P/E (x) | 5 | 6.8 | 6.3 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 3.9 | 5.1 | 4.5 |
| Div yield (\%) | - | - | - |

Sterlite Industries: Time to revisit valuations, upgrade to BUY
Rahul Jain : rahulk.jain@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1318
Amit Agarwal : agarwal.amit@kotak.com, +91-22-6749-3390

- Upgrade to BUY from REDUCE following recent sharp correction in stock price
- With core commodity zinc and aluminium business available at less than 1X EV/ EBITDA, we believe most negatives of commodity price corrections are priced in
- Strong cash book, power business and positioning among the lowest cash cost producers gives confidence of ability to sail through current tough times

We upgrage Sterlite Industries (SIL) to BUY from REDUCE earlier following the 35\% correction in the stock price over the last one month. A part of the correction can be justified by the sharp correction in aluminium and zinc prices, both of which are down sharply by $17 \%$ and $23 \%$, respectively over the last one month. After this sharp correction the stock now trades at less than 1X FY2010E EBITDA. We believe at the current stock price factors concerns about commodity prices. With strong cash balance sheet, steady volume growth pipeline across all metals and positioning among the lowest cost producer of zinc and aluminium SIL is well placed to weather the current storm. With cash of Rs132/share and high visibility of the 2,400 MW power business commissioning over the next 12 months, which we value at Rs73/share after factoring a holding company discount of $20 \%$, the remaining businesses i.e. $65.5 \%$ stake in Hindustan Zinc (HZL), 51\% stake in Bharat Aluminium Company (BALCO), 29.5\% stake in Vedanta Alumina (VAL) are available at Rs115/share. We revise our target price to Rs480/share, valuing its core mining business earnings at 3X FY2010E EBITDA, in line with its global peers.

## Most concerns appear to be priced

While both aluminium and zinc prices are down by $17 \%$ and $23 \%$ respectively over the last one month, which would hurt margins, SIL's positioning as one of the lowest cost producers of aluminium and zinc gives us comfort that in a scenario where more than $30-35 \%$ of capacities are loss making, SIL's core aluminium and zinc businesses would still generate an EBITDA margin of $30 \%$ and $45 \%$, respectively, even at today's distressed aluminium prices of US $\$ 2,200$ and zinc prices of US $\$ 1,450$.

## Stellar track record and steady volume growth pipeline

Sterlite has in the past delivered projects significantly ahead of time, especially in the case of Vedanta Alumina, where the first phase of alumina refinery and smelter were commissioned 9-12 months ahead of schedule. Going forward, HZL's zinc smelting capacity would expand by $60 \%$ to over 1 mtpa over the next two years. VAL would commission the second phase of 250,000 ton smelter and captive power plant by the end of 2010. BALCO would also add a new smelter taking capacity to 1 mtpa by 2011.

## Power business

SIL's wholly-owned subsidiary Sterlite Energy is setting up a 2,400MW (600MWX4) greenfield coal-based power plant at Jharsuguda, Orissa is scheduled for commissioning by December 2009 and has coal block allocations for 112 mn tons ensuring cost competitiveness. The company is targeting a cash cost of under 1 re/unit. Given the past track record, we would not be surprised if the project is executed 3-6 months ahead of schedule. Our DCF-based value for power business is Rs73/share after taking a $20 \%$ holding company discount.

## Debt free and strong cash balance

SIL had strong consolidated net cash balance of Rs132/share as of March 31, 2008. Also, most of the future capex will funded through future cash flows of the respective entities.

## Valuations

Based on our valuations for the power business, which is just one year away and the large cash balance the entire commodity business basket which includes, $65 \%$ stake in Hindustan Zinc, $29.5 \%$ stake in Vedanta Alumina, $51 \%$ stake in Bharat Aluminium Company is available for Rs115/share. Though current weak commodity prices would lead to a contraction in near-term earnings, we believe most concerns are factored at the CMP of Rs320/share. We upgrade the stock from REDUCE to BUY with a price target of Rs480/share.

## SOTP-based target price of Sterlite Industries is Rs480/share

SOTP-based target price of Sterlite, March fiscal year-ends, 2010E basis (Rs mn)

|  | EBITDA | Multiple | EV | Sterlite's stake | Attributable EV | EV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Rs bn) | (X) | (Rs bn) | (\%) | (Rs bn) | (Rs/ share) |
| Hindustan Zinc | 39 | 3.0 | 116 | 64.9 | 75 | 85 |
| Bharat Aluminium | 18 | 3.0 | 54 | 51.0 | 28 | 31 |
| Sterlite (copper business) | 8 | 3.0 | 24 | 100.0 | 24 | 35 |
| Vedanta Alumina (b) | 11 | 3.0 | 34 | 29.5 | 10 | 11 |
| Copper Mines of Tasmania (a) |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |
| Sterlite energy (c) |  |  |  |  | 65 | 73 |
| Total Enterprise Value |  |  |  |  | 202 | 257 |
| Net debt / (cash) |  |  |  |  | (160) | (226) |
| Attibutable market capitalization |  |  |  |  | 362 | 483 |
| Target price (Rs/ share) |  |  |  |  |  | 480 |

## Notes:

(a) Copper Mines of Tasmania has been valued on DCF basis, as the mine-life is only 6 years.
(b) EBITDA of Vedanta Alumina enhanced to reflect income tax exemptions.
(c) We have valued investments in Sterlite energy on DCF basis
(d) Acquisition of residual stake in Hindustan Zinc is assumed to be at market value to the extent of government holding.
(e) We have applied holding company discount of $20 \%$ in case of stake in Hindustan Zinc, BALCO, Vedanta Alumina, Sterlite Energy and CMT.

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities

Sterlite Industries (consolidated), Profit model, balance sheet and cash flow model, March fiscal year-ends, 2005-2010E (Rs mn)

|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2011E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | 131,272 | 243,868 | 247,054 | 236,440 | 246,458 | 262,126 |
| EBITDA | 36,899 | 94,589 | 78,682 | 60,584 | 66,452 | 79,473 |
| Other income | 3,343 | 6,817 | 15,661 | 10,626 | 12,171 | 13,028 |
| Interest | $(2,353)$ | $(3,791)$ | $(3,186)$ | $(3,990)$ | $(3,537)$ | $(3,113)$ |
| Depreciaiton | $(5,269)$ | $(8,039)$ | $(5,950)$ | $(10,037)$ | $(12,053)$ | $(14,573)$ |
| Profit before tax | 32,518 | 88,004 | 84,679 | 57,183 | 63,034 | 74,818 |
| Taxes | $(10,165)$ | $(24,118)$ | $(21,027)$ | $(10,932)$ | $(12,046)$ | $(15,105)$ |
| Less: Minority interest | $(5,568)$ | $(19,045)$ | $(18,591)$ | $(14,647)$ | $(16,129)$ | $(19,241)$ |
| Add: share in associates | (4) | - | - | 1,889 | 1,174 | 1,174 |
| Net profit | 16,781 | 44,842 | 45,061 | 33,493 | 36,032 | 41,646 |
| Earnings per share (Rs) | 60.3 | 82.3 | 64.2 | 47.3 | 50.9 | 58.8 |


| Balance sheet model (Rs mn) | 60,530 | 99,815 | 223,024 | 239,008 | 262,451 | 291,355 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equity | 7,511 | 9,174 | 13,537 | 15,020 | 19,362 | 23,898 |
| Deferred tax liability | 68,822 | 82,365 | 106,981 | 112,950 | 127,959 | 145,980 |
| Total Borrowings | 34,113 | 48,636 | 50,401 | 32,842 | 33,179 | 33,775 |
| Current liabilities | $\mathbf{1 7 0 , 9 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 9 , 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 3 , 9 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 9 , 8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 2 , 9 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 5 , 0 0 9}$ |
| Total liabilities | 85,497 | 97,176 | 124,367 | 138,640 | 149,287 | 150,214 |
| Net fixed assets | 24,952 | 52,219 | 162,941 | 140,758 | 140,758 | 140,758 |
| nvestments | 11,153 | 11,134 | 24,536 | 30,634 | 58,731 | 106,640 |
| Cash | 49,269 | 79,460 | 82,099 | 89,788 | 94,176 | 97,397 |
| Other current assets | 105 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous expenditure | $\mathbf{1 7 0 , 9 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 9 , 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 3 , 9 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 9 , 8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 2 , 9 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 5 , 0 0 9}$ |
| Total assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Cash flow model (Rs mn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operating cash flow excl. working capital | $\mathbf{2 8 , 1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 5 6 8}$ | 61,736 | 56,242 | 63,888 | $\mathbf{7 5 , 4 9 8}$ |
| Working capital changes | $(8,339)$ | $(18,647)$ | 2,032 | 1,416 | $(4,050)$ | $(2,626)$ |
| Capital expenditure | $(11,783)$ | $(20,871)$ | $(30,119)$ | $(32,950)$ | $(22,700)$ | $(15,500)$ |
| Free cash flow | $\mathbf{8 , 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 0 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 6 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 7 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 1 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 , 3 7 2}$ |


| Ratios | 101.1 | 75.6 | 45.2 | 44.5 | 45.4 | 46.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Debt/equity (\%) | 0.2 | $(0.2)$ | $(0.6)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.6)$ | $(0.7)$ |
| Net debt/equity $(X)$ | 28.9 | 50.7 | 26.1 | 13.7 | 13.4 | 14.0 |
| RoAE (\%) | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1}$ |
| RoACE (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Company, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.


Source: Bloomberg

Zinc prices have come off sharply since its highs
LME Zinc price chart (US\$/ton)


Source: Bloomberg


Price, Rs

| Company | Rating | 13-Oct | Target |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBI | ADD | 1,496 | 1,700 |
| HDFC | ADD | 1,812 | 2,350 |
| HDFC Bank | BUY | 1,173 | 1,300 |
| ICICI Bank | ADD | 425 | 650 |
| Corp Bk | ADD | 240 | 355 |
| BoB | ADD | 305 | 310 |
| PNB | BUY | 500 | 650 |
| OBC | ADD | 168 | 200 |
| Canara Bk | REDUCE | 178 | 210 |
| LIC Housing | ADD | 245 | 380 |
| Axis Bank | REDUCE | 660 | 750 |
| IOB | ADD | 90 | 130 |
| Shriram TransF | REDUCE | 239 | 320 |
| SREI | BUY | 59 | 160 |
| MMFSL | SELL | 199 | 215 |
| Andhra | REDUCE | 52 | 65 |
| IDFC | ADD | 59 | 100 |
| PFC | REDUCE | 108 | 130 |
| Federal Bank | BUY | 180 | 275 |
| J\&K Bank | ADD | 441 | 750 |
| India Infoline | ADD | 63 | 155 |
| Indian Bank | ADD | 132 | 140 |
| Union Bank | BUY | 151 | 200 |
| Central Bank | SELL | 43 | 55 |
| Future Capital | BUY | 217 | 440 |

## Current environment to favour public banks

Ramnath Venkateswaran : ramnath.venkateswaran@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1240
Nischint Chawathe : nischint.chawathe@kotak.com, +91-22-6749-3588

- We expect monetary policy to remain soft, raise earnings estimates for FY2009E by 4-25\% for most banks


## - Public banks are likely to have greater success in attracting low cost retail deposits in

 the current environment
## - We prefer public banks on attractive valuations compared to their peers

The developments of the last few months (particularly last week) and current macro environment of heightened risk aversion are likely to result in the following (1) continued easing of monetary policy by RBI, (2) stronger banks to get additional deposits and (3) higher credit provision burden for banks due to higher NPLs as relative economic growth slows down. The lower interest rate regime and regulatory requirement of SLR is likely to result in treasury gains for banks and help mitigate the higher credit provision losses. Heightened risk aversion is likely to result in stronger banks attracting more low-cost retail deposits, thereby enabling them to manage their NIMs as interest rates decline in the economy. We have increased earnings estimates for most banks by $4-25 \%$ for FY2009E to factor in CRR reduction and softening of Gsec yields. Large public banks are likely to benefit more in this macro environment compared to their private counterparts. Given the reasonable valuations (0.9-1.4X APBR FY2009E) of public banks: SBI, PNB, BoB, Bol and Union Bank are our top picks.

RBI continues to ease monetary policy, likely to be beneficial for banks. The continued stress in international financial markets is likely to lead to increased pressure on India's balance of payments (BoP) and impact the reserve money growth. RBI likely regulatory actions to moderate the adverse impact of this development on domestic money supply ( 1 and 2 below) will be beneficial to banks.

1. Increasing the domestic assets on its balance sheet - i.e. running down market stabilization securities (MSS) and/or through open market operations (OMO) by purchasing government securities (G-Secs) from the market
2. Increasing the money multiplier, i.e. by reducing cash reserve ratio (CRR)

## Key implications of the likely regulatory actions of RBI

## 1. OMO operations and MSS redemption will lead to lower G-sec yields.

- RBI's OMO operations and redemption of MSS bonds will lead to significantly lower supply of Gsecs.
- To put this in perspective, RBI had issued Rs1.1 tn of MSS bonds in FY2008 against an overall supply of Rs2.7 tn of SLR securities. Our economist, Dr Mridul Saggar, expects Rs300 bn of redemption in MSS bonds in FY2009E as RBI moderates domestic liquidity pressures (Exhibit 1). The outstanding MSS bonds as of October 3, 2008 are Rs 1.7 tn.
- We also believe that in the current context and likely increase in borrowing program of Government, it is unlikely that the RBI would reduce the SLR ratio for banks. This would sustain the demand for these securities and lead to lower yields/ higher prices.
- We now expect the 10 -year Gsec to be $7.5 \%$ as of March 2008. This should enable the banks to write-back the entire MTM losses on their bond portfolio that they incurred in 1QFY09.


## 2. Lower CRR requirement also to aid income

- RBI has decided to decrease the CRR for banks by 150 bps (compared to its earlier decision of 50 bps reduction) to $7.5 \%$ effective October 11, 2008.
- This will release Rs600 bn of liquidity in the system and enable banks to earn income on these funds.
- We have raised our earnings estimates for most banks by 4-25\% in FY2009E to reflect the lower G-Sec yields and lower CRR of 7.5\% (Exhibit 2). Capital gains in treasury book on account of the reduction in G-Sec yields are currently not factored in our estimates and will provide an upside.

Stronger Banks—likely to benefit from the heightened risk perception. Public banks are presumed by an average depositor to be safe and enjoy an implicit government guarantee would receive higher deposits .

Credit losses could rise for the banking system. The slower global economic growth and continued turbulence in the financial markets are likely to result in slower economic growth in India and lead to higher NPLs for the banking sector. However, banks could use the higher treasury income to set-off some of those credit losses-a scenario observed in 2002-2005 (Exhibit 3).

We see major differences in the two periods of softer interest rates:

1. Credit provision expenses in the current period are unlikely to be similar to 20022005 when net NPL ratio for the sector was $5.5 \%$ as of March 2002 compared to $1.0 \%$ as of March 2008. We have already factored a $40-80 \%$ yoy rise in NPL provisions for FY2009E. As such, high NPL provisions may not provide a significant risk to our earnings estimates.
2. Debt-equity ratios for the corporate sector are much lower than the earlier period, given the substantial internal revenue generation and equity issuance over the last few years. This will likely reduce the write-off requirements for banks. Kindly refer our November 2007 report titled 'Asset quality to stay in fine fettle' for details.
3. The treasury profits for the banking sector is also unlikely to be at the same level as previous period (Exhibit 4)-(a) investment to deposit ratio of banks are much lower at $30 \%$ as of March 2008 compared to over 40\% in 2003 and 2004; (b) coupon rates on outstanding government securities have come off by over 200 bps over the five years.

Exhibit 1: G-Sec yields likely to soften given supply demand mismatch for SLR securities Demand and supply of SLR securities, March fiscal year-ends, 2008-2009E (Rs bn)

| Supply of SLR securities |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 E}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Government borrowing programme based on fiscal deficit |  | $\mathbf{1 , 1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 8 8}$ |
| 2 | State government securities |  | 562 | 545 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Total supply of SLR securities | $\mathbf{= ( 1 ) + ( \mathbf { 2 ) }}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 3 3}$ |
| 4 | MSS | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 7}$ | $(300)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Total supply of SLR securities | $\mathbf{= ( 3 ) + ( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 3 3}$ |


| Demand of SLR securities |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | Banks | 1,812 | 1,626 |  |
| 7 | Insurance companies | 693 | 873 |  |
| 8 | Others | $\mathbf{= ( 6 ) + ( \mathbf { 7 ) + ( 8 ) }} \mathbf{2 , 5 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 9 9}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Total supply of SLR securities |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Gap | $\mathbf{= ( 5 )} \mathbf{- ( 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 6 6 )}$ |

Note. (a) We have considered $25 \%$ SLR ratio for banks (minimum regulatory ratio) for FY2009E. Banks typically maintain SLR ratio in excess of $28 \%$.
(b) M3 growth assumed at 17.5\% for FY2009E versus $20.7 \%$ in FY2008

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates

Exhibit 2: Increase our earnings estimates for most banks by 4-25\% to factor lower CRR and Gsec yields
Prior period and revised EPS estimates, March fiscal year-ends, 2009 and 2010 (Rs)

|  | Prior estimates |  | Revised estimates |  | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EPS (Rs) |  | EPS (Rs) |  |  |  |
|  | 2009E | 2010E | 2009E | 2010E | 2009E | 2010E |
| Public banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andhra Bank | 10.2 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 14.0 | 3.2 |
| BoB | 35.0 | 43.2 | 39.3 | 44.6 | 12.4 | 3.2 |
| Bol | 41.8 | 50.1 | 43.9 | 51.0 | 5.0 | 1.6 |
| Canara Bank | 23.7 | 39.2 | 31.9 | 41.0 | 34.3 | 4.5 |
| Central Bank | 5.6 | 16.5 | 9.4 | 17.1 | 67.5 | 3.3 |
| Corporation Bank | 45.1 | 54.2 | 48.7 | 55.5 | 8.0 | 2.3 |
| Indian Bank | 19.7 | 25.9 | 22.8 | 23.2 | 15.9 | (10.3) |
| IOB | 19.1 | 22.8 | 22.0 | 23.6 | 15.5 | 3.6 |
| OBC | 26.6 | 31.2 | 32.0 | 32.6 | 20.2 | 4.5 |
| PNB | 69.8 | 80.6 | 73.2 | 82.5 | 4.8 | 2.3 |
| SBI | 94.2 | 120.7 | 113.4 | 124.6 | 20.4 | 3.3 |
| SBI standalone | 87.8 | 113.7 | 107.0 | 117.7 | 21.9 | 3.5 |
| Union Bank | 21.0 | 29.7 | 26.3 | 30.8 | 25.3 | 3.5 |
| Old private banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Bank | 20.9 | 32.6 | 26.7 | 33.4 | 27.7 | 2.3 |
| J\&K Bank | 76.1 | 78.4 | 76.9 | 80.3 | 1.0 | 2.4 |
| New private banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HDFC Bank | 52.7 | 67.9 | 53.2 | 69.0 | 0.9 | 1.6 |
| ICICI Bank | 32.1 | 38.5 | 32.9 | 40.2 | 2.5 | 4.2 |
| ICICI standalone | 27.1 | 33.1 | 27.9 | 34.7 | 2.9 | 4.9 |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

Exhibit 3: Banks had used to treasury gains to set off their credit losses in 2002-2005
Data on key macro economic parameters, bank asset quality, credit provisions and treasury gains, March fiscal year-ends, 2001-2008

| 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key macro economic data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GDP growth* (\%) 4.0 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 9.0 |
| Average IIP growth (\%) 4.9 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 11.6 | 8.5 |
| Asset quality data of banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross NPLs (Rs bn) 600 | 709 | 687 | 648 | 595 | 510 | 506 | NA |
| Gross NPL ratio (\%) 11.4 | 10.4 | 8.8 | 7.2 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 2.5 | NA |
| Net NPLs (Rs bn) 326 | 356 | 297 | 244 | 218 | 185 | 201 | 248 |
| Net NPL ratio (\%) 6.2 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Trading income and NPL provisions of banks (Rs bn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trading income 34 | 95 | 132 | 229 | 63 | 18 | (23) | NA |
| NPL provisions 73 | 105 | 127 | 174 | 71 | 4 | 10 | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note;

* denotes GDP at market prices (constant price with base year of 1999-2000).

Source: RBI.

## Exhibit 4: Trading profits in this current cycle may not be as significant as in the previous one

Data on coupon, maturity of Government securities and investment book details of banks, March fiscal year-ends (Rs bn), 2001-2008

|  | Outstanding stock of Gsec |  | Investment to deposit ratio of banks (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted average (years) | Weighted average (\%) |  |
| 2001 | 7.5 | NA | 38.5 |
| 2002 | 8.2 | 10.8 | 39.7 |
| 2003 | 8.9 | 10.4 | 42.7 |
| 2004 | 9.8 | 9.3 | 45.0 |
| 2005 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 43.5 |
| 2006 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 34.0 |
| 2007 | 10.0 | 8.6 | 30.3 |
| 2008 | 10.6 | 8.5 | 30.4 |

Note:
Data on weighted average maturity and coupon on Gsecs does not include MSS bonds, whereas Investment to deposit ratio of banks includes MSS
bonds.

Source: RBI

## Exhibit 5:Public bank valuations remain reasonable

Valuations of companies under coverage, March fiscal year-ends, 2008-2010E

|  | Reco. | Traget price (Rs) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Price } \\ & \hline \text { (Rs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Market cap. }}{\text { US \$bn }}$ | EPS (Rs) |  |  | PER (X) |  |  | ABVPS (Rs) |  |  | APBR (X) |  |  | RoE (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E |
| Public banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andhra Bank | REDUCE | 65 | 52 | 0.5 | 11.9 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 63 | 72 | 80 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 18.0 | 16.3 | 15.3 |
| BoB | ADD | 310 | 305 | 2.3 | 39.3 | 39.3 | 44.6 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 237 | 273 | 304 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 14.6 | 12.5 | 13.0 |
| Bol | BUY | 375 | 278 | 3.0 | 40.6 | 43.9 | 51.0 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 150 | 196 | 238 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 27.6 | 23.4 | 22.3 |
| Canara Bank | REDUCE | 210 | 178 | 1.5 | 38.2 | 31.9 | 41.0 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 175 | 210 | 242 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 15.0 | 11.9 | 13.9 |
| Central Bank | SELL | 55 | 43 | 0.4 | 11.6 | 9.4 | 17.1 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 52 | 79 | 95 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 16.7 | 11.6 | 18.0 |
| Corporation Bank | ADD | 355 | 234 | 0.7 | 51.2 | 48.7 | 55.5 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 274 | 311 | 356 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 18.4 | 15.5 | 15.7 |
| Indian Bank | ADD | 140 | 131 | 1.2 | 22.5 | 22.8 | 23.2 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 101 | 122 | 141 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 24.8 | 19.5 | 17.0 |
| IOB | ADD | 130 | 90 | 1.0 | 22.1 | 22.0 | 23.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 76 | 97 | 114 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 27.2 | 22.6 | 20.5 |
| OBC | ADD | 200 | 168 | 0.9 | 23.9 | 32.0 | 32.6 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 195 | 232 | 262 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 6.2 | 13.2 | 12.2 |
| PNB | BUY | 650 | 500 | 3.3 | 65.0 | 73.2 | 82.5 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 304 | 384 | 434 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 18.0 | 17.6 | 17.6 |
| SBI | ADD | 1,700 | 1,496 | 19.7 | 106.6 | 113.4 | 124.6 | 14.0 | 13.2 | 12.0 | 671 | 767 | 896 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 16.8 | 13.8 | 13.6 |
| SBI incl. banking subs | ADD | 1,447 | 1,243 | 16.4 | 157.7 | 108.8 | 140.0 | 7.9 | 11.4 | 8.9 | 812 | 912 | 1,044 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 16.7 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| SBI standalone | ADD | 1,246 | 981 | 12.9 | 122.1 | 107.0 | 117.7 | 8.0 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 602 | 698 | 827 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 19.5 | 14.2 | 13.9 |
| Union Bank | BUY | 250 | 151 | 1.6 | 27.5 | 26.3 | 30.8 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 105 | 128 | 153 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 26.8 | 21.5 | 21.1 |
| Old private banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Bank | BUY | 275 | 178 | 0.6 | 34.4 | 26.7 | 33.4 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 222 | 245 | 271 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 13.6 | 11.1 | 12.7 |
| J\&K Bank | ADD | 750 | 474 | 0.5 | 74.2 | 76.9 | 80.3 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 416 | 492 | 562 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 16.8 | 15.4 | 14.4 |
| New private banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HDFC Bank | BUY | 1,300 | 1,173 | 10.4 | 46.0 | 53.2 | 69.0 | 25.5 | 22.0 | 17.0 | 324 | 348 | 472 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 17.7 | 17.2 | 17.3 |
| ICICI Bank | ADD | 650 | 425 | 9.8 | 39.9 | 32.9 | 40.2 | 10.6 | 12.9 | 10.6 | 418 | 441 | 469 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 11.7 | 7.7 | 8.8 |
| ICICI standalone | ADD | 404 | 156 | 3.6 | 28.9 | 27.9 | 34.7 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 341 | 276 | 300 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 10.2 | 9.1 | 12.1 |
| Non-banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HDFC | ADD | 2,350 | 1,812 | 10.7 | 85.8 | 83.7 | 100.1 | 21.1 | 21.6 | 18.1 | 421 | 485 | 550 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 27.8 | 18.6 | 19.3 |
| HDFC standalone | ADD | 1,366 | 847 | 5.0 | 56.3 | 70.6 | 87.8 | 15.0 | 12.0 | 9.6 | 285 | 315 | 233 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 34.9 | 27.2 | 23.7 |
| IDFC | ADD | 100 | 59 | 1.6 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 10.3 | 8.7 | 7.3 | 43 | 49 | 55 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 17.6 | 14.9 | 15.7 |
| LIC Hsg Fin | ADD | 380 | 245 | 0.4 | 45.5 | 56.5 | 59.5 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 188 | 226 | 271 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 22.9 | 23.9 | 21.3 |
| Mahindra Finance | SELL | 215 | 190 | 0.3 | 20.8 | 22.9 | 27.2 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 7.0 | 131 | 151 | 171 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 16.9 | 15.7 | 16.6 |
| Power Finance Corporation | REDUCE | 130 | 108 | 2.6 | 11.4 | 13.0 | 15.8 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 89 | 100 | 112 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 12.8 | 13.2 | 14.2 |
| Shriram Transport | REDUCE | 320 | 239 | 0.8 | 19.2 | 26.8 | 31.1 | 12.5 | 8.9 | 7.7 | 86 | 101 | 118 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 26.9 | 27.2 | 27.2 |
| Srei Infrastructure finance | BUY | 160 | 59 | 0.1 | 11.4 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 5.2 | 10.7 | 7.2 | 56 | 91 | 96 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 23.1 | 11.6 | 12.5 |
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|  | Price, Rs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Company | Rating | 13-Oct | Target |
| Bharti | BUY | 740 | 840 |
| Rcom | SELL | 282 | 390 |
| MTNL | REDUCE | 68 | 100 |
| VSNL | REDUCE | 443 | 430 |
| Idea Cellular | REDUCE | 72 | 100 |

## September GSM net adds-another strong month

Kawaljeet Saluja : kawaljeet.saluja@kotak.com, +91-22-6634-1243
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- GSM net adds (ex-RCOM) at 7.32 mn ; total net adds will likely exceed 9.5 mn
- Marginal net add share loss for Bharti
- Idea and Vodafone net adds gain from new launches
- Another strong month for Aircel highlights the company's ability to compete aggressively against larger players

GSM operators (excluding RCOM) added 7.32 mn subs in September 2008, higher than our expectations (in fact the highest ever GSM net adds ex-RCOM ever). Total subscriber net adds could exceed 9.5 mn if the CDMA operators maintain their August net adds. The current net add run rate, if sustained, could push our end-FY2009E subscriber estimate of 355 mn . Key highlights of Sep 2008 GSM net additions included (1) marginal net add share loss for Bharti as new launches gain pace; Idea has launched in Mumbai and Bihar, Aircel in Kolkata, and Vodafone in Orissa, North East, and Assam over the past five months, (2) further improvement in net adds market share for Aircel and sustained performance from Idea and Vodafone, and (3) exceptionally strong month for BPL and an equally poor one for MTNL, both oneoffs, in our view. We expect the strong net add pace to continue for another 12-15 months; however, the same would have limited impact on valuations of the sector or individual companies (unless there is a dramatic shift in market shares). We would rather focus on more pressing issues like impending increase in competitive intensity, regulatory issues, and declining quality of incremental subs. We maintain our Cautious stance on the sector but have turned positive on Bharti (BUY) given the company's superior execution and undemanding valuations; maintain REDUCE on Idea and SELL on RCOM.

Aggregate net add exceed expectations; current run rate could push our endFY2009E subscriber estimate. The aggregate GSM (ex-RCOM) net adds for the month of September 2008 ( 7.32 mn ) was higher than our expectations and an increase of 1 mn over August net adds of 6.3 mn . Overall net adds for the months could exceed 9.5 mn if the CDMA operators maintain their August 2008 net adds pace. We highlight that the current subs add run rate ( 9 mn monthly average over April-Sep 2008) could push our end-FY2009E subs target of 355 mn ; 1 HFY 09 monthly run rate, if continued in 2HFY09, could take the end-FY2009E subscriber base to 360 mn.

Expect strong net-adds pace to continue; has marginal impact on valuations, though. We expect strong net adds trend to continue over the next 12-15 months driven by (1) rapid network expansion by the existing players; Idea has launched in Mumbai and Bihar, Aircel in Kolkata, and Vodafone in Orissa, North East, and Assam over the past five months (2) entry of new players and pan-Indian expansion of some incumbents and (3) aggressive pricing tactics adopted by the operators. However, we do not see substantial value accretion for the industry from these incremental subscribers-a large portion ( $\sim 35-40 \%$ ) of new subs is low-value lifetime subscribers.

Bharti's net adds market share declines. Bharti had another strong month, adding 2.7 mn subscribers in September 2008, same as August 2008. However, we highlight that the GSM market net adds (assuming RCOM GSM maintains August 2008 net adds pace) increased by 1 mn to 7.7 mn from 6.7 mn in August 2008. Bharti's net adds market share dropped to $35.1 \%$, lower than last 12-month average of $36 \%$ (though still higher than its GSM subs market share of $33.2 \%$ ). We attribute the drop in Bharti's net adds market share primarily to a slew of new launches over the past few months - Idea (Mumbai), Aircel (Kolkata), and Vodafone (Orissa, Assam, and North East). However, we believe that strong net adds in initial months (as seen in case of Aircel in Kolkata and Idea in Mumbai) could be driven by initial promotional offers, etc. and hence, we would watch for sustenance of net adds before calling it a worrisome trend for Bharti. In any case (1) Bharti's net adds per month are running ahead of our estimates and (2) the street (and us, as well) is already factoring in a market share decline for Bharti over the next 12-24 months.

Vodafone and Idea gain from new launches. Vodafone and Idea improved on their previous month's performance, adding $1.87 \mathrm{mn}(1.81 \mathrm{mn}$ in August 2008) and 1.1 mn ( 1.03 mn ) subs respectively. However, Vodafone's and Idea's net adds were partially aided by their recent launches—Vodafone launched in Assam and North East, after its launch in Orissa on August, while Idea had launched in Mumbai in the second half of August. While Vodafone's net adds dropped in Orissa (to 25,000 from 35,000 in August), Idea had a strong month in Mumbai adding 83,000 subs ( $21.3 \%$ of net adds); however, a good portion of Idea's net adds could be group employees moving to Idea from other networks. We will continue to monitor the performance of these new launches over the coming months for any disruptive indicators.

Aircel continues to impress, stable month for BSNL, MTNL disappoints. Aircel added 752,000 subs in September 2008, its highest ever monthly net adds; the company has gained $\sim 72$ bps of GSM market share over the past 12 months. We have been highlighting the price aggression and competitive intensity of Aircel, and net over the past three months have indicative of the company's ability to gain market share versus larger peers. We continue to see Aircel as a major competitive threat to the leading incumbents as it expands into a pan-India operator (currently present only in 10 circles and rolling out networks in other circles).

BSNL added 677,000 subs in September 2008, higher than previous month's 574,000; the company has now been overtaken by every operator in monthly net adds (not counting MTNL, Spice, BPL, Shyam, and HFCL as they are present in only one or two circles). MTNL added 38,000 subs (versus 102,000 in August 2008) marking its weakest month since April 2008.

Subscriber details for leading GSM cellular operators, ('000)

|  | Sep-07 | Oct-07 | Nov-07 | Dec-07 | Jan-08 | Feb-08 | Mar-08 | Apr-08 | May-08 | Jun-08 | Jul-08 | Aug-08 | Sep-08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subs ('000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bharti | 48,876 | 50,909 | 52,961 | 55,163 | 57,418 | 59,670 | 61,985 | 64,370 | 66,826 | 69,384 | 72,078 | 74,778 | 77,479 |
| Hutchison | 35,658 | 37,187 | 38,563 | 39,865 | 41,145 | 42,557 | 44,126 | 45,780 | 47,467 | 49,195 | 50,950 | 52,759 | 54,625 |
| IDEA-Escotel | 18,672 | 19,422 | 20,222 | 21,054 | 21,955 | 22,874 | 24,002 | 25,040 | 26,141 | 27,194 | 28,248 | 29,278 | 30,380 |
| BPL | 1,153 | 1,201 | 1,221 | 1,239 | 1,257 | 1,276 | 1,295 | 1,314 | 1,331 | 1,378 | 1,440 | 1,517 | 1,664 |
| Modi group | 3,482 | 3,570 | 3,661 | 3,801 | 3,943 | 4,084 | 4,211 | 4,363 | 4,498 | 4,548 | 4,197 | 3,563 | 3,600 |
| Reliance | 5,036 | 5,342 | 5,669 | 6,002 | 6,338 | 6,676 | 7,016 | 7,359 | 7,711 | 8,080 | 8,455 | 8,831 | 8,831 |
| MTNL | 2,772 | 2,826 | 2,890 | 2,955 | 3,013 | 3,122 | 3,242 | 3,284 | 3,350 | 3,438 | 3,537 | 3,639 | 3,678 |
| BSNL | 30,303 | 31,033 | 31,945 | 32,712 | 33,749 | 34,573 | 36,210 | 36,683 | 36,997 | 37,363 | 37,916 | 38,490 | 39,167 |
| Aircel | 8,039 | 8,524 | 9,026 | 9,428 | 9,934 | 10,185 | 10,610 | 10,989 | 11,492 | 11,925 | 12,476 | 13,127 | 13,878 |
| Total market | 153,991 | 160,014 | 166,157 | 172,219 | 178,751 | 185,017 | 192,696 | 199,182 | 205,813 | 212,505 | 219,298 | 225,983 | 233,301 |
| Market share of subs (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bharti | 31.7 | 31.8 | 31.9 | 32.0 | 32.1 | 32.3 | 32.2 | 32.3 | 32.5 | 32.7 | 32.9 | 33.1 | 33.2 |
| Hutchison | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.1 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 23.1 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.3 | 23.4 |
| IDEA-Escotel | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| BPL | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Modi group | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| Reliance | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| MTNL | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| BSNL | 19.7 | 19.4 | 19.2 | 19.0 | 18.9 | 18.7 | 18.8 | 18.4 | 18.0 | 17.6 | 17.3 | 17.0 | 16.8 |
| Aircel | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.9 |
| Growth (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bharti | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| Hutchison | 4.5 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| IDEA-Escotel | 4.5 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| BPL | 4.7 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 9.7 |
| Modi group | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 1.1 | (7.7) | (15.1) | 1.0 |
| Reliance | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.4 | - |
| MTNL | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 1.1 |
| BSNL | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| Aircel | 5.5 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 5.7 |
| Total market | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| Net monthly adds ('000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bharti | 2,061 | 2,033 | 2,053 | 2,202 | 2,255 | 2,253 | 2,315 | 2,386 | 2,456 | 2,558 | 2,694 | 2,700 | 2,701 |
| Hutchison | 1,542 | 1,529 | 1,376 | 1,302 | 1,281 | 1,412 | 1,569 | 1,654 | 1,686 | 1,728 | 1,755 | 1,808 | 1,866 |
| IDEA-Escotel | 801 | 750 | 800 | 832 | 901 | 919 | 1,128 | 1,038 | 1,101 | 1,054 | 1,054 | 1,030 | 1,102 |
| BPL | 51 | 48 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 47 | 61 | 77 | 147 |
| Modi group | 84 | 89 | 91 | 139 | 142 | 141 | 126 | 152 | 135 | 50 | (351) | (634) | 37 |
| Reliance | 302 | 306 | 327 | 333 | 336 | 338 | 340 | 342 | 352 | 370 | 375 | 376 | - |
| MTNL | 43 | 54 | 64 | 65 | 58 | 109 | 120 | 42 | 67 | 87 | 100 | 102 | 38 |
| BSNL | 599 | 730 | 912 | 767 | 1,036 | 824 | 1,637 | 473 | 314 | 365 | 553 | 574 | 677 |
| Aircel | 419 | 485 | 502 | 402 | 506 | 251 | 425 | 379 | 503 | 433 | 551 | 651 | 752 |
| Total market | 5,903 | 6,022 | 6,144 | 6,062 | 6,531 | 6,266 | 7,680 | 6,486 | 6,630 | 6,693 | 6,793 | 6,685 | 7,319 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market share of net adds (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bharti | 34.9 | 33.8 | 33.4 | 36.3 | 34.5 | 35.9 | 30.1 | 36.8 | 37.0 | 38.2 | 39.7 | 40.4 | 36.9 |
| Hutchison | 26.1 | 25.4 | 22.4 | 21.5 | 19.6 | 22.5 | 20.4 | 25.5 | 25.4 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 27.1 | 25.5 |
| IDEA-Escotel | 13.6 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 16.0 | 16.6 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 15.4 | 15.1 |
| BPL | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| Modi group | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 0.8 | (5.2) | (9.5) | 0.5 |
| Reliance | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | - |
| MTNL | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| BSNL | 10.1 | 12.1 | 14.8 | 12.7 | 15.9 | 13.2 | 21.3 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 9.3 |
| Aircel | 7.1 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 9.7 | 10.3 |
| Circlewise subs ('000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metros | 25,884 | 26,691 | 27,460 | 28,135 | 28,823 | 29,510 | 30,235 | 30,903 | 31,555 | 32,250 | 33,015 | 33,880 | 34,872 |
| Circle A | 55,247 | 57,719 | 60,089 | 62,479 | 65,033 | 67,081 | 70,045 | 72,090 | 74,344 | 76,837 | 79,216 | 81,369 | 83,822 |
| Circle B | 56,089 | 58,259 | 60,498 | 62,582 | 64,870 | 67,289 | 70,077 | 72,920 | 75,636 | 78,228 | 80,931 | 83,573 | 86,392 |
| Circle C | 16,771 | 17,345 | 18,111 | 19,024 | 20,024 | 21,137 | 22,339 | 23,269 | 24,277 | 25,190 | 26,136 | 27,161 | 28,216 |
| Total | 153,991 | 160,014 | 166,157 | 172,219 | 178,751 | 185,017 | 192,696 | 199,182 | 205,813 | 212,505 | 219,298 | 225,983 | 233,301 |
| Circlewise net adds ('000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metros | 817 | 807 | 770 | 675 | 689 | 687 | 725 | 667 | 652 | 696 | 764 | 865 | 992 |
| Circle A | 2,252 | 2,471 | 2,370 | 2,391 | 2,554 | 2,048 | 2,964 | 2,045 | 2,254 | 2,492 | 2,379 | 2,153 | 2,453 |
| Circle B | 2,222 | 2,170 | 2,239 | 2,083 | 2,289 | 2,418 | 2,788 | 2,843 | 2,716 | 2,592 | 2,703 | 2,642 | 2,819 |
| Circle C | 611 | 574 | 765 | 913 | 1,001 | 1,113 | 1,202 | 930 | 1,008 | 913 | 946 | 1,025 | 1,055 |
| Total | 5,903 | 6,022 | 6,144 | 6,062 | 6,531 | 6,266 | 7,680 | 6,486 | 6,630 | 6,693 | 6,793 | 6,685 | 7,319 |
| Circlewise subs (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metros | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Circle A | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Circle B | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| Circle C | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Circlewise net adds (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metros | 14 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 14 |
| Circle A | 38 | 41 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 33 | 39 | 32 | 34 | 37 | 35 | 32 | 34 |
| Circle B | 38 | 36 | 36 | 34 | 35 | 39 | 36 | 44 | 41 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 39 |
| Circle C | 10 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 |

Subscribers numbers exclude Reliance GSM for Sep 2008
Source: Cellular Operator's Association of India, Compiled by Kotak Institutional Equities

| Property |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sector coverage view |  |  | Neutral |
| Company | Price, Rs |  |  |
|  | Rating | 13-Oct | Target |
| MLife | BUY | 237 | 810 |
| Sobha | REDUCE | 134 | 250 |
| DLF | BUY | 302 | 660 |
| Unitech | REDUCE | 92 | 190 |
| HDIL | BUY | 115 | 610 |
| Puravankara P | REDUCE | 135 | 220 |
| Phoenix Mills | BUY | 108 | 350 |
| Indiabulls Real I | BUY | 118 | 275 |
| IVR Prime | BUY | 69 | 360 |

## Mumbai property exhibition—Initial signs of price correction; may not be enough
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- Developers maintaining flat prices or are offering minimal discounts; however we believe correction needs to be sharper for demand to pickup
- Discounts disguised in the form of stamp duty waivers, free parking, attractive home loan rates
- Our pricing assumptions are 20-35\% discount to current market prices
- Housing finance available in the range of 11.25-11.75\%

We visited one of the largest property exhibitions, Property 2008, a bi-annual event organized by Maharashtra Chamber of Housing Industry (MCHI) held at Mumbai and have included 84 projects into our analysis. We observed developers were offering discounts in the form of stamp duty waivers, free parking and attractive home loan rates. However, despite these small discounts, prices in Mumbai continue to be at least $25-30 \%$ higher than April 2007 prices. We believe the correction in prices has to be much sharper on account of'(1) rising inventory of finished apartments, (2) developers incurring huge land acquisition cost in the last few years and (3) the highly fragmented nature of the market. We also note the prices in Mumbai have increased since April 2007 compared to other cities which have seen a correction of $10-20 \%$ in the same period. We observed that housing finance companies were willing to disburse loans to the extent of $85 \%$ of the property values at interest rates of 11.25-11.75\%.

Price correction has to be more for end-user demand to pick up in Mumbai
We observe that most developers continue to hold prices at April 2008 prices (Exhibit 1) and some developers have started to offer discounts in the range of 3-5\% in the form of stamp duty waiver, free parking and attractive home loan schemes. However, we believe these minimal discounts are not enough and the correction in prices has to be sharper on account of-(1) price rise has taken place in hardening interest rate environment, (2) rising inventory of finished flats (more than $30 \%$ of the projects were ready for possession at the exhibition), (3) lesser holding power of developers due to high land acquisition cost and (4) the highly fragmented Mumbai real estate market would show signs of pressure as marginal players feel the heat of credit crunch.

Steep price rise over past 18 months. We observed that prices in Mumbai are still at $30+\%$ premiums to April 2007 prices. The discounts of $3-5 \%$ being offered are quite less than increase in property prices over the past 18 months. We highlight that this increase in property prices have been in a hardening interest rate environment and is variance with pricing movement in other cities over the same period. We have seen correction of $15-20 \%$ in other cities NCR and Chennai vis-à-vis price hardening in Mumbai. Indicative prices (per sq. ft) in key locations in Mumbai are Andheri (W)Rs11,000, Bandra (W)-Rs25,000, Ghatkopar (W)-Rs8,000, Goregaon (E)-Rs10,000, Mulund(W)-Rs6,500 and Worli-Rs35,000 (see Exhibit 2,3).

The sharp increase in prices along with rising interest rates has lead to a decline in affordability that we believe is resulting in lower sales. We believe affordability is likely to improve by $15 \%$ in FY2009E only if developers reduce prices, else affordability will continue to be a concern (see Exhibit 4). We believe with the slowdown in the economy, the variable components of the salary are going to be hit which would put additional concern on affordability.

Large unsold inventory. We note that a large number of the properties on display at the exhibition were ready for possession indicating a high buildup of unsold finished inventory. Exhibit 5 suggests that more than $30 \%$ of the projects were ready for possession by December 2008 which is very high in comparison to our observation in October 2007. We would also note that there were a large number of forthcoming projects in October 2007 which have not materialized yet in the last one year indicating delays due to cash flow constraints and lower demand at the current price points.

Holding power is lower-than-anticipated. We highlight on account of high land cost, upfront investments required is quite large for Mumbai based developers. Also, as highlighted before rising inventory/delay in launches is resulting in longer project cycle for developers. As a result some developers have become leveraged, a fact that is also indicated by our channel checks. These developers are willing to borrow at very high cost rather than reduce prices. As and when fund availability decreases, few developers will have to sell at lower prices. We note for a developer in Mumbai holding power would be the least on account of large upfront land payments, which is at variance with other cities.

Mumbai property market is highly fragmented. More than 50 real estate developers focusing on Mumbai were present at the exhibition, which highlights the fragmented state of the industry. Exhibit 6 lists all the participating companies with properties in Mumbai. We would also like to note that there are a large number of high quality developers in Mumbai which does not allow a single player to dictate prices in a particular area on a sustained basis. We believe price adjustments by marginal players would have a cascading effect on other players as well.

We believe that prices need to correct to April 2007 levels for demand to pick up meaningfully in the Mumbai Metropolitan Region (MMR). We highlight that a further price correction would still be required if interest rates start declining in 1QCY09.

## Housing finance institutions lending at 11-11.75\%

Almost all the big housing finance institutions including ICICI, LIC housing Finance and HDFC were present at the exhibition. Almost all the housing finance companies were offering rates of $11-11.75 \%$ and were willing to disburse upto $85 \%$ of the total property value. Some of the quoted rates are—HDFC Home loans (11.25\% for below Rs3 mn and $11.75 \%$ for above Rs3 mn), Union Bank of India (11\%) and IDBI Bank ( $11.5 \%$ ). Exhibit 7 highlights the property values which a user can afford at the given interest rates and salary levels.

## Few developers start quoting prices on carpet area basis

Few developers like Gundecha, Acme Group have started quoting prices on the carpet area as per the recent amendment to the Maharashtra Ownership Flats (regulation of the promotion of construction, sale, management and transfer) Act, 1963, which makes it mandatory for builders to sell flats on the basis of carpet area. For developers quoting on carpet area basis have largely kept total price of the apartment constant and have adjusted the quotation on carpet area basis rather than super-builtup basis earlier.

## Our pricing assumptions are $\mathbf{2 0 - 3 5 \%}$ discount to current market prices

Exhibit 8 highlights our pricing assumptions vis-à-vis current price quotations of various developers. We note that our assumptions for Mumbai prices in our models are at a discount to the prices quoted by the developers. We haven't revised our pricing assumptions over the last 12 months as we believe that price increases have not been backed by demand.

## Commercial real estate will also see large supply over the next $\mathbf{2 4}$ months

We expect commercial supply of over 17 mn sq. ft in Mumbai in CBD and SBD areas of Mumbai. Most of the supply is coming from mill redevelopment and slum rehabilitation projects. We have compiled this information from plans indicated by various companies and most of this supply is already under construction (see Exhibit 9). Actual supply could be higher on account of (1) Airport slum rehabilitation carried out by HDIL, (2) Dharavi redevelopment and (3) Unitech slum rehabilitation project and other redevelopment of more mill land. We also note that there have been delays in few of the commercial projects. We believe there will be a likely oversupply of commercial space in the next two years, which would likely result in flattish lease rentals.

## Developers continue to hold prices or offer minimal discounts

Prices as of Oct'07, Apr'08 and Oct'08

| Location | Developer | Project | Prices (Rs/sq. ft ) as on |  |  |  | Increase (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mar-07 | Oct'07 | Apr'08 | Oct'08 | Apr 08/Oct 07 | Oct 08/Apr 08 |
| Andheri (W) | RNA Builders | RNA Sapphire | 7,500 | 8,000 | 10,000 | NA | 25\% | NA |
|  | Gundecha | Gundecha Symphony |  | 8,000 | 9,800 | 10,350 | 23\% | 6\% |
| Andheri (E) | Oberoi | Splendor |  | 10,100 | 12,500 | 14,000 | 24\% | 12\% |
|  | Acme | Amartaru |  | NA | 12,000 | 10,500 | NA | -13\% |
| Malad (W) | Rustomjee | Elanza |  | 7,500 | 9,000 | 9,000 | 20\% | 0\% |
| Kandivali (W) | RNA Builders | Royale Park |  | 5,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 18\% | 0\% |
| Borivili (W) | Ahuja Constructions | Clubbe Life |  | 5,700 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 32\% | 0\% |
| Powai | Gundecha | Gundecha Hills | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,900 | 7,071 | 15\% | 2\% |
| Wadala (E) | Dosti | Dosti Acres |  | 10,000 | 11,000 | 11,600 | 10\% | 5\% |
| Ghatkopar (W) | Kalpataru | Aura | 6,000 | 7,200 | 8,200 | 8,200 | 14\% | 0\% |
| Kanjur Marg (W) | Gundecha | Gundecha Heights |  | 5,200 | 6,200 | 6,571 | 19\% | 6\% |
| Bhandup | HDIL | Dreams | 4,500 | 5,500 | 5,750 | 5,750 | 5\% | 0\% |
| Thane | Rustomjee | Urbania |  | 4,050 | 4,400 | 4,860 | 9\% | 10\% |
| Kalyan | Godrej Properties | Riverside | 2,000 | 2,250 | 2,800 | 3,000 | 24\% | 7\% |

Source: Bloomber, Property 2007, Property 2008, Kotak Institutional Equities.

## Prices are still up 20-30\% in Mumbai since April 2007

Mumbai residential price index, 1993-2008


Source:Kotak Institutional Equities, Knight Frank, Cushman \& Wakefield.

Prices largely stable or down slightly over the last 6 months in Mumbai
Prices at different locations in Mumbai, 1993-2008


Source: Cushman \& Wakefield, Industry, Kotak Institutional Equities.

Increase in affordability in FY2009E is dependent on movement in selling prices
Measurement of affordabilitiy of housing in India, March fiscal year-ends, 1999-2010E

|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008E | 2009E | 2010E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing loan interest rates (\%) | 14.5 | 13.9 | 12.8 | 12.1 | 10.4 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
| EMI per Rs100,000 on 15 yr loan (Rs) | 1,366 | 1,325 | 1,252 | 1,207 | 1,099 | 1,008 | 956 | 985 | 1,044 | 1,100 | 1,168 | 1,168 |
| Avg monthly household income (MHI) (for households with monthly income > Rs4,000) |  | 8,923 | 9,145 | 9,375 | 10,881 | 11,714 | 11,659 | 12,991 | 14,126 | 15,103 | 15,951 | 16,693 |
| Affordability assuming constant housing prices (EMI as \% of MHI) |  | 14.8 | 13.7 | 12.9 | 10.1 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| Case I: Selling prices decline by 10\% in FY2009E and remain flat in FY2010E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital price in Bandra (W), Mumbai |  | 7,992 | 8,791 | 8,000 | 8,500 | 8,500 | 10,000 | 13,000 | 16,000 | 20,000 | 18,000 | 18,000 |
| Affordability Index (assuming FY2000 as 100) - A |  | 100 | 101 | 87 | 72 | 62 | 69 | 83 | 100 | 123 | 111 | 106 |
| Case II: Selling prices increase by 10\% in FY2009E and remain flat in FY2010E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital price in Bandra (W), Mumbai |  | 7,992 | 8,791 | 8,000 | 8,500 | 8,500 | 10,000 | 13,000 | 16,000 | 20,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 |
| Affordability Index (assuming FY2000 as 100) - B |  | 100 | 101 | 87 | 72 | 62 | 69 | 83 | 100 | 123 | 136 | 130 |
| \% change in affordability (B-A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |

Source: RBI, Industry, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

## Unsold finished inventory rising

|  | Oct-07 |  | Oct-08 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (No's) | (\%) | (No's) | (\%) |
| Ongoing | 151 | 100 | 84 | 100 |
| Forthcoming | 55 |  |  |  |
| Total | 206 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Delivery of projects |  |  |  |  |
| Ready possession | 14 | 9 | 27 | 32 |
| By first half next year | 32 | 21 | 13 | 15 |

Source: Property Expo 2008

## Mumbai property market is highly fragmented

List of real estate companies at the Property 2008 exhibition

| Companies |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Acme Housing |
| 2 | Aditya Builders |
| 3 | Ahuja Constructions |
| 4 | Ajmera Builders |
| 5 | Akruti Nirman |
| 6 | Arihant Universal |
| 7 | Atul Builders |
| 8 | Better Homes Real Estates Services |
| 9 | Bhoomi Hill Developers |
| 10 | Cosmos Group |
| 11 | Cocoon Properties |
| 12 | Dosti Group |
| 13 | Ekta Supreme Housing |
| 14 | Expat properties |
| 15 | Gujra group |
| 16 | Godrej Properties |
| 17 | Gundecha developders |
| 18 | HDIL |


| Companies |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 19 | Haware Engineers \& Builders |
| 20 | Hiranandani |
| 21 | Indian Eco Parks |
| 22 | Jaycee Homes |
| 24 | K Raheja Corp |
| 25 | Kalpataru Properties |
| 26 | Lalani Group |
| 27 | Lodha Group |
| 28 | Lok Group |
| 29 | Mahindra Gesco |
| 30 | Mantri Realty |
| 31 | Marathon Realty |
| 32 | Mayfair Housing |
| 33 | Mighthy constuction |
| 34 | Nahar Builders |
| 35 | Neelkanth |
| 36 | Neptune Group |


| Companies |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 37 | Nirmal Lifestyle |
| 38 | Nirman Group |
| 39 | Oberoi Constructions |
| 40 | RNA Builders |
| 41 | Raunak Group |
| 42 | Ravi Group |
| 43 | Royal Palms India |
| 44 | Runwal Group |
| 45 | Rustomjee |
| 46 | S.K Developers |
| 47 | Sanghvi Group |
| 48 | Shankala Realtors |
| 49 | Sheth Developers |
| 50 | Sigrun realties |
| 51 | Shivam Parivar Developers |
| 52 | Skyline Group |
| 53 | Sumer Group |
| 54 | Sumitra Buildcons |

Source: MCHI Property Exhibition, Mumbai

# Property values which can be afforded at the given interest rate and salary levels 



Assumptions:
(1) $48 \%$ of the income can be paid as EMI
(2) Loan disbursement upto $85 \%$ of the property value

Source: Kotak Insitutional Equities estimates

Our assumptions are at a steep discount to the prices qouted by developers
Basic prices in Rs/sq. ft at different locations in Mumbai

| Location | Apr-08 | Oct-08 | Kotak assumptions | Discount <br> (\%) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bhandup | 6,000 | 5,750 | 4,725 | 18 | GKW project at Bhandup by Mahindra Lifespaces |
| Mulund | 6,200 | 6,500 | 4,860 | 25 | Bombay Oxygen' project by HDIL |
| Ghatkopar | 7,500 | 7,500 | 5,103 | 32 | Residential project by HDIL in Pant Nagar |
| Andheri (W) | 12,000 | 11,000 | 8,505 | 23 | Residential project by HDIL in Gilbert Hill |
| Worli | 35,000 | 35,000 | 22,500 | 36 | Residential project by DLF in Tulsiwadi |
| Byculla | 25,000 | 25,000 | 16,200 | 35 | Residential project by Mahindra Lifespaces |

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

More than $18 \mathbf{m n}$ sq. ft of commercial space under development in island Mumbai List of commerical properties being developed in South Mumbai

| Office Name | Location |  | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (mn sq. ft) |  |
| Marathon Innova | Lower Parel | 0.2 | Ready |
| Windsor | BKC | 0.4 | Ready |
| Indiabulls Jupiter | Lower Parel | 1.5 | end 2008 |
| Naman Chambers | BKC | 0.2 | end 2008 |
| TCG | BKC | 0.1 | end 2008 |
| Enam | BKC | 0.3 | end 2008 |
| Greenbird | BKC | 0.1 | end 2008 |
| Gardenia | BKC | 0.1 | end 2008 |
| Reliance | BKC | 1.0 | end 2008 |
| Akruti | BKC | 0.1 | end 2008 |
| Indiabulls Elphinstone | Lower Parel | 1.4 | end 2009 |
| Orbit - Hafeez Contractor House | Lower Parel | 0.3 | end 2009 |
| Marathon Future X | Lower Parel | 0.3 | end 2009 |
| Peninsula Land (Dawn Mills) | Lower Parel | 1.1 | end 2009 |
| Ruby Mills | Dadar | 0.8 | end 2009 |
| Market City, Kurla - Phoenix Mills | Kurla | 0.8 | end 2009 |
| Adani | BKC | 1.8 | end 2009 |
| Neptune Evolution | Kurla | 0.7 | end 2009 |
| Adani Group | Byculla | 0.8 | end 2009 |
| Bombay Dyeing | Worli | 1.3 | mid 2010 |
| Bombay Dyeing | Wadala | 2.0 | end 2010 |
| DLF | Lower Parel | 1.8 | mid 2010 |
| Wadhawa Group | BKC | 0.1 | end 2009 |
| Reliance Industries | BKC | 0.1 | end 2009 |
| TCG and Hiranandani | BKC | 0.1 | end 2009 |
| Jet Airways | BKC | 0.3 | end 2009 |
| Starlight | BKC | 0.1 | end 2009 |
| Century | Plans not yet finalized |  |  |
| Total |  | 17.7 |  |

Source: Property 2007, Property 2008, Internet, Company websites, Kotak Institutional Equities.

| 1 min in in ¢ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
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Kotak Institutional Equities: Valuation Summary of Key Indian Companies

| Company | $\frac{13-\text { Oct-08 }}{\text { Price (Rs) }}$ | Rating | Mkt cap. |  | $\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text { o/s } \\ \text { shares } \end{array}}{(\mathrm{mn})}$ | EPS (Rs) |  |  | EPS growth (\%) |  |  | PER (x) |  |  | EV/Ebitda ( $x$ ) |  |  | Price/BV ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ |  |  | Dividend yield (\%) |  |  | RoE (\%) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Target } \\ \text { price } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Upside | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADVT- } \\ 3 \mathrm{mo} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (Rs mn) | (US5 mn) |  | 2008 | 2009 E | 2010E | 2008 | 2009 E | 2010E | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E | 2008 |  | 2010E | 2008 | 2009E | 2010E |  | (\%) |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pantaloon Retail | 235 | BUY | 40,408 | 840 | 172 | 8.0 | 12.1 | 18.4 | 93.1 | 50.4 | 52.2 | 29.2 | 19.5 | 12.8 | 11.2 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 8.5 | 9.1 | 10.8 | 400 | 70.2 | 1.3 |
| Titan Industries | 946 | BUY | 41,997 | 874 | 44 | 35.1 | 41.1 | 49.8 | 55.2 | 17.3 | 21.0 | 27.0 | 23.0 | 19.0 | 18.2 | 14.2 | 11.3 | 8.9 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 37.7 | 33.6 | 31.6 | 1,350 | 42.7 | 2.3 |
| Vishal Retail | 138 | ADD | 3,100 | 64 | 22 | 18.1 | 21.6 | 35.6 | 37.2 | 19.2 | 65.2 | 7.7 | 6.4 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | - | - | - | 20.2 | 16.2 | 22.1 | 485 | 250.4 | 0.2 |
| Retail |  |  | 85,505 | 1,779 |  |  |  |  | 31.8 | 36.2 | 40.7 | 26.6 | 19.5 | 13.9 | 12.5 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 13.2 | 12.2 | 14.9 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCL Technologies | 170 | REDUCE | 118,046 | 2,455 | 695 | 15.3 | 21.8 | 24.0 | (19.0) | 42.9 | 9.8 | 11.1 | 7.8 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 21.4 | 26.4 | 25.6 | 220 | 29.5 | 4.2 |
| Hexaware Technologies | 24 | SELL | 3,432 | 71 | 142 | 7.7 | 4.6 | 5.1 | (13.7) | (39.8) | 10.6 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 0.2 | (0.3) | (0.7) | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 15.1 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 35 | 44.9 | 0.2 |
| Infosys Technologies | 1,319 | BUY | 756,848 | 15,742 | 574 | 79.1 | 100.8 | 108.8 | 18.0 | 27.5 | 7.9 | 16.7 | 13.1 | 12.1 | 12.8 | 9.7 | 8.5 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 36.1 | 36.4 | 30.9 | 1,600 | 21.3 | 74.3 |
| Mphasis BFL | 162 | SELL | 33,817 | 703 | 208 | 12.2 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 67.6 | 39.6 | 5.6 | 13.2 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 7.9 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 23.6 | 27.7 | 24.3 | 190 | 17.1 | 2.0 |
| Mindtree | 244 | BUY | 6,203 | 129 | 25 | 26.7 | 30.4 | 39.4 | 12.3 | 13.6 | 29.6 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.7 | - | - | - | 16.8 | 17.7 | 450 | 84.3 | 0.5 |
| Patni Computer Systems | 153 | SELL | 21,302 | 443 | 139 | 33.4 | 25.8 | 28.2 | 29.7 | (22.6) | 9.4 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 19.2 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 200 | 30.5 | 1.7 |
| Polaris Software Lab | 48 | sell | 4,713 | 98 | 98 | 7.4 | 10.1 | 11.2 | (27.6) | 35.6 | 10.5 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 11.7 | 14.3 | 14.1 | 70 | 46.0 | 5.4 |
| Satyam Computer Services | 269 | BUY | 183,462 | 3,816 | 682 | 25.2 | 32.8 | 36.2 | 17.7 | 30.1 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 8.2 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 26.0 | 27.6 | 24.8 | 400 | 48.7 | 39.0 |
| tCS | 573 | REDUCE | 560,597 | 11,660 | 979 | 51.3 | 60.4 | 65.9 | 21.5 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 11.2 | 9.5 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 47.0 | 41.8 | 36.6 | 750 | 30.9 | 23.9 |
| Tech Mahindra | 424 | BUY | 52,779 | 1,098 | 125 | 59.1 | 73.4 | 84.3 | 25.7 | 24.2 | 14.9 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 0.9 | - | 1.5 | 70.7 | 55.0 | 40.0 | 750 | 77.1 | 3.7 |
| Wipro | 284 | ADD | 412,090 | 8,571 | 1,450 | 22.2 | 26.9 | 30.5 | 12.6 | 20.9 | 13.5 | 12.8 | 10.6 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 27.9 | 27.1 | 25.4 | 380 | 33.7 | 11.8 |
| Technology |  | Neutral | 2,153,289 | 44,788 |  |  |  |  | 16.1 | 23.9 | 10.1 | 12.5 | 10.1 | 9.1 | 9.3 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 29.5 | 28.9 | 26.3 |  |  |  |
| Telecom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bharti Airtel Ltd | 740 | BUY | 1,404,161 | 29,207 | 1,898 | 35.3 | 47.0 | 57.0 | 65.0 | 33.2 | 21.1 | 21.0 | 15.7 | 13.0 | 12.7 | 9.3 | 7.1 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 3.3 | - | 0.5 | 0.8 | 39.1 | 32.9 | 29.1 | 840 | 13.5 | 85.1 |
| IDEA | 72 | REDUCE | 190,149 | 3,955 | 2,639 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 78.5 | 15.7 | 20.8 | 18.2 | 15.8 | 13.1 | 10.9 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 3.1 | - | - | - | 36.4 | 29.1 | 26.6 | 100 | 38.8 | 12.2 |
| MTNL | 68 | Reduce | 42,683 | 888 | 630 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 7.0 | (25.2) | 7.7 | 8.2 | 11.3 | 10.5 | 9.7 | (0.5) | (0.5) | (0.5) | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 100 | 47.6 | 3.1 |
| Reliance Communications | 282 | SELL | 582,254 | 12,111 | 2,064 | 25.0 | 28.6 | 34.8 | 76.4 | 14.1 | 21.9 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 5.7 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.3 | - | - | 16.1 | 19.9 | 19.8 | 390 | 38.2 | 72.4 |
| Tata Communications | 443 | Reduce | 126,212 | 2,625 | 285 | 10.9 | 12.0 | 13.3 | (36.3) | 9.2 | 11.2 | 40.4 | 37.0 | 33.3 | 16.9 | 15.7 | 13.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 430 | (2.9) | 3.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Container Corporation | 709 | ADD | 92,117 | 1,916 | 130 | 57.7 | 67.5 | 75.0 | 7.8 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 12.3 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 8.1 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 25.8 | 24.9 | 23.1 | 950 | 34.0 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CESC | 214 | BUY | 26,768 | 557 | 125 | 27.8 | 28.2 | 31.1 | (23.3) | 1.4 | 10.4 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 12.5 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 500 | 133.4 | 1.5 |
| Lanco infratech | 174 | BUY | 38,747 | 806 | 222 | 16.0 | 18.7 | 31.3 | 88.4 | 17.4 | 67.1 | 10.9 | 9.3 | 5.6 | 10.6 | 14.3 | 12.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.2 | (3.4) | - | - | 20.2 | 18.9 | 25.2 | 530 | 204.1 | 12.0 |
| NTPC | 178 | reduce | 1,467,280 | 30,519 | 8,245 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 3.5 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 19.9 | 18.7 | 17.3 | 14.3 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 14.3 | 179 | 0.6 | 32.0 |
| Reliance infrastructure | 599 | BUY | 138,407 | 2,879 | 231 | 37.6 | 49.4 | 50.0 | 13.9 | 31.3 | 1.2 | 15.9 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 22.6 | 20.9 | 19.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 4 | 5- | 6 - | 1,250 | 108.5 | 87.6 |
| Reliance Power | 129 | Reduce | 309,547 | 6,439 | 2,397 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 2.6 | - | 443.9 | 25.2 | 339.6 | 62.4 | 49.9 | - | - | - | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | - | - | - | 1.2 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 180 | 39.4 | 34.9 |
| Tata Power | 787 | BUY | 183,301 | 3,813 | 233 | 29.7 | 38.1 | 39.9 | 11.6 | 28.5 | 4.6 | 26.5 | 20.6 | 19.7 | 19.4 | 17.4 | 18.2 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 9.0 | 1,425 | 81.1 | 25. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aban Offshore | 1,202 | BUY | 46,484 | 967 | 39 | 72.3 | 264.8 | 453.9 | $(1,066)$ | 266.3 | 71.5 | 16.6 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 13.4 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 51.7 | 59.5 | 52.1 | 2,400 | 99.6 | 18.0 |
| Aditya Birla Nuvo | 780 | ADD | 72,749 | 1,513 | 93 | 22.9 | 26.6 | 29.2 | (5.6) | 16.0 | 9.8 | 34.1 | 29.3 | 26.7 | 16.3 | 14.7 | 13.6 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 2,000 | 156.5 | 2.5 |
| Educomp Solutions | 2,183 | ADD | 41,514 | 863 | 19 | 35.2 | 46.2 | 112.0 | 114 | 31.5 | 142.4 | 62.1 | 47.2 | 19.5 | 33.1 | 15.2 | 8.5 | 13.8 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 33.5 | 17.4 | 26.2 | 3,650 | 67.2 | 23.4 |
| Havells india | 220 | BUY | 13,335 | 277 | 61 | 26.6 | 36.9 | 46.5 | 40.0 | 38.7 | 26.0 | 8.3 | 6.0 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 33.7 | 28.4 | 25.5 | 430 | 95.1 | 0.5 |
| Jaiprakash Associates | 81 | BuY | 100,511 | 2,091 | 1,242 | 4.9 | 7.6 | 11.6 | 7 | 54.7 | 53.5 | 16.5 | 10.7 | 7.0 | 11.8 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 17.5 | 22.6 | 255 | 215.2 | 50.2 |
| Jindal Saw | 377 | BUY | 23,091 | 480 | 61 | 57.7 | 90.7 | 110.4 | (50.2) | 57.0 | 21.7 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 11.4 | 16.1 | 16.9 | 900 | 139.0 | 1.7 |
| PSL | 176 | BUY | 7,688 | 160 | 44 | 21.1 | 45.6 | 64.5 | 4 | 116.1 | 41.5 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 11.3 | 15.4 | 18.4 | 500 | 183.4 | 0.2 |
| Sintex | 215 | BUY | 35,004 | 728 | 163 | 19.5 | 23.4 | 31.2 | 58.2 | 19.6 | 33.5 | 11.0 | 9.2 | 6.9 | 10.0 | 7.2 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 15.9 | 460 | 113.6 | 1.8 |
| Welspun Guiarat Stahl Rohren | 144 | REDUCE | 27,259 | 567 | 189 | 20.6 | 29.2 | 47.4 | 94 | 41.9 | 62.7 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 7.6 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 27.1 | 26.0 | 31.3 | 360 | 149.3 | 5.0 |
| Others |  |  | 367,635 | 7,647 |  |  |  |  | 22.2 | 92.4 | 52.7 | 17.1 | 8.9 | 5.8 | 11.0 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 12.5 | 18.6 | 22.2 |  |  |  |
| KS universe (b) |  |  | 25,019,809 | 520,412 |  |  |  |  | 24.4 | 16.0 | 21.7 | 12.8 | 11.1 | 9.1 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 17.7 | 17.0 | 17.8 |  |  |  |
| KS universe (b) ex-Energy |  |  | 19,076,427 | 396,790 |  |  |  |  | 29.4 | 13.6 | 14.3 | 12.8 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 19.1 | 17.9 | 17.5 |  |  |  |
| KS universe (d) ex-Energy \& ex-Commodities |  |  | 17,359,720 | 361,082 |  |  |  |  | 34.0 | 16.3 | 18.3 | 14.7 | 12.7 | 10.7 | 11.3 | 9.6 | 8.2 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 18.7 | 17.9 | 18.1 |  |  |  |

[^1]Source: Company, Bloomberg, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates
"Each of the analysts named below hereby certifies that, with respect to each subject company and its securities for which the analyst is responsible in this report, (1) all of the views expressed in this report accurately reflect his or her personal views about the subject companies and securities, and (2) no part of his or her compensation was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related
to the specific recommendations or views expressed in this report: Prashant Vaishampayan, Ramnath Venkateswaran, Rahul Jain, Kawaljeet Saluja, Puneet Jain."

Kotak Institutional Equities Research coverage universe
Distribution of ratings/investment banking relationships


Percentage of companies covered by Kotak Institutional Equities, within the specified category.

Percentage of companies within each category for which Kotak Institutional Equities and or its affiliates has provided investment banking services within the previous 12 months.

* The above categories are defined as follows: Buy = OP; Hold $=\mathrm{IL}$; Sell $=\mathrm{U}$. Buy, Hold and Sell are not defined Kotak Institutional Equities ratings and should not be constructed as investment opinions. Rather, these ratings are used illustratively to comply with applicable regulations. As of 30/09/2008 Kotak Institutional Equities Investment Research had investment ratings on 143 equity securities.

Source: Kotak Institutional Equities

## Ratings and other definitions/identifiers

Rating system
Definitions of ratings
BUY. We expect this stock to outperform the BSE Sensex by $10 \%$ over the next 12 months.
ADD. We expect this stock to outperform the BSE Sensex by $0-10 \%$ over the next 12 months.
REDUCE: We expect this stock to underperform the BSE Sensex by $0-10 \%$ over the next 12 months.
SELL: We expect this stock to underperform the BSE Sensexby more than $10 \%$ over the next 12 months.

Our target price are also on 12-month horizon basis.
Other definitions
Coverage view. The coverage view represents each analyst's overall fundamental outlook on the Sector. The coverage view will consist of one of the following designations: Attractive (A), Neutral (N), Cautious (C).

Other ratings/identifiers
NR = Not Rated. The investment rating and target price, if any, have been suspended temporarily. Such suspension is in compliance with applicable regulation(s) and/or Kotak Securities policies in circumstances when Kotak Securities or its affiliates is acting in an advisory capacity in a merger or strategic transaction involving this company and in certain other circumstances.
CS = Coverage Suspended. Kotak Securities has suspended coverage of this company.
NC = Not Covered. Kotak Securities does not cover this company.
RS = Rating Suspended. Kotak Securities Research has suspended the investment rating and price target, if any, for this stock, because there is not a sufficient fundamental basis for determining an investment rating or target. The previous investment rating and price target, if any, are no longer in effect for this stock and should not be relied upon.
NA = Not Available or Not Applicable. The information is not available for display or is not applicable.
NM = Not Meaningful. The information is not meaningful and is therefore excluded.
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6th Floor, Portsoken House
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## Kotak Mahindra Inc.

50 Main Street, Suite No. 310
Westchester Financial Centre
White Plains, New York 10606
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Copyright 2008 Kotak Institutional Equities (Kotak Securities Limited). All rights reserved.
Kotak Securities Limited and its affiliates are a full-service, integrated investment banking, investment management, brokerage and financing group. We along with our affiliates are leading underwriter of securities and participants in virtually all securities trading markets in India. We and our affiliates have investment banking and other business relationships with a significant percentage of the companies covered by our Investment Research Department. Our research professionals provide important input into our investment banking and other business selection processes. Investors should assume that Kotak Securities Limited and/or its affiliates are seeking or will seek investment banking or other business from the company or companies that are the subject of this material and that the research professionals who were involved in preparing this material may participate in the solicitation of such business. Our research professionals are paid in part based on the profitability of Kotak Securities Limited, which include earnings from investment banking and other business. Kotak Securities Limited generally prohibits its analysts, persons reporting to analysts, and members of their households from maintaining a financial interest in the securities or derivatives of any companies that the analysts cover. Additionally, Kotak Securities Limited generally prohibits its analysts and persons reporting to analysts from serving as an officer, director, or advisory board member of any companies that the analysts cover. Our salespeople, traders, and other professionals may provide oral or written market commentary or trading strategies to our clients that reflect opinions that are contrary to the opinions expressed herein, and our proprietary trading and investing businesses may make investment decisions that are inconsistent with the recommendations expressed herein. In reviewing these materials, you should be aware that any or all of the foregoing, among other things, may give rise to real or potential conflicts of interest. Additionally, other important information regarding our relationships with the company or companies that are the subject of this material is provided herein.

This material should not be construed as an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security in any jurisdiction where such an offer or solicitation would be illegal. We are not soliciting any action based on this material. It is for the general information of clients of Kotak Securities Limited. It does not constitute a personal recommendation or take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situations, or needs of individual clients. Before acting on any advice or recommendation in this material, clients should consider whether it is suitable for their particular circumstances and, if necessary, seek professional advice. The price and value of the investments referred to in this material and the income from them may go down as well as up, and investors may realize losses on any investments. Past performance is not a guide for future performance, future returns are not guaranteed and a loss of original capital may occur. Kotak Securities Limited does not provide tax advise to its clients, and all investors are strongly advised to consult with their tax advisers regarding any potential investment.
Certain transactions -including those involving futures, options, and other derivatives as well as non-investment-grade securities - give rise to substantial risk and are not suitable for all investors. The material is based on information that we consider reliable, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete, and it should not be relied on as such. Opinions expressed are our current opinions as of the date appearing on this material only. We endeavor to update on a reasonable basis the information discussed in this material but regulatory, compliance, or other reasons may prevent us from doing so. We and our affiliates, officers, directors, and employees, including persons involved in the preparation or issuance of this material, may from time to time have "long" or "short" positions in, act as principal in, and buy or sell the securities or derivatives thereof of companies mentioned herein. For the purpose of calculating whether Kotak Securities Limited and its affiliates holds beneficially owns or controls, including the right to vote for directors, $1 \%$ of more of the equity shares of the subject issuer of a research report, the holdings does not include accounts managed by Kotak Mahindra Mutual Fund.Kotak Securities Limited and its non US affiliates may, to the extent permissible under applicable laws, have acted on or used this research to the extent that it relates to non US issuers, prior to or immediately following its publication. Foreign currency denominated securities are subject to fluctuations in exchange rates that could have an adverse effect on the value or price of or income derived from the investment. In addition, investors in securities such as ADRs, the value of which are influenced by foreign currencies affectively assume currency risk. In addition options involve risks and are not suitable for all investors. Please ensure that you have read and understood the current derivatives risk disclosure document before entering into any derivative transactions.
This report has not been prepared by Kotak Mahindra Inc. (KMInc). However KMInc has reviewed the report and, in so far as it includes current or historical information, it is believed to be reliable, although its accuracy and completeness cannot be guaranteed. Any reference to Kotak Securities Limited shall also be deemed to mean and include Kotak Mahindra Inc.
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[^0]:    Source: Bloomberg, Companies, Kotak Institutional Equities estimates.

[^1]:    Note:
    a) 2007 means calendar year 2006, similarly for 2008 and 2009 for these particular companies.
    (b) EV/Sales $\&$ EV/FBTIDA for KS universe excludes Banking Sector.
    (a) EV/Sales \& EVIVBrTITA for KS universe excludes Banking Sector.
    (c) ) Rupee-US Dollar exchange rate (RSUSSS) 48.08

